Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 142-1 Filed 07/12/17 Page 1 of 43

| 1 | | |
|----|---|---|
| 1 | JEFFREY H. WOOD | KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS, LLP JEFFREY R. CHANIN - # 103649 |
| 2 | Acting Assistant Attorney General JOSEPH T. MATHEWS (Colo. Bar No. 42865) | jchanin@keker.com |
| 3 | Trial Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice | DAVID W. RIZK - # 284376 drizk@keker.com |
| 4 | Environment and Natural Resources Division | 633 Battery Street |
| 4 | Natural Resources Section P.O. Box 7611 | San Francisco, CA 94111-1809 |
| 5 | Ben Franklin Station | Telephone: 415 391 5400 Facsimile: 415 397 7188 |
| 6 | Washington, DC 20044-7611 | |
| | Telephone: (202) 305-0432 | ADVOCATES FOR THE WEST LAURENCE ("LAIRD") J. LUCAS - #124854 |
| 7 | Facsimile: (202) 305-0506 | llucas@advocateswest.org |
| 8 | Email: joseph.mathews@usdoj.gov | ELIZABETH H. POTTER – (pro hac vice OR Bar No. 105482) |
| 0 | BRIAN J. STRETCH (Cal. Bar No. 163973) | epotter@advocateswest.org |
| 9 | United States Attorney | P.O. Box 1612 |
| 10 | SARA WINSLOW (DC Bar No. 457643) | Boise, ID 83701 Telephone: 208 342 7024 |
| 11 | Chief, Civil Division MICHAEL T. PYLE (Cal. Bar No. 172954) | Facsimile: 208 342 8286 |
| | Assistant United States Attorney | Attorneys for Plaintiffs |
| 12 | 150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900 | RESOURCE RENEWAL INSTITUTE, |
| 13 | San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: (408) 535-5087 | CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY, and WESTERN WATERSHEDS PROJECT |
| 14 | Facsimile: (408) 535-5087 | |
| | Email: michael.t.pyle@usdoj.gov | [ADDITIONAL COUNSEL LISTED ON NEXT PAGE] |
| 15 | | NEAT FAGE |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | Attorneys for Federal Defendants | |
| | | |
| 18 | UNITED STATES I | |
| 19 | NORTHERN DISTRIC OAKLAND | |
| 20 | | |
| 20 | RESOURCE RENEWAL INSTITUTE, CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL | Case No. 4:16-cv-00688-SBA (KAW) |
| 21 | DIVERSITY, and WESTERN | STIPULATED SETTLEMENT |
| 22 | WATERSHEDS PROJECT, | AGREEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER |
| | Plaintiffs, | ORDER |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | V. | |
| 25 | NATIONAL PARK SERVICE, a federal | |
| | agency, and CICELY MULDOON, in her official capacity as Superintendent of Point | |
| 26 | Reyes National Seashore, | |
| 27 | Defendants. | |
| 28 | | |
| 20 | | |

| 1 | ADDITIONAL COUNSEL: | |
|--|---|---|
| | ABBITIONAL COUNSEL. | |
| $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ | Peter Obstler Brown George Ross LLP | BRIAN E. WASHINGTON, SBN 146807 |
| 4 | 101 California Street (Suite 1225) San Francisco CA 94111 | COUNTY COUNSEL Office of the County Counsel, County of |
| 5 | Office: (415) 391-7100 Cell: (415) 307-5458 | Marin 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 275 |
| 6 | Fax: (415) 391-7198 Email: pobstler@bgrfirm.com | San Rafael, CA 94903 Tel.: (415) 473-6117 |
| 7 | Attorneys for Rossotti Rancher Intervenors | Fax: (415) 473-3796 <u>bwashington@marincounty.org</u> Attorney for County Intervenor |
| 8 | JULIE EVANS ROSSOTTI, DAVID EVANS, DAN and DOLORES EVANS, | COUNTY OF MAŘIN |
| 9 | ROBERT McCLURE, TIM, TOM, and MIKE KEHOE, NICHOLA, ERNIE, and ERNEST SPALETTA, JR., BETTY NUNES, | |
| 10 | WILLIAM and NICOLETTE NIMAN, LINDA and JARROD MENDOZA, | |
| 12 | JOLYNN and ROBERT MCCLELLAND, and ROBERT GIACOMINI | |
| 13 | CAROLINE LOBDELL (Ore. Bar No. 021236) Pro Hac Vice | |
| 14 | SCOTT W. HORNGREN (Ore. Bar No. 88060) Pro Hac Vice | |
| 15 | Western Resources Legal Center 9220 SW Barbur Blvd., Suite 327 | |
| 16 | Portland, Oregon 97219 Telephone: (503) 768-8500 Foreignilla (503) 222 2255 | |
| 17 | Facsimile: (503) 222-3255 Email: clobdell@wrlegal.org shorngren@wrlegal.org | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | REMY MOOSE MANLEY, LLP HOWARD F. WILKINS III (SBN 203083) 555 Capitol Mall, Suite 800 | |
| 20 | Sacramento, CA 95814 | |
| 21 | Telephone: (916) 443-2745 Facsimile: (916) 443-9017 | |
| 22 | Email: cwilkins@rmmenvirolaw.com | |
| 23 | Attorneys for Defendant-Intervenors KEVIN and NANCY LUNNY; RICHARD | |
| 24 | and JACKIE GROSSI; TED and RHEA MCISAAC; GINO LUCCHESI, JR., and CLAYTON LUCCHESI, MIKE and MORGAN | |
| 25 | CLAYTON LUCCHESI; MIKE and MORGAN GIAMONNA; RICHARD GALLAGHER; RALPH GIACOMINI, JR., and LUKE | |
| 26 | GIACOMINI; FRED and GINNY ROGERS; LOUIS and WYATT ZANARDI; and | |
| 27 | PAULETTE PERCY | |
| 28 | | |

| Ļ | |
|---|---|
| | RESOURCE RENEWAL INSTITUTE, CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY, and |
| | WESTERN WATERSHEDS PROJECT (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), NATIONAL PARK SERVICE |
| | and CICELY MULDOON IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS SUPERINTENDENT OF POINT |
| | REYES NATIONAL SEASHORE ("Defendants"), Defendant-Intervenors JULIE EVANS |
| | ROSSOTTI, DAVID EVANS, DAN and DOLORES EVANS, ROBERT McCLURE, TIM, TOM, and |
| | MIKE KEHOE, NICHOLA, ERNIE, and ERNEST SPALETTA, JR., BETTY NUNES, WILLIAM |
| | and NICOLETTE NIMAN, LINDA and JARROD MENDOZA, JOLYNN and ROBERT |
| | MCCLELLAND, and ROBERT GIACOMINI (the "Rossotti Ranchers"), KEVIN and NANCY |
| | LUNNY, RICHARD and JACKIE GROSSI, TED and RHEA MCISAAC, GINO LUCCHESI, JR. and |
| | CLAYTON LUCCHESI, MIKE and MORGAN GIAMONNA, RICHARD GALLAGHER, RALPI |
| - | GIACOMINI, JR., and LUKE GIACOMINI, FRED and GINNY ROGERS, and PAULETTE PERCY |
| | ("the Lunny Ranchers"), the POINT REYES SEASHORE RANCHERS ASSOCIATION (the |
| | "PRSRA"), and the COUNTY OF MARIN (collectively, "the Parties"), through undersigned counsel |
| | enter into the following Stipulated Settlement Agreement for the purpose of resolving the above |
| | captioned litigation without further judicial proceedings and for no other purpose. |
| | A. WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed a lawsuit against Defendants on February 10, 2016, (ECI |

- A. WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed a lawsuit against Defendants on February 10, 2016, (ECF No. 1) alleging that Defendants have unreasonably delayed a timely revision to the 1980 General Management Plan ("GMP") for Point Reyes National Seashore ("Point Reyes") and violated the National Environmental Policy Act ("NEPA"), the National Park Service ("NPS") Organic Act, and the Point Reyes National Seashore Enabling Act, by authorizing year-round livestock ranching and associated residential activities without preparing an environmental analysis of ranching's impacts on Point Reyes;
- B. WHEREAS, the Court denied the Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Claims on July 15, 2016, but granted Defendants' alternative Motion for a More Definite Statement (ECF No. 49);
- C. WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed their First Amended Complaint on August 5, 2016 (ECF No. 78);

- D. WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Preliminary Injunction seeking to enjoin Defendants from proceeding with further work on a Comprehensive Ranch Management Plan or the issuance of any new long-term leases, permits, or authorizations for ranching exceeding one-year in duration until Plaintiffs' claims that a GMP should be completed first could be adjudicated (ECF No. 86), which Defendants opposed (ECF No. 106);
- E. WHEREAS, the Court entered an Order on July 22, 2016, referring the above-captioned action for a mandatory settlement conference (the "Settlement Conference") (ECF No. 55) with the Honorable Magistrate-Judge Donna M. Ryu (ECF No. 67) on September 20, 2016 (ECF No. 72);
- F. WHEREAS, the Rossotti Ranchers and the County of Marin reached agreement with Plaintiffs on terms of limited intervention (ECF Nos. 63, 73);
- G. WHEREAS, the Lunny Ranchers did not reach agreement with Plaintiffs on terms of intervention and moved the Court to intervene as of right on July 22, 2016 (ECF No. 56);
- H. WHEREAS, the Court granted in part and denied in part the Lunny Ranchers' motion to intervene on September 2, 2016 (ECF No. 96), and the Lunny Ranchers filed a protective notice of appeal on October 31, 2016 (ECF 117);
- I. WHEREAS, the Parties and representatives for the Lunny Ranchers, the Rossotti Ranchers, and the County of Marin participated in a Settlement Conference on September 20, 2016, with Judge Ryu and agreed to deadlines regarding next steps (ECF No. 112);
- J. WHEREAS, the Parties (as defined below), with the assistance of Judge Ryu, have reached an agreement to settle and resolve this litigation according to the terms and conditions set forth below;
- K. WHEREAS, the Parties agree that settlement of this case in the manner described below is in the public interest and their own interest, is in keeping with the statutes governing stewardship of the Point Reyes National Seashore, and provides a comprehensive planning solution for future management of the lands at Point Reyes and at the north district of the Golden Gate National Recreation Area that are currently leased for ranching and ancillary activities.
 - L. WHEREAS, the Parties understand that the General Management Plan Amendment and

Environmental Impact Statement and associated Record of Decision as defined herein should provide sufficient direction to NPS to allow it to determine whether to issue leases for continued ranching operations. Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed as pre-decisional or as pre-determinative of the outcome of the planning process, or the future extent or existence of ranching, or the length of any future ranching lease, if any, within Point Reyes National Seashore or the north district of the Golden Gate National Recreation Area.

DEFINITIONS

- A. "Agreement" means this Stipulated Settlement Agreement.
- B. "Drakes Beach herd" means those tule elk currently inhabiting or grazing on E Ranch, D Ranch, C Ranch, B Ranch, A Ranch, and all areas directly adjacent to those ranches.
 - C. "EIS" means environmental impact statement pursuant to NEPA (defined below).
 - D. "GMP" means the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan of 1980.
- E. "GMP Amendment" means the partial amendment to Point Reyes National Seashore's General Management Plan of 1980 described in Paragraph 1 below.
- F. "Interim Lease" means an extension of an existing lease or permit for a term not to exceed the Interim Period, or a short-term lease not to exceed the remainder of the Interim Period issued after the expiration of a Reservation of Use and Occupancy or a Life Estate, that authorizes beef or dairy ranching operations within Point Reyes National Seashore or the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area.
- G. "Interim Period" means the period spanning from the date of entry of an Order by the Court approving this Agreement until one year after the due date for issuing the Record of Decision, for a total not to exceed five years.
- H. "NEPA" means the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. § 4321 et seq.
 - I. "NPS" means the National Park Service.
- J. "Parties" means the parties to this agreement, including Plaintiffs, Defendants, the Rossotti Ranchers, the Lunny Ranchers, the PRSRA, the County of Marin, and any other "Rancher" as defined herein who becomes a signatory to this Agreement.

K. "Point Reves" or "Seashore" mean Point Reves National Seashore.

L. "Rancher" or "Ranchers" means any person who is currently listed on a permit, lease, or other authorization, including a Reservation of Use and Occupancy or a life estate, who is authorized to operate a beef or dairy ranch within Point Reyes National Seashore or the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area, or any person who receives an Interim Lease under this Agreement.

NOW THEREFORE, in the interests of the public and the Parties, as well as judicial economy, the Parties herby stipulate and agree to the following terms in settlement of any and all claims in the above-captioned litigation:

AGREEMENT

A. Federal Defendants' Planning Commitments

- In lieu of the Comprehensive Ranch Management Plan that NPS has been preparing, NPS shall prepare a GMP Amendment and an EIS that, at a minimum, addresses the lands currently leased for ranching in Point Reyes and in the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area. In addition to the no action alternative, the GMP Amendment and EIS shall give full consideration to, and disclose the impacts of, at least the following action alternatives that will not be conditioned or dependent on ranchers' discretionary termination of their leases, permits, or ranching operations: a no ranching alternative, a reduced ranching alternative, and a no-dairy ranching alternative. The GMP Amendment and EIS action alternatives will include both programmatic and detailed components addressing alternative strategies for future management of the lands that are currently leased for ranching, including the issues of ranch and elk management, except that the no ranching alternative need not address ranch management. In preparing the GMP Amendment and the EIS, NPS will follow all applicable laws and policies, including, but not limited to, 54 U.S.C. § 100101(a), and will also address the four statutory elements of 54 U.S.C. § 100502 unless inapplicable. The GMP Amendment and EIS may be combined in a single document ("GMP Amendment/EIS").
- 2. This Agreement does not preclude NPS from exercising its lawful discretion to consider, and expressly preserves, NPS's right to examine other potential action alternatives. This

Agreement also does not preclude NPS from exercising its lawful discretion to consider, as part of any action alternative, except for the no ranching alternative, management strategies or actions that include agricultural diversification; increased operational flexibility; the promotion of sustainable operational practices; and succession planning, among others.

3. NPS shall issue a Record of Decision in accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 1505.2 and 43 C.F.R. § 46.450 for the GMP Amendment/EIS within four years from the date of approval of this Agreement by the Court. Until NPS issues the Record of Decision for the GMP Amendment/EIS, it shall cease further work on and shall not release for public review the Comprehensive Ranch Management Plan or any other similarly comprehensive ranching plan of general applicability.

B. Actions During the Interim Period

- 4. During the Interim Period, NPS may issue Interim Leases, and may do so immediately after this Agreement becomes effective as defined herein. The NPS may also issue Interim Leases to ranchers or their family members if a Reservation of Use and Occupancy or a life estate has expired, or expires, during the Interim Period. NPS shall not issue any Interim Lease or ranching lease or permit to ranches operating within the Seashore and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area for a term that exceeds the Interim Period until the Record of Decision is issued. With the exception of the Interim Leases provided for herein, during the Interim Period NPS shall not issue any ranching leases or permits within Point Reyes National Seashore or the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area that have a term of greater than one year. Provided, that NPS may agree with the current holder of a Reservation of Use and Occupancy or life estate that a family member can continue operations for the remainder of the Interim Period under a lease or permit in the event of the death of the holder of a Reservation of Use and Occupancy or life estate.
- 5. The Interim Leases shall allow existing ranching operations to continue under current terms and conditions but shall not allow for expanded or new uses (e.g., additional or new types of livestock or crops, or other commercial operations like bed and breakfasts or processing facilities). Ranchers shall comply with the terms and conditions of their leases, permits, or authorizations, which NPS has the sole power to enforce. Other than the expiration date of a lease, permit, or authorization that was extended by an Interim Lease, nothing in this Agreement shall be

deemed to alter, amend, cancel, or modify any of the terms and conditions of the current leases, permits, or authorizations.

- 6. Ranchers currently holding leases for terms that extend beyond the Interim
 Period shall be able to retain those leases, provided that NPS may not extend the term of such leases
 until after the issuance of the Record of Decision under this Agreement.
- 7. NPS shall not issue or extend any Interim Lease or ranching lease or permit that authorizes ranching within the Seashore to any entities, operations, or persons who are not listed on the current leases or permits prior to the issuance of the Record of Decision, except that NPS may, in its discretion, approve assignment of Interim Leases granted during the Interim Period, or grant an Interim Lease to, family members of ranchers, provided that those family members become a Party to this Agreement by signing a counterpart signature page. Any new signature page adding one or more Parties to the Agreement need not be filed with the Court but the new Party must distribute the signature page to all Parties.
- 8. Nothing in this Agreement shall be deemed to alter, abridge, amend, or affect any time period, terms, rights, obligations, or conditions provided for under any existing Right of Reservation of Use and Occupancy or life estate retained by any Rancher operating within the Seashore or the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area.
- 9. Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed to alter NPS's authority to issue or extend leases or permits after issuance of the Record of Decision, as permitted by applicable law.
- 10. Prior to the issuance of the Record of Decision, NPS will preserve and manage tule elk at the Seashore under its lawful authority, and shall endeavor to use non-lethal management techniques to manage the population of the Drakes Beach herd.

C. Effective Date and Termination Date

11. This Agreement shall take effect immediately upon entry of an Order by the Court approving this Agreement in its entirety and without any change. If for any reason the District Court does not enter an Order approving this Agreement in its entirety and without any change, the obligations set forth in this Agreement shall become null and void. If the Court does not approve this Agreement in its entirety and without any change, then the Parties will meet and confer as soon as

2:7

possible to discuss a potential resolution of the Court's concerns; if they cannot reach agreement on their own within three weeks' time (or longer upon agreement of the Parties), the Parties agree to jointly request the assistance of Judge Ryu or, if she is unavailable, the assistance of another court-appointed mediator. This Agreement shall terminate one year after the issuance of the Record of Decision as provided for herein.

D. Dismissal of Claims; Release and Reservation of Rights

- 12. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2), the Second and Third Claims of Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint are dismissed with prejudice by the Court effective immediately upon entry of an Order by the Court approving this Agreement. Such dismissal shall not be deemed res judicata or otherwise be deemed to preclude or bar such claims as to other leases or authorizations not listed in Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint, except that Plaintiffs shall not assert claims against other ranching leases or authorizations that are currently in effect and held by any Rancher within the north district of the Golden Gate National Recreation Area who signs this Agreement, and nothing herein shall be deemed to preclude claims by Plaintiffs for breach of this Agreement as it relates to the Interim Leases.
- Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint is dismissed without prejudice by the Court effective immediately upon entry of an Order by the Court approving this Agreement. Following the date for issuance of the Record of Decision for the GMP Amendment/EIS as provided in this Agreement, Plaintiffs may reinstate their First Claim, provided that, in the event Plaintiffs seek to reinstate their First Claim, Plaintiffs waive, relinquish, and shall not assert (1) any arguments or claims that the GMP Amendment/EIS is illegal because it is only a partial amendment to the GMP, and (2) any arguments or claims that NPS has acted illegally by, prior to fully revising the entire GMP, issuing the GMP Amendment/EIS to address the future management of lands currently leased for ranching, the management of ranching operations, and tule elk management on lands currently leased for ranching. Nothing in this Agreement shall otherwise be deemed to alter, abridge, amend, or affect the scope of Plaintiffs' First Claim in their First Amended Complaint, which only challenges NPS's alleged failure to revise its GMP.

.8

 14. Nothing in this Agreement constitutes a waiver of any defense to claims brought by Plaintiffs or that might be brought by Plaintiffs; Defendants, the Rossotti Ranchers, the Lunny Ranchers, the PRSRA and the County of Marin specifically reserve the right to raise all applicable defenses to any claim Plaintiffs may bring or reinstate.

15. The Lunny Ranchers agree to dismiss their Ninth Circuit Appeal No. 16-17027 upon the Court's approval of this Agreement.

E. Parties to the Agreement

16. This Agreement is not intended to confer, and shall not be interpreted in a manner so as to confer, substantive or procedural rights or benefits on persons or entities that are not Parties as defined under this Agreement, or to create intended or expected third party status on any such non-Party.

F. Dispute Resolution, Enforceability, and Modification

- 17. The Court shall retain jurisdiction for the sole purpose of resolving disputes that may arise concerning the performance or alleged non-performance of this Agreement. The Court's jurisdiction shall terminate one year after the issuance of the Record of Decision, unless the Parties have notified the Court of a dispute regarding compliance with this Agreement and that dispute remains pending before this Court, in which case the Court's jurisdiction shall continue until the dispute is finally resolved in accordance with the dispute resolution provisions set forth herein. See Kokkonen v. Guardian Life Ins. Co. of Am., 511 U.S. 375 (1994).
- 18. In the event of a dispute arising out of the performance or alleged non-performance of this Agreement, or a proposed modification of the Agreement as provided in Paragraph 20, or any other dispute related to this Agreement while it is in effect, the Parties agree to notify all other Parties when a dispute or concern arises and request an opportunity promptly to discuss the disputed issues or concerns. All Parties shall first make a good faith, concerted effort to resolve the dispute or concern through direct negotiations within five business days, or thereafter with the assistance of Judge Ryu or another court-appointed magistrate judge. If the Parties are unable to resolve the dispute within 45 days of the receipt of the notice of the dispute or concern or such longer

time to which they agree, any party may seek relief in court. The Parties' remedy for any breach of this Agreement by the Defendants or any other Party shall be limited to a motion for declaratory or injunctive relief to enforce the terms of this Agreement, except that Plaintiffs may seek an award of attorneys' fees and costs from Defendants as provided under existing law related to such a motion. In the event of a breach of any court order granting a motion against Defendants or any other Party to enforce the terms of this Agreement, any Party may seek enforcement of such court order, but may not seek criminal or monetary sanctions from Defendants as a remedy for a breach of such a court order, except that Plaintiffs may seek an award of attorneys' fees and costs against Defendants as provided under existing law related to such an enforcement action. If Plaintiffs initiate a proceeding to enforce this Agreement and Defendants still fail to comply with any resulting Court order requiring Defendants' compliance with this Agreement, Plaintiffs may elect to move the court to declare this Agreement null and void and, if the Court grants that motion, Plaintiffs may reinstate without limitation all Claims in their Amended Complaint and bring any other claims that they may have.

- injunctive or declaratory relief from the Court to avoid immediate, irreparable harm and maintain the status quo pending such resolution efforts, but before seeking such relief from the Court must first notify all other Parties of the disputed issue or concern and request an opportunity promptly to discuss the disputed issues or concerns. Before seeking interim injunctive or declaratory relief from the Court, all Parties shall first make a good faith, concerted effort to resolve the dispute or concern through direct negotiations within five business days. A motion seeking interim relief from the Court pursuant to this Paragraph shall not seek to hold any Party in contempt of court. If the Court grants a request for interim injunctive relief, then NPS may seek relief from any deadlines under this Agreement commensurate with the length of any such injunction through mutual agreement with the Parties, or pursuant to the process outlined in Paragraphs 17 and 18.
- 20. The Parties reserve the right to modify this Agreement upon mutually agreeable terms to address circumstances not presently anticipated, including any force majeure event as described in Paragraph 22. Any modification of this Agreement shall be made in writing and approved by the Parties. Court approval of any such written modification shall not be required.

456

7 8

10

11

9

12

13

14

16

15

17

18 19

20 21

2223

24

25 26

27

28

G. Fees and Costs

Defendants and Intervenors agree to bear their own fees and costs associated 21. with the above-captioned ligation. Plaintiffs reserve the right to seek an award against NPS for reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with this lawsuit, except that Plaintiffs and Keker & Van Nest LLP waive any right to fees incurred, charged, or otherwise assessed by Keker & Van Nest LLP to the date of entry of an Order by the Court approving this Agreement. In the event that Plaintiffs seek fees and costs against NPS, they shall not seek fees for any work done by any current or former employee of the Keker & Van Nest firm, including, but not limited to, any attorney, paralegal, legal assistant, or other support staff, except that Plaintiffs do not waive their right to seek a fees and costs award for work done on this case by Laird Lucas in his capacity as Executive Director of Advocates for the West. The Plaintiffs and NPS shall attempt promptly to reach agreement as to Plaintiffs' entitlement to any other fees and costs recovery and the appropriate amount of such recovery. If Plaintiffs and NPS are unable to reach agreement, they agree to seek the assistance of Judge Ryu or another court-appointed magistrate judge. If an agreement cannot be reached after goodfaith efforts and mediation, Plaintiffs may then file a motion with the Court for such recovery. By entering this Agreement, NPS preserves, and does not waive, any arguments, claims, defenses, or right to contest entitlement to or the amount of fees or costs claimed by Plaintiffs.

H. Force Majeure Event

that prevents NPS from fulfilling any obligation required by this Agreement despite the exercise of due diligence. Such events may include natural disasters, war, strikes, court order, or legal prohibitions. The term "due diligence" as used herein means, to the extent reasonably possible, taking steps to prevent the force majeure event from occurring, or to minimize the extent of the force majeure event's interference with NPS's performance of any affected obligations under this Agreement.

Except as provided by Paragraph 23 of the Agreement, NPS shall not take actions directly or indirectly to encourage, cause, or instigate a force majeure event. If a force majeure event materially delays or prevents Defendants from complying with the Planning Commitments set forth in Section A of this Agreement, Plaintiffs may institute the process outlined in Paragraphs 17, 18 and 19, and, if direct

negotiation and mediation is unsuccessful, move the Court to void this Agreement and reinstate their claims, provided however that the Defendants' delay in performance, or non-performance, of an obligation required by this Agreement caused by a force majeure event is not a failure to comply with the terms of this Agreement so long as NPS complies with the following in the event of a force majeure event:

- a. NPS shall notify all Parties in writing within ten days of the onset of the claimed force majeure event, and to the extent then known by NPS, of the nature and expected duration of such event, its actual or anticipated interference with NPS's performance of any affected obligations under this Agreement, and a description of the steps taken or proposed to be taken to prevent or minimize the force majeure event's interference with NPS's performance of any affected obligations under this Agreement;
- b. NPS shall provide periodic written notification to all Parties of NPS's efforts to address and resolve a force majeure event; and
- c. If any Party disputes NPS's claim of a force majeure event, or the adequacy of NPS's efforts to address and resolve such event, all Parties shall comply with the Dispute Resolution Process specified in Paragraphs 17, 18, and 19.

I. Authority, Execution, and General Terms of Agreement

- 23. Nothing in this Agreement is intended or shall be construed to affect or limit the authority or obligation of the NPS to fulfill its constitutional, statutory, and regulatory responsibilities or comply with any judicial decision. Nothing in this Agreement shall be deemed to limit the authority of the executive branch of the United States to make recommendations to Congress on any particular proposed legislation. Nothing in this Agreement is intended or shall be construed to deprive any public official of the authority to revise, amend, or promulgate regulations.
- 24. Except as specifically provided for herein, all Ranchers who desire Interim

 Leases shall become Parties to this Agreement by signing it and, upon execution, each Party shall have
 the right to enforce the provisions of this Agreement as provided for herein. The County of Marin
 shall become a Party to this Agreement and, upon execution by authorized County officials, the
 County of Marin shall have the right to enforce its provisions as provided for herein.

25.

5

10

8

13

14 15

17

16

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

27

28

26

- The Parties acknowledge and agree that the terms of this Agreement ensure the following material consideration on behalf of each Party: (a) Plaintiffs' dismissal of their claims, and their agreement to the GMP Amendment/EIS process described herein and issuance (and assignment) of any Interim Leases, as described herein;
- (b) Defendants' commitment to prepare and issue the GMP Amendment/EIS described herein, and issue any Interim Leases (including assignments of such leases to family members) which may be appropriate;

(c) The Ranchers' and the PRSRA's agreement to the GMP Amendment/EIS process

- described herein, and commitment to uphold the terms of this Agreement. The Parties acknowledge and agree this Agreement benefits the public and the Parties by prescribing a comprehensive public planning process that, through the investment of substantial public funds and personnel resources, will address issues and concerns that are important to the public and the Parties. The Parties further acknowledge and agree that this Agreement significantly benefits the Ranchers by resolving uncertainty stemming from this litigation, their current one-year authorizations, and their inability to assign those authorizations to family members. For these reasons, the Parties acknowledge and agree that the durability and successful implementation of this Agreement is in their mutual interest, as well as that of the public. The Parties therefore enter into this Agreement in good faith and commit to an ongoing obligation to comply with its terms and safeguard its integrity. Thus, the Ranchers, County of Marin, the PRSRA, and Plaintiffs agree not take any action that would nullify or void this Agreement or interfere with NPS's timely fulfillment of its obligations set forth herein.
- Nothing in this Agreement shall be deemed to limit any Party from petitioning 26. or making recommendations to Congress on any particular proposed legislation governing Point Reyes, the north District of the GGNRA, or legislation of broader applicability involving public lands, the environment, or natural resources, except as provided and enumerated below. Nothing in this Agreement is intended or shall be construed to deprive any Party from petitioning any administrative agency to revise, amend, or promulgate regulations governing Point Reyes, the north District of the GGNRA, or other public lands, except as provided and enumerated below. The Ranchers, County of Marin, PRSRA, and Plaintiffs agree that they will not, prior to approval of the Record of Decision,

27

28

exercise their rights to petition to: (1) amend the Interim Leases as defined by the Settlement Agreement; (2) change the existing acreage for dairying and ranching under the Interim Leases; (3) change the existing uses allowed under the Interim Leases, or (4) change during the Interim Period the terms agreed to in Paragraph 4 herein. The Ranchers, the County of Marin, the PRSRA, and Plaintiffs agree that they will not, prior to approval of the Record of Decision, exercise their rights to petition to preclude NPS from timely preparing and completing a GMP Amendment/EIS that, at a minimum, addresses the lands currently leased for ranching in Point Reyes and in the north district of the Golden Gate National Recreation area.

27. Defendants have represented to the Plaintiffs that funds are currently available through the NPS budget for Fiscal Year 2017 for planning and compliance work in support of the GMP Amendment/EIS and Record of Decision as described herein, provided however that nothing in this Agreement shall be interpreted as, or shall constitute, a commitment or requirement that NPS obligate or pay funds, or take any other actions in contravention of the Anti-Deficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. § 1341 or any other applicable law. To the extent that the expenditure or advance of any money or the performance of any obligation of the United States under this Agreement requires a further appropriation of funds by Congress, the expenditure, advance, or performance shall be contingent upon the appropriation of funds by Congress that are available for this purpose and the apportionment of such funds by the Office of Management and Budget. No breach of this Agreement shall result and no liability shall accrue to the United States in the event that further funds are not appropriated or apportioned. In the event NPS does not receive appropriated funds sufficient to meet its obligations under this Agreement, NPS shall notify all Parties as provided for herein within fourteen days of determining it has insufficient funds. Upon NPS's notification, the Parties agree to discuss the impact of any lack of funds and make a good faith, concerted effort to resolve concerns regarding any potential impact on this Agreement through direct negotiations. If the Parties are unable to reach an agreement on a mutually acceptable path forward within 21 days of the receipt of the notice under this paragraph, or such shorter time to which they agree upon, then the Parties agree to jointly request the assistance of Judge Ryu or another court-appointed magistrate judge. If, after good-faith efforts, mediation is unsuccessful, then any Party may elect to move the Court to declare this Agreement null

and void. If the Court grants that motion, Plaintiffs may reinstate without limitation all Claims in their Amended Complaint and bring any other claims that they may have. Any Party signing this Agreement may file a motion to intervene.

- 28. The Parties execute this Agreement solely for the purpose of compromising and settling this litigation and nothing herein shall be admitted as a precedent in any proceeding except any proceeding related to the enforcement of this Agreement. This Agreement is not, and shall not be construed as, an admission against interest or of wrongdoing or liability, by any of the Parties with respect to any fact or issue involved in any pending or future litigation.
- 29. This Agreement was negotiated between the Parties in good faith and was jointly drafted by the Parties. Accordingly, the Parties hereby agree that any and all rules of construction to the effect that ambiguity is construed against the drafting party shall be inapplicable in any dispute concerning the terms, meaning, or interpretation of this Agreement.
- 30. This Agreement represents the entirety of the Parties' commitments with regard to settlement. There are no representations, agreements, or understandings relating to this settlement other than those expressly contained in this Agreement.
- 31. The paragraph headings in this Agreement are for the convenience of the Parties and the Court and are not intended to be given any substantive effect in interpreting this Agreement.
- 32. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts. All executed counterparts shall constitute one Agreement, and each counterpart shall be deemed an original.
- 33. All negotiations leading up to this Agreement, and any further negotiations related to this Agreement, are and will remain confidential, even after this expiration of this Agreement, subject to the terms and conditions of the Stipulated Confidentiality Order entered by the Court on September 19, 2016 (ECF No. 109).
- 34. Each Party certifies that each person listed below signing for that Party is fully authorized to agree to the terms and conditions of this Agreement and to legally bind such Parties to it. This Agreement shall apply to and be binding upon each of the Parties including, but not limited to, their officers, directors, employees, and successors-in-interest. The County of Marin represents that

| 1 | the Marin Co | unty Board of Supervisors has reviewed and approved this Settlement Agreement prior to |
|--------|------------------|---|
| 2 | its execution. | PRSRA represents that its members have reviewed and approved this Settlement |
| 3 | Agreement pr | rior to its execution. |
| 4 | J. | Notice |
| 5 | | 35. For any provision of this Settlement Agreement that requires notice to be |
| | | |
| 6 | | ny Party, notice shall be made to counsel for the Party by hard copy and electronic mail |
| 7 | at the following | ng addresses (with the exception of PRSRA, which shall be given notice directly rather |
| 8 | than through | counsel as set forth below): |
| 9 | | For Federal Defendants National Park Service and Cicely Muldoon in her official |
| 10 | | capacity as Superintendent of Point Reyes National Seashore: |
| 11 | | JOSEPH T. MATHEWS |
| Sign I | | Trial Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice |
| 12 | | Environment and Natural Resources Division Natural Resources Section |
| 13 | | P.O. Box 7611 |
| 14 | | Ben Franklin Station |
| 14 | | Washington, DC 20044-7611 |
| 15 | | Telephone: (202) 305-0432 |
| 1. | | Facsimile: (202) 305-0506 |
| 16 | | Email: joseph.mathews@usdoj.gov |
| 17 | | MICHAEL T. PYLE |
| 18 | | Assistant United States Attorney |
| | | 150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900 |
| 19 | | San Jose, California 95113 |
| 20 | | Telephone: (408) 535-5087 |
| 20 | | Facsimile: (408) 535-5081 |
| 21 | | Email: michael.t.pyle@usdoj.gov |
| 22 | | For Plaintiffs Resource Renewal Institute, Center for Biological Diversity, and Western Watersheds Project: |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | JEFFREY R. CHANIN jchanin@keker.com |
| | | DAVID W. RIZK drizk@keker.com |
| 25 | | KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS, LLP 633 Battery Street |
| 26 | | San Francisco, CA 94111-1809 |
| 27 | | Telephone: 415 391 5400 |
| | | Facsimile: 415 397 7188 |
| 28 | | LAURENCE ("LAIRD") J. LUCAS |
| | | 16 |

Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 142-1 Filed 07/12/17 Page 18 of 43

| 1 | llucas@advocateswest.org |
|----|---|
| 2 | ELIZABETH H. POTTER epotter@advocateswest.org |
| | ADVOCATES FOR THE WEST |
| 3 | P.O. Box 1612, Boise, ID 83701 Telephone: 208 342 7024 |
| 4 | Facsimile: 208 342 8286 |
| 5 | For JULIE EVANS ROSSOTTI, DAVID EVANS, DAN and DOLORES |
| 6 | EVANS, ROBERT MCCLURE, TIM, TOM, and MIKE KEHOE, NICHOLA, |
| | ERNIE, and ERNEST SPALETTA, JR., BETTY NUNES, WILLIAM and NICOLETTE NIMAN, LINDA and JARROD MENDOZA, JOLYNN and |
| 7 | ROBERT MCCLELLAND, and ROBERT GIACOMINI: |
| 8 | Peter Obstler |
| 9 | Brown George Ross LLP |
| 10 | 101 California Street (Suite 1225) San Francisco CA 94111 |
| | Office: (415) 391-7100 Fax: (415) 391-7198 |
| 11 | Email: pobstler@bgrfirm.com |
| 12 | HARRIS SHERMAN |
| 13 | harris.sherman@apks.com ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP |
| 14 | 370 Seventeenth Street, Suite 4400 |
| 15 | Denver, CO 80202-1370 Tel: 303.863.2347 |
| | Fax: 303.832.0428 |
| 16 | For KEVIN and NANCY LUNNY; RICHARD and JACKIE GROSSI; TED and |
| 17 | RHEA MCISAAC; GINO LUCCHESI, JR., and CLAYTON LUCCHESI; MIKE and MORGAN GIAMONNA; RICHARD GALLAGHER; RALPH |
| 18 | GIACOMINI, JR., and LUKÉ GIACOMINI; FRED and GINNY ROGERS; |
| 19 | LOUIS and WYATT ZANARDI; and PAULETTE PERCY: |
| | CAROLINE LOBDELL SCOTT W. HORNGREN |
| 20 | Western Resources Legal Center |
| 21 | 9220 SW Barbur Blvd., Suite 327 Portland, Oregon 97219 |
| 22 | Telephone: (503) 768-8500 Facsimile: (503) 222-3255 |
| 23 | Email: clobdell@wrlegal.org |
| 24 | shorngren@wrlegal.org |
| | For the POINT REYES SEASHORE RANCHERS ASSOCIATION: |
| 25 | 15020 Sir Francis Drake Blvd. |
| 26 | Inverness, CA 94937 |
| 27 | For COUNTY OF MARIN: |
| 28 | BRIAN E. WASHINGTON, SBN 146807 |
| | COUNTY COUNSEL |
| | 17 |

| Office of the County | Counsel, County of Marin |
|--|---|
| 3501 Civic Center D San Rafael, CA 949 | 03 |
| Tel.: (415) 473-61 Fax: (415) 473-33 | 796 |
| bwashington@marin | ncounty.org |
| Upon written notice to the other Part | ies, any Party may designate a successor contact person |
| or any matter relating to this Agreement. | |
| WHEREFORE, after reviewing the | terms and conditions of this Agreement, the Parties hereb |
| consent and agree to the terms and condition | |
| Dated: Much 3, 2017 | By: Debouch J. Moskows RESOURCE RENEWAL INSTITUTE |
| | |
| Dated: | By: CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY |
| Dated: | By: WESTERN WATERSHEDS PROJECT |
| | WESTERIV WATERSHEDSTROJECT |
| Dated: | By: |
| | LAURA E. JOSS, REGIONAL DIRECTOR, PACIFIC WEST REGION, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE for Defendants NATIONAL PARK SERVICE AND CICELY MULDOON, in her official capacity as SUPERINTENDENT OF POINT REYES NATIONAL SEASHORE |
| Dated: | By: |
| Dated: | By: |
| | JULIE EVANS ROSSOTTI |
| | 18 NT AGREEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER |

Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 142-1 Filed 07/12/17 Page 19 of 43

Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 142-1 Filed 07/12/17 Page 20 of 43

| | WASHINGTON, SBN 146807 COUNSEL |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Office of t | he County Counsel, County of Marin Center Drive, Room 275 |
| San Rafael | , CA 94903 |
| | 5) 473-6117 5) 473-3796 |
| bwashingto | on@marincounty.org |
| Upon written notice to the | other Parties, any Party may designate a successor contact person |
| for any matter relating to this Agre | |
| | wing the terms and conditions of this Agreement, the Parties hereby |
| consent and agree to the terms and | |
| Dated: | |
| | By: RESOURCE RENEWAL INSTITUTE |
| | |
| Dated: 3/4/17 | By |
| Dated. | CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY |
| | |
| Dated: | By: |
| | By: WESTERN WATERSHEDS PROJECT |
| | |
| Dated: | By: LAURA E. JOSS, REGIONAL DIRECTOR, |
| | PACIFIC WEST REGION, NATIONAL |
| | PARK SERVICE for Defendants NATIONAL PARK SERVICE AND |
| | CICELY MULDOON, in her official capacity as SUPERINTENDENT OF |
| | POINT REYES NATIONAL SEASHORE |
| | |
| Dated: | By: |
| | By: COUNTY OF MARIN |
| | |
| Dated: | By: |

1 Office of the County Counsel, County of Marin 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 275 2 San Rafael, CA 94903 Tel.: (415) 473-6117 3 (415) 473-3796 Fax: bwashington@marincounty.org 4 Upon written notice to the other Parties, any Party may designate a successor contact person 5 for any matter relating to this Agreement. 6 WHEREFORE, after reviewing the terms and conditions of this Agreement, the Parties hereby 7 consent and agree to the terms and conditions of this Agreement. 8 Dated: 9 RESOURCE RENEWAL INSTITUTE 10 11 Dated: 12 CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY 13 14 15 WESTERN WATERSHEDS PROJECT 16 17 Dated: 18 By: LAURA E. JOSS, REGIONAL DIRECTOR, 19 PACIFIC WEST REGION, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE for Defendants 20 NATIONAL PARK SERVICE AND CICELY MULDOON, in her official 21 capacity as SUPERINTENDENT OF PÔINT REYES NATIONAL SEASHORE 22 23 24 Dated: COUNTY OF MARIN 25 26 27 Dated: By: JULIE EVANS ROSSOTTI 28 18 STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

Case No. 4:16-cv-00688-SBA (KAW)

Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 142-1 Filed 07/12/17 Page 21 of 43

| 3501 Civic Ce San Rafael, CA Tel.: (415) 4 Fax: (415) 4 | |
|--|--|
| Upon written notice to the othe | r Parties, any Party may designate a successor contact person |
| for any matter relating to this Agreeme | |
| WHEREFORE, after reviewing | g the terms and conditions of this Agreement, the Parties hereby |
| consent and agree to the terms and con | ditions of this Agreement. |
| Dated: | By: RESOURCE RENEWAL INSTITUTE |
| Dated: | By: CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY |
| Dated: | By: WESTERN WATERSHEDS PROJECT |
| Dated: 6/28/17 | By: Adma S. JOSS, REGIONAL DIRECTOR, PACIFIC WEST REGION, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE for Defendants NATIONAL PARK SERVICE AND CICELY MULDOON, in her official capacity as SUPERINTENDENT OF POINT REYES NATIONAL SEASHORE |
| Dated: | By: COUNTY OF MARIN |
| Dated: | By: JULIE EVANS ROSSOTTI |

Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 142-1 Filed 07/12/17 Page 23 of 43

| - 1 | |
|----------|---|
| 1 | Office of the County Counsel, County of Marin |
| 2 | 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 275 San Rafael, CA 94903 |
| 3 | Tel.: (415) 473-6117 Fax: (415) 473-3796 |
| 4 | bwashington@marincounty.org |
| 5 | Upon written notice to the other Parties, any Party may designate a successor contact person |
| 6 | for any matter relating to this Agreement. |
| 7 | WHEREFORE, after reviewing the terms and conditions of this Agreement, the Parties hereby |
| 8 | consent and agree to the terms and conditions of this Agreement. |
| 9 | Dated: By: RESOURCE RENEWAL INSTITUTE |
| 0 | |
| 11 | Dated: By: CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY |
| 13 14 | |
| 15 | Dated: By: WESTERN WATERSHEDS PROJECT |
| 17 18 | Dated: By:By: |
| 19 20 | Dated: LAURA E. JOSS, REGIONAL DIRECTOR, PACIFIC WEST REGION, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE for Defendants NATIONAL PARK SERVICE AND CICELY MULDOON, in her official capacity as SUPERINTENDENT OF POINT REYES NATIONAL SEASHORE |
| 21 | POINT REYES NATIONAL SEASHORE |
| 22 | |
| 23 24 | Dated: 7.5.17 By: WWW Winds |
| 25 26 | COUNTY OF MARIN |
| 27 | De 27-17 |
| 28 | Dated: 2 7 7 7 7 By: JULIE EVANS ROSSOTTI |
| | STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER |
| | Case No. 4:16-ev-00688-SBA (KAW) |
| | A1 |

Office of the County Counsel, County of Marin 1 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 275 San Rafael, CA 94903 2 Tel.: (415) 473-6117 (415) 473-3796 3 Fax: bwashington@marincounty.org 4 Upon written notice to the other Parties, any Party may designate a successor contact person 5 for any matter relating to this Agreement. 6 WHEREFORE, after reviewing the terms and conditions of this Agreement, the Parties hereby 7 consent and agree to the terms and conditions of this Agreement. 8 9 Dated: RESOURCE RENEWAL INSTITUTE 10 11 12 Dated: CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY 13 14 15 Dated: WESTERN WATERSHEDS PROJECT 16 17 By: 18 Dated: LAURA E. JOSS, REGIONAL DIRECTOR. PACIFIC WEST REGION, NATIONAL 19 PARK SERVICE for Defendants NATIONAL PARK SERVICE AND 20 CICELY MULDOON, in her official capacity as SUPERINTENDENT OF 21 POINT REYES NATIONAL SEASHORE 22 23 24 Dated: By: COUNTY OF MARIN 25 26 27 Dated: 2-2 JULIE EVANS ROSSOTTI 28

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
Case No. 4:16-cv-00688-SBA (KAW)

| | Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 1 | .42-1 Filed 07/12/17 Page 25 of 43 |
|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1 2 3 4 | Dated: 3-2-17 | By: DAVID EVANS |
| 5 6 7 | Dated: | By:DAN EVANS |
| 8 | Dated: | By: DOLORES EVANS |
| 10 11 12 | Dated: 3-2-17 | By: ROBERT MCCLURE |
| 13 14 15 | Dated: | By: |
| 16 17 18 19 | Dated: | By:TOM KEHOE |
| 20 21 22 | Dated: | By: MIKE KEHOE |
| 232425 | Dated: | By: NICHOLA SPALETTA |
| 262728 | Dated: | By:ERNIE SPALETTA |

Dated: 3-2-17 DAVID EVANS Dated: By: DAN EVANS Dated: _____ By: DOLORES EVANS Dated: By: ROBERT MCCLURE Dated: By: TIM KEHOE Dated: By: TOM KEHOE Dated: MIKE KEHOE NICHOLA SPALETTA Dated: 3-2-17

Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 142-1 Filed 07/12/17 Page 26 of 43

Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 142-1 Filed 07/12/17 Page 27 of 43

| Dated: | By: DAVID EVANS |
|----------------------|---|
| Dated: | By: DAN EVANS |
| Dated: | By: DOLORES EVANS |
| Dated: | By:ROBERT MCCLURE |
| Dated: 7 28 17 | By: TIM KEHOE |
| Dated: 2/28/2017 | By: JONAS A Volce TOM KEHOB |
| Dated: 2/28/2017 | By: Mte Mhoe MIKE KEHOE |
| Dated: | By: NICHOLA SPALETTA |
| Dated: | By: ERNIE SPALETTA |
| STIPULATED SETTLEMEN | 19 T AGREEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER 4:16-cv-00688-SBA (KAW) |

1 2 Dated: By: DAVID EVANS 3 4 5 DAN EVANS Dated: 2-27-17 6 7 8 Dated: 2-27-17 9 10 11 By: ROBERT MCCLURE Dated: 12 13 14 By: TIM KEHOE Dated: 15 16 -17 By: TOM KEHOE Dated: 18 19 20 Dated: By: MIKE KEHOE 21 22 23 By: NICHOLA SPALETTA Dated: 24 25 26 By: ERNIE SPALETTA Dated: 27 28 STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER Case No. 4:16-cv-00688-SBA (KAW)

Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 142-1 Filed 07/12/17 Page 28 of 43

| Dated: 3-2-17 | By: Ernest Spacetta, JR. |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Dated: 3-2-17 | By: Betty nunes BETTY NUNES |
| Dated: | By: WILLIAM NIMAN |
| Dated: | By:NICOLETTE NIMAN |
| Dated: | By: |
| Dated: 3-2-17 | By: ARROD MENDOZA |
| Dated: 3/62/17 | By: MILLIAM MCCLELLAND |
| Dated: | By: ROBERT MCCLELLAND |
| Dated: | By: ROBERT GIACOMINI |
| Dated: 3/2/2017 | By: KEVIN LUNNY |

Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 142-1 Filed 07/12/17 Page 29 of 43

Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 142-1 Filed 07/12/17 Page 31 of 43

| Dated: | By: ERNEST SPALETTA, JR. |
|----------------|--------------------------|
| Dated: | By: BETTY NUNES |
| Dated: | By: WILLIAM NIMAN |
| Dated: | By: NICOLETTE NIMAN |
| Dated: | By: LINDA MENDOZA |
| Dated: | By: JARROD MENDOZA |
| Dated: | By: JOLYNN MCCLELLAND |
| Dated: | By: ROBERT MCCLELLAND |
| Dated: 2-28-17 | By: ROBERT GIACOMINI |
| Dated: | By: KEVIN LUNNY |

Dated: By: $\frac{}{\text{ERNEST SPALETTA, JR.}}$ By: BETTY NUNES Dated: Dated: By: WILLIAM NIMAN Dated: By: NICOLETTE NIMAN Dated: 3-2-2017 Dated: By: JARROD MENDOZA Dated: 30217 Dated: By: ROBERT GIACOMINI Dated: By: KEVIN LUNNY

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER Case No. 4:16-cv-00688-SBA (KAW)

| Gasc 4.10 6V 600 | 888-SBA Document 142-1 Filed 07/12/17 Page 33 of 43 |
|--------------------------|--|
| Dated: 3/2/2e | By: Adres Sunny NANCY LUNNY |
| Dated: <u>3-2-261</u> r | By: Tackon Massi RICHARD GROSSI |
| Dated: <u>3 - 2 - 20</u> | By: Jackie Grossi |
| Dated: 2/2//7 | By: TED MCISAAC & conclitioned upon activition of Levi McIsaac to the leaves |
| Dated: | By:RHEA MCISAAC |
| Dated: | By: GINO LUCCHESI, JR. |
| Dated: | By: CLAYTON LUCCHESI |
| Dated: 3-2-1 | By: MIKE GIAMONNA |
| Dated: | By: MORGAN GIAMONNA |
| | 21 |

| Dated: 3/2/2017 | By: Sang hunny |
|-----------------|--|
| Dated: 3-2-2617 | By: O Cachard Stasse |
| Dated: 3-2-2017 | By: Jackie Grossi |
| Dated: 2/2/17 | acklition of Levi McIsaac to the leaves |
| Dated: 7-6-17 | By: Maa MUSAAC & Conditioned up the addition of Levi McIsaac to the |
| Dated: | By: GINO LUCCHESI, JR. |
| Dated: | By: CLAYTON LUCCHESI |
| Dated: 5-2-17 | By: MIKE GIAMONNA |
| Dated: | By: MORGAN GIAMONNA |
| | 21 |

Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 142-1 Filed 07/12/17 Page 35 of 43

| Dated: | By: NANCY LUNNY |
|-----------------|------------------------|
| Dated: | By: RICHARD GROSSI |
| Dated: | By: |
| Dated: | By: TED MCISAAC |
| Dated: | By: RHEA MCISAAC |
| Dated: | By: GINO LUCCHESI, JR. |
| Dated: | By: CLAYTON LUCCHESI |
| Dated: | By: MIKE GIAMONNA |
| Dated: 3-8-7017 | By: Morgan-Giamonna |

Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 142-1 Filed 07/12/17 Page 36 of 43

| 1 | | |
|----------|------------------|------------------------|
| 2 | Dated: | By: NANCY LUNNY |
| 3 | | NANCY LUNNY |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | Dated: | By: RICHARD GROSSI |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | Dated: | By: JACKIE GROSSI |
| 0 | | |
| 1 | Dated: | By: TED MCISAAC |
| 12 | | TED MCISAAC |
| 13 | \ | |
| 15 | Dated: | By: RHEA MCISAAC |
| 16 | | 0 0 1 |
| 17 | Dated: 3-2 -2017 | By: Suo Lula h |
| 18 | | GINO LUCCHESI, JR. |
| 19 20 | | |
| 21 | Dated: 3-2-2017 | By: CLAYTON LUCCHESI |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | Dated: | By: |
| 24 | | MIKE GIAMONNA |
| 25 | D 4-1 | D |
| 26 27 | Dated: | By: MORGAN GIAMONNA |
| 28 | | |
| | · · | 21 |

Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 142-1 Filed 07/12/17 Page 37 of 43

| 1 2 | Dated: | By: Richard Skelogher RICHARD GALLAGHER |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
| 3 | | |
| 4 | Dated: | Ву: |
| 5 | | By:RALPH GIACOMINI, JR. |
| 6 | 06/200 | |
| 7 | Dated: $\frac{3}{\partial} \frac{1}{\partial} \frac{3}{1}$ | By: Zw |
| 8 | / / | LUKE GIACOMMINI |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | Dated: 3- 2 - 2817 | By: Fred ROGERS |
| 11 | | FRED ROGERS |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | Dated: 3-2-2017 | By: Dinney Rogers GINNY ROGERS |
| 14 | | GINN I ROGERS) |
| 15 | n / 4 / | 00 |
| 16 | Dated: $3/2/17$ | By: Mule the Selly |
| 17 | 3/02/17 | PAULETTE PERCY Molym2 HAMILLULY Secretar |
| 18 | Dated: 3/2/2017 | |
| 19 | Dated: 3/2/2017 | POINT REYES SEASHQRE'RANCHERS |
| 20 | | ASSOCIATION |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | WEWED WANDLEGT & DETERGILD |
| 23 | | KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS, LLP |
| 24 | Dated: | By: JEFFREY R. CHANIN |
| 2526 | | DAVID RIZK |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| -0 | | 22 |
| | STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AC Case No. 4:16-0 | GREEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CV-00688-SBA (KAW) |
| | II. | |

| Dated: | By: RICHARD GALLAGHER |
|---------------|--|
| Dated: 3/9/17 | By: Ralph Leaconning, RALPH GIACOMINI, JR. |
| Dated: | By: LUKE GIACOMMINI |
| Dated: | By: FRED ROGERS |
| Dated: | By: GINNY ROGERS |
| Dated: | By:PAULETTE PERCY |
| Dated: | By: POINT REYES SEASHORE RANCHERS ASSOCIATION |
| Dated: | KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS, LLP By: JEFFREY R. CHANIN DAVID RIZK |
| | 22 |

Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 142-1 Filed 07/12/17 Page 38 of 43

| Dated: | By: RICHARD GALLAGHER |
|-----------------------|--|
| | |
| | |
| Dated: | By: |
| | |
| | |
| Dated: | By: |
| | |
| D . 1 | D. |
| Dated: | By:FRED ROGERS |
| | |
| Dated: | P _V |
| Daicu. | By: GINNY ROGERS |
| | |
| Dated: | R_{V} |
| | By: PAULETTE PERCY |
| | |
| Dated: | Ву: |
| | POINT REYES SEASHORE RANCHERS ASSOCIATION |
| | |
| | |
| | KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS, LLP |
| Dated: June 29, 2017 | Dyr. /a/ Inffrag. D. Chamira |
| Dated. Julie 29, 2017 | By: /s/ Jeffrey R. Chanin |
| | JEFFREY R. CHANIN DAVID RIZK |
| | |
| | |
| | 22 |

| Dated: June 28, 2017 | By: /s/ Elizabeth H. Potter |
|----------------------|--|
| | LAURENCE ("LAIRD") J. LUCAS ELIZABETH H. POTTER (pro hac vice OR Bar No. 105482) P.O. Box 1612 Boise, ID 83701 Telephone: 208 342 7024 Facsimile: 208 342 8286 RESOURCE RENEWAL INSTITUTE, CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY, and WESTERN WATERSHEDS PROJECT UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF |
| | JUSTICE |
| | JEFFREY H. WOOD Acting Assistant Attorney General Environment & Natural Resources Division |
| Dated:July 12, 2017 | By: Joseph T. MATHEWS Trial Attorney (Colo. Bar No. 42865) Environment & Natural Resources Division United States Department of Justice |
| | P.O. Box 7611 Ben Franklin Station |
| | Washington, DC 20044-7611 Telephone: (202) 305-0432 Facsimile: (202) 305-0506 |
| | Email: joseph.mathews@usdoj.gov |
| | BRIAN J. STRETCH United States Attorney |
| | Michael T- ff |
| | MICHAEL T. PYLE (Cal. Bar No. 172954) Assistant United States Attorney |
| | 150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900 San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: (408) 535-5087 |
| | Facsimile: (408) 535-5087 Facsimile: (408) 535-5081 Email: michael.t.pyle@usdoj.gov |
| | Attorneys for Defendants |
| | NATIONAL PARK SERVICE, and CICELY MULDOON IN HER OFFICIAL |
| | CAPACITY AS SUPERINTENDENT OF |

| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 2 | APPROVED AS TO FORM: |
| 3 | Dated: 3-2-17 By: 100, 81m |
| 4 | Dated: PETER OBSTLER |
| 5 | peter.obstler@apks.com ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP |
| 6 | Three Embarcadero Center, 10th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-4024 |
| 7 | Tel: 415.471.3100 Fax: 415.471.3400 |
| 8 | |
| 9 | Attorneys for JULIE EVANS ROSSOTTI, DAVID EVANS, DAN and DOLORES EVANS, |
| 10 | ROBERT McCLURE, TIM, TOM, and MIKE KEHOE, NICHOLA, ERNIE, and ERNEST SPALETTA, JR., BETTY NUNES, WILLIAM and NICOLETTE NIMAN, LINDA and |
| 11 | JARROD MENDOZA, JOLYNN and ROBERT MCCLELLAND, and ROBERT GIACOMINI: |
| 12 | Dated: 3-2-17 Part of Cali landol |
| 13 | By: REMY MOOSE MANLEY, LLP |
| 14 | HOWARD F. WILKINS III (SBN 203083) 555 Capitol Mall, Suite 800 |
| 15 | Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 443-2745 |
| 16 | Facsimile: (916) 443-9017 Email: cwilkins@rmmenvirolaw.com |
| 17 | CAROLINE LOBDELL (Ore. Bar #021236), |
| 18 | Pro Hac Vice |
| 19 | SCOTT HORNGREN (Ore. Bar #880604), Pro Hac Vice |
| 20 | Western Resources Legal Center 9220 SW Barbur Blvd., Suite 327 |
| 21 | Portland, OR 97219 Telephone: (503) 768-8500 Faccinile (503) 222 2255 |
| 22 | Facsimile: (503) 222-3255 Email: clobdell@wrlegal.org |
| 23 | shorngren@wrlegal.org |
| 24 | Attorneys for KEVIN and NANCY LUNNY; RICHARD and JACKIE GROSSI; TED and |
| 25 | RHEA MCISAAC; GINO LUCCHESI, JR., CLAYTON LUCCHESI; MIKE and MORGAN GIAMONNA; RICHARD GALLAGHER; RALPH GIACOMINI, JR. and LUKE |
| 26 | GIACOMINI; FRED and GINNY ROGERS; LOUIS and WYATT ZANARDI; and PAULETTE PERCY. |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | 24 |

Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 142-1 Filed 07/12/17 Page 41 of 43

Dated: 2/22/2017 1 2 BRIAN E. WASHINGTON, SBN 146807 COUNTY COUNSEL 3 Office of the County Counsel, County of Marin 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 275 4 San Rafael, CA 94903 (415) 473-6117 Tel.: 5 (415) 473-3796 Fax: bwashington@marincounty.org 6 7 CERTIFICATION OF CONCURRENCE FROM OTHER PARTIES 8 I, Elizabeth H. Zultoski, am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file this 9 Stipulation. In compliance with N.D. Cal. Civ. L.R. 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that each of the 10 signatories has concurred in the filing of this document and has authorized the use of his or her 11 electronic signature. 12 13 , 2017 Dated: /s/ DRAFT 14 Elizabeth H. Zultoski 15 16 17 18 19 [PROPOSED] ORDER PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. , 2017. 20 21 22 THE HONORABLE SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 23 24 25 26 27 28 25 STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

Case No. 4:16-cv-00688-SBA (KAW)

Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 142-1 Filed 07/12/17 Page 42 of 43

| | Dated: By: |
|---|---|
| 2 | BRIAN E. WASHINGTON, SBN 146807 |
| 3 | COUNTY COUNSEL Office of the County Counsel, County of Marin |
| 1 | 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 275 San Rafael, CA 94903 |
| 5 | Tel.: (415) 473-6117 Fax: (415) 473-3796 |
| 5 | bwashington@marincounty.org |
| 7 | CERTIFICATION OF CONCURRENCE FROM OTHER PARTIES |
| 3 | I, Joseph T. Mathews, am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file this |
|) | Stipulation. In compliance with N.D. Cal. Civ. L.R. 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that each of the |
|) | signatories has concurred in the filing of this document and has authorized the use of his or her |
| l | electronic signature. |
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| + | Dated: July 12, 2017 /s/ Joseph T. Mathews Joseph T. Mathews |
| 5 | |
| 5 | |
| 7 | |
| 3 | |
|) | [PROPOSED] ORDER |
|) | PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED, 2017. |
| | |
| 2 | THE HONORABLE SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG |
| 3 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE |
| | |
| 5 | |
| 5 | |
| 7 | |
| ; | |
| | 25 |
| | STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER Case No. 4:16-cv-00688-SBA (KAW) |

Case 4:16-cv-00688-SBA Document 142-1 Filed 07/12/17 Page 43 of 43