

JEFF CAR 2015 Design Review Team S106 Meeting FINAL NOTES

Meeting #6: March 8, 2013 10-11 AM Central

I. Attendance

Role	Name	Participated
DRT Member	Tom Bradley	x
DRT Member	Maggie Hales	x
DRT Member	Vern Remiger	x
DRT Member	Judith Deel	
DRT Member	Bill Hart	x
DRT Member	Karen Bode Baxter	
DRT Member	Ann Honious	x
DRT Member	Mark Miles	x
Advisor: National Trust	Jennifer Sandy	
Advisor: National Trust	Betsy Merritt	
Facilitator	Margo Brooks	x
Facilitator	Greg Cody	x

II. East Side Design Discussion

The Design Review Team (DRT) discussed the concept designs and issues that had been brought forth by the park interdisciplinary team after viewing a presentation on possible east side treatments for the grand stairway, the overlook stairs and the flood walls. These features will be affected by the raising of Leonor K. Sullivan Blvd between 2 and 4 feet to ameliorate flooding.

Grand staircase

The DRT was presented with a variety of options for integrating the security barrier system into the grand staircase. These options differed in how the barrier system linked up with the stair and how the stair rail was treated. Option 1 with modifications was preferred. Option 1 is attached.

Overlook stairs/Flood walls

The DRT looked at several options for the treatment of the overlook stairs. Option 1 was preferred. However, the DRT continued to discuss how raising the street level interrupted the catenary curve of the massive flood walls. Members resolved to look further at this issue. Option 1 is attached.

III. Formal Comments

NO.	Feature	DRT Comments—East Side Concepts
1	Grand staircase	Option 1 is the preferred option with the following modification. The railing should end at the first post rather than continuing down to the ground.
2	Grand staircase	The bollards should be reorganized so that they are not so close to the cheek wall now that the tripping hazard of the railing has been ameliorated.
3	Grand staircase	Please consider a less linear row of bollards at the base of the stairs as a way to open up this space and make it look like less of a barrier for pedestrian flow.
4	Grand staircase	The current planter configuration was an attempt to develop a gathering space at the base of the stairs. This idea should be retained, although the design team should look at both sides of the road in developing this concept.
5	Grand staircase	The lighting feature in Option 2 is not a good solution. It clutters the look of the modern architecture.
6	Overlook stairs	Option 1 is the preferred option for the stairs, bollard placement and cobble border.
7	Overlook stairs	The team would like to see possible signage options at the end of the flood wall to make it look less stumpy. Options can be presented with the schematic design package.
8	Flood walls / Overlook stairs	There is still concern over the loss of the curved bottom of the flood wall although the team recognizes the effort gone into minimizing this loss. Additional design ideas in the schematic design package would be appreciated. Simple design lines are more appropriate for this feature than the option 2 design.
9		End of Comments

IV. Next DRT Meeting

The next DRT meeting will be scheduled as needed. The schematic design packages for the museum and Areas 3-7 are expected in April.

V. Attachments

1. Grand Staircase Option 1
2. Overlook Stair Option 1