



African Americans at Snee Farm



Cultural Resources
Southeast Region

Charles Pinckney National Historic Site

**African Americans at Snee Farm Plantation,
Mount Pleasant, South Carolina**

October 2006

originally prepared September 2001
by Tyson Gibbs, PhD
Department of Anthropology
University of North Texas
Denton, Texas



This study exists in two formats. A printed version is available for study at Charles Pinckney National Historic Site, the Southeast Regional Office of the National Park Service, and at a variety of other repositories. For more widespread access, this study also exists in a web-based format through ParkNet, the website of the National Park Service. Please visit www.nps.gov for more information.

**Cultural Resources Division
Southeast Regional Office
National Park Service
100 Alabama Street, SW
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
404.562.3117**

Charles Pinckney National Historic Site
1254 Long Point Road
Mt. Pleasant, South Carolina 29464

<http://www.nps.gov/chpi>

About the cover: This photo, taken in 1996, shows Snee Farm's low country farmhouse, built circa 1828. Snee Farm was a working plantation under a succession of owners until well into the twentieth century. Most of its labor force were African Americans, first as slaves imported to plant and tend the rice and indigo fields, then as tenants, sharecroppers, or wage laborers after the abolishment of slavery. (Photo courtesy of the Southeast Archeological Center.)

Contents

	Acknowledgements	v
	Confidentiality	v
	Project Background.....	vi
	Objectives	vi
	Limitations.....	vii
	Methods.....	vii
Section I:	Introduction	1
Section II:	Mount Pleasant, South Carolina	4
Section III:	African -American Communities in Mount Pleasant.....	7
Section IV:	Snee Farm Owners	10
Section V:	Population	13
Section VI:	Ethnic Origins of Ancestral Population and Relationships with Other Racial Groups	16
SectionVII:	Domestic life and children’s activities	20
Section VIII:	Daily work life and relationships with farm owners.....	23
Section IV:	Foodstuffs.....	28
Section X:	Churches and other religious institutions	29
Section XI:	Health and medicinal practices.....	31
Section XII:	Local crafts and craftsmen	34
Section XIII:	Funerary and burial customs	37
Section XIV:	Waterborne subsistence and commercial activities.....	39
Section XV:	Summary	40
Section XVI:	Conclusion	41
Section XVII:	Sources.....	43

Figures

Figure 1: South Carolina Parishes 1770	4
Figure 2: State of South Carolina	5
Figure 3: Mount Pleasant, South Carolina, 2001	6
Figure 4: Communities surrounding Mount Pleasant	8

Tables

Table 1: Snee Farm Census Data 1800 -1880.....	13
Table 2: Census Data for Mount Pleasant.....	14
Table 3: African Americans in the town of Mount Pleasant, 1970 - 1990	14
Table 4: Historical Highlights Relevant to the Gullah and Other African -Americans.....	17
Table 5: Snee Farm Employee Occupations	24
Table 6: South Carolina Mortalities 1860 - 1890	32
Table 7: Charleston, South Carolina Mortality Rates 1850 - 1880.....	32

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the African American families of Mount Pleasant who allowed us to interview their family members. We realize there is a high interest in this area of South Carolina, and that there are many reporters, researchers, historians and others poking into your lives. We wish to say your tolerance of us is appreciated.

The Charles Pinckney National Historic Site Park Rangers were also very helpful. Specifically, Michael Allen was extremely supportive in providing background research materials and a list of names of potential interviewees. And I want to thank Cynthia Porcher who took me on a tour of the community, providing me with background. Without this assistance, it would have been difficult to start the project. Superintendent John Tucker offered park quarters for the research assistant, making the project easier to coordinate.

Ms Antoinette Jackson provided the strength for this project. She conducted most of the interviews, provided a wealth of resource materials, performed some of the preliminary data presentation, and interacted with members in the community. Her contribution was critical to the completion of this project.

Ms Jackie Stanczyk collected data and assisted with major editing of the final report. Her contributions cannot be forgotten.

Ms Courtney Bartlett was instrumental in creating the transcripts, no small feat when a Texas ear listens to a South Carolina dialect.

Ms Beverly Byrd was helpful in conducting some interviews. We thank her for her contribution.

And it was the funding from Contract Number PS440990154, Ethnography and Indian Affairs Branch, Cultural Resources Stewardship, Southeast Regional Office, National Park Service, Atlanta, Georgia, that made this study possible.

Confidentiality

Real names of interview participants are not used in this report due to our need to protect their confidentiality. Our research team and each subject signed the following confidentiality agreement:

“The University of North Texas and the National Park Service will protect the confidentiality of your answers to the extent provided by Law. Only the people connected with this project will hear your tapes. The tapes will be kept locked away with the researcher and the National Park Service and will not be available for public use.”

Project Background

The National Park Service, through its oral and written history program, has an ambitious mission of documenting the life experiences of various populations living around and near Park Service properties in the United States. These collections cover a variety of ethnic, geographic, and otherwise unique population groups. The Snee Farm project, which focuses on the descendents of slaves and other workers at the Snee Farm Plantation in Mount Pleasant, South Carolina, is part of the National Park Service oral and written history preservation program.

What makes the Snee Farm project unique is the availability of descendents of persons associated with the Snee Farm Plantation at various points over the past 100 years. Many of the descendents of the original slaves also at one time worked for owners of the Snee Farm properties. Such continuity of family connections is one of the interesting features of the workers in many of the South Carolina plantation properties.

The core mission of the Snee Farm project was to locate former employees and relatives of former employees of Snee Farm Plantation, and interview them about their experiences as part of the Snee Farm work force. Further, this project sought to describe many of the social –cultural customs of this group living in Mount Pleasant, South Carolina, in a once predominantly African American community. In some instances, project participants' families have lived in Mount Pleasant for several generations. Because of the link between the Africans Americans living in Mount Pleasant and the culture of the Gullah people who inhabit this region of coastal South Carolina, many studies are now underway to document this unique cultural grouping.

Objectives

The objectives of this project are several. The first objective is to present a background history of the Snee Farm Plantation. The report uses data collected by the National Park Service at Snee Farm and historical documentation to describe the plantation, owners and general status of the plantation.

Snee Farm is an important plantation because of its direct linkage to the life of one of the signers of the United States Constitution, Charles Pinckney. The National Park Service commissioned several reports –archaeological surveys, examinations of the owners, and landscape surveys - to develop a full history of the plantation. Several books have already been written about Charles Pinckney and his family.

The second objective is to document the social and cultural experience of African Americans associated with Snee Farm. The role of slaves at Snee farm has been scantily presented in books about Mr. Pinckney. It is clear from historical records that all of the plantations east of the Cooper River had African American workers supervising the various plantation activities, including foreman, field worker and skilled and unskilled laborer. The purpose of this objective is to determine the nature of the workers' social lives in relationship to the Snee Farm Plantation.

The third objective is to describe the general experiences of workers on the Snee Farm Plantation. It is clear that the slaves are not available for questioning regarding their experiences with Snee Farm. However, descendents of these African American plantation workers may shed some light on the kinds of activities that took place on the farm after the year 1900. This collection of data requires interviews with relatives, acquaintances and others associated with Snee Farm.

The fourth objective is to understand the tasks and working hours of Snee Farm workers and to present information about the relationship between plantation owner and African American farm

worker. Several of the informants describe the relationship between plantation owner and worker as one in which the owner treated favorite workers like one of the family.

The overall objective of the project is to understand the Snee Farm Plantation and its relationship to the African American workers who provided the labor force between the years 1900 and 1968.

Limitations

Many of the limitations are obvious in a project such as this one. First, there is the problem of locating the workers, former workers, relatives of former workers, or those who knew people that worked for Snee Farm. Second, unfortunately, the recorded history of African Americans living in small towns and on small plantations is sketchy at best. Much of what is known often has to be gleaned from records of nearby plantations or larger plantations in the same or similar states.

Third, many of the smaller plantations, such as Snee Farm, had absentee owners, or the plantation may have passed through several different owners. Often these owners did not keep detailed journals or records of the activities on the plantations as one might find in the planters' journals written by owners of the larger plantations in the South. Finally, as with any testimony about historical events, one must be careful to validate as much as possible, the information gleaned from interviewees.

Methods

The approach taken in the project involves several components. To gain a general understanding of the role of African American plantation workers in South Carolina, historical documents, articles, and books were examined for information about slaves, black freedmen, and farm workers during the 18th and 19th centuries. Census data was gathered from old census tract records. Unfortunately, during the late 19th century and early 20th century, some population data for towns of Mount Pleasant's size are not available.

Historical data about the owners of the plantation and the general layout of the plantation was taken from documents already prepared for the National Park Service in Mount Pleasant, South Carolina, at Snee Farm. Other historical information about the plantation was collected from various libraries in Charleston, South Carolina; the Mayor's Office in Mount Pleasant, South Carolina; the Mount Pleasant Public Library; the Records Room of the Snee Farm Plantation National Park Service Site, in Mount Pleasant, South Carolina; various South Carolina newspapers published between 1900 and 2000; the Willis Library located at the University of North Texas; and the United States National Archives located in Forth Worth, Texas.

Oral history was collected from the kind people of Mount Pleasant, South Carolina, who granted interviews. Individuals were interviewed in Mount Pleasant in their homes by researchers: two anthropologists and one local African American resident living in the Mount Pleasant community. Other formal and informal interviews were conducted with business owners and other residents of Mount Pleasant.

Section I: Introduction

The Gullah people are African Americans living on the sea islands and eastern United States coast from North Carolina to north Florida. The complex history and core of the Gullah culture is located on the sea islands and coast of South Carolina, near Charleston. For most of the 17th, 18th, and 19th centuries, they were slaves on the flourishing rice plantations. According to the records of the Port of Charleston, South Carolina, their African origins include Angola (39%), Senegambia (20%), the Windward Coast (17%), the Gold Coast (13%), Sierra Leone (6%), and Madagascar, Mozambique, and the two Bights (5% combined) (Pollitzer, 1999:43). Although not all historians agree about the degree to which African Americans have maintained cultural patterns, one widely held view is that they have not. As an example, Singleton (1985) writes,

Slave archaeology has sought to identify artifact patterns suggestive of an African Heritage. After more than 10 years of slave site investigations, however, only a few suggestions of an African past have been uncovered. Moreover, it appears that the archeological record tends to preserve the assimilative quality of Afro-American life, that is, the use of Euro-American artifacts. Such artifacts are more often made from durable materials (clay, glass, metal) than the perishable materials (plants and animal fiber, hide, wood) commonly used to fashion African styled objects (p. 8).

A similar view is expressed by Pollitzer (1999) who, after interviewing respondents from among the Gullah people, noted that when asked about their specific origins, most were silent, but one person remarked that he thought “the old folks say they come from Africa.” He further states that by 1950, roads and economic opportunities had begun to alter traditional patterns of behavior, although the large majority of the inhabitants were descendants of blacks brought to plantations in previous centuries. “Even on an island where it was necessary to take a boat across the waterway to interview supposedly isolated people the evidence of change was striking. One elderly man said he had been to Savannah many times, had made his ‘best money’ there, and had even been to New York. In many cabins, people who had moved to northern cities when they were young, had returned. One young man, who had just driven his new automobile to his parents’ house, called from the porch to this white visitor [Pollitzer] as rain approached, ‘Would you run up the windows of my car for me?’”

Pollitzer (1999:4) states:

The succeeding fifty years have seen even more rapid change for the Carolina coastline. Although the marsh and the dikes of old rice plantation still persist, the Carolina coast is vastly different today from what it was at the beginning of this century. The natives (Gullah) are regarded by tourists as relics of a bygone era; those that remain in the area are often employed as servants with a limited future. The public schools discourage the use of the Gullah language and teach the goals of a society geared to production and progress rather than the ideal of equilibrium that has long characterized this culture unconcerned with time.

The Gullah people face a crisis today as the demand for their land and marsh encroaches upon home and farm and further threatens their way of life. They are ill equipped to meet the challenges of a modern era. Their values, born in Africa and honed through slavery and oppression, based on harmony with nature and their fellow man, contrast with the frantic pace of consumerism of today and deserve to be more widely known and appreciated.

Although the early rice planters along the Carolina coast were aware that Africans were as diverse as Europeans, they molded them into a cohesive workforce, ignored

ethnic distinctions, and discouraged native customs. For survival, slaves had to submerge differences and create a common culture. Later, white historians homogenized them and constructed stereotypes of the 'Negro' that obliterated their varied ethnicity . . . While many aspects of Gullah life have been reported, no one has synthesized this varied information to present a complete and integrated picture.

Today, the Gullah people are faced with the encroachment of modern development, including residential subdivisions, shopping centers, and country club golf courses. As the region surrounding Charleston and Mount Pleasant continues to grow, the Gullah are struggling to preserve their culture.

In 2000, one area school, Alston Middle School, received a grant from the South Carolina Humanities Council to teach students about the Gullah culture. Music teacher Lorraine White, a descendant of Drayton Hall slaves, coordinated the yearlong program. Field trips included Drayton Hall and the Avery Institute. Local artists such as Sweetgrass basket makers and professional dancers came to Alston to talk with the students. The program was designed to immerse both black and white students in the Gullah culture in an effort to promote understanding and acceptance of others (Campbell, Lawrence).

In a July 2000 ceremony the Gullah nation was declared and the Gullah people crowned Marquetta L. Goodwine as their queen (Munday). Once a year, there is a Gullah Festival in Beaufort, South Carolina. Many of the women selling baskets on Highway 17, which runs through Mount Pleasant as a major north – south highway, are of Gullah Heritage. There is also ample evidence that tourist organizations and others seeking to capitalize on this unique culture are exploiting this group. Several small tour businesses, operated by people of Gullah descent in Mount Pleasant, promote the Gullah heritage for profit.

The history of African Americans living in Coastal South Carolina communities underscores the complex nature of this particular population. This area of the United States is one of the few places in which the relationships between slave owner and slave continued beyond the Emancipation Proclamation. Many of the African American workers remained in coastal communities, such as Snowden, Phillips, Wagner, Martin Point, Parker's Island, 7-Mile and Hamlin Beach. The former slaves found work on the plantations on which they had lived and worked, and often would work for several of the plantations within the communities in which they lived. Snee Farm, Boone Hall, Laurel Hill and Brickyard are examples of some of the places in which Africans Americans and their descendents continued to maintain a relationship with the plantations of their former slave owners. It is this unique set of circumstances that has generated the intense interest in studying Africans Americans living in this area of South Carolina. The need for examination has increased due to the fear that as the growth of the Mount Pleasant area continues many of the unique aspects of this population will be lost forever.

In Cainho, north of Mount Pleasant in Berkeley County, residents are facing the same heritage and historic preservation issues (Hardin). Isolated for much of its history, over 10,000 acres of Cainho have been annexed by the city of Charleston. Highways have been built to enable industrial and residential development, and descendents of slaves argue in court over the titles to their property and whether to sell or hold their land.

Two issues are often involved when studying populations that are being phased into high growth areas around the world. One issue is that most of the people continue to live their daily lives in the face of often overwhelming growth of tourism, housing developments, and economic development. There is a limited degree of local resident participation in the preservation efforts of city officials, state historians, and university academic units that seek to sustain the memory of the past of these populations. In the area of the Snee Farm Plantation, where many of the descendents of the former slaves still reside, the participation of local people in preservation activities in their communities is nevertheless mixed. Although families still hold large gatherings, in which the family members return

from all parts of United States, indeed the world, to participate in homecoming celebrations, these activities are not the history preservationists seek to save.

There is, therefore, the second issue of what should be saved, by whom, and for what purpose. Often, modernization requires the discarding of the “old ways,” and the assumption of new forms of behavior patterns. African Americans in the Snee Farm Plantation community have become part of the local tourist attraction, producing and selling handicrafts. Much of the production of these wares is by the middle-aged and older women (2000-2001, personal observations of the researchers). Few, if any, of the children are learning to make the handicrafts of their ancestors.

This report provides a documentary record of the relationship between African Americans and their association with the Snee Farm Plantation. It is based upon an examination of historic information, current data of the communities surrounding Snee Farm Plantation, and interviews with family members of persons connected to Snee Farm.

Section II: Mount Pleasant, South Carolina

Snee Farm is located in the town of Mount Pleasant, located on the coast of South Carolina just east of Charleston. Founded in 1680, Hale (1987) describes the origins of Mount Pleasant, based on Petrona McIver's *History of Mount Pleasant, South Carolina*. Hale writes that Mount Pleasant grew out of the incorporation of several towns. The initial incorporation was in 1837 with the merger of the villages of Greenwich and Mount Pleasant into a single town. Three other towns were eventually incorporated into Mount Pleasant – Hilliardsville, the Village at Hibben's Ferry, and Lucasville.

According to Hale, in 1680 a new colony was established at Arbermarle Point on the Ashley River. Captain Florence O'Sullivan, the first surveyor general of the Carolinas, was given 2,340 acres of land on the north shore of the Wando (now Cooper) River. The grant included the barrier-island that now bears the O'Sullivan name. The barrier-island was used as an outpost to warn against incursions by sea from the Spanish. The Spanish were not the only problem of the early colonists; the Sewees and the Wandoes would pillage and destroy crops of the early settlers.

By the late 1690s, the settlement was successful enough to attract 51 new colonists who arrived in 1695 and 1696. Each of the new colonists had been granted several hundred acres of land north of Shemes (now Shem) Creek. The new colonists were Congregationalists and the entire area would eventually be known as Christ Church Parish. Most of the land south of Shem Creek remained in the




Figure 1: South Carolina Parishes, 1770

hands of O’Sullivan’s daughter, and then his granddaughter, until 1690, when portions of it were sold to a series of owners including John Barksdale, the Hancock family, Peter Villeponteaux, and finally Henry Gray. Gray bought the entire tract of land in 1745.

In 1749, a Charles Towne planter, Jacob Motte, established a plantation after purchasing 56 acres, which he called Mount Pleasant. Twenty years later, Andrew Hibben established the first ferry between Mount Pleasant and Charles Towne, then a successful seaport community of 14,000 people. The Village of Hibben’s Ferry was formed, and was followed by Greenwich Village sometime before the Revolutionary War. The villages continued to prosper and were joined with Hilliardsville in 1858, and Lucasville in 1972, to form the town of Mount Pleasant.

Since 1970, Mount Pleasant has experienced rapid growth due to both annexations of surrounding rural communities and commercial and residential development. Along with the development, Mount Pleasant has experienced a change in its demographics. The once predominantly black agricultural community is now predominantly white with a per capita income of \$24,402 (1998). Over 85% of its residents have a high school diploma; and almost 40% have a bachelor’s degree or higher (1990). With an unemployment rate of 3.9% in 1999, more than 70% of Mount Pleasant’s workforce is employed in white collar positions, and more than 27% are employed in blue collar jobs.

Once the primary employer of Mount Pleasant area residents, the original plantation at Snee Farm was established in 1754 after Colonel Charles Pickney purchased the land from John Savage. It was one of several plantations he owned in the Charleston area.




Figure 2: State of South Carolina showing relationship between Charleston and Mount Pleasant

As the structure of the government of the State of South Carolina has changed, the regional governing body of Mount Pleasant has evolved from the original Christ Church Parish. The town of Mount Pleasant is now a part of Charleston County.



Figure 3. Mount Pleasant, South Carolina, 2001

Section III: African-American Communities in Mount Pleasant, South Carolina

Prior to the Civil War, the majority of the Christ Church Parish residents were African American (1860a Population and 1860b Slave Schedules of the Eighth Census of the United States, 1860). The plantations of Mount Pleasant – Boone Hill, Laurel Hill, and Snee Farm – utilized the African American slave labor, and the communities of Snowden, Phillips, Parker’s Island, Seven-Mile, and Hamlin were products of the plantations. During the late 1800s, after slavery, many African Americans became landowners and expanded the communities and neighborhoods beyond the original plantation locations.

According to African Americans currently living in Mount Pleasant, several historic communities can be identified in the surrounding area. Most were located off Highway 17 North or Rifle Range Road and include: Remley’s Point (the modern day name for Scanlonville), Green Hill, Phillips, Parker’s Island, Tibwin, Chandler, Ten-Mile, Eight-Mile, Seven-Mile, Snowden, Hamlin, Beehive, Four-Mile, Fifteen-Mile, Two-Mile, Twenty-one Mile, Pineland and Awendaw. With the exception of Parker Island, all of these original communities are still in existence today.

Each community had a central church and schoolhouse, and today each is associated with a major church. Seven-Mile, for example, is associated with the Greater Goodwill African Methodist Episcopal Church, and Snowden is associated with Longpoint Baptist Church.

Many of the African American communities are named for mile markers, which informants said indicate the communities’ distance from the center of Mount Pleasant. Newspapers and historical documents indicate the mile marker communities were originally established as stagecoach taverns along major thoroughfares (Bull).

For African Americans, the distance to “downtown” Mount Pleasant was important for two reasons. One was that the only available high school for black adolescents (Laing High School) was located in the central Mount Pleasant area. Second, Grace Memorial Bridge is located in what is now “downtown” Mount Pleasant. The bridge was completed in 1929 and provided access to Charleston without use of the ferry. Thus, educational opportunities for the children and access to Charleston made the markers important symbols to the communities.

FSaunders taught the students whose parents worked on Snee Farm and the surrounding plantations. FSaunders said Laing was the only high school in the area at one time for blacks. It was located in downtown Mount Pleasant and, “folks not living in the city of Mount Pleasant that wanted to attend high school had to arrange a way to get to Laing.” The black school children in the town of Mount Pleasant (in general) were a little more accelerated, according to FSaunders, because they had easier access to school. “Those that lived out, starting at Two-Mile and beyond, all the way out to Tibwin and McClennenville, were at a big disadvantage in that they had to somehow get to Mount Pleasant to attend high school. Distance and transportation were significant barriers. Cars were scarce and so transportation was a big issue.”

FSaunders said when she was teaching there were students in her classes who had never been to Charleston. In Mount Pleasant there were buses that ran from Mount Pleasant to Charleston and to Sullivan’s Island. According to FSaunders, the rural students did not have this and as a consequence many just grew up where they were and never left their local communities.

In some communities, people ran truck or bus service in order to get the children to and from school. Some families were determined to get their children to school in spite of the obstacles and a few

One of the oldest communities in the Mount Pleasant area is Phillips, which one of our respondents, HPalmer, described as having been established around 1875 with Black ownership. Prior to its establishment as a town, it was a plantation on which African Americans worked as slaves or freedmen. HPalmer, 70, who was born and raised in Phillips, said that Phillips was not only one of the oldest communities in the area, but that many of the other communities had evolved from it. According to him, the Phillips community was, at one point, part of Laurel Hill plantation. He believes it was given the name Phillips after a white man from England, to identify its location separately from the rest of the Laurel Hill plantation. In the 1800s, Phillips was one of the places set aside for habitation by enslaved Africans who were not classified as house servants on the plantations of Snee Farm, Boone Hall-Brickyard and Laurel Hill.

The Phillips-Laurel Hill community included Martin Point (now the subdivision Rivertowne) and Wagner (now the subdivision Dunes West). HPalmer said that after slavery, all of the land in Phillips was donated and later deeded to ex-slaves according to family size, which resulted in families being given between 2 and 12 acres each. Phillips remained an all black community until the 1940s when the Swinton family sold land to a white family, the Hutchinsons, who built a grocery store in the community. Nevertheless, Phillips today is a predominantly African American community.

Unlike some communities in the southern United States, where former slaves often acquired land as a result of sharecropping, most of the persons interviewed indicated that sharecropping was not practiced to a major degree, if at all, in Mount Pleasant. This contradicts information published by the town of Mount Pleasant however; the African Americans interviewed for this project were said they did not know of anyone who sharecropped.

According to MScott, the “average” black person owned property. Others worked and were paid wages. VManigault agreed and said, “Blacks in the area were very independent.” According to VManigault, they worked for themselves; they worked for pay and do not have a history of sharecropping.

Section IV: Snee Farm Owners

The critical role of Snee Farm Plantation in the lives of African Americans in the Mount Pleasant area has been detailed in the previous pages of this report. The ownership of Snee Farm has passed through many families since the initial land grant of 500 acres to Richard Butler in 1696. The changes and notes about the families involved have been described by Susan Hart Vincent (1998) in “Charles Pinckney National Historic Site Cultural Landscape Report” published under the auspices of the National Park Service. The excellence of that report and the detail it provides make the inclusion of an abridged version of her account of Snee Farm desirable here:

Snee Farm (1696-1754)

In the late 17th century, Richard Butler received a 500-acre land grant in Christ Church Parish. “Butler’s Causeway,” a feature found on 18th and 19th century plats, may well have been built by Butler when Long Point Road was established along the northern boundary of his land (c. 1707). Between 1696 and 1730, Butler conveyed the 500-acre parcel to John Givens, who in turn, left it to Benjamin Law in 1730. The grant was described as a “situate in Berkeley County butting and bounding on land of Thomas Boone to the Northeast and upon the land of Mary and Sarah Sims to the Southwest.”

In 1738, John Allen purchased 615 acres of land, including Butler’s original 500-acre grant. A plat prepared that year shows only the property boundaries with Captain Thomas Boone’s land to the north. No road or structures are shown. In 1744, Allen increased the size of his farm by purchasing the 100 acres owned by James and Sarah White (formerly Sarah Sims), consolidating the farm into a 715-acre tract.

John Allen died in 1748. His widow, Anne Scott Allen, married John Savage. In 1754, Savage sold the Snee Farm tract to Col. Charles Pinckney, a member of the Lowcountry gentry. The origins of the word “Snee,” as applied to property, are currently unknown. The *Oxford English Dictionary* defines the term as “bountiful, plenteous.” The term first appears in documents made at the time of the Pinckney family purchase in 1754.

Colonel Charles Pinckney (Snee Farm Owner 1754-1782)

Colonel Charles Pinckney was a wealthy Charleston attorney, public servant, and planter. He was born in Charleston but was educated in England, and he kept close economic and social ties with the mother country. He acquired Snee Farm in 1754, shortly after his marriage to Francis Brewton. The farm was one of three plantations he owned outside of Charleston. Col. Pinckney served as the commanding officer of the First Battalion of Charles Towne Militia. However, with the fall of Charleston to the British in 1780, he abandoned the American cause and swore loyalty to Britain. By so doing, he avoided the destruction of his property.

Col. Pinckney died in St. Andrew’s Parish in 1782. He was buried at St. Phillip’s Church in Charleston. Snee Farm appears to have been at its most productive between the years of 1754 and 1790, under the ownership of Col. Pinckney and later, his son, Charles Pinckney.

Charles Pinckney (Snee Farm Owner 1782-1817)

Charles Pinckney was born in 1757. Like many young men of his status, he was groomed to study law in England. However, because of the growing unrest in the colonies, he was encouraged to study at his father’s law office in Charleston instead. He concluded his studies in 1779. Shortly after the

Revolutionary War, Charles Pinckney became immersed in American political endeavors that would occupy the next forty years of his life. His most famous accomplishment was the inclusion of more than 25 clauses (from his Pinckney Draught) in the final draft of the U.S. Constitution. In addition, Pinckney served four terms as Governor of South Carolina and further as Thomas Jefferson's Minister to Spain (1801-1805)

Because his family visited the property frequently, Charles Pinckney spent part of his youth at Snee Farm. He inherited the plantation after his father's death in 1782. It is not known how often he visited the plantation as an adult, but by 1791, his political career was keeping him away for long periods of time. Even though Snee Farm was his established country estate, Charles Pinckney owned several other plantations in the lowcountry. His other properties included the two plantations of Frankville and Hopton, situated on both sides of the Congaree River, five miles from Columbia; a Georgetown plantation consisting of 560 acres of tidal swamp and 600 acres of high land; a tract of 1200 acres called Lynches Creek; Fee Farm on the Ashepoo River; a Haddrell's Point house called Shell Hall, with four acres of land; a house and garden lot on Meeting Street, Charleston; a plantation called Wright's Savannah on the Carolina side of the Savannah River; and a tract of land on the Santee River above the canal, including a ferry, called Mount Tacitus. After his marriage to Eleanor Laurens in 1788, the elegant three-storied brick home at 16 Meeting Street in Charleston presumably became his principal residence.

In 1791, during his second term as Governor of South Carolina, Pinckney invited President George Washington to Snee Farm as a rest stop on his tour to Charleston. In the letter, the President was requested "to make a stage at a little farm of mine in Christ Church . . . I must apologize for asking you to call at a place so indifferently furnished and where your fare will be entirely that of a farm." After his breakfast at Snee Farm on 2 May 1791, Washington recorded in his diary, "Breakfast at the Country seat of Governor Pinckney about 18 miles from our loading place and then came to the ferry at Haddrell's Point."

Francis G. Deliesseline (Snee Farm Owner 1817-1828)

Francis G. Deliesseline was the overseer at Snee Farm for at least seven years before he purchased the farm from Charles Pinckney's trustees for \$43,380 in 1817. By 1820, Deliesseline owned 25 slaves in Christ Church Parish. His name appears as a resident of Charleston, suggesting that he did not consider Snee Farm his primary home. Some time after 1826, Deliesseline was unable to meet the terms of the Snee Farm mortgage, and he and his family abandoned all holdings to his creditors. Given Deliesseline's financial woes, the site must have been in poor condition by this time. The property was sold to William Mathews in 1828.

William Mathews (Snee Farm Owner 1828-1853)

William Mathews owned considerable property, including five plantations, a ferry on the Cooper River, and "my house and lot in Charlotte Street where I now reside." When he bought Snee Farm in 1828, he paid \$3,150, a significant devaluation of the land holding since the sale to Deliesseline in 1817. In his last will and testament, Mathews described himself as a planter and made reference to certain articles of furniture at Snee Farm. This reference indicates that, although he apparently did not reside at the farm, he did spend some time there. Mathews died in 1848, leaving Snee Farm to his daughter Susan (wife of Benjamin F. Hunt). Equity court proceedings describe the unfortunate condition of Snee Farm by this time: Snee Farm . . . Devised to Mrs. Hunt containing about 700 acres of land and settled by a gang of about forty-eight Negroes, is, as your Orator has been informed, an unproductive place: That the testator bought it with the intention of making corn and hay here for the use of Milton Ferry; that over and above the provisions used on the place itself, Snee Farm has scarcely done more than to furnish bread for the hands at the Ferry, with hay for the work-mules and horses at the livery.

William McCants and Lockwood McCants (Snee Farm Owners 1853-1900)

William McCants, who identified himself as a planter, purchased Snee Farm in 1853. In addition to Snee Farm, he kept a house and lot in Mount Pleasant, and depending on the time of the year, probably divided his time between these properties. Probate records indicate that his son, Lockwood Allison McCants, inherited the farm in 1859. L. A. McCants owned Snee Farm until 1900.

Thomas J. Hamlin and Osgood Hamlin (Snee Farm Owners 1900-1936)

In 1900, Thomas J. Hamlin bought Snee Farm from the McCants estate. The Hamlins grew Sea Island cotton at Snee Farm until the 1920s. Osgood Hamlin inherited the farm from his father and managed it until 1936.

Thomas Ewing and Thomas Stone (Snee Farm Owners 1936-1968)

Thomas Ewing bought Snee Farm in 1936, three years after his daughter, Alexandra Ewing Stone, and son-in-law, Thomas Stone, bought Boone Hall, on the north side of Long Point Road. Snee Farm passed to his daughter and her husband, who moved to the property in 1943.

Guilds and Joyce Hollowell (Snee Farm Owners 1968-1986)

The Hollowells purchased the 28 acres of Snee Farm from the Stones in 1968. The property consisted of the house complex, the grove, the entrance from Long Point Road, the barn area and part of the agricultural fields and avenue. The Hollowells removed the overseer's house and related structures. They added a swimming pool and used the Stone's library/cottage as a pool and guesthouse. A basketball court, located to the east of the residence, was built during this time. The Hollowells maintained the Stone's camellias and azaleas for their own enjoyment.

C and G Investments (Snee Farm Owners 1986-1988)

In 1986, the property was sold to C and G Investments for the development of 40 residential lots. Initial road grading began but was soon halted when local residents objected to the farm's destruction.

Friends of Historic Snee Farm (Snee Farm Owner 1988-1990)

Shortly after the property was sold in 1986, private citizens organized a non-profit group, the Friends of Historic Snee Farm (Friends), to protect the last remnant of Charles Pinckney's original Snee Farm. This community-based group succeeded in securing congressional action to preserve the site. The National Park Service established the Charles Pinckney National Historic Site on 8 September 1988. With financial assistance from the Friends, the NPS purchased the 28-acre site in 1990.

The African Americans interviewed for this study indicated that they considered the plantations of Snee Farm and Boone Hall to be connected. They moved back and forth between the two plantations for both work and social activity, and made no major distinction between them. Boone Hall and Snee Farm are located across from each other on Longpoint Road.

Section V: Population

The Slave Population

During the first Census of the United States, taken in 1790, the total population of the Charleston District of South Carolina was reported as 66,985 persons. Although the accuracy of the numbers can probably be questioned, the slave population of 50,639 was fully seventy-five percent of the entire Charleston District population. In the Christ Church Parish, in the area of Mount Pleasant, the total population was reported as 2,954 persons. The slave population numbered 2,377, which was eighty percent of that total. Census lists for the plantations in the Charleston District from 1800 through 1860 show the fluctuation of slave holdings at Snee Farm Plantation (Table 1). In gathering information, the attempt was to match the owner of the plantation at the time with the numbers of slaves.

Table 1. Snee Farm Census Data 1800-1880

Year	Owner	White Males	White Females	Slave Males	Slave Females	Total Slaves
1800	Charles Pinckney	1				18
1810	Charles Pinckney	1				58
1820	Francis Deliesseline	2	2	5	7	12
1830	William Mathews			29	12	41
1840	William Mathews			24	28	52
1850	William Mathews			21	29	50
1860	L.A. McCants			31	38	69
1870	L.A. McCants	3	4			
1880	L.A. McCants	4	4			

Source: Population Schedules of the Census of the United States, 1820-1880. Fort Worth: National Archives and Records; Microfilm

After Slavery

After 1890, the population data are listed as overall data for the town of Mount Pleasant, and the division of Mount Pleasant or Christ Church Township. The town of Mount Pleasant is included in the figures for Christ Church Township and the Mount Pleasant division (Table 2).

Unfortunately, during the period between 1870 and 1920, there are no data available on the African American population in Mount Pleasant. A trend is evident in the percentage of African American members of the Mount Pleasant area from 1930 to 1990. In 1930, the Christ Church Township population was 77% African American. Ten years later, in 1940, the township population had increased 14%. While there were 61 additional African Americans in 1940, the percentage of African Americans in the population actually decreased 8%. In the 1950 census, the African American population of the town of Mount Pleasant was 44% of the town's total 1857 citizens. In 1960, African Americans were 34% of the total Mount Pleasant division population.

Table 2. Census Data for Mount Pleasant, South Carolina area

Census	Christ Church		Mt. Pleasant Div.		Mt. Pleasant Town	
	Total	African-American	Total	African-American	Total	African-American
1890					1,138	
1900	6,644				2,252	
1910					1,346	
1920					1,575	
1930	4,541	3,479			1,415	
1940	5,165	3,540			1,698	
1950	9,225				1,857	812
1960			13,838	4,694	5,115	
1970					6,155	794
1980					13,838	991
1990					30,108	2,766
2000					47,609	3,453

Source: Population Schedules of the Census of the United States, 1820-1880. Fort Worth: National Archives and Records; Microfilm

As the population of the town of Mount Pleasant increased from 1970 to 1990, the number of African Americans also increased, however, the percentage of African Americans in the town decreased, as illustrated in Table 3.

Table 3. African Americans in the town of Mount Pleasant, 1970-1990

Census	Population	African-American	% African-American
1970	6,155	794	12.9
1980	13,838	991	7.0
1990	30,108	2,766	9.0
2000	47,609	3,453	7.3

Source: Population Schedules of the Census of the United States, 1820-1880. Fort Worth: National Archives and Records; Microfilm

According to the website for the town of Mount Pleasant, the projections are for a population well over 72,000 by the year 2015. This tremendous growth in total population also underscores the shift in composition of the population. During the first United States Census of Population in 1790, African Americans were over 75 percent of the Mount Pleasant population. The latest population data places the African American population at 7.2 percent of the total Mount Pleasant population.

Whether due to annexation or development of new residential neighborhoods, the changing demographics of the Mount Pleasant area has had a profound effect on the African American residents. New housing subdivisions now exist next to the Snee Farm Plantation and the communities

of Phillips and Snowden. The new subdivisions have names such as Snee Farm Country Club, Rice Planters and SweetGrass shopping center.

One local sweetgrass basketmaker said, “Years ago we could go in that woods, and we go over to that woods now, and they got 2 and 3 million dollar house back there.”

Many of the new residents of Mount Pleasant are from outside the southern United States, with no relationships to south communities or to the area. This is creating tension as formerly established patterns of race relations are being altered.

FSaunders, said, “Back then, people knew each other white and black and looked out for one another. The traffic has increased and now it takes a lot more time to get around Mount Pleasant. When integration happened there were no major problems in Mount Pleasant because people knew each other. It is recent that new folks are entering the community with other names and who are not familiar with the long-term residents of the community. As such more rifts are occurring.”

PBrown agreed, “. . . the natural beauty is being destroyed with the building of all the homes and housing developments in the area . . . change is good, but too much at one time. Now you have all the white people coming into the area . . . moving in. Now there is more traffic but the roads are not built to accommodate all the traffic.” PBrown said the development is like a plague sweeping through. He believes it will be bad for the people in the area. “It will be tough for the older folks to make the change . . . the white folks coming in and bringing their bad habit with them and that’s no good.”

Faced with the pressure of the area’s developers, many African Americans are selling their properties for large sums of money. This creates a different tension between those who want to maintain the dwindling amount of African American-owned land and those who earn millions of dollars by selling their land when they see the loss of their land as inevitable (Glanton).

In their efforts to provide roads to accommodate the increased traffic, Mount Pleasant town officials have been found opposition from both long-term community members as well as residents of the new subdivisions.

In 1999, residents of Seven-Mile objected to construction of a proposed new U.S. Highway 17 access road from U.S. 17 to Hamlin. This followed the 1998 objections of Six-Mile residents to plans to widen Rifle Range Road to three lanes. Six-Mile and Seven Mile are historically black communities (Quick, 1999).

Water’s Edge subdivision residents opposed the alignment of U.S. 17 and its impact on the wetlands near the subdivision. Sweetgrass subdivision residents also have expressed concern about the widening of the U.S. 17 access road (Quick, 1999).

Section VI: Ethnic Origins of Ancestral Population and Relationships with other Racial Groups

The Charleston area was populated by several different ethnic groups during the 17th, 18th, and 19th centuries. Before the Europeans arrived on coastal South Carolina, American Indians migrated to the region. They developed “a diversified culture of agriculture and semi-sedentary village life” (Vincent 1998:5). The American Indians used fire as a tool in agriculture and hunting.

Spain had early settlements along the Carolina coast in the 16th century. However, the early settlements failed after several years at San Miguel de Guadalupe in 1526, and Santa Elena, between 1566 and 1587.

The English settled the area in 1670 with the establishment of Charles Towne Landing. Many of these colonizers were from the West Indian sugar plantations. The English settlers depended on fur trading with the local American Indians and production of naval stores (Brockington 1987:12). “White settlers regarded the large southern Indian population . . . as an exploitable resource. They initiated an aggressive and lucrative trade with the natives for furs and skins, primarily deerskins . . . They encouraged internecine warfare among various southeastern tribes and between 1700 and 1715 the Carolinians themselves fought three Indian wars rooted in commercial competition, colonial expansion and international rivalry. By selling Indians captured in these wars, several prominent Carolinians inaugurated profitable careers as slavers. Some Indian slaves were kept in the Carolinas to toil with blacks on local plantations (Ferguson 1992:60).”

Christ Church Parish Settlement was established in 1706. By 1716, the local American Indian population was reduced drastically by disease and conflicts with the Europeans (Brockington 1987:13). Naval stores, rice, and indigo production became important industries at the plantations in the Parish. The other settlers “grazed cattle, hunted to supplement their diet, and produced turpentine, rosin, tar, and lumber for the Charleston market” (Vincent 1998:5).

African Americans were introduced into this population mix as a direct result of the increase in rice production. While the use of slaves was not a foreign idea, the rice cultivation technique was changed and increased the need for slave labor. In the 1750s, tidal rice cultivation was introduced and the plantations favored it over the previous inland swamp rice cultivation. The increased demand for slave labor was dependent on the need to divert rivers’ and streams’ tidal waters by digging ditches and building dikes, trunks, and canals. South Carolinians preferred people from Senegal, Gambia, and Sierra Leone for the labor force because of their intimate knowledge of rice cultivation.

Africans brought to South Carolina were already accustomed to growing rice for centuries in their native homelands. Ferguson (1992:60-61) writes: “With the decline of the native (Indian) population, and the continuing success of the rice plantations, the number of imported Africans grew rapidly. Indian slaves soon became physically and culturally integrated with the larger population of blacks, and from at least 1720 on, an African American majority prevailed in colonial South Carolina . . . The chief domestic crops of the colony came from American Indian and African agricultural traditions – corn, beans and squash from America; rice, okra and cow peas from Africa . . . Besides providing skills as cultivators, slaves knew how to build earth walled houses, carve canoes from cypress trees, make baskets, gather herbs for healing and turn clay into pots.”

The slave population in South Carolina, which had doubled by the 1760s, was approximately 100,000 in 1776 (Vincent 1998:6). The last recorded fight with American Indians in Christ Church Parish occurred in 1751. The parish militia battled raiding northern American Indians about two miles from the church (Brockington 1987:14).

Snee Farm and Boone Hall were two of the earliest plantations in Christ Church Parish. The plantation system functioned differently in South Carolina than other areas. The plantation usually was a working farm and a second home in the country for the Charleston elite.

“As the primary residents on Snee Farm, and the majority of the population of the low country, African Americans played a key role in establishing the unique world of coastal South Carolina” (Blythe et al 2000:19). The relative isolation of the large slave populations in the low country rice plantations contributed to the slaves retaining elements of a distinctly West African culture. The constant flow of new West Africans and the restricted presence of European cultural influence lead to a synthesis of cultures. This synthesis of myriad African cultures and European-American traditions lead to a unique culture termed Gullah (Blythe *et al.* 2000:31). A distinct language, also named Gullah, developed from this culture. It was the commonly used language between the African Americans of the U.S. slave era and is still used today in Georgia and South Carolina. The language like the culture is a mixture of countless African languages and English.

Pollitzer describes the Gullah as “genetically less mixed with whites and American Indians than most other African Americans. They helped build and survived the forced labors of one of the richest plantation regimes in the Americas. Their music, dance, basketry, and other arts are powerful, beautiful, and evocative. Their traditional lives contrast tellingly with the modern world encroaching on or being embraced by them. Until a generation ago, theirs was the largest overwhelmingly African American area of the United States. Moreover, Sullivan’s Island, off Charleston, South Carolina, is often called the Ellis Island of Black America.”

Most of the African Americans interviewed for this project did not identify themselves as Gullah/Geechee. It appears they prefer not to be labeled as Gullah, although they are resigned to dealing with people that associate them with the Gullah culture. PBrown said people in New Jersey used to tease him and call him “Geechee.” He asked them what that meant and they said Geechees were people who ate a lot of rice.

Jellis said he “doesn’t go with the Gullah or Geechee label.”

Out of curiosity, several people from the African American community of Mount Pleasant did attend a National Park Service sponsored Gullah Heritage Resource Meeting in Charleston.

Their comments were similar to those of RHabersham who said he went to the Gullah heritage meeting and felt they were more interested in preserving the culture versus preserving the communities as they now exist. RHabersham said he felt more emphasis should be placed on the issues of living and surviving in the face of development.

Table 4. Historical Highlights Relevant to Gullah and Other African Americans (Pollitzer 1999)

Date	Item
B.C.	
1800	Cowpeas (<i>V. unguiculata</i>) Known in Ghana West Africa
1500	Rice (<i>O. glaberina</i>) grown in Senegambia and Niger River
1000	cotton (<i>G. herbaceum</i>) cultivated in West Africa
500	Terra-cotta heads made at Nok, Nigeria
400	Ironwork at Nok
A.D.	
700	Kumbi, capital of Ghana, destroyed
1240	Mali Empire rises
	Ghana Empire begins in West Africa
1280	Wood carving and bronze casting in Benin

Date	Item
1502	Corn (<i>Zea mays</i>) brought to Africa from America
1530	British sail along West African coast
1576	Watermelon (<i>Citrullus lanatus</i>) taken from Africa to Florida
1624	British take Barbados in West Indies
1631	British build fort on Gold Coast
1637	Dutch capture Elmina on Gold Coast
1655	British take Jamaica
1663	Charles II grants land to eight Lords Proprietors
1671	French build fort at Whydah on Slave Coast
1672	Royal African Company founded
1674	Lords Proprietors request trade with Spanish for blacks
1684	First epidemic of malaria in Charles Town
1685	Rice seed (<i>Oryza sativa</i>) brought to Carolina Huguenots come to Carolina
1690	First Carolina statute dealing solely with slavery
1694	First Carolina will to bequeath a slave, named Mingo
1700s	Ashanti Kingdom dominates Gold Coast
1707	Pest house built on Sullivan's Island
1706	Blacks exceed whites in Carolina for first time
1719	Royal African Company contracts to import slaves from Gambia
1729	First mention of Angola in Naval Office Lists Basket first mentioned in a will
1732	South Carolina Gazette published first ad for runaway slave
1738	Eliza Lucas experiments with growing indigo
1739	Stono Rebellion First known use of "Gullah" in print
1740	Blacks outnumber white two to one Comprehensive Negro Act passed Methodist Preacher George Whitefield visits Savannah
1743	Drayton Hall built Rev. Alexander Garden opens schools for blacks
1744	Quarantine for slaves from Africa required Eliza Lucas marries Charles Pinckney, proves indigo can be grown successfully in Carolina
1747	Indigo and cotton exported from Carolina First hospital for blacks begun in Charles Town
1755	Henry Laurens concerned over smallpox in Gambia
1756	Guinea worm noted in slave trade to Charles Town
1778	Alexander Bisset grows sea-island cotton in Georgia
1787	Jonathan Lucas builds first tidal rice mill in South Carolina Foreign slave trade cut off until December 1803
1790	First Federal Census S.C. slave population is 43 percent; U.S. slave population is 18 percent William Elliott plants sea-island cotton on Hilton Head
1791	Slaves revolt in Haiti under Toussaint L'Ouverture
1794	First known reproduction of Gullah dialect in print
1800	Law passed against manumission of slaves
1808	British and American slave trade prohibited

Date	Item
	British found Sierra Leone, colony for freed slaves
1822	Denmark Vesey rebellion
	Liberia founded as colony for freed American slaves
1823	Bishop Wilberforce forms antislavery society
1829	Daniel Payne, free black, opens school for black children in Charleston
1834	Law passed against teaching blacks to read and write
1845	Baptist in nation divide over slavery question
1849	Porcher reports on Indigenous Medical Plants of South Carolina
1858	Ship <i>Wanderer</i> lands slaves in Georgia
1861	Guns fire on Fort Sumter
	Federal fleet takes over Port Royal; slaves become “contrabands of war”
	Penn School founded on St. Helena by missionaries
1862	First black songs published from Port Royal
1863	Emancipation Proclamation
	Sherman issues order appropriating sea islands for freed slaves; soon rescinded in Washington
1864	
1888	Jones publishes Negro Myths of the Georgia Coast
1895	New Constitution segregates blacks
1911	Sickle Cell anemia described by Herrick
	Severe hurricane wipes out last attempts to grow rice commercially in South Carolina
1912	Lydia Parrish goes to St. Simons Island, records songs
1919	Elsie Clews Parson goes to St. Helena
	Boll weevil destroys 90 percent of sea-island cotton
1930	Bridges built between sea island and mainland
1942	First survey finds 15 percent sickle cell trait on Sea Islands
1949	L.D. Turner, <i>Africanisms in the Gullah Dialect</i>
	Neel proves genetics, and Pauling molecular biology, of sickle cell hemoglobin
	Black parents challenge segregate Clarendon County schools
1950	Land on Hilton Head Island bought for timber
1957	Esau Jenkins begins school on Johns Island
1960	Civil rights movement in Greenville and Rock Hill
	DuBose Heyward’s <i>Porgy</i> , performed in Charleston for first time to an integrated audience
	Public schools desegregated
1974	Kiawah Island purchased to become a resort

Section VII: Domestic Life and Children's Activities

The slave village at Snee Farm was the slaves' attempt to recreate their home communities in Africa. "Small rectangular houses with steeply pitched roofs and dirt floors are typical of the African architectural vocabulary" (Blythe *et al.* 2000:34). The houses were usually used only for sleeping and storage. The plantation owners probably did not know that the relatively inexpensive houses were a reminder of the slaves' African heritage. Both the typical African home and the slave house had exterior chimneys. The preparation of food was a communal event and was done outdoors. Archaeologists found food preparation sites, disposal areas, and a central cooking hearth at Snee Farm near the slave village (Blythe *et al.* 2000:34).

Although African Americans constituted a majority of the population until the late 1880s, concerning the social life of the African Americans, Taylor (1924; 1969:9-12) wrote:

Yet, so contradictory is human nature, said a South Carolinian notwithstanding what has been said, the Negro is essentially a dandy, loving fine dress and decorations above all things. The females particularly are excessively fond of colors, and delight to parade on Sundays in the castaway habiliments of their mistresses. The legislatures and others in their higher society are first-class swells . . . The Negroes of the wealthier set naturally imitate all of the social customs of the whites, paying homage to the ladies, preventing the females from working, sending children to school, living in houses, employing servants, supporting a good table, and keeping carriages and horses. The lower classes of Negroes also copy, as far as they can, the habits of the whites.

The Negroes had some social privileges. They were freely admitted to the theatres in Columbia (South Carolina), and to other exhibitions and the like but ample room was given to them by the white audiences if the halls were not crowded. In Charleston and the country towns they did not secure entrance to some of such places, but to shows under canvas, such as circuses and magic lantern exhibitions they were invariably allowed admission.

Whites, however, insisted on social ostracism. They would ride on the same seats in cars with blacks if the latter were traveling in the capacity of servants or nurses; but did not enjoy such contact if the Negroes were posing as equals. After the Reconstruction changes, the Negroes were permitted to ride in first-class railway and streetcars.

Some exceptions to this rule were noticed. There was very little distinction made among children of the races growing up together and the attachment formed sometimes continued through girlhood and boyhood, only to be terminated when, as adults, the one would go socially in one direction and the other in another. Among Catholics, George Rose did not find such segregation even among adults. Speaking in 1868, he said "many of the Negroes are Catholics, and though in some of their churches they have galleries to themselves, yet there is not the broad demarcation drawn between them and the white races in the House of their father." Yet, Edward King did not believe in 1871 that there were in the State a half dozen married couples with the wife white and the husband black or colored. But, there were three or four instances in every county of colored women married to white men. So strong was sentiment against such unions that it condemned them to associate with colored people and become Negroes in all but color.

Except in baseball clubs, an observer did not find many social associations among South Carolina Negroes, a thing which contrasted singularly with zeal which they had evinced in connecting themselves with political and religious organizations. The Negroes had among themselves social rank and aristocracy . . . severe and strictly discriminated. These distinctions were local so that no generalization could be made of the various classes. The gradations were founded principally on official station, position in the church, possession of money or real estate, former ownership and city of birth . . . They flocked in from the country at the close of the War, deserting the Sea Islands in large bodies, and produced all the evils of overcrowding at time when the white population, who could alone employ and maintain them, were not only thinned in numbers, but reduced to poverty and the trade and wealth of the town were destroyed.

Many of the former workers at Snee Farm describe their social life as a series of interactions within their own families and with other workers living within the Snee Farm – Boone Hall Plantation communities. For example, M Gailliard describes how the African Americans would have parties at Snee Farm. She stated that the blacks would come from Snee Farm to Boone Hall or vice versa every Friday and Saturday. They also celebrated birthday parties, house parties, Christmas and New Year's parties. The blacks would also have Labor Day dances and Fourth of July dances. A bell would ring around 5:00 p.m. signaling all the workers to return to their respective plantations. M Gailliard, as a child, would go to Snee Farm and play with other African Americans living in the Mount Pleasant community.

Many of the workers also described requests from plantation owners for the African Americans to come by and sing for them. H Brown stated that when Mr. Stone, the last owner of Snee Farm, would come home, he would request that some of the workers come by and sing spirituals. According to H Brown, Mr. Stone would pay them well for singing. "Ya know back in the late 40s and 50s . . . they give like five guys \$60 or \$75. That was a lot of money back then, ya know."

M Gailliard says that certain African Americans within the community would sing spirituals on a regular basis. Her husband and three other men in the community, Messrs. Geman, Jones, and Furman, would "go over there and sing for the white people."

A key feature of the Mount Pleasant African American community is their continued relationships with each other over the past decades. Former residents maintain kinship ties with the relatives who have remained in the communities. They often remain in touch with their families by returning for special community festivals, funerals of relatives and friends, or important family gatherings. They are "there" when friends and relatives need support. There is also a sharing and bonding that occurs among the members of a community in raising children. In his interview, for example, H Palmer commented that "Everybody had known everybody on Phillips. Everybody was everybody's children's parents. That's just the way it was and, uh, even the smallest child so as they got large enough; everybody knew each other."

The supportive relationships of residents included the sharing of their products. One respondent, E Coakley said that, "I Coakley use to give away most of his catch. People didn't really have money and so just shared what they had." There was in the past, and there is now a sense of the survival of the entire community.

Another respondent, J Smalls emphasizes this point, "People in the area had either a plum, apple and/or pear tree. And a few people had grapevines. Then people traded and shared with one another. There was not much store buying, but people traded and shared with one another. Everybody had a cast net, a poor man net, and a net that could be used to catch shrimp or mullet. Every family knitted or had their own net."

Of course, as in many African American communities, the elders, parents and grandparents are essential to the collective community memory. The elders on the plantations were no exception. They played an especially significant role in sharing the knowledge of survival learned from their parents to their children, and many of the African Americans in the Mount Pleasant community were raised by their grandparents, especially their grandmothers. ECoakley said that her maternal grandmother raised her, and that she learned how to work on the farm from her grandparents. They taught her how to pick pecans, corn, peas and sweet potatoes. Her grandmother, who had been making baskets for 55 years, had learned the craft from her grandmother.

Section VIII: Daily Work Life and Relationships with Farm Owners

One study of Snee Farm concludes that both rice and indigo were cultivated at the farm during the Pinckney era (Blythe *et al.* 2000). The authors based their conclusion on the occupations of slaves listed in the 1787 Snee Farm slave inventory and added that slaves on the plantation probably tended to cattle, cut wood and produced naval stores as well. The Africans' methods and knowledge of rice growing were the basis of the region's rice plantations. The description of work provided in the study is vivid.

“The hazardous and labor intensive task of preparing and cultivating the rice fields was forced on the slaves. They had to work in the mud and heat, and combat insects, snakes, yellow fever, and malaria. The coastal landscape had to be physically altered to accommodate the cultivation of rice. When the rice was ready to be harvested, it signaled the oncoming of even more work. The rice had to be threshed, winnowed, and then milled from the hull. These techniques were also supplied by the Africans. When the milling was done, the rice kernels had to be polished” (Blythe *et al.* 2000).

Indigo, the other early cash crop of the region required slaves to fulfill the many tasks involved in its cultivation. Like the production of rice, it was labor intensive as described here by Doar (1907), “First, the indigo seeds were mixed with ashes and lime and planted about one-inch deep every 12 or 15 inches. About ten days later the seeds would sprout and the plants would grow quickly. The maintenance was labor intensive and required constant hoeing. When the indigo matured, it was cut and placed into vats. The indigo was then steeped in clear water and fermented until the pigment was extracted. The hued water was then transferred to a lower vat and went through a beating process to force the pigment to coagulate. After this, lime was added to the vat. When the indigo had settled, it was moved to another vat to harden. When the indigo hardened, it would be cut into quarter pound lumps to sell.”

The lowcountry plantations had a unique labor agreement between masters and slaves known as “tasking.” Basically, the slaves were assigned a certain amount of work based on skill, age, and capacity to finish in a day. When the slave was finished with his or her task, he or she had the rest of the day off to do with as they chose. By being able to control some aspect of their day, slaves had a certain amount of autonomy. Slaves of both sexes maintained personal gardens. Peas, corn, and greens were grown in the one-half to two-acre gardens. They also maintained livestock such as chickens and pigs. They were allowed to sell any extra foodstuffs they had produced at local markets. Luxury items such as alcohol, cloth, tobacco, other food items, and so on were usually obtained with the excess production. Fishing and hunting were other ways slaves supplemented their diet. These tasking plantations have been considered to have a free-labor, capitalistic ethos (Littlefield 1981:63).

The tasking system is typified by a comparative lack of concern about the private affairs of the bondsman, his household social structure, or his material welfare; its preeminent consideration is business efficiency; and it would result in a relatively greater amount of individual freedom but also an unequal sex ratio. The more nearly equal sexual distribution, in association with other aspects of the paternal system, would encourage reproduction; the less nearly equal sex ratio, in association with other aspects of the industrial system, would discourage reproduction.

The argument here is not that masters were more or less humane in one type of society as opposed to the other but that they perceived their interests differently and thereby acted in such a way that, in one case, the slave received greater physical benefits. This conjunction can clearly be seen in East Florida, where the British attempted between 1763 and 1783 to establish a plantation colony modeled somewhat after that of South Carolina.

In South Carolina planters estimated that a slave paid for himself within four or five years, so that the real profit from his labor came after that span. They looked upon slaves as an investment from which the owner could reasonably expect above 16, 20, and 25 percent when rice gave a tolerable price. But, for the master to realize this remuneration the slave had to survive. Moreover, the worth of slaves, conditioned or native to the country, was more than strictly economic. Although their intrinsic value might not be ascertainable, their market price, fortunately, can be.

“There is archeological evidence that the task system was the predominant labor system employed on Snee Farm during Charles Pinckney’s tenure” (Blythe *et al.* 2000:31). This is based on the excavation of trash pits, which revealed animal bones and crustacean shells indicating the slaves had some control over their diet. The task system was predominant throughout the low country, so one could reasonably assume Snee Farm did not go against the grain.

The Years after Slavery

The relationships between plantation owners and their African American workers changed little over the years after slavery. Many of these African Americans found that after slavery, most had to become inexpensive labor for plantations like Snee Farm or Boone Hall. Many of the African Americans over the age of 70 had at one time or another worked for one of the plantations in Mount Pleasant. In talking about the Hamlin Farms, MScott says, “it was truck farming going on. The local people had their gardens and they would take their products to the city market and sell. Hamlin and the big city farmers did truck farming.”

Truck farming began in the late 1930s when tractor cultivation became possible and lasted through the 1960s. The Hamlin farm had at least 500 acres of produce, including snap beans and tomatoes. In early years the produce was shipped by boat to the Southern Railroad docks in Charleston and from Charleston to New York.

Later the Hamlins’ produce was trucked to Charleston for shipment to New York. MGaillard said, “trucks use to come from all different places to pick-up the vegetables from O.D.’s farm.”

Transportation was difficult for people living in Mount Pleasant. Snee Farm was one of the few places at which people could work without having to seek transportation. According to JEllis, even in the late 1930s and ‘40s there were few roads and little electricity to most places, so he had to walk to work.

Snee Farm had horse stables, corn mill, cotton gin house and a dairy. Several of the African Americans in the community were foremen on Snee Farm – C. Strouder, B. Seabrook, G. Kinsey and E. Kinsey and family, who worked during the Stone Family ownership of the plantation from 1943-1968.

From the interviews conducted with former employees, the following list was devised of major occupations on Snee Farm:

Table 5. Snee Farm Employee Occupations

Occupation	Person	Description
Gardner	Jesse Ellis, Sr., 1936-37 Henry Brown	A local nursery planted gardens. Ellis managed the gardens year ‘round.
Foreman	Charlie Stroder, Billie Seabrook, Mr. Kinsey	Supervised the property for the owners and paid the workers
Firing Furnace	Jesse Ellis, Sr.	Fired the furnace with coals.

Occupation	Person	Description
Head Man	Peter Brown, Aaron Smalls, Ben Doctor	In charge of day-to-day operations of the farm. Lived on the farm.
Cook	Annie Huggins, Cecelia Brown	Prepared all meals
Laundress	Mariah Simmons	Washing and ironing the clothes for the owner and family, 2 days/week.
Maid	Maggie Brown Cooper	Cleaned the house: dishes, floors, bathrooms
Wood Cutter		Cut wood for 6 fireplaces, 40 cords per year
Nanny	Cecelia Brown	Raised O.D. Hamlin, Jr.
Midwife	Alice Smalls	Delivered both black and white babies in the community.
Farm Laborers	Henry Brown, Mattie Gaillard, Elizabeth Coakley, M. Scott	Picked pecans, tomatoes, cucumbers, and other vegetables.

Jellis worked on Snee farm for about two years during the mid-1930s. He managed the furnace, fired it with coal, and took care of the flower garden. He worked for the Ewing family, owners of Snee Farm during the period from 1936 to 1943. The Ewings would stay on the farm during the winter, and in the summer they left. Jellis cared for the flowers throughout the year. The Snee Farm foreman during the time Jellis worked there was C. Stroder, but later the position was held by B. Seabrook and then by the Kinseys. The foreman's job was to take care of the day to day operations of the farm. At Snee Farm different people cared for the horses and the corn mill to which many of the African Americans living in the community came to grind their corn.

By Jellis' account, the Brown family was the last African American family to both live and work on Snee Farm. The house in which the Browns lived is now a Bed 'N Breakfast. After Brown's mother, the last Brown family member to live in the house died, it was given back to the Stone family.

HBrown worked on Snee Farm as a caretaker for the garden during the time that the Stone family owned it. He said that he learned how to care for the gardens from his grandfather. HBrown would help the Kinsey family with their gardens. His duties included raking leaves, planting flowers, picking pecans and milking the cows. HBrown said that when he worked on Snee Farm, corn was grown for horses and cows. Other plants grown included soybeans, pears, and other fruits and vegetables that were sold for local consumption. HBrown said that after school he could pick 200 to 300 pounds of pecans in one day. For his work, he received five cents a pound. He made about one dollar a day, and like everyone else would be paid by the foreman on Fridays.

HBrown considered his family lucky to be working for the Stone family at the time of his relationship to Snee Farm. He said that even though the Stone family grew most of the food, they would say to him, "you don't have to buy groceries for your family, when you buy groceries for us, you buy for your family too." The Stone family grew most of the food. HBrown was allowed to fish and hunt on Snee Farm.

MGaillard, who worked at Snee Farm, said that African Americans would often work on both Snee Farm and Boone Hall plantations. She picked tomatoes, string beans, corn and spring peas. By her account, tomatoes from Snee Farm were shipped to Charleston. From September to December, she

gathered pecans. M Gaillard worked for the Hamlin family and like H Brown, would work after school.

The daily schedule at Snee Farm began about 5:00 a.m. with her holding a lantern for her grandmother to see by. Breakfast had to be completed before a bell rang at 7:00 a.m. to signal the start of work. At noon, the bell would ring to signal dinnertime and at 1:00 p. m., the bell would ring again to signal it was time to go back to work. Around 5:00 p.m., the bell would finally ring to signal the end of the workday.

Every afternoon after school, M Gaillard would go to Snee Farm to pick cowpeas for a penny a pound. Although she could make a dollar picking a hundred pounds, she could never pick that much in one afternoon. She also picked cotton and gathered pecans for a penny a pound. Tomato picking, however, was paid for by the day, usually about sixty cents per day. Thus, if a worker worked five days, he or she would get three dollars for picking tomatoes. Picking strawberries, cucumbers, and squash was paid by the crate. M Gaillard, commenting about the relationship between workers and plantation owners, said, "We worked for them and that's all."

Other former workers described similar work activities and pay scales at Snee Farm and other plantations in Mount Pleasant such as Boone Hall. E Coakley, who worked for the Hamlin family, picked cucumbers, corn, beans, and tomatoes and as a child she picked pecans. Working on the farms was all the work that people had available to them, and like other former African Americans workers on these Mount Pleasant farms/plantations, she learned how to work on the farm from her grandparents. M Scott worked for the Stone family on the Snee Farm Plantation during the 1940s, but like other African American farm workers, she worked on several plantations in the area.

M Cooper described different experiences. She worked at Snee Farm for almost fifty years as a maid, washing and ironing clothes, cleaning the house, preparing tables for meals, washing dishes, and cleaning the bathrooms. She was paid about \$10 week for her work.

O Hamlin, a white interviewee, whose grandfather purchased Snee Farm in the early 1900s, and maintained ownership until the mid-1930s, described Snee Farm as many of the African Americans who worked there remembered it. His family grew cotton, cucumbers, tomatoes, corn and beans, which was one of their main crops. They never planted rice, but did raise pigs, cattle, and hogs for sale. The family kept horses and milk cows and made their own cream, buttermilk and curd. They also had more than 100 chickens whose eggs they sold. The Hamlins had a sawmill, cotton gin, and a corn mill, which was used to grind corn for the people who worked on the farm. Corn was fed to the cattle and horses, and cotton was used as a cash crop. Most of the vegetables were sold locally in Charleston and Mount Pleasant, but snap beans were hauled by boat, and then railroad car to New York during the 1920s and '30s. Fifteen to twenty workers were hired at Snee Farm where almost all of the work was accomplished by hand until the late 1930s when tractors came into use.

According to O Hamlin, relationships between his family and the African Americans were friendly. "We were practically the only white people in the community at that time in the '20s and '30s," recalled O Hamlin when he discussed the time spent on Snee Farm before his father passed away (1914-1931). He had fond memories of Mr. A. Smalls. "He was one of the best colored men I ever knew." Smalls was the headman at Snee Farm when the Hamlins owned it. He mentioned another African American employee, J. Gaylord, who would go fishing with him and his father.

One of the African American families who lived on the farm, P Brown together with his wife and daughter, maintained the cows and horses. Foreman A. Smalls lived on the farm with his family, and also was a truck driver.

According to O Hamlin, in 1938, they began trucking produce to Charleston. "Then we put it on a freight car and then you could get it to New York in 24 hours."

Although farm products were produced for sale, they were also the basis of the worker families' diets. OHamlin and all the interviewees said rice was not grown for sale on Snee Farm during their tenure. Many interviewees had small areas of planted rice for their personal consumption; however, it was not produced as a commodity on the farm.

JSmalls said his house sits on what was once a pond where rice was grown. He grew the rice for his own consumption, not for commercial purposes. JSmalls said he put the seed in the pond and when the pond was dry, the rice was ready. He also used the water from cooked rice as starch when he ironed his shirts.

Section IX: Foodstuffs

Rice, beans, peas, corn, chicken, hogs, fish, crustaceans, eggs, squash rinds, animal bones, fish bones, and crustacean shells were found in refuse pits at Snee Farm (Blythe *et al.* 2000). Massive quantities of colonoware were found near the slave village. Three sizes of colonoware were found which confirms the similarity to West African diet. Typically in West Africa, a designated cook to prepare a dish for the community used the larger colonoware. The medium sized colonoware contained sauces to add to the food and the smaller bowls were for individual portions.

Gibbs *et al.* (1980) have noted, “many historians and anthropologists confirm the presence of a rather monotonous core diet for slaves. Another writer (Otto 1975) describes the food allotment as one that consisted of ‘seasonal vegetables, some fruit, salt, one peck of corn, and two five pounds of pork per week.’ “ In her documentary history of slavery in North America, Rose (1976) speaks of corn, rice and peas, and Stampp (1956) is quoted as saying “a peck of cornmeal and three to four pounds of salt pork or bacon constituted a weekly allowance. Killion (1973) reported that weekly, for those slaves who did not receive their food from a common kitchen, the food allotment consisted of three and one half pounds of pork/fatmeat, one peck of cornmeal, flour and black molasses. Sutch (1976) described the meal that these foodstuffs provided as adequate for an adult to work like a slave.

Fogel and Engetrman (1974) have maintained that the traditional view of corn and hogmeat as the typical food ration for slaves stems from the incorrect assumption that the lack of reference to other foods means that the slave diet was restricted. They note that plantation master and slave narratives often made reference to smoked meats, eggs, chickens and vegetables, as were hogs. Genovese (1974) believes that slave-holder accounts of the stealing of food by slaves were caused by underfeeding, but even when there was no complaint about quantity, the lack of variety was an issue.

Many of the former Snee Farm workers recall planting rice in the lowland areas of their farms or in ponds and growing and harvesting it for their own sustenance. They also had their own gardens and grew such foods as butter beans, green corn, cucumbers, and peanuts. Almost all of the workers remember fishing and gathering oysters, crabs and shrimp to supplement their diets. It appeared that every family had at least one net that they made and used for gathering seafood, which appeared to be abundant in the Mount Pleasant area. In some instances, plantation owners would share their crops with the workers.

Although M Gaillard said that her family did not sell much of anything that they grew or planted, others, like W Rouse, said that his father had a small garden area and planted vegetables for the family to eat, to sell, and to give some away.

Many of the workers also described the enormous amount of sharing that took place among the African Americans living on the various plantations in Mount Pleasant and described diets of variety, but with rice as the staple food source. One worker said that she ate rice for breakfast, dinner and supper.

Section X: Churches and Other Religious Institutions

Christ Church Parish, defined by the Church Act of 1706, was the local unit of government within the Charles Towne colony. In 1708, the boundaries of Christ Church Parish were established “as the Wando River to the west, Awendaw Creek to the north, and the Atlantic Ocean to the east and south” (Brockington 1987). The church functioned as a public building and a “center for the administration of some local government” (Gregorie 1961:5). The first church was built of wood in 1707. It burned in 1725 and a new one was built of bricks by 1726. Through the next 250 years the church’s exterior structure survived fires, the Civil War, and shifts in population (Baldwin 1985:33).

Slave owners were not preoccupied with their slaves’ religious lives in the early days of colonial South Carolina, but with time this became a priority for the plantation owners. The slaves who converted to Christianity selectively chose what parts of the religion they embraced. This phenomenon has been documented around the world when indigenous people are presented with Christianity. They synthesize the religion with their previous worldview. Thus, Gullah myths typically dealt with situations the African Americans encountered under slavery but “the majority of the parables maintain African structures and motifs” (Blythe *et al.* 2000:32). For example, it has been suggested that four blue beads found in a plantation slave house more than likely had spiritual significance. “Blue beads, though poorly understood, were a central religious symbol and imply religious rituals. They were used as signs of marriage, as fertility amulets, and to ward off disease” (Blythe *et al.* 2000:35).

The heart of the African American community in Mount Pleasant was and is the church. Many of the African American community boundaries can be traced to the churches found within these areas. Pollitzer (1999) and others trace the roots of Sea Island African American religion to the needs of the slaves to maintain a form of worship that was performed in their native homelands and the desires of the plantation owners to maintain social order among the slaves. He writes, “from the point of view of the whites, the veil of ignorance was lifted from spiritual cognizance of the black slaves, their souls were saved and they were satisfied with the existing social order. As planters saw it, Christianity for blacks represented obedience and duty to the masters, the tightening of the screws of bondage; being faithful to their owners was equivalent to being faithful to God” (p. 137).

Today, there are about 15 major churches in the Mount Pleasant community. They include:

- a. Church of Christ
- b. Long Point Missionary Baptist Church (Snowden)
- c. Greater Goodwill AME (7-mile)
- d. Olive Branch AME (4-mile)
- e. Friendship AME (downtown, Mount Pleasant)
- f. Greater Zion AME (10-mile)
- g. St. John’s AME
- h. Garden of Prayer Pentecostal
- i. Seacoast Christian Community Church
- j. All Saints Lutheran
- k. New Hope Baptist (2-mile)
- l. Mt. Nebo Baptist Church (Awendaw)
- m. Union Baptist (Awendaw)
- n. First Seewee Missionary Baptist (15-mile)
- o. Bethel AME (McClellanville)

The property, on which many of the local African American community churches are built, is said to have been donated for religious purposes by the various plantation owners in Mount Pleasant.

As an example, Longpoint Missionary Baptist Church was deeded its land by the Stone family, one of the owners of Snee Farm between 1943 and 1968, according to HBrown. Another respondent believes that most of the Snee Farm workers attended Long Point Baptist, while Africans Americans working on the Boone Hall Plantation attended the Greater African American Methodist Episcopal (AME) Church. The church is a place for the community to bring together issues having an impact on individuals, families, and the community as a whole.

To participate in the African American community in Mount Pleasant one must participate in local church activities. Events that might be of significance to the community are presented in the church service, and may be “spiritually” evaluated before being acted upon. One pastor commented, “What you do and who you are will be known and communicated because the community will talk and the primary forum for exchanging community talk is the church.”

Section XI: Health and Medicinal Practices

In the early and middle nineteenth century, slaves led a marginal existence when their living standards were compared to the standards of health care, nutrition, sanitation and housing demanded by overseer and slave master. Yet, in spite of this marginal existence, which shows itself quite markedly in their often inadequate and monotonous diet, slaves were able to work long hours in the field and the slave women continued to have a high birth rate. In fact, data indicate that after 1800, the population growth in the slave community in the lower South could be attributed to the birth of children and not attributable to the influx or importation of slaves (Gibbs *et al.* 1980).

Stanton (1960) has pointed out that “a major tenet of the institution of slavery was the belief that biological differences existed between slaves and whites. Slaves were viewed as a distinct species, which were immune to certain diseases, yet inferior biologically and mentally to whites. These real and fabricated biological differences offered to the slave owner partial justification for the institution of slavery. These beliefs were substantiated in the scientific writings of the 1800s by differences in slave and white morbidity and mortality statistics and by such observable characteristics as skin color, hair texture, and facial features.”

“Given the acknowledged differences, it is surprising that no textbooks on the diseases of blacks were written until 1975” (Williams 1975). In the 1800s, there were numerous medical manuals available on the medical treatment of slaves. Plantation owners used these manuals to treat themselves, their families, and their slaves. A typical and popular book was *Simon’s Planter’s Guide and Family Book of Medicine* published in Charleston, South Carolina, in 1849.

In some of the journals however, physicians of the day agreed on special prescriptions for slaves. For example, slaves were thought to be able to withstand less therapeutic blood loss than whites (Savitt 1978). This was sound advice in light of contemporary data which indicate that as many as 30-40 percent of first generation slaves would have inherited hemoglobin variants and serum protein variants which would have made them less susceptible to malaria, but more prone to anemia (Savitt 1978:33). Additionally, it is possible that diets low in iron or folic acid could have led to anemia, as would have hookworm parasitism.

One of the more deadly fevers during slavery was the mosquito-borne yellow fever. Waring (1976) states that yellow fever caused twice the number of deaths in Charleston, South Carolina, between 1821-1858 as were caused by all other fevers combined. The yellow fever epidemics of 1849 and 1854 were particularly devastating, but Savitt (1978) cites a number of references indicating that slaves were less susceptible to the yellow fever virus than were whites.

The mortality rate for infants under a year of age was twice as great for slaves as for whites. Data from Charleston, South Carolina, averaged between the years 1822 and 1848 indicate a mean mortality rate of 19.44/100 for black infants compared to 9.24/100 for white infants (figures computed from Waring 1968:62). Savitt (1978) has compiled a list of leading causes of infant death for the years between 1858 and 1860. Surprisingly, diarrhea and digestive disorders accounted for a much greater portion of white infant deaths than slave infant deaths, while the proportions dying from respiratory diseases were about the same. Slave infants died at a high rate from whooping cough and perhaps from sudden infant death syndrome, which is higher among blacks today.

Pregnant and lactating women were given reduced workloads and a post-partum rest period of up to one month. Plantation records reveal that it was common practice to allow infant nursing three times during the workday for the first six months, twice a day for seven to ten months, and once a day at mid-day until one year of age. These practices would have given the infant some conferred immunity through the mother’s colostrum and milk (Savitt 1978).

As might be expected, the mortality rate for blacks was considerably higher than that for whites. The mortality rate in 1850, from all causes, for example was 178 per 10,000 for blacks as compared to 111 for whites. Respiratory disease was an important cause of the difference. Surprisingly, the death rate for females due to complications in childbirth was significantly lower in blacks than in whites (DeBow 1855).

The situation showed little improvement after the Civil War. As Taylor (1924, 1969) has described with regard to what happened during Reconstruction: “neither the efficient operation of the [Freedman’s] Bureau (’s) medical department nor the charitable service of the Charleston Hospital, however, was able to reach the whole mass of suffering freedmen. The latter died in large numbers from starvation, the progressive development of malignant disease, inertia, and ignorance. As the Negroes became more and more dependent upon themselves, the lack of medical facilities, their carelessness in administering remedies, or in following the advice of physicians, the congestion in unsanitary quarters and the lack of wholesome food - all of these factors produced among the freedmen an appalling number of deaths” (pg. 13-14).

A comparison of the mortality rates among African Americans for selected years in selected areas shows most effectively their plight.

Table 6. South Carolina Mortalities 1860-1890

Year	Population SC	Deaths SC	Deaths White	Deaths Blacks	Black Population
1860	703,708	9,749			
1870	705,606		2,346	4,935	415,814
1880	995,577	15,728	5,330	10,524	
1890	1,151,149	15,495		10,448	688,934

The ratio of deaths to population was higher among African Americans. Although we cannot be certain that the mortality rates at Snee Farm were like those in Charleston, the latter are probably our best guide.

Table 7. Charleston, South Carolina Mortality Rates 1850-1880

Year	White Mortality Rates	Black Mortality Rates
1850	18.68	20.98
1870	23.69	41.01
1880	22.01	41.08
1890	21.85	30.32

The mortality rate among Charleston whites in 1880 was lower than the rate for the entire population in each of the cities of Savannah, Nashville, Norfolk and New Orleans. The mortality rate among Blacks was exceeded only by the rates of the cities of Savannah and New Orleans. The higher death rate of the freedmen in South Carolina, considered in the light of that recorded during the slave regime, may indicate that the freedmen had not yet developed a self discipline comparable to the restraint formerly imposed upon them.

Very little is given by the former Snee Farm workers on health and medical practices.

Many of the workers were born on Snee Farm and mentioned midwife Alice Smalls, who delivered both black and white babies for the community. PBrown said he and his two brothers and six sisters were born on Snee Farm. "We had, all of us was delivered by a midwife." PBrown said Ms. Smalls delivered him in 1949.

JSmalls, 75, remembered Alice Smalls, although he was not related to her. He said she was the midwife in the area for as long as he knew her. According to JSmalls, she delivered more white babies than black babies. "They would come and get Miss Alice. The white folks called her Auntie Smalls. To the white folks, calling a black person Auntie or Uncle was a compliment." JSmalls said Miss Alice was well respected.

AGerman was a first cousin of Alice Smalls. She remembers her as a midwife, and also said Rebecca Hugee and Cary Robertson were midwives.

Most other interviewees indicated that if a health problem did occur, they would use local plants and herbs to help cure the problem. Also, many of the health problems were given "to the lord" to be taken care of. The sick would be prayed for in the church or in prayer meetings at the sick person's home.

Section XII: Local Crafts and Craftsmen

Only in the last twenty or so years has there been an understanding of the degree to which African Americans living in the United States during the 18th, 19th, and early 20th centuries played a vital role in the skilled labor industries. The early views of slaves as craftsmen are typified by such comments as “While African Negroes may have had some experience in the manual arts and crafts, this had little direct influence upon American handiwork. The severance of relations with the African continent resulted in a loss of these traditions” (Stavisky 1949).

Herkovitts is quoted by Peek (1978) as saying: “It is apparent that the African forms of technology, economic life, and political organization had but a slight chance of survival. Utensils, clothing, and food were supplied the slaves by their masters, and it is but natural that these should have been what was most convenient to procure, least expensive to provide.” Peek adds further that, “Herkovitts claimed that the slaves were not allowed leisure time for such activities as carving and that due to the repression of their own cultures, there was no demand for such products.”

However, the idea that there was a total absence of distinctive material culture is not a true picture of the social life of a slave. We are now aware of numerous artifacts produced by slaves, among them such items as bowls, forks, and spoons made of wood and other examples such as mule collars, plaiting baskets, shucking mats, mops, and putting handles (Peek, 1978). The intricate making of patchwork quilts demonstrates the degree to which many of the African American women could sew, and because many of such quilts were made over generations within families, the obvious conclusion is that the techniques for sewing were passed from grandmother, to mother, and to daughter.

The craftsmanship of slaves was not limited to smaller utilitarian items. An example of that craftsmanship can be seen in the ironwork on housing in places like Charleston. Clearly, the Africans imported into this country had skills that they brought with them. Handicraft skills added an important dimension to the skills of growing rice and other crops, and all were immediately put to use as they arrived at the various plantations on Coastal South Carolina. The key is that the skills of the Africans were transformed for use in the American medians in which the various African American workers were employed.

During slavery, blacks gradually replaced whites as craftsmen. Peek (1978) and Dover (1960) note that surveys across the southern United States in 1865 listed skilled workers. More than 80%, roughly 100,000, were African Americans. The African American was also perceived as a definite threat to the white craftsman working in South Carolina in the middle to late 1880s. Franklin, writing about the African American Freedman artisan, says that there was often much opposition due mainly to the fact that the use of his skilled trade was in direct competition to the white artisan of the time. Following a slave uprising led by Denmark Vesey, a carpenter, a law was passed in Charleston in 1822 that forbid black mechanics and artisans to live in the cities. Chase (1978) has provided ample proof of the range of skilled African American craftsmen in South Carolina. Based upon the Census of Free Colored People in Charleston, South Carolina, in 1856, he listed some 30 occupations with a least one African American listed. Included were:

1. 50 Tailors
2. 65 Carpenters
3. 11 Shoemakers
4. 9 Bricklayers
5. 9 Millwrights
6. 9 Manualmakers
7. 6 Painters
8. 4 dressmakers
9. 6 blacksmiths
10. 3 Mattressmakers
11. 2 Coopers
12. 2 Cotton Menders
13. 2 Shipwrights
14. 2 Locksmiths

A distinctive trait of the Gullah culture is basket making. The tradition of this craft extends back to West African cultures. The baskets made in the South Carolina lowcountry mimic baskets made in the Senegambian region of the African continent, and there was little evidence of European or American Indian influence (Blythe *et al.* 2000:32). Twining (1978:159, 161-162) provides a good description of one of the major craft activities that have survived in Mount Pleasant among the African American women. She writes, “The basketry is a distinctive feature of the Sea Island Culture. The foundation of the basket made by the African American women can be seen in the stands along Highway 17, which runs through Mount Pleasant. The women of the Senegambian region of West Africa manufactured the sewn coiled baskets, (which are seen in the Mount Pleasant area of South Carolina).” Twining believes that the baskets were used as utilitarian objects during the era of the plantations. She noted that rice farmers used baskets for harvesting nuts and winnowing grain and that they were also used to disperse corn or rice on the plantation.

MGaillard remembers selling baskets when she was a young girl. “When tours came through (Boone Hall), we would run over to the big house and sell baskets and sing and dance. We sold the baskets for five or ten cents.”

Basket makers continue to sell their baskets along U.S. Highway 17, which runs through the town of Mount Pleasant. For most of the makers, the returns from selling the baskets are not meant to provide their total livelihood but rather serve as a supplement to other types of income. In the main, most of the stands that line this highway, or the other areas around Charleston, South Carolina, are operated by middle aged to older women who have learned the trade from their grandmothers or mothers. Several of the former African American workers associated with Snee Farm also make and sell these baskets (Twining 1978).

Former Snee Farm worker ECoakley has been making Sweetgrass baskets for fifty-five years. She said she learned it from her grandparents. “They pass it on and we take it up. Start by makin’ a little knot . . . I don’t know where they learned it, but know I learned it from them.” ECoakley has had her Sweetgrass basket stand for forty years. It sits on Highway 17 near the entrance to Brickyard Plantation, in front of the house where her parents lived.

The Sweetgrass baskets of the South Carolina lowcountry have received national attention in tourism newspaper articles and magazines for more than 50 years. In newspaper articles and television news shows, it has often been said that the basket stands lining U.S. Highway 17 outside Mount Pleasant are dwindling and the craft is in danger of disappearing (Hofbauer, Meggett, Quick 1988, Strauss). In 1997, the State of South Carolina placed an historical marker at the corner of Hamlin Road and Highway 17. Purchased by the Christ Church Parish Preservation Society and the Original Sweetgrass Marketplace Coalition, the marker reads:

“Sweetgrass Baskets. Coil baskets of native sweetgrass and pine needles sewn with strips of palmetto leaf have been displayed for sale on stands along Highway 17 near Mount Pleasant since the 1930s. This craft, handed down in certain families since the 1700s, originally was used on plantations in rice production. Unique to the Lowcountry, it represents one of the oldest West African art forms in America. Erected by the Original Sweetgrass Market Place Coalition and the Christ Church Parish Preservation Society, 1997.”

The commercial and residential development of Mount Pleasant has taken its toll on the basket makers. As subdivisions take over the wild sweetgrass terrain, the supply needed to weave baskets dwindles. Experiments have been conducted to measure the viability of cultivated sweetgrass (Strauss). Replacing the sweetgrass will ensure raw materials for weavers; however, maintaining a secure marketplace has become a problem.

According to Meggett, in the late 1970s, there were over 150 basket stands along Highway 17 in Mount Pleasant. In 1999, there were less than 40 roadside stands. The basket stands are on public right of ways along the highways, adjacent to private property. With the development of the private property, the basket stands are jeopardized by the increased traffic of heavy trucks at the construction sites. Some basket makers have been asked by developers to relocate their stands both temporarily and permanently. As early as 1988, local governments have discussed plans for protecting the roadside stands during and after development. The proposals included preserving the areas along Highway 17 for the basket makers, requiring developers to make provisions for the stands, and creating a visible marketplace off the highway for the stands (Quick 1988, 1999).

It is clear the State of South Carolina and the local governments of the Mount Pleasant area understand and value the contributions of the sweetgrass basket makers. Like the Gullah culture, efforts are being made to ensure the Sweetgrass basketweaving tradition continues. The Mount Pleasant Sweetgrass Basketmakers' Association, the Mount Pleasant Town Council, and other organizations are working together in these efforts (Strauss).

Section XIII: Funerary and Burial Customs

The idea of death was ever present among the slaves. Slavery itself presented many challenges for survival including the physical harshness of plantation work itself. The confinement to a particular environment and the religious beliefs provided ample opportunities for slaves to consider death as a way out of their existence. In spiritual hymns, death is depicted mainly as a way out of the life in which the slave found him or herself. Alho (1976) writes that: “generally death is presented as a liberating and happy event, the significance of which lies in its being a gateway to another, better world.” He offers examples such as “I’m bound’ ter go ter heaven when I dies”; or “I bless de lord I’m goin’ die” and “before I’d be a slave, I’d be buried in my grave, and go home to my lord and be saved” (p. 93). Cone also emphasizes the view that many slaves had of the harsh life they were living and welcomed death as a passage that would free them. “The black man was shackled in a hostile white world without any power to make the white man recognize him as a person. He had to devise means of survival. This accounts for the slave’s preoccupation with death. Death was a compelling and ever present reality for the slave because of the cheapness with which his life was regarded . . . He was faced constantly with the imminent threat of death of which the terrible overseer was the symbol; and the awareness that he was only chattel property as can be seen in this refrain ‘Death is winter lay his cold icy hands on me, Lord. One mornin’ I was walkin’ alone. I heard a voice and I saw no man. Said go in peace and sin no more. Yo’ sins fo’given an ‘yo’ soul set free’ “ (p. 336).

There is the widespread belief among African Americans that life must have a proper ending. Sobel (1979) has described funeral practices during the antebellum period which often included whites preaching at black funerals but not the reverse, even though some blacks had reputations as funeral orators, and many established their names through their graveside performances. Formal funerals, he writes, “were marks of respect for the dead and status for the living; accordingly they could be elaborate and expensive affairs. As blacks created formal Christian organizations, burial became one of the central tasks.” Blacks had a strong tradition of funeral drama in which the African tradition of first and second funerals was merged with white traditions. Although the body might be buried soon after death, an elaborate second burial or commemoration service was often held later. Sobel also describes the preparation and interment of the deceased. “At death bodies were laid on cooling boards, the women bound in winding sheets and the men in black shrouds; all bodies were attended by congregants until burial in a formal setting up ceremony . . . The grave was generally dug in an east to west direction with the head laid to the west so the dead would not have to turn around when Gabriel blew the rising trumpet in the east.”

Prior to the establishment of local churches and associated gravesites many people were buried on the plantation where they lived. Typically whites and blacks were buried in separate graveyards before and after the Civil War. Christ Church Cemetery was burial site of Snee Farm and other local plantation owners; Cooke’s Old Cemetery, the Hamlin family graveyard has a number of people from the community buried there including some slaves. In his interview, HBrown commented on burials at Snee Farm: “They had people buried on Snee Farm too you know. When, they were building those places, they dug up a lot of those graves and brought –um over to Longpoint . . . and then took some to Olive Branch. And even down here in uh Hobcorn Creek and not just recently in the last eight years they dug up a lot of bodies and, and they didn’t dig um all up- too many graves- and a lot of them houses sittin’ on grave.”

According to HBrown, those responsible for digging up the graves told the families of those whose graves had been dug up after the fact most of the time. He added that when he goes to some of those areas to work, he tells people that there are homes sitting on graves. Those he tells are usually unaware and surprised to learn this.

Jellis is a deacon at Long Point Baptist Church, one of the elders of the community, and at 85, is one of the oldest. He has a rich historical knowledge of the Snee Farm/Snowden community. The Royal family, he said, used to own the property where Long Point is located. When Mr. Stone purchased land from the Royal family, the records showed that some of the land had been given to the church. Stone worked on making the location of the church official, and in the 1980s Long Point Baptist was given the deed, the title, and a plat identifying the land where the church now sits.

Jellis also indicated that he was concerned about where his ancestors were buried and wondered why after the founding of the church, they were not buried at the church site. He knew that before there was a cemetery at Longpoint Church, people in the community were buried on Snee Farm property, in the woods. Consequently, he checked the courthouse records and confirmed that the 'spot' was given to the church for religious service and burial and as a result a cemetery was put on the church grounds.

Another respondent, HPalmer, described his efforts to work with developers constructing housing subdivisions in Parker Island, a former African American plantation community, to minimize the destruction of graves by identifying other historically important material remains of the community. Parker Island, which was a part of Boone Hall plantation, included Parker's Wharf where Brickyard is and bricks were made by blacks in the area. African Americans did not own the land in Parker Island but were allowed to live there. Sammy Scott's old brick barn, with three-inch thick walls from slavery time, was still in Parker Island. Sweet grass baskets first started being made in Parker Island according to stories told to HPalmer by "old lady Sarah Wydman" who was born during slavery time. According to HPalmer, these baskets were originally copied from pottery patterns that the Africans use to make. HPalmer would get 10 cents for carrying the baskets from Parker Island to people like Cecilia German in Seven-mile and Hamlin who would sell them on the Highway 17N for 50 cents. Pottery made by Africans has been found on some of the graves in Parker Island.

Many of the African-American churches have old gravesites. Unlike Cooke's Old Field Cemetery and Christ Church Cemetery, which are recognized as historically important landmarks in the Mount Pleasant community by area docents (community historians and tour guides), African American community church cemeteries are not currently recognized in this way. There is a grave marker in Longpoint Baptist Church's cemetery for Bella Smalls (1865-1945). There are also grave markers for many of the ancestors of the people described like former worker A. Smalls. There are many grave markers to be discovered and much information to be gained about former plantation community populations by reading grave markers.

With few exceptions, blacks and whites were buried in separate cemeteries or sections of cemeteries. FSaunders discusses funeral practices and gravesite practices as follows:

"There is a Mount Pleasant town cemetery. One side is for black folks and one side is for whites. Nearly everybody in Mount Pleasant is buried in the town cemetery." FSaunders' said her mother and father are buried there, and there are tombstones there from the 1700s. There were social clubs/societies to which FSaunders' grandparents belonged. She said her grandfather belonged to the Brotherhood society, and members of the society would wash the body of a deceased member before the undertaker took the body. "Then the undertaker could pick the body up."

Section XIV: Waterborne Subsistence and Commercial Activities

During the Mathews era at Snee Farm, 65 acres of reclaimed marshland was used for the cultivation of rice. There has been a great deal of speculation about the beginnings of rice cultivation in South Carolina, where the first rice came from, when it made its first appearance, and who was most responsible for its successful culture. The theory that it was introduced by chance has been discredited for some years, and it is clear that the crop was one among many others that the lord's proprietors envisioned as a "vendible commodity" for the province at its inception. It is also clear that many Africans were experienced rice producers and conversely that English colonists in South Carolina had some difficulty in cultivating the crop successfully.

Recent historians have been highly conscious of the fact that the period in which rice became an important export commodity coincided with that in which Africans were imported in significant and eventually overwhelming numbers. In view of the ignorance of rice cultivation in South Carolina undoubtedly could have been more important than commonly realized, particularly because many of the practices of early production paralleled those in Africa.

Certainly Carolina rice had a distinctive reputation, is obviously a hybrid, and doubtlessly took time and effort to develop. Lest this task appear to have been beyond those who were relatively ignorant about rice and lacking in contemporary agricultural technology, a climatologist has recently pointed out that most crops have been introduced into new areas not by scientists or other specialists but by practical men who tackled and solved agrarian conundrums before the problems were properly appreciated by authorities. The need to find or develop such a plan could mean that the connection between African imports and successful rice culture came about by design rather than by chance. That is, Englishmen, from the beginning, could have made a conscious effort to import slaves from those regions known to produce rice.

It is clear, then, that South Carolinians did place a positive emphasis on slaves from rice growing regions. It has also been established that before Carolina was settled, Englishmen were aware that Africans possessed the technical knowledge to produce this crop and that from the earliest period of successful rice production in South Carolina a relationship developed between this region and rice-growing regions in Africa. Finally, it has been shown that while Englishmen in Carolina had no prior experience with rice cultivation and had some difficulty inducing rice to grow, they evinced a willingness to avail themselves of the African skills of their bondsmen. The evidence is circumstantial but leads to the suggestion that the early connection between South Carolina and Gambia was a purposeful one, related to the production of rice. In this regard, Englishmen had everything to learn and Africans much to teach. Common sense would have dictated a continued desire for laborers familiar with the crop to be produced. In the early years, however, Carolinians may well have gone to Gambia as students and brought Africans back as teachers, making the African influence on the development of rice cultivation in Carolina a decisive one.

Section XV: Summary

Although the records are scant about the activities of slaves, and their descendents, associated with the Snee Farm Plantation, there is ample evidence from descriptions of African American workers alive today, that the Snee Farm Plantation functioned much the same way as many of the other lowcountry plantations. The Snee Farm Plantation survived a succession of owners. The African American workers working in the lowcountry had a unique set of circumstances which governed their work activities, and distinguished their relationship with the plantation owners. These workers on the Coastal South Carolina worked under a task system.

This task system allowed slaves to negotiate with the plantation owner the completion a particular set of jobs, rather than work the usual gangland style from sun-up to sundown as practiced in the upland country of South Carolina and in most of the other southern states. This system undoubtedly influenced the relationship between plantation owner and freed slave after slavery was abolished. Many of the African American informants who worked on Snee Farm described working for other plantations in the area, Boone Hall or Laurel for example, as time permitted them to do so.

The Snee Farm produced many different types of vegetables for market, and the Africans American informants indicated their participation in all aspects of the farm functioning process. Africans Americans also reported a work schedule that included specific intervals for breakfast, lunch and dinner. Pay was also presented as a fee for work produced.

Section XVI: Conclusion

Snee Farm is an important plantation because of its direct linkage to the life Charles Pinckney. It is also an important resource for documenting the social and cultural experiences of African Americans during and after slavery, and for exploring the relationship between the African Americans associated with Snee Farm and the Gullah culture.

This group of people lived a typical post-slavery existence like families all across the southern United States between 1870 and 1960. For the most part, they were rural farmers who spent time growing and cultivating their own produce. They spent little on acquired goods.

The African American workers of Snee Farm also are a unique part of the Gullah population. They share the Gullah culture, language, crafts, and funeral customs. They also share the biological heritage of the Gullah population.

The African Americans interviewed spoke fondly of Snee Farm, both as the major employer in the area during their working years, and as their home. African Americans once constituted the majority population during the slavery years and immediately thereafter during the Reconstruction years of the South Carolina population. In recent years, the population of African Americans has steadily declined, while the white population has grown to a clear majority of the people in Mount Pleasant. What this means for the African American population is the slow, but progressive shrinkage of their neighborhoods, the increasing demand for their land by large development corporations, and the progressive loss of their culture through the scattering of the various people from their original communities.

African Americans are becoming the minority in their communities in which they were once the majority population group. As the older African Americans become less active in their communities through death or infirmities brought on by age, the role that such groups played in the promotion of the heritage of the community is becoming part of the tourist trade, and less of community celebrations. Residents and non-residents of Mount Pleasant, African American and white, have rediscovered there is money in Gullah culture. Money is made through tours, selling of sweetgrass basket products, and the promotion of books and recorded material. And, there are many academic projects underway to “capture” the Mount Pleasant African American culture “before it fades into history.”

Such intense activity in these communities has created a lack of privacy for many of the residents, as often we felt like intruders in our research efforts. But, it is in many ways, necessary activity in order that this important culture is not forgotten.

Section XVII: Sources

Snee Farm Documents: Descriptions

Last Will and Testament of Charles Pinckney II, 1782

Last Will and Testament of Charles Pinckney III, 1824

Conveyance in Trust. Charles Pinckney III to Simon Magwood et al. (1816)

Charleston County Court of Chancery: Equity Bill #47, Charles Pinckney II vs. Daniel D'Oyley (1805).
[This is an oversized document and is filed separately].

Master in Equity Sale to Francis G. Deliesseline (1817)

Sheriff's Sale to William Mathews (1828)

McCrary Plats: Descriptions

Plat 6013

Plat 0687

Plat 6071

Plat 2354

Plats 5565 and 6151

Plats 6049, 923, and 5559

Topographical Overlays to Proper Scale (15 chains)

Copy of Letter from E. Milby Burton to Mrs. Thomas Ewing

Brockington's "Cultural Resource Study"

W.S. Elliott, "Charles Pinckney," from DeBow's Review

Oral Interview Summaries (Hollowell, Hamlin, Rutledge)

Snee Farm Property Chain of Title

McCrary Plats, 0687; Deeds, 00:687 South Carolina Department of Churches and History

Gene Waddell

n.d. Design of the Charles Pinckney House. Unpublished manuscript, pp. 2, 7. Agnes Leland Baldwin personal files.

Mills, Robert

1862 Statistics of South Carolina Including a View of Natural, Civil, and Military History, General and Particular. Charleston. p. 460.

Mills B. Lane

1984 Architecture in the Old South. Savannah. Pp. 41-42.

McCrary Plats, 6049, 5564, 6151. South Carolina Department of Archives and History.

The archeological work done by Brockington and Associates (1987) used the wrong scale and hence excavated the wrong site. See Reference Document 15 for an overlay drawn to the correct scale.

References Cited & Bibliography

Alho, Olli

1976 *The Religion of Slaves*. Helsinki, Finland: Suomalainen Tiedeakatemia Academia Scientiarum Fennica.

Apptheker, Herbert

1968 *American Negro Slave Revolts*. New York: International Publishers.

Baldwin, William P

1985 *Plantations of the Low Country*. Greensboro, NC: Legacy.

Blythe, Robert W., Emily Kleine, and Steven H. Moffson.

2000 *Charles Pinckney National Historic Site: Historic Resource Study*. Atlanta: National Park Service.

Brockington, Jr., Paul E

1987 *A Culture Resource Survey at Snee Farm, 38CH917, Charleston County, South Carolina*. Atlanta: Brockington and Associates.

Bull, Elias B.

1967 *Four Mile House: Inn on Its Way Out*. March 1967. *Preservation Progress*: Charleston, SC. Preservation Society of Charleston.

Campbell, Heather

2001 Teachers, students celebrate year-long Gullah grant project. 5/23/01 *Journal Scene*. Summerville, SC.

Chase, Judith

1978 *American Heritage From Ante-Bellum Black Craftsmen*. *Southern Folklore Quarterly* 42:135-158.

DeBow, J. D. D.

1855 *Mortality Statistics of the 7th Census of the United States-1850*. Washington D.C.: U. S. Bureau of Census.

Doar, David

1907 *A Sketch of the Agricultural Society of St. James, Santee, South Carolina and an Address on the Traditions and Reminiscences of the Parish*. Santee, SC: Agricultural Society of St. James.

Dover, Cedric

1960 American Negro Art. Greenwich, CT: New York Graphic Society.

Edgar, W. B

1991 Historic Snee Farm: A Documentary record. Columbia, SC: University of South Carolina Department of History

Ferguson, Leland

1992 Uncommon Ground: Archeology and Early Africa America, 1650-1800. Washington, DC: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Fix, Sybil

1992 Basket maker seeks 'safe place.' 7/11/92 Post and Courier. Charleston, SC.

Fogle, R. W. and S. L. Engerman

1974 Time on the Cross I. Little, Brown and Company.

Franklin, John H

1943 The Free Negro in North Carolina, 1790-1860. Chapel Hill, NC: University of North Carolina Press.

Genoveses, E. D.

1974 Roll, Jordan, Roll. The World the Slaves Made. New York: Random House.

Gibbs, Tyson, Kathleen Cargill, Leslie Sue Lieberman, and Elizabeth J. Reitz

1980 Nutrition in a Slave Population: An Anthropological Examination. Medical Anthropology 4(2): 175-262.

Glanton, Dahleen

2001 Small island of Gullah culture tries to stay afloat. 6/4/2001 Chicago Tribune: Chicago, IL.

Gregorie, Anne K

1961 Christ Church 1706-1959: A Plantation Parish of the South Carolina Establishment. Charleston: Dalcho Historical Society.

Hale, B. J.

1987 Origins: Mount Pleasant Has Taken the Hard Road into the Big Time as A Town. The Moultrie News: Mount Pleasant, SC.

Hardin, Jason

2001 Once-rural community finds itself no longer insulated from growth. 7/8/01 Post and Courier: Charleston, SC.

Hatcher, Thurston

1991 Residents of Snowden community split over joining Mount Pleasant. 11/10/91 Post and Courier: Charleston, SC.

Herskovitts, M. J.

1990 *The Myth of the Negro Past*. New York: Harper Brothers Press.

Hofbauer, Lisa

1997 Marker validates history of sweetgrass weaving. 11/23/97 *Post and Courier*: Charleston, SC.

Killion, R. and C. Walker

1973 *Slavery Time When I was Chillun Down on the Marsh and Plantation*. Savannah, GA: The Beehive Press.

Lawrence, Catherine

2001 Gullah Connection. 5/22/01 *Post and Courier*: Charleston, SC.

Littlefield, Daniel C.

1981 *Rice and Slaves: Ethnicity and the Slave Trade in Colonial South Carolina*. Baton Rouge: Louisiana State University Press.

Littlefield, Daniel C.

1981 Continuity and Change in Slave Culture: South Carolina and the West Indies. *Southern Studies* 26: 202-216.

Meggett, Linda L.

1999 Basket weavers caught in a bind. 2/22/99 *Post and Courier*: Charleston, SC.

Mount Pleasant Docents

2000 Interview with Osgood Darby Hamlin, Jr. Mount Pleasant, SC: Manuscript.

Munday, Dave

2001 Gullah/Geechee constitution introduced. 7/2/01 *Post and Courier*: Charleston, SC.

2001 Gullah-Geechee people enthrone queen of nation. 7/3/01 *Post and Courier*: Charleston, SC.

Otto, John Soloman

1975 *Status Differences and the Archeological Record-A Comparison of the Planter, Overseer, and Slave Sites from Cannon's Point Plantation, (1794-1861), St. Simons's Island, GA*. Ph.D. Dissertation, Department of Anthropology, University of Florida, Gainesville.

Peek, Phil

1978 *Afro-American Material Culture and the Afro-American Craftsman*. *Southern Folklore Quarterly* 42:109-134

Pollitzer, William

1999 *The Gullah People and Their African Heritage*. Athens, GA: University of Georgia.

Quick, David

1988 Basketmakers want security amid growth. 11/3/88 *Post-Courier*: Charleston, SC.

1997 Marker unveiling Saturday. 11/20/97 *Post and Courier*: Charleston, SC.

- 1998 Residents uneasy about proposed road. 2/25/99 Post and Courier: Charleston, SC.
2000 Gullah-Geechee people to name their first queen. 6/30/00 Post and Courier: Charleston, SC

Rose, W. L.

- 1976 A Documentary History of Slavery in North America. New York: Oxford University Press.

Savitt, T. L.

- 1978 Medicine and Slavery: The Disease and Healthcare of Blacks in Antebellum, Virginia. Urbana, IL: University of Illinois Press.

Singleton, Theresa

- 1985 The Archeology of Slavery and Plantation Life. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.

Sobel, Mechal

- 1979 Trabelin' On: the Slave Journey To An Afro-Baptist Faith. Westport, CT: Greenwood Press.

Stampp, K.

- 1956 The Peculiar Institution. New York: Alfred A. Knopf.

Strauss, Sharon

- 1993 Basketmakers sow sweetgrass. 6/6/93 Post and Courier: Charleston, SC.

Sutch, R.

- 1976 The Care and Feeding of Slaves. In Reckoning with Slavery. P. A. David Ed. Pp. 357. New York: Oxford Press.

Stanton, W.

- 1960 The Leopard's Spots: Scientific Attitudes Toward Race in America, 1815-1859. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Stavisky, Leonard

- 1949 Negro Craftsmanship in Early America. American Historical Review 54:315-325.

Taylor, Alrutheus

- 1924 (re-issued 1969) The Negro in South Carolina During Reconstruction. New York: Russell and Russell Publishers.

Twining, M.

- 1978 Harvesting and Heritage: A Comparison of Afro-American and African Basketry. Southern Folklore Quarterly: 159-174

Vincent, Susan Hart

- 1998 Charles Pinckney National Historic Site Cultural Landscape Report. Atlanta: National Park Service, Southeast Regional Office.

Waring, J. J.

1967 *The History of Medicine in South Carolina, 1825-1900*. Charleston, SC Medical Association.

Williams, R. A. ed.

1975 *Textbook of Black Related Diseases*. New York: McGraw-Hill.

United States Census Bureau

1800 *Population Schedules of the Second Census of the United States, 1800*. (Fort Worth: National Archives and Records; Microfilm: 1958; M32:48:0040). Washington, DC: National Archives and Records.

1810 *Population Schedules of the Second Census of the United States, 1810*. (Fort Worth: National Archives and Records; Microfilm: 1958; M252:60:0208). Washington, DC: National Archives and Records.

1820 *Population Schedules of the Fourth Census of the United States, 1820*. (Fort Worth: National Archives and Records; Microfilm: 1958; M33:119:0208). Washington, DC: National Archives and Records.

1830 *Population Schedules of the Fifth Census of the United States, 1830*. (Fort Worth: National Archives and Records; Microfilm: 1944; M19:170:0238). Washington, DC: National Archives and Records.

1840 *Population Schedules of the Sixth Census of the United States, 1840*. (Fort Worth: National Archives and Records; Microfilm: 1967; M704:509:0374). Washington, DC: National Archives and Records.

1850 *Population Schedules of the Seventh Census of the United States, 1850*. (Fort Worth: National Archives and Records; Microfilm: 1964; M432:862:0397). Washington, DC: National Archives and Records.

1860a *Population Schedules of the Eighth Census of the United States, 1860*. (Fort Worth: National Archives and Records; Microfilm: 1967; M653:1215:0108). Washington, DC: National Archives and Records.

1860b *Slave Schedules of the Eighth Census of the United States, 1860*. (Fort Worth: National Archives and Records; Microfilm: 1967; M653:1232:0272). Washington, DC: National Archives and Records.

1870 *Population Schedules of the Ninth Census of the United States, 1870*. (Fort Worth: National Archives and Records; Microfilm: 1965; M593:1488:0125). Washington, DC: National Archives and Records.

1880 *Population Schedules of the Tenth Census of the United States, 1880*. (Fort Worth: National Archives and Records; Microfilm: 1965; T9:1223:78:15:34) Washington, DC: National Archives and Records.

1910 *Population Schedules of the Thirteenth Census of the United States, 1910*. (Fort Worth: National Archives and Records; Microfilm: 1965; T624:1453:0125). Washington, D.C.: National Archives and Records.

1920 *Population Schedules of the Fourteenth Census of the United States, 1920*. (Fort Worth: National Archives and Records; Microfilm: 1965; T625:1687:78:1:25). Washington, D.C.: National Archives and Records.



As the nation's principal conservation agency, the Department of the Interior has responsibility for most of our nationally owned public lands and natural resources. This includes fostering sound use of our land and water resources; protecting our fish, wildlife, and biological diversity; preserving the environmental and cultural values of our national parks and historical places; and providing for the enjoyment of life through outdoor recreation. The department assesses our energy and mineral resources and works to ensure that their development is in the best interests of all our people by encouraging stewardship and citizen participation in their care. The department also has a major responsibility for American Indian reservation communities and for people who live in island territories under U.S. administration.

NPS D-33 October 2006

Charles Pinckney National Historic Site
1254 Long Point Road
Mt. Pleasant, South Carolina 29464

www.nps.gov/chpi