



BULLETIN

Volume 7: No. 2

Cultural Resources Management • A National Park Service Technical Bulletin

July 1984

Management Issues in the Field of Cultural Resources

Trees growing wild on the roofs of historic buildings may seem like an improbable situation, but nevertheless, the Park Service has come to grips with this and other rather surprising issues. As the primary agency responsible for various facets of our cultural heritage, the Service deals with complex and unusual problems. This volume of the CRM BULLETIN covers a variety of issues presently occupying management decisionmaking time. In the case of vegetative intrusions onto historic areas, specific maintenance activities seem to offer a solution. But like the other problems covered in this volume, dealing with uncontrolled vegetation requires direct management involvement prior to action being taken by maintenance and other support personnel.

Maintenance, itself, has become a growing management concern, not simply in its performance, but in how to provide upward mobility ladders for maintenance employees seeking historic preservation experience. The ability to progress from one position to another within the Service is still being developed. Hugh C. Miller has some thoughts on how to fully accomplish it. Further updates on this challenging and important process will be covered in later issues of the BULLETIN.

The treatment of outdoor bronze statuary has become one of the most pressing issues of the 1980s. Discussions of how we protect as well as understand this very public form of art has generated new interest in this overlooked resource. Michael Panhorst explores

interpretation as well as treatment of outdoor bronzes in his article, "The Appreciation and Preservation of Monumental Bronze Sculpture." Nicholas Veloz provides illustrative case studies taken from one region's efforts to treat bronzes in the park environment. All together, both articles offer useful perspectives on a significant part of our urban setting.

Like bronzes, ethnographic objects contribute to our record of human activity. Debra Berke explores the significance of NPS ethnographic collections in conjunction with her survey of Servicewide ethnographic objects. Her survey appears in this issue as a tool to assist scholars and non-scholars alike.

Peter Steele's article, "To Rehabilitate a Warship," illustrates another Park Service concern. The NPS has jurisdiction over historic vessels both at the Charleston Navy Yard and at Golden Gate National Recreation Area. A ship requires certain very specific adaptations of preservation processes. Its thorough

restoration, for example, the way a hull is protected, demands diligent inspection and care. This process is discussed as it applies to CASSIN YOUNG, a warship historically associated with Charleston Navy Yard. The equally complex restoration of C.A. THAYER, a sailing vessel from Golden Gate National Recreation Area, will be covered in the next issue of the CRM BULLETIN.

Finally, to assist readers who have saved or wish to refer back to previous issues of the BULLETIN, an index of articles, consolidated according to year of publication and professional discipline, has been provided. It is available in tear-out form at the back of this issue.

Taking direct steps to solve the special needs of cultural resources represents only one management challenge for the 1980s. Nevertheless, the demands of these resources are great; and managers face the responsibility of how to preserve these tangible remains of our cultural past in an increasingly hostile environment. ©

The Appreciation and Preservation of Monumental Bronze Sculpture

Michael W. Panhorst

Bronze portraits of soldiers, statesmen and local heroes erected around the turn of the century have lost much of their power to inspire public sentiment for the individuals and national ideals they memorialize. Weathering has

adversely affected their appearance while their significance to patrons, sculptors, and audience has been forgotten. Few people realize the complex, time-consuming, expensive procedures involved in

continued on page 2

Bronze Sculpture

continued from page 1

commissioning, modeling, casting, and dedicating public monuments. Even fewer understand that bronze sculpture was not designed for our increasingly acidic atmosphere that turns deep black, dark green, and warm brown bronze patinas to a monotonous, powdery shade of lime sherbet. Art historians and sculpture conservators remain undecided about the most effective cures for "greening," streaking, and staining; but they have begun to assess the situation, and two things are clear: (1) monumental bronze sculpture represents a rich cultural, historic and artistic heritage that merits serious study and interpretation, and (2) the statuary itself demands prompt attention if it is to endure.

An estimated 20,000 monuments and memorials are scattered across the United States (one in ten on National Park Service sites). Much of the bronze statuary evolved from the prosperous and socially conscious City Beautiful era (1893-1917). Portraits of stalwart Civil War soldiers like *General Stephen Dill Lee* populate many city parks; monuments to the soldiers and sailors of individual states appear in capital cities and on major battlefields. Sentinels, single-figure statues collectively representing every Johnny Reb and Billy Yank that served in the war, stand silent watch over cemeteries and county courthouse lawns from Maine to Texas, and from Florida to Minnesota. Memorials to other wars and warriors abound. Effigies of politicians, educators, inventors and philanthropists swell the ranks, marking the historic sites where these memorable men and women lived, worked, and died.

In portraits and symbolic statues, the chief component of the sculptor's language is the human figure. Ideas are delineated visually by the expressive treatment of the human form—alone, in groups, and in combination with accessory items.

A precise likeness does not convey the distinctive traits of an individual. But combined with elements such as posture and stance, it contributes to the characterization. The inspirational presence and calm determination of



General Stephen Dill Lee, Vicksburg National Military Park, by Henry Hudson Kitson, 1909.

General Stephen Dill Lee is evoked by his upright carriage and his confident grip on his drawn sword, as much as by facial details. In the cavalry group of the Wisconsin State Monument at Vicksburg, the sculptor shows the courage and composure of a typical cavalryman by contrasting his steady aim with the agonized expression on the reared head of his wounded horse. This dynamic composition with its agitated outline forms a statement much different from the static sentinels, who stand with quiet dignity. The closed contour or silhouette of the statue and the simplified folds of the heavy cloak enhance the sorrowful attitude of memorial statues of this type.

Contours can be as beneficial to the success of a sculpture as the surface quality and massing of forms. The importance of silhouette is aptly demonstrated by analysis of

a group in high relief on the base of the Mississippi State Monument at Vicksburg. Due to poor joining of separately cast appendages, and vandalism, the integrity and impact of the sculpture have been seriously compromised. In all probability, the blocky outline on the right of this group would have been alleviated by the flagstaff and handgun. The sword now missing from the colorbearer's right hand would have led the eye into the composition like another spoke revolving around the hub of the cannon barrel end. A rifle, perhaps with bayonet attached, may have been in the hands of the slumping soldier in the foreground. This would have provided the lower leg of a triangle formed by the commander's body and the missing flagstaff, and thus visually would have given a more unified character to the group.

Surface streaking and staining, a problem more endemic to bronze than vandalism, may also be seen in the Mississippi State Monument group, but a more illustrative example is found in a small relief plaque on Missionary Ridge in Chattanooga. In this panel, light green corrosion projects and rivulets of a red stain (perhaps from iron mounting pins) camouflage the image, making it difficult to distinguish individual figures and virtually impossible to recognize the features of the men portrayed. This is especially unfortunate, since the scene indeed is historic and the combatants identifiable.

From all indications, vandalism, "greening," streaking and staining will continue, probably accelerated by acid rain, unless preventive maintenance is performed. Consequently, bronze sculptures should be inspected regularly so that problems may be handled before they become irremediable. Rusted mounting pins should be replaced with bronze before the castings crack; loose appendages should be secured before they are lost. Wear and tear, as well as potential injuries, caused by people climbing on accessible and unpoliced statuary, might be prevented by the installation (or reinstallation) of cast iron fences, part of the original design for certain nineteenth century monumental sculpture.

The host of corrosion problems besetting bronze pose problems more vexing than vandalism. Sculpture conservators and metallurgists concur that undesirable changes to the artificial, chemically induced patinas originally applied at the foundry occur principally by exposing bronze to sulfur and nitrogen compounds in a damp environment, but these same specialists disagree about the best methods to arrest corrosion and repair damage. Solutions range from washing with soft natural bristle brushes, and de-ionized soap and water, followed by several coats of wax to the stripping of all corrosion products (usually with glass bead peening), repatination, encapsulation with an acrylic lacquer (Incralac) and the application of wax. Alternatives include peening with soft abrasives (ground corn cob or walnut shell) and mechanical scrubbing with bronze wool and brass brushes. Some conservators advocate the use of Benzotriazole (BTA) as a corrosion inhibitor. All agree on the

use of either beeswax, carnauba or a microcrystalline wax as a sacrificial coating which must be renewed at least annually, to protect the cleaned, renovated or restored bronze.

Controversy regarding the safe and appropriate conservation of bronze focuses on the surface of the metal. Can chloride pitting be controlled? Does any remnant of the original surface texture or patina exist after light green and black accretions appear on bronze? Since corrosion products are formed at the expense of the metal (like rust) should they be removed? The irreversibility of stripping the "natural" (environmentally induced) patina dictates cautious consideration. A less intrusive treatment, sealing corrosion in a plastic or wax envelope, may only postpone problems. Moisture can migrate through pin holes from the inside of a statue or through cracks in the sealant and thus may stimulate surface deterioration in spite of wax and Incralac.

Aesthetic concerns compound the problem. Did sculptors expect

statuary to acquire the matte pea green "natural" patina thought, incorrectly, to be protective? Rare evidence (*Technology and Conservation*, Spring 1983) indicates that some did. Yet Augustus Saint-Gaudens put two layers of gilding on his equestrian monument to General William T. Sherman (New York City, 1903) at his own expense to prevent it from looking "like an old stove-pipe." Further indication of the color sculptors intended for monumental sculpture is provided by small-scale bronzes and hand-painted plaster competition models. These range from dark green to warm golden brown, often with highlights achieved by polishing. Replicas, sketches and studies kept indoors, waxed and polished have rich translucent patinas that glow with a jewel-like quality. Similar surfaces may be found only on small parts of outdoor sculpture like the toes of boots, which receive regular oiling by the touch of hands, and the saddle, saddlebag and mane of the horse on the Wisconsin State

continued on page 5

MAINTENANCE TRAINING FOR HISTORIC PRESERVATION: Is It A Different Ball Game?

Hugh C. Miller, AIA

Historic preservation is not really a different ball game. It has a lot of common features with the maintenance of modern facilities. It also has very specific rules. But it is a game that can be played at totally different levels of expertise. The goal is simply to learn to do the best job possible at the appropriate level, and in so doing, to progress to the next. In the National Park Service, opportunities exist for just such advancement, depending on individual interest and aptitude. Historic preservation is no sacred process performed by the annointed few, but the application of a philosophy in which everyone participates, whether as maintenance employees, specialists, professionals, managers, or a combination of these.

Full participation by NPS maintenance staff in the

preservation process has been a long-time goal of the Washington Office. Servicewide training courses for maintenance workers and maintenance managers started in the early 1970s. In addition to Mather and Albright Training Center courses, historic preservation maintenance training has come of age in most regions and some parks. A program to train and certify maintenance staff for historic structure preservation work began in the North Atlantic Region in the late 1970s and is now formally referenced in the NPS-28 Guidelines. Simultaneously, systems to improve preservation maintenance management were being developed. The North Atlantic Region designed the computer based Preservation Maintenance Inspection System

continued on page 4



United States Soldiers Monument, Antietam National Cemetery, modeled by Carl H. Conrads and carved by James W. Pollette, finished 1874, dedicated 1880.

(PMI). The Southwest Region and the Rocky Mountain Region developed model Historic Structures Preservation Guides (HSPG). Using these systems and the general guidance of NPS-28, other regions and parks are now developing HSPGs. These are the new play books; and training in how to play the game is gathering momentum.

The key to the training for preservation maintenance is understanding the goals and objectives of the process. Preservation involves actions that prevent wear, loss, or decay of materials. The process is continuous, rather than a one time maintenance project. Washing a painted wall and inpainting worn or defective areas may be labor intensive, but over time, it extends the life of the protective film. Similarly, it minimizes additional build-up of film thickness along with the risk of cross-grain cracking or paint-film failures resulting from accumulation of paint coats. Knowledge of preservation as a process rather than successive, unrelated steps distinguishes the expert from the student, and is a skill created not at once but only as the student passes through a series of training activities or experiences.

Formal preservation maintenance training deals with the definitions and ideas involved in the preservation process as well as learning how to evaluate and handle critical problems. Classes and field exercises explain the maintenance management system and how it applies to preservation work. Inspection systems offer the key to problem identification, part of which is determining cause and effect as well as degree of seriousness. When one can differentiate between the symptom and the actual cause of the problem, the solution comes more easily. Indeed, the student who progresses this far has come a long way toward thinking through building problems and making preservation decisions.

The exercise of inspecting a building also calls one of the first ground rules of preservation into play. To preserve existing (especially historic) parts of a structure, the method of preservation or repair must not

change that part. "Fix it like new" or "fix it better than it was" is usually philosophically inappropriate. The definition of original or historic period fabric will indicate where extreme care must be used, and the selected treatment should involve the least change. Where later period, non-historic repairs or replacements need work, good mechanical solutions are probably the way to go.

Learning to prioritize is another important aspect of such training. Problems can be categorized as "urgent," "necessary," "nice," and "watch it for awhile." But all too often, time is spent on "nice" projects, like polishing the brass plaque by the front door while the "necessary" cleaning of debris from the gutters goes ignored. The attempt to shore a cracked wall may be putting emphasis in the wrong place, while simple structural monitoring might indicate the crack is not moving and the only fix needed is sealing it from weather. All of this is good practice when maintaining any building but especially good practice in historic preservation training. One can see it is the same ball game, but with slightly different rules.

The second level of training — formal workshops or structured on-the-job training — provide opportunities to use historic buildings for their learning potential — to see and understand historic construction methods, to learn about materials and material performance, to share information on procedures that worked and did not work. If the goal is to preserve our historic structures "forever," then we have an opportunity to use our structures as laboratories — not guinea pigs to test unknown procedures but as subjects to be observed over time under known conditions that will inform us of how buildings really age and what we can do about the accompanying deterioration.

Formal training provides basic information for the beginner — the truisms of the trades and the "no-nos" of practice. Special training workshops and experience sum up knowledge of historic construction techniques,

continued on page 7

OUTDOOR SCULPTURE IN THE PARK ENVIRONMENT

Nicholas F. Veloz

Several techniques for treating outdoor bronze sculptures have been applied to statues ranging from 15 to 75 years of age. These procedures involve cleaning the statues with solvents, detergents, and low pressure soft abrasives to remove old wax coatings, 10 year old insoluble Incralac, corrosion products, dirt, and exuding core material. Procedures included application of benzotriazole (BTA) as a corrosion inhibitor, and a final application of wax as a sacrificial protective coating.

Realization that many outdoor monumental sculptures in the National Capital Region have been casually maintained prompted this program. In the past, care ranged from absence of oversight to semi-regular cleaning using hot water, steam, and a variety of proprietary chemicals. There were occasional variations, such as brushing a heavy coat of beeswax on the Paul Manship sculpture, *Theodore Roosevelt*, and using low pressure soft abrasives without exposing the bright metal of the underlying bronze.

Throughout the treatment, two basic tenets were followed:

1. Preservation of the sculpture was emphasized, with aesthetic considerations being of secondary importance. Appropriate treatment included minimal intervention, no removal of original surface, and reversible procedures. It was also felt that the ultimate appearance should have a metallic luster, consistent with that of bronze.

2. No "pat" treatment worked for all statues. Adjustments were made between statues and even on the same statue based on perceived need and the effect of specific treatment.

What follows are several examples illustrating these approaches. They dramatize the importance of management awareness of cultural resources as well as management commitment to their maintenance and care. In all

cases the process was as non-abrasive as possible and developed both to protect the original fabric and preserve its bronze appearance.

THEODORE ROOSEVELT

Since its installation in 1967, *Theodore Roosevelt* received sporadic treatment in the form of washings with hot water/steam and unknown detergents or other chemicals. In partial deference to the artist's wishes, a beeswax coating was heavily applied, but the high points were not buffed. Close examination during cleaning indicated that pigment had been added to the last applied coating. In 1978, the statue and previously applied coating appeared black; in places especially exposed to the weather, this black coating had eroded, with a thin film of light green corrosion products covering the exposed surface of the bronze.

The encrusted wax coating was removed with solvents. Application of straight turpentine proved effective, particularly when turpentine soaked cloth diapers remained in contact with the hardened, dirty wax for a short period of time. Particularly difficult areas were scrubbed with stiff natural bristled brushes and/or nylon covered sponges ("dobie pads").

Next, the entire statue and granite plinth were scrubbed with "Spic and Span," a commercial detergent containing sodium sequicarbonate, trisodium phosphate, and other cleaning materials. Following vigorous scrubbing, the sculpture was rinsed with copious amounts of water, blotted, and allowed to dry. We wiped it with diaper rags and Varsol (a petroleum distillate), then scrubbed localized areas of grime with the nylon pads until no dirt appeared on the rags. The statue had a somewhat light brown to golden color interspersed in places with a light haze of green corrosion. Most of these light green areas corresponded with areas which were green prior to the cleaning.

The use of BTA seemed appropriate for this outdoor sculpture. After wiping the statue with rags soaked in denatured alcohol, we applied BTA in a

aqueous solution (3% by weight) containing approximately a 75:25 mixture of warm water/alcohol. The statue received two coats of the water/alcohol/BTA solution with a pump-type garden sprayer. It dried between coats, and after spraying. This treatment resulted in the deposition or residual crystalline BTA on the statue, easily washed off using a garden hose with a spray nozzle. Again, the statue was blotted and allowed to dry. The BTA darkened the dry statue,

somewhat equal to the "wet" appearance of the statue, prior to BTA application.

Two coats of Microcrystalline wax applied in large smooth areas with rags, and in more inaccessible or textured areas with shoe polish dauber brushes provided final protection. After the second coat, the statue was buffed using diaper rags and shoe polish buffing brushes. Final appearance resembled the wet appearance of

continued on page 6

Bronze Sculpture

continued from page 2

Monument at Vicksburg, frequently ridden by children and consequently buffed, thus retarding corrosion and removing its products.

The potential gains of conscientious preservation are illuminated by comparing monumental bronze sculpture with the recently cleaned and waxed bust of Lincoln on the Gettysburg Address Memorial. Thorough cleaning, waxing, and polishing produced results dramatic enough to stop the heart of an outdoor sculpture connoisseur! No stains or streaks disfigure the face. Minute detailing can be seen around the eyes and furrowed brow and in the folds and broad planes of the shirt

Bust of Abraham Lincoln, Gettysburg Address Memorial, Gettysburg National Cemetery, by Henry Kirke Bush-Brown, modeled and cast 1904, dedicated 1912.



and jacket. The surface is a deep rich brown—and it beads water.

As centennial anniversaries of public monuments approach, it is appropriate that outdoor sculpture receive special attention. Fortunately, the National Museum of American Art, Smithsonian Institution, is planning a computerized inventory of American sculpture, in and out-of-doors; and the National Trust for Historic Preservation is starting a center for the preservation of public sculpture. Currently, the National Park Service is studying the materials and distribution of outdoor sculpture and public monuments in an effort to quantify the cost of damage caused by acid rain.

These organizations realize that the history of our cultural and artistic artifacts deserves investigation and the structures themselves merit special scrutiny. Moreover, scholars, conservators and custodians of outdoor sculpture agree that a comprehensive scheme of inspection and planning for the particular needs, as well as documentation and evaluation of the individualized treatments, of each piece of outdoor sculpture are necessary immediately if this statuary is to regain the beauty stripped away by neglect and by an environment that grows more deadly by the day. Only through a prompt, concerted effort may we assure the appreciation and preservation of monumental bronze sculpture. ©

The author is a Ph.D. candidate, Department of Art History, University of Delaware, and a consultant to the National Park Service on the materials and distribution of outdoor sculpture.

the statue after the BTA application. The statue had a lustrous/metallic sheen, with virtually no distinction between previously "bronzed" areas and those hazed with green.

Treatment the following year revealed areas turned green from rain streaking, although overall appearance remained good. We washed the statue with an Ivory flakes and water solution, then blotted it, allowed it to dry, and waxed it again. Rather than buff only the final wax application, we buffed the two 1979 applications individually. Although the 1978 treatment appeared better, the statue showed improvement over its appearance at the beginning of the 1979 treatment.

For a number of reasons, *Theodore Roosevelt* remained untreated until the early summer of 1983. At that time, areas such as the coat skirt, shoulders, head, and upraised arm exhibited large patches of moderately green corrosion, minus the four year old wax coating. Waxed areas were removed with Varsol, followed by washing with a solution of Igepal CO-630 in water.

Rather than apply paste wax with rags, a solution of wax, BTA, and mineral spirits was applied with a pump-type sprayer. Following the application of two coats, we buffed the wax with rags and brushes. The statue did not look as good as it did in the past; areas still had a green appearance following the complete treatment. The statue regained its metallic luster, however, and the color contrasts were not as striking. Several possibilities may explain this difference. In addition to a variation in wax formula and application, temperatures varied, being in the low to mid 70's as compared to high 80's in previous years.

THE ARTS OF WAR AND THE ARTS OF PEACE

The Arts of War and *The Arts of Peace*, located on the west side of the Lincoln Memorial Circle, created a slightly different problem. A gift from the people of Italy in 1951, their original "fire-gilding" was replaced in 1972 with gold plating, using brush plating techniques. They were also coated with a lacquer called Inctalac. However,



Theodore Roosevelt hand — no dirt patches.

Statue of Roosevelt after cleaning.



Hand one year after treatment. Note lustrous tones.

examination in 1983 revealed serious faults in the lacquered surface of all four statues. On surfaces having southern exposure, large areas of "milky-white" lacquer revealed cracking and flaking. In other more protected areas, the lacquer had darkened significantly, obscuring the gold plating. In places, flaking lacquer had left the gold plated surface completely exposed.

Although a methylene chloride paint remover easily removed the Incralac in preliminary testing on Valor (one of the *Arts of War*), performance of the actual work was extremely difficult. The Incralac was, for the most part, insoluble. Toluene, xylene, DMF, MEK, acetone, lacquer thinner, and several methylene chloride paint removers were tried, the most successful being a marine methylene chloride paint remover and approximately 900 psi water pressure.

Prior to lacquer removal, the statues had a gold "spray paint" appearance rather than a metallic gold hue. Small localized areas of corrosion were also visible. Following removal of the Incralac, they appeared brilliant and shiny, with patches of dullness and discoloration, particularly at soldered repairs.

Next, the statues were washed in a solution of detergent/water/BTA, and coated with a BTA spray, as previously described for *Theodore Roosevelt*. This procedure varied slightly for individual statues. The

Arts of Peace, washed with the detergent/BTA twice, received additional applications of the BTA spray due to the porosity of their castings.

After the BTA application, we waxed the statues much the same way as the *Theodore Roosevelt* statue in 1983. Their final appearance was a much brighter, lighter, and more golden color after treatment, with a "matte" finish due to their lack of buffing. In the future, these statues will be "washed and waxed" approximately every two years, somewhat in the manner of the 1979 *Roosevelt* treatment, with removal of the wax through the use of solvents, detergents and hot water every 4 to 6 years.

CONCLUSIONS

The procedures for treating selected statues in the National

Capital Region developed as alternative methods for maintaining outdoor bronze sculptures. Each sculpture received individual treatment, with modifications to the procedures as dictated by individual needs and requirements. There was no one simple answer, or solution to all problems. Procedural alterations sometimes occurred due to unexpected complications, such as not reapplying Incralac to the "gold horses" when the weathered material became insoluble and was of questionable benefit. So treated, outdoor sculpture has been found to be more stable in its exposure to the environment, and to retain an appearance close to the artist's original conception without substantial deterioration. ©

The author is a Cultural Resources Management Specialist, George Washington Parkway.

Maintenance Training

continued from page 3

architectural form, and elements and surfaces that define a structure's architectural character. Structured, long-term apprenticeships or on-the-job training develops journeymen level competence in a trade, with special understanding of the relationships between old materials and new. Here, an Individual Development Plan (IDP) can identify basic and special skills to meet identified career goals.

Standard trade skill development can be obtained by formal or correspondence courses. Selected short-term on-the-job training to meet an IDP will provide experience in a specific preservation task while offering learning opportunities on how to approach an historic building. They will help maintenance workers answer questions about what's historic as well as what we want the building to look like. Furthermore, such programs make it possible for maintenance workers to gain a working knowledge of the preservation process as well as journeyman proficiency. Following the certification program, there is the potential to become a

preservation specialist. This requires extensive experience on the job or a long-term training program like that available at the Williamsport Preservation Training Center.

At another level of play, the development of maintenance managers for historic preservation can start with formal training offered at Mather Training Center. Such courses merge the process of dealing with historic structures with the principles of the maintenance management system. They define how work on historic structures differs from work on modern facilities. From the definition of the resource values to the special nature of materials or equipment needed, the process is orientated to prevention of fabric loss from the original building. From here, on-the-job training and special workshops can be utilized to meet the needs of an individual maintenance manager.

At whatever level of play, there is a slot for everyone interested in historic structures. The proper maintenance of historic structures is preservation, and the challenge of working on historic structures clearly makes all the difference in the ball game. ©

The author is Chief Historical Architect, National Park Service.

TO REHABILITATE A WARSHIP

Peter Steele



USS CASSIN YOUNG, 1958 (U.S. Navy photo).

The National Park Service acquired the destroyer USS CASSIN YOUNG from the U.S. Navy in 1978. The ship opened to the public in 1981, as part of the Charlestown Navy Yard exhibit at Boston National Historical Park. CASSIN YOUNG is the first warship afloat under National Park Service management. The experience of rehabilitating it provides a relatively new application of preservation practice and may be of interest to cultural resource managers.

Acquisition

Why acquire a warship in the first place? For Boston National Historical Park, CASSIN YOUNG was a “natural,” representing ships built and repaired at the Charlestown Navy Yard during and after World War II. From its establishment in 1800, the Navy Yard’s purpose was to serve the U.S. fleet by building, repairing, modernizing, and supplying ships. During World War II, the Navy

Yard specialized in building destroyers, including Fletcher class destroyers such as CASSIN YOUNG. This intense military-industrial effort on the home front was a major reason for Allied victory and one of the highlights of Navy Yard history. Throughout the 1950’s, CASSIN YOUNG was also one of many ships to visit the Navy Yard for maintenance and modernization. Thus, the ship fits directly into the Navy Yard’s primary historical roles of ship building and ship modernization. Along with USS CONSTITUTION, CASSIN YOUNG shows 150 years of change in shipyard work—and in America’s Navy—from ships of wood, sail, and muzzle-loaded cannon of the early Republic, to ships of steel, powered by oil, carrying computer controlled weapons—ships that comprised the world’s most powerful fleet at the end of World War II.

As one of the last remaining Fletcher class destroyers which fought so effectively in World War

II, CASSIN YOUNG took part in most of the major naval battles in the Pacific in 1944 and 1945, receiving two Kamikaze hits. The ship has intrinsic historical significance in much the same way the forts and battlefields do.

While CASSIN YOUNG fits well into the Charlestown Navy Yard, the project could have proved disastrously expensive if the vessel had been in poor condition. Fortunately, CASSIN YOUNG was in excellent structural shape despite having been “mothballed” since 1960, partially stripped of parts, and subject to various degrees of corrosion.

Restoration vs. Rehabilitation

Despite the drama and historical significance of battles and war production, the park decided not to restore CASSIN YOUNG to her World War II appearance. The ship had been in active service throughout the 1950’s, and our decision was to rehabilitate the

vessel to its late 1950's appearance. In this way, we could save the extensive equipment and structural fabric added in the 1950's and more fully interpret the ship's entire history, as well as the shipyard's role in modernization of the fleet.

Records

The park acquired and developed a variety of records to document the ship's operational and structural history, its appearance and condition prior to rehabilitation, and the rehabilitation process. With the ship, we acquired 2,500 blueprints, 2,000 technical manuals, and 6,000 archival papers detailing the ship's original structure and armaments, various modifications, maintenance and equipment operations, and routine activities aboard. The collection also includes approximately 1,000 historical photographs, and extensive historical documents and written histories detailing the ship's activities during her period of active service from 1943 to 1960. The park developed a complete photographic record (about 1,000 images) of all exterior areas and interior spaces prior to rehabilitation, as well as hundreds of photographs of the rehabilitation process.

Led by Historian Technician Francy Bockoven, the park also recorded 26 interviews with former CASSIN YOUNG crew members, documenting living conditions and shipboard activities during both World War II and the peacetime operations of the 1950's.

Major aspects of the rehabilitation, such as sandblasting and painting, replacement of life lines, re-installation of armaments, and installation of interpretive labels are documented in Section 106 review forms. One specialized test involved measuring the thickness of each steel plate which comprised the ship hull, using ultrasonic test equipment. The thickness of the hull is a crucial factor both for keeping the vessel afloat, and for long-term preservation. Destroyers like CASSIN YOUNG have very thin hulls, down to $\frac{3}{16}$ " thick or less, because they were built for speed. Serious corrosion destroys thin structural fabric and threatens the watertight integrity of the

vessel. Hull thickness tests showed very little loss in CASSIN YOUNG's hull thickness in comparison to the construction specifications. We tested the thickness of each hull plate in three spots per plate, for all plates below water line. The record of these thicknesses includes about 110 tests and provides a baseline for future reference.

The Hull and Exterior Surfaces

Corrosion can kill any metal ship. Prior to rehabilitation, CASSIN YOUNG looked very sick, marred with extensive and severe rust, missing parts, and "mothball" equipment. The last Navy diver inspection of the underwater hull, in 1965, reported marine fouling, paint failure, and corrosion of metal over 30% of the underwater surface. The surface experienced 15 years of continuous corrosion since that time. Boston National Historical Park was fortunate to have a dry dock (one of the nation's oldest) and a maintenance crew with the skill and dedication to successfully dry-dock a warship. With the ship in dry dock, we inspected, tested, and prepared the hull for many more years of service with minimum loss of fabric.

Following ultrasonic and photographic documentation, and collection of paint samples, the entire exterior hull was sandblasted and painted according to current U.S. Navy specifications.¹ The Navy specifications included complete information for painting all surface areas, and the paints varied by section of the ship, such as underwater hull, waterline ("boot-topping"), waterline to deck ("freeboard"), deck, superstructure, etc. The paints and application methods comprised integrated systems and provided the most durable protection known to the Navy. The Navy had discontinued use of many of the paints first applied to CASSIN YOUNG, and so it would have been extremely difficult to produce them. For example, the antifouling paints (F-14 HPN and F-15 HPN) were hot plastic paints produced in solidified form in 600-pound cans. These had to be broken up with axes, pounded into small pieces with sledge hammers, heated to 300 degrees F. in 110-gallon reducing kettles, and

applied hot. Then it proved to bond poorly with the anti-corrosion paints and routinely resulted in extensive paint loss and hull corrosion. Fortunately, the modern Navy paints are much easier to apply and more effective, and the finish coats have the same appearance as the paints used in the 1950's.

Further hull protection was provided by adding 100 zinc blocks, or anodes, to the underwater hull. This system was based on the theory that a less noble metal (zinc in this case), when connected to a more noble metal (the steel hull) in a corrosive environment, will generate an electromagnetic current sufficient to protect the more noble metal.² Called polarization, this system should fully protect the underwater hull from corrosion for eight to ten years.

Ultimately, the best corrosion protection for an underwater hull is an impressed current cathodic system. Here, a series of specially designed anodes are hung from the ship and provided with electrical current which they can distribute automatically to monitor and control corrosion all over the hull. With such a system in place, corrosion and future dry-docking can be postponed indefinitely.

Dry-docking CASSIN YOUNG also allowed us to check all the penetrations of the hull, such as the sonar dome, drain pipes, water intakes, and propeller shaft alleys. The Navy had blanked off the drains and intakes; they were sound, as was the sonar dome, propellers, and rudder. But the propeller shaft alleys have to be periodically repacked and recovered on the exterior to prevent water from entering the ship. Park personnel accomplished this difficult job during the dry-docking, and that completed the hull work.

Public Support and Personnel

Ships are popular with the public, and Navy warships have special appeal to those interested in military history, to veterans, to the armed services and related organizations, and to anyone touched by patriotism. The CASSIN YOUNG has received tremendous public support which has helped its rehabilitation.

continued on page 10

Cassin Young

continued from page 9

Former crew members and other interested people formed the USS Cassin Young Association, with nationwide membership. The Association has donated thousands of hours of volunteer service, hundreds of historical artifacts, and many valuable goods and materials while enjoying the pride of good work and the fun of several reunions. In addition, the Navy League of the United States, the U.S. Naval Sea Cadets, the Reserve Naval Mobile Construction Battalion (the "Seabees") the U.S. Army Reserve, the U.S. Coast Guard Reserve, and the Navy itself have all provided valuable goods, services, and information free of charge. Specialized technical information on ship maintenance came from various ship memorials around the country. In this vein, we highly recommend Mr. Stafford Morss, repair officer for CASSIN YOUNG's inactivation in 1960, and Vice President of the USS Massachusetts Memorial for many years. In estimated total, these individuals and organizations have donated over \$378,000 of goods and services to the CASSIN YOUNG rehabilitation, and their support still continues.

In the long run, however, we relied on the good will and knowledge of others. The job required a regular staff with considerable technical knowledge of ship maintenance and operations, the ability to lend others in doing the work, and firm dedication to preservation principles and quality work. Many people on the park staff brought these elements to the job, and the most important among them was a career Navy man named Averil Phelps, who knew Navy ships inside and out. Mr. Phelps implemented the work and supervised the volunteer activities throughout the rehabilitation.

Other Activities of Interest

There are myriad other concerns and activities encountered in CASSIN YOUNG rehabilitation. Some of the concerns seem elemental, but the solutions can be more difficult.



U.S. Naval Sea Cadets helping with rehabilitation of CASSIN YOUNG.

A ship needs a safe port. With the Navy Yard's large, deepwater piers sheltered in Boston's inner harbor, a safe berth, with the addition of new mooring lines, was no problem in this case. We seriously considered leaving CASSIN YOUNG in dry dock for preservation and display, because that situation so graphically illustrated the use of the dry dock, the role of the Navy Yard, and the relationship of ship to shipyard. We had several concerns about leaving CASSIN YOUNG permanently in dry dock, however, and a paramount one was safety. It is dangerous enough for visitors to wander around piers, open decks, and steep ladders, and even more dangerous on narrow gangways and decks over a dry dock floor.

After proper documentation, we replaced all the wire cables (aptly called life-lines) which encircle the edges of the decks. To the life-lines we added historically appropriate rope netting (called "snaking")

which makes an effective barrier against falling overboard. Non-skid



CASSIN YOUNG in dry dock, Charlestown Navy Yard, prior to rehabilitation.

VEGETATIVE THREATS TO HISTORIC SITES AND STRUCTURES

Robert Warnock, Lila Fendrick,
Barbara Hightower, Terry Tatum

paint on the main deck, pads on low overheads, and careful restrictions to public access aboard ship are other safety measures we have followed. They have required only very slight changes to historic fabric or appearance.

When the Navy "mothballs" a ship, they add outside covers and inside pipes and machinery which seal the ship's interior and remove humidity, thus preventing interior corrosion. We had to remove this material from public areas of the ship, but reactivation of such dehumidification systems may be the best long-range solution to preservation of non-public ship spaces.

Other major steps were reactivating CASSIN YOUNG's electrical systems and air-handling systems, both of which were needed to permit rehabilitation work and public visitation aboard. Throughout the project, a multitude of stored parts were reattached in their proper location, including air-search radar, surface-search radar, gun director radars, gun directors, and homing torpedo launchers. Other equipment and parts were acquired, from bunk frames and mattresses to quadruple 40 mm gun mounts, 21-foot torpedoes, depth charges, inflatable life rafts, and a wooden, 26-foot, motor whaleboat. After all, a warship like CASSIN YOUNG had to complete complex operations at sea, sometimes during war, while providing a home for approximately 300 men.

Rehabilitating this ship has been a challenge in which the Park Service has applied preservation principles in a new area, while enjoying skilled staff and volunteers, and cooperation among many governmental and private organizations. Since the ship opened to the public in June, 1981, approximately 528,000 people have visited CASSIN YOUNG . . . while we continue to turn back the ravages of time. ©

¹ *Naval Ships' Technical Manual*, NAVSEA, 0901-LP-190-0002, Change 5, Chapter 9190, "Preservation of Ships in Service" (Paints and Cathodic Protection), January, 1970, changed 15 October 1978.

² *Ibid.*, Section XI, "Cathodic Protection," 9190.231, "Corrosion Control," p. 56.

In the District of Columbia, native tree saplings have attained heights of ten feet atop the Jefferson Memorial. Along the 184 miles of the Chesapeake and the Ohio Canal, woody vines, shrubs, and seedlings have disturbed the masonry locks, aqueducts, and canal banks. At the Worthington House in the Monacacy National Battlefield, trees grow from rotted rain gutters, and poison ivy roots have penetrated the brick walls. Furthermore, successive reforestation has altered Civil War vegetative patterns at Manassas National Battlefield in Virginia.

If not afforded the proper levels of management, plants can rapidly overtake an historic structure or landscape. Despite the preservationist's goal of creating and maintaining a static slice of time, landscapes and their built components change constantly. Buildings have a limited life expectancy and garden plants eventually die. Neglectful maintenance results in the reduction of a building's useful life or in plants reaching ungainly proportions.

Maintenance of the built and natural components of an historic site requires an understanding of their separate needs. Maintenance techniques applied to one type of resource are seldom applicable to the other, yet the need exists for a unified plan to insure that the separate elements of a site support its overall goals. Management of the natural components of a landscape may be as involved a process as the management of the built components, and, ultimately as essential to the preservation of the historic resource.

Vegetation Management

For any historic or interpretive site, a decision should be made as to the appropriate role of vegetation. Where this is not done,

the vegetation eventually becomes a problem. Left to natural processes, it encroaches upon historic resources. The key to control and management is interrupting the natural succession process at the appropriate stage and implementing a maintenance program.

Managing vegetation may be handled in two ways: (a) overwhelming the current growth with man-power, machinery, and chemicals, a technique one Park Service maintenance director called "fire-fighting," and (b) developing a long-term reasonable maintenance plan optimally developing vegetative management guidelines for each resource or group of resources within a park system. This second method allows vegetation management to be preventive rather than corrective, ultimately decreasing operational costs and benefiting the historic resources.

Vegetative threats can be grouped by several major plant types, each posing a specific problem. It should be noted, however, that these threats frequently involve two or more plant groups in combination. The following overview of the major plant types focuses on methods of vegetation control and management as applicable to problems identified in the site investigations.

Herbaceous plants:

Herbaceous plants include wildflowers, grasses, and weeds, all less damaging than woody plants which have more invasive root systems. However, such plants develop in mortar joints or masonry defects, trapping moisture especially in the Middle-Atlantic states where freeze-thaw cycles are extreme. Prolonged presence of herbaceous plants can result in humus build-up, attractive to the development of larger, potentially more harmful plants.

continued on page 18

TANGIBLE EVIDENCE OF INTANGIBLE RESOURCES: ETHNOGRAPHIC OBJECTS IN THE NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

Debra Berke

Pipe bags covered with seed beads and porcupine quills, shiny burnished black pottery, and miniature baskets with feathers and shells—these are just a few examples of the ethnographic objects in National Park Service collections. Ethnographic objects may be defined as the tangible material products of a social group. Objects made for the purpose of daily living, i.e., clothing, weapons, tools, and religious articles are typical.

A survey recently completed by the Curatorial Services Branch, Preservation Assistance Division, WASO, collected information on the numbers and types of objects and cultures represented in National Park Service collections. This information came from a variety of curatorial documents, National Park Service catalog cards, and telephone conversations with regional and park staffs. For the purpose of the survey, we developed a working definition of an ethnographic object, which is published at the end of this article along with the survey results.

The purpose of the survey is twofold. First, it lets Park Service staff know the types and locations of ethnographic objects in individual parks. It gives us a sense of the scope of our collections, and may be used to inform researchers of our ethnographic objects, thereby encouraging their scholarly examination. Secondly, the survey may be used as a planning tool. Managers, curators, interpreters, and conservators can consider the survey results in the light of their park collections when developing and implementing park programs.

The National Park Service owns over 16,000 ethnographic objects. The most notable feature about many collections is the concentrated material from one area or site. This occurs because many parks were created to preserve and interpret a distinct land area as well as the events that took place there. As a result, parks collect objects documenting the natural history,

prehistoric people, and historical periods of specific areas. For example, Grand Canyon National Park collects and preserves geological, biological, and archeological specimens, as well as native ethnographic pieces. This collection offers a rich picture of life in the Grand Canyon at many different periods of time.

The National Park Service has some ethnographic collections of major importance. The collections at Hubbell Trading Post National Historic Site, Yosemite National Park, Grand Teton National Park, Mesa Verde National Park, and Pipestone National Monument are just a few examples. The value of these collections is based on the wealth of material from a particular group, the large numbers of one type of item, and the fine pieces of craftsmanship represented. In addition, the Park Service protects pieces unique in their documentation or the important events they depict. For instance, there are around 400 Sioux items at Agate Fossil Beds National Monument, Nebraska. The ranch area once belonged to James Cook, an early homesteader, who became friendly with Red Clouds Band of Sioux. The Band camped on his ranch during the late 1800s and early 1900s. As a token of friendship, they gave him items like beaded pipe bags and pipes, a buckskin shield, headdresses, and clothing. The Cook family documented these items in a catalog, and today many of them



Pair of sealskin mukluks.

may be found in the park's museum collection.

Ethnographic objects are material evidence of human behavior, providing concrete primary information on the culture as opposed to secondary information. Since cultures continually modify and change, ethnographic materials document aspects of the life and traditions of a group at one period of time.



Pipe bag decorated with glass seed beads and dyed porcupine quills.

Contemporary native people value ethnographic objects as tangible evidence of their heritage. At Yosemite National Park, local Native Americans take pride in having baskets made by their relatives on display in the park museum. Also, contemporary Yosemite area basketmakers get ideas for weaving patterns by studying baskets in the park collection. At all parks, staff must be sensitive to the fact that objects in their collection may have strong meaning to native peoples.

The collections at Yosemite National Park exemplify major Park Service ethnographic collections. Yosemite National Park has over 4000 ethnographic objects, approximately 3000 of which are baskets. This basket collection chronicles the development and changes of the Native American basketmaking tradition in California. Baskets in the collection spanning the period from prehistoric time to 1984 exemplify this time depth. The park has baskets made by Lucy Telles, an innovative basketmaker during the early 1900s. She helped introduce finer stitching, new forms, and more intricate two color weaving patterns. The park has some of her earlier pieces made in the new style, as well as the last basket she was making when she died in 1956. We included a picture of Lucy Telles from the Yosemite collection. Taken in 1933, she is seen working on the largest basket ever made in the Yosemite-Mono Lake region.

The Yosemite basket collection is an example of cultural material from one geographic area. Many of the

baskets in the collection were made by groups like the Miwok, Paiute, Western Mono, Yokuts, and Washo from the vicinity of Yosemite National Park. Not only are there baskets in the collection, but photographs of people making baskets, examples of materials used in fabricating baskets, bone and metal awl tools, and unfinished baskets. Other unique items in the Yosemite ethnographic collection include the only collection of Central California dance regalia with a time depth of 90 years and the largest collection of Miwok ceremonial regalia.

Focusing on the history and culture of the Nez Perce people, Nez Perce National Historic Park preserves a major ethnographic collection. Physically, the park is a conglomeration of 24 scattered sites in the vicinity of the contemporary Nez Perce Indian Reservation. The park ethnographic collection of over 2100 objects is a crucial resource for preserving and interpreting Nez Perce culture.




Pottery jar with slip decoration, Zuni.

Nez Perce people have been affected by a variety of events and circumstances, including contact with explorers, missionaries, and the United States government; and they have been influenced by commercial ventures of fur trading, mining, logging, and agriculture. Items from many of these periods in Nez Perce life are in the park museum collection. For example, a friendship medal given to the Nez Perce by Lewis and Clark dates from 1805, the exploration period, Two Hudson Bay trade cloth blankets with designs made from European glass beads reflect times of trade. Some objects in the



Carved cedar potlatch bowl (frog) with stone inlays, Alaskan.



a) Photo of Lucy Telles, Miwok-Paiute, 1933; b) Closeup of stitching of basket.

collection, like woven bags, chronicle Nez Perce adaption to new circumstances. The park has approximately 10 of these woven bags from the early 1800s made from traditional materials of hemp, squaw grass, and natural dyes. Eighty newer bags in the collection used the same weaving techniques with materials available to the Nez

Perce after 1840 like corn husks, dyed wool, cotton string, and imported jute.

There is great potential for the modern Nez Perce tribe to view and use the park ethnographic collection as reference and repository of their culture. They have already entrusted the storage of the tribal

continued on page 20

SURVEY OF ETHNOGRAPHIC COLLECTIONS IN THE NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

This survey compiles information on parks with ethnographic collections, the numbers and types of objects held, and the culture areas or tribes represented. Only objects owned by the National Park Service are listed; loan items in park collections are not included on this list. For the purpose of this survey, an ethnographic object consists of material originally obtained from living cultural groups of non-European origin. Materials from historic archeological sites have been excluded from the survey.

Park areas with a Native American interpretive theme, as defined in the thematic framework of the National Parks, are marked by an asterisk (*). For a discussion of the thematic framework see *History and Prehistory in the National Park System and the National Historic Landmarks Program*, History Division, National Park Service, Washington, D.C. 1982.

Comments or additions to the survey can be addressed to Preservation Assistance Division, WASO, Curatorial Services Branch, ATTN: Debra Berke.

LIST OF PARKS WITH ETHNOGRAPHIC OBJECTS

Park Name and Address	Total Items ¹	Major materials in collection ²	Cultural Areas or Groups represented in collection ³
ALASKA REGION			
*Sitka NHP P.O. Box 738 Sitka, AK 99835	450	Chilkat robes (4); Tlingit dance rattles, horn spoons, garments, dance staffs, jewelry, ceremonial hats, ceremonial dagger; Tlingit, Haida totempoles (25), Tlingit, Eskimo, Haida baskets (135); Eskimo ivory.	Tlingit, Haida, Aleut, Eskimo, Athabaskan
MIDWEST REGION			
Agate Fossil Beds NM P.O. Box 427 Gering, NE 69341	400	decorative accessories (28), parfleches and trunks (25), painted hides (5), moccasins (60 pair), dresses and shirts (10), gloves and leggings (8 pair), headdresses (4), knives and sheaths (16), bows and arrows (21), other weapons (35), pipes and pipe bags (40), riding accessories (20), misc. beadwork, misc. tools.	Sioux
Grand Portage NM P.O. Box 666 Grand Marais, MN 55604	200	native crafts made during 1920-1960 including clothes, containers, baskets, boat models, tents, birch bark patterns, wigwams.	mostly Chippewa
Homestead NM of America Route 3 Beatrice, NE 68310	120	primarily stone items including spears and arrows, misc. items including bows, moccasins, pouch, pipes, baskets, necklace.	some of the objects came from a Sioux camp in Boyd County, Nebraska
Isle Royale NP 87 North Ripley St. Houghton, MI 49931	200	baskets including 87 from Great Lakes, Chippewa canoe (1) made in 1920.	Great Lakes, Chippewa
Jefferson National Expansion Memorial NHS 11 North 4th St. St. Louis, MO 63102	250	moccasins, beaded vests, bags, headdresses, quillwork, drums, tipi recently made for the museum, rugs, pottery, jewelry, baskets.	late 19th century items from North Plains and Great Lakes (80%); various North American Indian groups (20%)
*Pipestone NM P.O. Box 727 Pipestone, MN 56164	650	collection contains items made about 40 years ago for the park or purchased by the park, including catlinite objects (500), mostly pipes.	mostly Sioux, some Chippewa
Scotts Bluff NM P.O. Box 427 Gering, NE 69341	50	decorative accessories (13), moccasins (5 pair), gloves and leggings (2 pair), knives and sheaths (3), bows and arrows (11), pipes and pipe bags (4), misc. tools and accessories.	Sioux (80%), Cheyenne (20%)
NORTH ATLANTIC REGION			
Sagamore Hill NHS Cove Neck Rd. Box 304 Oyster Bay, NY 11771	40	Navajo rugs (12), ivory (5), weapons (6), wampum belt (1), painted hide (1), misc. objects.	various native groups
PACIFIC NORTHWEST REGION			
Fort Clatsop NM Route 3 Box 604-FC Astoria, OR 97103	55	weapons, tools, utensils, clothing, containers, ornaments, saddle.	Yakima, Clatsop, Quileute, Mandan, Umatilla, Chinook, Makah, Nez Perce
Fort Vancouver NHS Vancouver, WA 98661	150	baskets, arrowheads, stone, beadwork, leather.	Klikitat and all Pacific Northwest Coastal groups

Mount Rainier NP Tahoma Woods, Star Route Ashford, WA 98304	25	baskets and woven items, tools, clothing.	Northwest Coast groups
*Nez Perce NHP P.O. Box 93 Spalding, ID 83551	3300	baskets (100), twined-flat woven bags (150), other textiles (50), clothing and accessories (120), misc. beadwork (100), feathered items (30), tools (200), ceremonial objects, horse paraphernalia, weapons, Eskimo ivory, Navajo weavings, Southwest pottery, Northwest Coast basketry.	Nez Perce (63%). Plains and other North American groups (36%).
Olympic NP 600 East Park Ave. Port Angeles, WA 98362	200	baskets (140), tools, clothing, accessories, carvings, ceremonial items, hunting and fishing implements, Makah whaling and canoe accessories.	mostly Makah and Nootka, some items made by various peoples from Western Washington, British Columbia, Puget-Sound Region
*Whitman Mission NHS Route 2 Box 247 Walla Walla, WA 99362	400	tools, baskets.	Nez Perce, Cayuse, other Pacific Northwest groups
<i>ROCKY MOUNTAIN REGION</i>			
Badlands NP P.O. Box 6 Interior, SD 57750	10	recent pieces made in traditional techniques for exhibit, Ghost Dance shirt, parfleche.	Sioux
Big Hole NB P.O. Box 237 Wisdom, MT 59761	30	moccasins (2 pair), corn husk bags (5), headdresses (2), baskets (4), clothing (2), beaded items (4), tools (3).	Nez Perce, various other groups
Bryce Canyon NP Bryce Canyon, UT 84717	10	jewelry, baskets, arrows.	Zuni, Paiute
Custer Battlefield NM P.O. Box 39 Crow Agency, MT 59022	60	Sioux Ghost Dance shirt reported from Wounded-Knee, Sioux beadwork items from Slim Butte Battle, Crow, Cheyenne, ceremonial items (35).	Crow, Cheyenne, Sioux
*Fort Laramie NHS Fort Laramie, WY 82212	75	beadwork, weapons, clothing, ceremonial objects, baskets, Crow war shirt, Southwestern Indian items furnishing historic houses.	Crow, Sioux, Shoshone, Cheyenne
Glacier NP West Glacier, MT 59936	50	leggings (4), buffalo robe, moccasins (1 pair), parfleche, headwear, utensils, beadwork, saddle pouches (10), pipe bags (2), ornaments (4), hand drum and stick.	Blackfeet
Glen Canyon NRA P.O. Box 1507 Page, AZ 86040	25	rugs.	Navajo
Grand Teton NP P.O. Drawer 170 Moose, WY 83012 David T. Vernon Indian Art Collection at the Colter Bay Indian Art Museum	1430	pipes, war implements, headgear, clothes, baskets, containers, fetishes, beadwork, quillwork, ceremonial items (35).	Northern Plains mostly Sioux, Crow Blackfoot, Shoshone, Arapaho, (70%). Other North American Indian groups, (30%). Collection dates from 1850-1890.
*Mesa Verde NP Mesa Verde National Park, CO 81330	1200	jewelry and ornaments (500), ceramics (209), baskets (108), beadwork (75), textiles-including clothing (55), paintings (20), kachinas (9), modern crafts, ceremonial materials.	Southwest culture area (88%); all Pueblos including Hopi (10%), Zuni (13%), Navajo (26%), Pima (4%); Plains: Sioux (1%); Plateau: Basin: Ute (9%).
Yellowstone NP P.O. Box 168 Yellowstone National Park, WY 82190	70	Crow porcupine brush, Crow elk hide painting, Crow Blessing Wand, Crow beaded bags, metal knives, scrapers, knife sheaths.	Crow, Sioux, Mandan, Shoshone, Umatilla
<i>SOUTHEAST REGION</i>			
*Ocmulgee NM 1207 Emery Hwy. Macon, GA 31201	30	modern craft items made in park demonstrations. Cherokee traditional 1832 costume with applique and beadwork (1).	Choctaw, Creek, Oklahoma-Cherokee, Cherokee
Great Smoky Mountains NP Gatlinburg, TN 37738	10	baskets (8), pipe, blow gun and darts.	Cherokee
<i>SOUTHWEST REGION</i>			
*Bandelier NM Los Alamos, NM 87544	140	paintings by Pablita Velarde of Santa Clara (80), pottery (45), drum, baskets, Hopi turkey feather blanket, textiles (5).	Cochiti, Santa Clara, Hopi, Jemez

*Hubbell Trading Post NHS P.O. Box 150 Ganado, AZ 86505	750	Hupa, Western Apache, Pima, Papago baskets (500), Navajo rugs (200).	Hopi, Navajo, Pima, Papago, Hupa, Western Apache
Navajo NM Tonalea, AZ 86044	15	items of recent origin that belong to local Navajo, includes ceremonial and horse paraphernalia.	Navajo
*Pecos NM P.O. Drawer 11 Pecos, NM 87442	10	Pecos glaze revival pottery.	Jemez/Pecos
Southwest Regional Office National Park Service P.O. Box 728 Santa Fe, NM 87501	70	pottery (20), Navajo rugs (45), art on paper (5)	Santa Clara, San Idelfonso, Cochiti, Navajo
<i>WESTERN REGION</i>			
*Casa Grande NM P.O. Box 518 Coolidge, AZ 85228	340	bowls, Pima, Papago baskets (255), jars, pottery items, gaming sticks, arrows.	Pima (76%), Papago (11%), Maricopa, Tesuque, Apache, Zuni Acoma, Santa Clara, Seri Pomo, Manzanita
*Death Valley NM Death Valley, CA 92328	325	baskets (265), tools including basketmaking tools and fibers.	Shoshone (34%), Panamint-Shoshone (46%)
Grand Canyon NP P.O. Box 129 Grand Canyon, AZ 86023	165	baskets; food materials; tools, clothing, pottery, paintings, hachinas, jewelry, rugs.	Havasupai (15%), Hopi (62%), Navajo (15%), Paiute (2%), unknown (6%)
Lassen Volcanic NP Mineral, CA 96063	80	baskets, beadwork, Apache cradleboard (1).	various Northern California groups, mainly Atsugewi, Pit River, some Yurok, Karok, Hupa, Pomo
Lava Beds NM P.O. Box 867 Tulelake, CA 96134	40	Paiute, Modoc, Salish baskets (30), stones, bags, beads, bow, arrows.	various California groups
Petrified Forest NP Petrified Forest, AZ 86028	90	ceramics, baskets.	Zuni, Hopi, Navajo
Redwood NP 1111 Second Street Crescent City, CA 95531	30	baskets	Yurok, Karok, Hupa
Sequoia NP Three Rivers, CA 93271	20	baskets, strings of beads, brushes.	Yokuts
Tumacacori NM P.O. Box 67 Tumacacori, AZ 85460	15	baskets (5), arrows, flute, gaming stick.	Apache, Papago
Western Archeological and Conservation Center National Park Service P.O. Box 41058 Tucson, AZ 85717	920	kachinas (292), baskets (194), vessels (167), rugs/blankets (44), clothing (20), cradleboards (13), other (192).	Hopi (363), Navajo (138), Papago (122), Apache (120 including 88% Western Apache, also Jicarilla and Mescalero), Pima (64), Zuni (22), Seri (15), other Southwest (49, Mainly Puebloan), other (44).
(repository for items from the following park areas: Canyon de Chelly NM, Casa Grande NM, Chiricahua NM, Death Valley NM, El Morro NM, Fort Bowie NHS, Hubbell Trading Post NHS, Montezuma Castle NM, Navajo NM, Organ Pipe Cactus NM, Petrified Forest NP, Sequoia NP, Tumacacori NM, Walnut Canyon NM, White Sands NM.)			
Yosemite NP P.O. Box 577 Yosemite NP, CA 93589	4000	baskets (3000), ceremonial objects, variety of utilitarian implements, gaming devices, tourist art.	Primarily Sierra Miwok, Mono Lake Paiute, Western Mono, Yokuts, Washoe; with selections of comparative materials from groups including Maidu, Pomo, various Paiute peoples, Western Shoshoni, Panamint, Costanoan, etc.
WASHINGTON OFFICE National Park Service, Preservation Assistance Division, Curatorial Services, Dept. of the Interior Washington, D.C. 20240	60	pottery and ceramics (12), tomahawks (8), eagle leathers with beadwork (4), beaded items.	Plains, misc. North American Indian groups
TOTAL OBJECTS:	16,560		

See footnotes on page 24

Historic NPS Owned Concession Buildings

Randall J. Biallas

Many park buildings constructed to serve visitors are included on or meet the criteria for listing on the National Register of Historic Places. Their association with park development and/or their architectural/engineering significance make these utilitarian buildings important contributors to our national patrimony. One of these under-recognized but significant types is concession buildings.

During 1982-1983, the Center for Architectural Conservation at Georgia Institute of Technology, under contract to the WASO Engineering and Safety Services Division, conducted an architectural/engineering inventory-inspection survey of all 1006 NPS owned concession buildings, excluding approximately 1000 other such buildings in Yellowstone National Park. The primary purpose was to determine the physical work required to bring these buildings to a maintenance

condition, financed from the Visitor Facility Fund.

Following the survey, the Associate Director for Cultural Resources requested selected regional offices to review the survey reports and determine which buildings met the National Register criteria. The following table categorizes these concession buildings based upon regional office responses.

- LCS Buildings** = currently on the List of Classified Structures, and included on or appears eligible for the National Register.
- Historic ?-Yes** = not currently on the List of Classified Structures, but included on or appears eligible for the National Register.
- Historic ?-Maybe** = not currently on the List of Classified Structures, but may be eligible for the National Register.
- Historic ?-No** = doesn't appear eligible for the National Register.

	Total Bldgs	LCS Bldgs	Historic ?-Yes	Historic ?-Maybe	Historic ?-No
AKR	20	—	—	—	20
MAR	18	6	11	—	1
MWR	97	18	—	—	79
NAR	34	9	—	—	25
NCR	1	—	1	—	—
PNR	132	23	6	—	103
RMR	* 297	116	119	—	62
SER	93	5	—	—	88
SWR	23	6	8	—	9
WR	291	127	47	9	108
TOTAL	*1006	310	192	9	495

* excluding approximately 1000 Yellowstone National Park buildings, only 43 of which are on the LCS.

As noted in the table, approximately 50% of the buildings (310 + 192 = 502) surveyed are included on or appear to meet the National Register criteria. However, only approximately 60% of these buildings (310) are currently on the List of Classified Structures.

The largest number of early significant concession buildings, such as the Lake Hotel (1889-1891) at Yellowstone National Park, and

continued on page 20



Bryce Canyon Lodge, Utah.

Vegetative Threats

continued from page 11



Tree invasion, Virginius Island, Harpers Ferry NHP.

For the most part, herbaceous plants are best removed by hand pulling or weeding. The application of approved herbicides is another solution, although less preferable due to potential long-term damage. Hand pulling also insures closer inspection of the historic resource, which can pinpoint structural maintenance needs at an early stage. Management fires or approved broadleaf weed killers are other, less preferable, control methods.

Vines:

Vines cause severe damage since they create a damp area between their leaves and the walls of a building, while sending out invasive root systems. Vines growing directly on a wall may strangle a wooden or masonry structure, forcing apart its components (i.e., wisteria lifting off a wooden porch roof or the balusters from a limestone balcony). They may insert tendrils or aerial roots in the mortar or brick, weakening the mortar and masonry by increased exposure to freeze-thaw actions, or enlarging the openings and dislodging stone or brick from a moisture damaged wall (poison ivy, English ivy, Boston ivy, honeysuckle). A root enzyme which attacks the stability of lime may disintegrate the mortar until only the sand remains (all

vines). Dense vine growth prevents the sun from drying the wall: as moisture builds up, dry rot can occur in wood, and decay in mortar and masonry (primarily poison ivy, English ivy, Boston ivy, Virginia creeper, and honeysuckle).

Vines may be controlled by girdling or by cutting at the base (left to dry, they should be carefully removed from the structure within a few weeks). Great care must be taken in removing vines so as not to disturb mortar and masonry. Digging up roots will discourage further growth, but elimination of habitat will be required to eliminate all growth. Organic debris should then be cleared from around a structure allowing the surface to be exposed to sunlight and free air circulation. In some cases, a systemic herbicide may be recommended when a vine is severely damaging to a structure, and should be applied to roots and stems. Where a vine is to be used as a part of the historical interpretation of a site, a strict grooming design and schedule should be followed. In such a case, intensive maintenance is the only acceptable solution.

Lichens, Mosses, and Fungi:

Lichens, mosses, and fungi represent a group of bacterial and

plant growth which, although less visible than other vegetative threats, can be equally damaging. Requiring continual dampness, their presence suggests a moisture problem inherent in the structure. Further, they may make way for more damaging plants by causing humus accumulation or chemical reaction with masonry, resulting in deterioration.

A variety of solutions including diluted ammonia, toxic washes, and herbicides can be applied to control growth. Preventive measures to allow for good air circulation should be practiced once the lichens, mosses, or fungi have been removed.

Shrubs:

Shrubs pose many of the same problems as trees when their presence is invasive or when plantings have reached ungainly proportions. Shrubs in a garden setting can be lush, well-shaped plants if they are periodically pruned. Left unmanaged, most become tall, spindly, and unsightly. Those established in cracks, crevices, mortar joints and other undesirable places can develop particularly strong and damaging



Worthington House, Monocacy NB. Note vine and tree invasion.

root systems. The presence of shrubs on an historic structure indicates an accumulation of organic material in excess of an acceptable level, generally indicating structural deterioration and the need for physical repairs. Invasive shrubs perhaps on an historic earthen fortification or masonry wall need to be removed entirely, lifted out by the roots, and the structure repaired. If the plants may be cut off at their base, then structural repair is not needed.

Successional shrubs and saplings encroaching upon an historic meadow or farmland may be controlled through regular mowing during the spring and summer months. Livestock grazing is an alternative to mowing which may be appropriate to the interpretive goals of some sites. Controlled burning or the application of approved broadleaf herbicides may also be used as treatments in extreme cases.

Trees:

Trees which gain great heights and have extensive root systems may pose a severe threat in proximity to an historic landscape. Management options for well established invasive trees are relatively few, generally requiring a decision to remove the tree or face continued damage to a structure.

For long-term management of a property, a buffer or management zone should be designated around historic structures, buildings, gardens and historic vistas. Any tree which could cause a threat over its lifetime and its full size should be removed as soon as possible. The buffer or management zone should be designated, taking into account potential root and limb spread.

With trees, methods of removal are limited and must be considered on an individual basis. As extensive roots decay, foundation settling can occur, requiring continued checks for repair needs. In certain cases, mature trees may be left in place to support an historic structure, or guard against erosion; their ultimate removal should be planned in conjunction with necessary repairs to the structure.

In summary, the discussion of plant types and their potential for

damage applies here to single-source vegetative threats. However, most of the problems encountered in this study involved multiple threats. Single-source threats are more likely to occur under controlled situations, and might often involve an ornamental plant growing beyond its design intent. In any case, a number of factors should be considered in designing a program for vegetation management at a particular site.

The literature on treatments for destructive vegetation recognizes the following dangers of uncontrolled plantings:

- (a) damage, including the destruction of mortar, bricks and stone by penetrating root systems and the introduction of moisture;
- (b) the growth of small trees in gutters and roofs where pockets of soil have collected;
- (c) cracking of foundations and walls by root invasion and excessive withdrawal of ground moisture resulting in ground shrinkage and foundation movement;
- (d) blockage of gutters by leaves;
- (e) decay of building materials resulting from the growth of lichens, algae, mosses and fungi, plus damaging chemical reactions, moisture retention and staining of walls;
- (f) corrosion and discoloration of building materials by toxic substances used for removing lichens, algae, mosses and fungi or for killing vegetation; and
- (g) overgrowth of foundation plantings and other vegetation which alter the appearance and/or character of buildings and historic landscapes.

Summary Findings and Recommendations

Damage caused by vegetation at historic sites may be grouped into three maintenance problems. At Virginius Island, Worthington House, Potomac Canal, the earthen embankments at Fort Washington, and Fort DeRussy, the nebulous character of the area surrounding an historic resource allows for vegetative encroachment. To rectify these situations, the boundaries need to be clearly defined.

Neglect of an historic building or structure can further damage the

resource. The Worthington House roof, Fifteen-Mile Creek, the Jefferson Memorial, and the Batteries at Fort Washington are all areas where a program of responsible structural maintenance could eliminate invasive vegetation.

Absence of human management at an historic site also can result in plants resuming a pattern of natural growth contrary to site goals. The problem in this case is not limited to volunteer plants but may include ornamentals exceeding their design intent. Examples include Manassas Battlefield, Antietam Cemetery, the Yellow Barn at Glen Echo, Clara Barton House, Four Locks, Carderock, and Widewater.

With a lack of clear policy as to vegetation management, Park Service staffs face the dilemma of managing both natural and man-made elements of a landscape. The need for definition of site areas is a recurrent one. At Manassas, for example, the historic pattern of vegetation serves to unite otherwise disparate elements and present a sense of the area's historical association. Historic sites, then, must be defined by a purpose and their associated vegetation managed to support that purpose. Improved general maintenance plans and educational programs for park maintenance supervisors and their staffs might ultimately allow such specific problems and solutions to be addressed Servicewide.

Further, goal clarification is needed at a number of sites to determine the direction of vegetation management. Once the purpose of a site is established, a management zone should be defined which may be smaller than the physical bounds of the property or support the preservation if not the interpretation of the entire site. At Virginius Island, a management zone consisting of 20 to 30 feet around the historic industrial ruins would aid in their long-term preservation and serve to make them more accessible to visitors. Only clearly defined management planning allows the historic scene to properly reflect its historic story. ©

This article came from a report prepared by Soil Systems, Inc. for National Capital Region. The authors work for Soil Systems, Inc.

Tangible Evidence . . .

continued from page 13

ethnographic collection to the park. From time to time, native craftspeople examine objects in the collection to learn about traditional manufacturing techniques and materials. Some women have looked at buckskin dresses in the collection in order to make their own authentic wedding dresses.

A new exhibit of 380 Nez Perce ethnographic items displays and describes this wealth of information about Nez Perce culture. The exhibit portrays Nez Perce lifestyle, craftsmanship, history, and their reverence for the earth. One emphasis of the exhibit is the role of women. Two of the objects associated with women are a love potion and a calendar string. The love potion, probably made by a medicine woman for sale to another woman, worked if the potion contents touched a desired man. The hemp calendar string dates from 1890-1920. Beads and knots record the events of one woman's life over two years.

The ethnographic collections at a park, together with the other resources of the park, give us a tangible, more complete understanding of native life in these areas. For this reason, ethnographic objects are a crucial resource of the National Park Service. We must increase our awareness of these materials, and assure that they are properly preserved so they survive into future times. The publication of this survey is one step toward expanding our awareness and concern for ethnographic objects in the National Park Service. ©

The author is Staff Curator, Curatorial Services Branch, Preservation Assistance Division, WASO. Photographs are by Jeanne Southard, Museum Technician (photography), National Capital Region. The objects photographed are taken from the Department of Interior collection of over 1000 ethnographic items, presently stored in the National Capital Region Museum and Archeological Regional Storage Facility. The photograph of Lucy Telles is from Yosemite NP.



Lake Hotel, Yellowstone NP.

Historic Buildings

continued from page 17

the Bryce Canyon Lodge (1924-1927) at Bryce Canyon National Park, were built by railroad companies to encourage tourism. Another large number were built by the Civilian Conservation Corps in the 1930's.

This exercise identified historic buildings in the computerized Building Inventory-Inspection Program data base, enabling appropriate review for proposed physical work under the Visitor

Facility Fund. It also identified deficiencies in the List of Classified Structures, as well as a backlog of work to fulfill our legislated obligation to nominate properties that meet the criteria to the National Register. Finally, it started us in the process of relating different data bases that are maintained in WASO. In the future we hope to go through similar exercises using the Quarters, Roads, Dams, National Register, and HABS/HAER data bases. ©

The author is Assistant Chief Historical Architect, WASO.

TAX INCENTIVES FOR REHABILITATING HISTORIC BUILDINGS

Sharon C. Park, AIA

The National Park Service administers, along with the Internal Revenue Service, the federal tax incentives program for the rehabilitation of historic income-producing properties. This program involves the rehabilitation of certified historic structures, which are buildings listed in the National Register of Historic Places, or buildings which contribute to registered districts. To date, over 250,000 historic properties have been identified as potentially eligible to receive tax benefits.

Since 1976, Federal tax benefits have been available for rehabilitating historic income-producing properties. The current benefits, specifically a 25% investment tax credit, have been available since January, 1982, as a result of the Economic Recovery Tax Act of 1981. In order to qualify for the rehabilitation tax credits, the rehabilitation must be "substantial."

This means that the amount of money expended in the rehabilitation must exceed either the basic value of the building or \$5,000, whichever is greater. This tax benefit allows the owner or long-term lessee to take 25% of the rehabilitation costs as a credit against taxes owed to the federal government. In addition, the new, adjusted basis of the rehabilitated property can be depreciated over a 15-year cost recovery period.


The National Park Service ensures that the rehabilitation has been consistent with the historic character of the certified historic structure. The regional offices of the National Park Service (Alaska, Atlanta, Denver, Philadelphia and San Francisco) review and certify the rehabilitation, using the Secretary of the Interior's "Standards for Rehabilitation" to evaluate whether the

historic character of the building has been preserved. Once a successful rehabilitation has been completed, the National Park Service issues a letter of final certification which the owner attaches to his or her income tax return. Ninety-two percent of the rehabilitation applications submitted to the National Park Service are eventually certified as consistent with the historic character of the building. The high rate of success in this program comes from the dedicated efforts of the regional staffs, who assist owners in conforming with the Secretary of the Interior's "Standards for Rehabilitation."


Since 1976, there has been almost 6 billion dollars worth of rehabilitation activity directly associated with projects qualifying for federal tax benefits. During the first 2 quarters of fiscal 1984, 1,612 projects have qualified for tax benefits, representing an estimated 870.4 million dollars' worth of private investment. From information obtained as part of a questionnaire to owners of rehabilitated properties, 63% of those owners said that they would not have undertaken the rehabilitation without the availability of the federal tax benefits for historic preservation.

In addition to the private investors who have benefitted through the investment tax credit, there have been many direct and indirect benefits to the general public. The most obvious benefit is the number of historic buildings brought back to a serviceable life. Because 49% of the rehabilitated properties have involved rental housing units, one of the most tangible benefits has been the creation of over 29,000 new housing units within historic properties since 1976, many slated for low or moderate-income families. With 22% of the properties for mixed-use, 16% for office use, and 8% for commercial use, the historic downtowns are turning around. Some of the indirect benefits to society involve an increase in the number of jobs created, increased local government tax bases, and new sources of potential revenue from personal income, sales, and corporate taxes. After a three-year study on the tax incentives program, the General Accounting Office reported that losses to the Federal Government appear small in relationship to the dollar value of rehabilitation work performed on historic structures.

For more information on the 25% Investment Tax Credit or copies of the Secretary of the Interior's "Standards for Rehabilitation," please write to Preservation Assistance Division, National Park Service, Washington, D.C. 20240. ©



The author is with the Preservation Assistance Division, National Park Service.



Ebey's Landing

EBEY'S LANDING NATIONAL HISTORICAL RESERVE BUILDING AND LANDSCAPE INVENTORY

Cultural Resources Division, Pacific Northwest Region

In the summer of 1983, the Cultural Resources Division conducted a landscape and building inventory of Ebey's Landing National Historical Reserve. Over a period of fourteen weeks, a five member team documented natural and man-made elements of the 17,400 acres located on central Whidbey Island. While building inventories are common fare in the National Park Service, this was the first time for an inventory of landscape features.

The inventory project team consisted of three historians and two landscape architects. The team supervisor coordinated the building inventory, and the landscape architect coordinated the landscape inventory. Working closely with team members and the local community, they recorded information to assist the Park Service and the local government manage the resources.

Both the landscape and building inventories utilized inventory cards adopted specifically for the area. Each landscape card represented a mile by a half mile unit of land, and included information such as land use and

specific land use activity, location map, cross-section drawing of the unit, and numerous photographs illustrating the general character of the unit. Man-made elements such as buildings, fences and roads were also recorded. The building inventory focused on pre-1940 structures located within the reserve. Each pre-1940 building or complex of buildings had its own card which included an architectural description, historical information, location map, and photographs of the site. Each building card was cross-referenced to a landscape card for a broader view of the physical locale, as well as a better understanding of how the built and natural environments mesh.

NEW CURATOR FOR ALASKA

The Alaska Region became the "last frontier" to introduce a curation program when NPS veteran Jean Swearingen was named Regional Curator. Upon reporting to Alaska in late July, Ms. Swearingen plans to conduct an inventory and begin a computerized catalogue system, while dealing with the region's extreme problems. Currently, she is detailed from Redwood National Park to Golden Gate NRA, working on an exhibit for the Maritime Commission.

"HANDS ON" CRM WORKSHOP CONDUCTED

A unique "behind-the-scenes" CRM one-day workshop was recently held for field employees and supervisors at Lyndon B. Johnson National Historical Park. It marked the first time an entire park staff received CRM training from cultural resources specialists. First conceived as a workshop for maintenance supervisors, the course expanded to include all park divisions and levels, including VIPs. Southwest Regional Office specialists felt the more employees who understood the "hows and whys" of cultural resource management, the more sensitivity, support, commitment, and enthusiasm would be demonstrated toward the resources. Similar courses may be conducted in other parks, depending upon park needs and resource complexity.

GUIDE TO CRM BULLETIN ARTICLES

Anthropology/Archeology:

Carrell, Toni 1978	Nationwide Reservoir Inundation Study Underway	Vol. 1, no. 2	Schneider, William 1979	Activities and Opportunities for Cultural Anthropologists	Vol. 2, no. 3
CRM 1978	Bandelier National Monument Receives Preservation Award	Vol. 1, no. 2	Ebert, James I. 1979	Remote Sensing at Gran Quivira	Vol. 2, no. 3
CRM 1978	Cal Cummings: Two National Offices in Archeological Field	Vol. 1, no. 2	Moore, Jackson W. 1980	Status of P.L. 95-341	Vol. 3, no. 1
CRM 1978	Chaco Navajo Project	Vol. 1, no. 2	Snyder, Joseph J. 1980	American Rock Art: A Forgotten Resource	Vol. 3, no. 1
Gelburd, Diane Douglas H. Scovill 1978	Joint National Geographic Society/NPS Program Probes the Last Frontier	Vol. 1, no. 2	Dent, Richard J. 1980	The Paleo Indian Period in North America	Vol. 3, no. 2
Lyons, Thomas R. Douglas H. Scovill 1978	Non-destructive Archeology and Remote Sensing: A Conceptual and Methodological Stance	Vol. 1, no. 2	Drager, Dwight L. 1980	Navajo Indian Irrigation Project	Vol. 3, no. 2
Ebert, James 1978	NPS Participates in Alaska Study	Vol. 1, no. 3	Kelly, Roger E. 1980	Wanted: Data on Terra Cotta Lawn Border Units	Vol. 3, no. 2
Ebert, James Galen N. Brown 1978	Remote Sensing to Support Management in White Sands Research	Vol. 1, no. 3	Moore, Jackson W. 1980	Historical Utility Lines: A Resource in Search of Constituents	Vol. 3, no. 2
Moore, Jackson W. 1978	NPS Native American Policy	Vol. 1, no. 3	Adkisson, Kenneth L. 1980	CRM at Nez Perce NHP	Vol. 3, no. 3
Scott, Jane 1978	A Cross-Cultural Approach to CRM	Vol. 1, no. 3	Brugge, David 1980	NPS and the Navajo Nation	Vol. 3, no. 3
Vaughan, Thomas G. 1978	Native American Policy (Letter to Editor)	Vol. 1, no. 4	Carroll, Tom 1980	Gran Quivira: A Cultural Heritage Site	Vol. 3, no. 3
CRM 1979	Desertification in New Mexico	Vol. 2, no. 1	Fields, William E. 1980	The Kaibab-Paiute and the NPS	Vol. 3, no. 3
Vaughan, Thomas G. 1979	Living Cultures: Too Young to be History?	Vol. 2, no. 1	Kelly, Roger E. 1980	Being Good Neighbors with the First Americans in the Western Region	Vol. 3, no. 3
Ehrenhard, John E. 1979	Groundwater and Archeology	Vol. 2, no. 2	Owsley, Douglas W. William M. Bass 1980	Native American Issue (Letter to the Editor)	Vol. 3, no. 3
Gerard, Forrest J. 1979	The Indian in America	Vol. 2, no. 2	Scovill, Douglas H. 1980	Zuni Comprehensive Plan	Vol. 3, no. 3
Stuart, David R. 1979	Non-Artifactual Cultural Resources and NPS Planning	Vol. 2, no. 2	Suagee, Dean B. 1980	Indian Tribal Religious and Cultural Concerns/Federal Archeology and Heritage Legislation	Vol. 3, no. 3
Bane, Ray 1979	Subsistence Coordination — Northwest Alaska	Vol. 2, no. 3	Van Horn, Larry 1980	NPS and Cultural Anthropology	Vol. 3, no. 3
Belous, Robert 1979	A Special Link to the Past	Vol. 2, no. 3	Ferguson, T.J. 1980	The Zuni Archeology Program	Vol. 3, no. 4
Webb, Melody 1979	The ANCSA 14(h)(1) Program	Vol. 2, no. 3	Hayes, Ellen 1980	Relations Between the NPS and the Native Alaskans	Vol. 3, no. 4
Hays, Ellen Lang 1979	Alaska Native Cultural Relations: Reciprocal Management	Vol. 2, no. 3	Kelly, Roger E. 1980	The Curious Case of the Branded Bricks	Vol. 3, no. 4
Hsu, Dick, et al. 1979	National Petroleum Reserve Revisited	Vol. 2, no. 3	Robicheau, Virginia M. 1980	Bandelier NM and Native Concerns	Vol. 3, no. 4

CUT ALONG DOTTED LINE

Acuff, Lysbeth B. 1983	Seneca Archeology Lab	Vol. 6, no. 1	Miller, Hugh C. 1978	Technical Literature for Repair and Maintenance of Historic Structures	Vol. 1, no. 4
Bauer, Elizabeth 1983	Collections at Hubbell Trading Post	Vol. 6, no. 1	Miller, Hugh C. 1979	Accommodations for the Handicapped	Vol. 2, no. 1
Burt, Leah 1983	The Care and Preservation of Sound Recordings	Vol. 6, no. 1	Miller, Hugh C. 1979	Wood Preservation: Poison Free	Vol. 2, no. 1
Cohen, David L. 1983	PRIP Helps Preserve Klondike Artifacts	Vol. 6, no. 1	Crosby, Anthony 1979	A Portable Kit for Conducting Archeological Fabric Investigations	Vol. 2, no. 2
Hitchcock, Ann 1983	Curatorial Comment: Planning for Park Collections	Vol. 6, no. 1	Wheaton, Rodd L. John Albright 1979	Scale, Texture, Continuity: Design Compatibility in Historic Preservation	Vol. 2, no. 2
Klock, Lawrence 1983	Independence Hall Preservation	Vol. 6, no. 1	Sellers, Richard Dwight Pitcaithley 1979	Reconstructions — Expensive Life-size Toys?	Vol. 2, no. 4
Nicholson, Diane L. 1983	Museum Storage at Golden Gate NRA	Vol. 6, no. 1	Melnick, Robert Z. 1980	Preserving Cultural Landscapes	Vol. 3, no. 1
Patterson, Charles 1983	Conservation of Dugout Canoes at Nez Perce NP	Vol. 6, no. 1	Bolino, August C. 1980	Ellis Island Restoration	Vol. 3, no. 2
West, Pamela B. 1983	Major Strides Made With NCR Collections	Vol. 6, no. 1	Small, Nora Pat 1980	NPS Lighthouse Study	Vol. 3, no. 2

Historic Architecture:

CRM 1978	Warning! Hot Air Blower May Be Harmful to Your Health and Your Building's Health	Vol. 1, no. 1	Wheaton, Rodd L. 1981	Building for the NPS in the Rocky Mountain West, 1872-1966	Vol. 4, no. 1
Holland, F. Ross 1978	Fire and Intrusion Alarm and Fire Protection Systems	Vol. 1, no. 1	CRM 1981	Would You Like to Help Preserve this Historic Structure?	Vol. 4, no. 2-4
Judd, Henry A. 1978	Preserving Our Historic Sites	Vol. 1, no. 1	Maruca, Mary V. 1981	Razing the Roost — Health Hazards from Pigeons	Vol. 4, no. 2-4
Miller, Hugh C. 1978	Energy Conservation in Historic Structures	Vol. 1, no. 1	McDonald, Travis C. 1981	Historic Structures of the NPS	Vol. 4, no. 2-4
Miller, Hugh C. 1978	Danger! Construction Materials and Methods May be Hazardous	Vol. 1, no. 2	Caldwell, Douglas L. 1982	Rome Center Experts Direct Tumacacori Project	Vol. 5, no. 1-3
Maruca, Mary V. 1978	Saving the Life-Saving Station	Vol. 1, no. 3	Rehm, Karen G. 1982	Why Inventory Properties?	Vol. 5, no. 1-3
Miller, Hugh C. 1978	NATO Committee Considers Studies in Stone Conservation	Vol. 1, no. 3			
Miller, Hugh C. Harry A. Butowsky 1978	Adaptive Use of Historic Buildings	Vol. 1, no. 3			
Pfanz, Harry W. 1978	Historic Structure Reports	Vol. 1, no. 3			
Maruca, Mary V. 1978	Mrs. Franklin's House	Vol. 1, no. 4			

History:

Pfanz, Harry W. 1978	Helpful Reference Guides	Vol. 1, no. 4
Chappell, Gordon 1979	Use of Fiction as a Historical Source	Vol. 2, no. 2

James, Kate P. 1980	What Is the Purpose of Historic Parks?	Vol. 3, no. 3
CRM 1980	City Point	Vol. 3, no. 4
Bates, Craig D. 1983	Yosemite's Indians: Developing an Interpretive Program Using Historical Collection	Vol. 6, no. 2
Bearss, Edwin 1983	Historians Share Their Knowledge and Concerns	Vol. 6, no. 2
Brown, William E. 1983	A Modest Proposal	Vol. 6, no. 2
Butowsky, Harry A. 1983	Cape Canaveral Air Force Station	Vol. 6, no. 2
Chappell, Gordon 1983	Working on the Railroad	Vol. 6, no. 2
Lucke, Thomas W. 1983	Tricentennial of German Settlement in America	Vol. 6, no. 2
Pitcaithley, Dwight 1983	Clio and the Computer: The CRM Bibliography in NARO	Vol. 6, no. 2
Webb, Melody Sanchez, Joseph P. 1983	Research Project in Spain Yields Riches	Vol. 6, no. 2
Comp, T. Allan 1983	The Cultural Resources Inventory Comes to the Pacific Northwest Region	Vol. 6, no. 3
Georg, Kathleen R. 1983	Gettysburg: The National Cemetery as a Cultural Resource	Vol. 6, no. 3
Mackintosh, Barry 1983	Administrative History	Vol. 6, no. 3
Maruca, Mary 1983	History — A Multi-Discipline Approach	Vol. 6, no. 3
Miller, Hugh C. 1983	The Three-Dimensionality of Statues, Monuments, and Memorials	Vol. 6, no. 3
Moore, Jackson W. 1983	A Response to "Tricentennial of German Settlement" by Thomas Lucke, Vol. 6 No. 2"	Vol. 6, no. 3
Paige, John 1983	The CCC: It Gave a New Face to the NPS	Vol. 6, no. 3
Tiff, John 1983	Oral History: Not to Be Taken Lightly	Vol. 6, no. 3
Toogood, Coxey 1983	The 1987 Bicentennial at Independence NHP	Vol. 6, no. 3
Weber, Sandra 1983	Fort Hunt — The Forgotten Story	Vol. 6, no. 3

General:

Caldwell, Douglas L. 1978	Doing Research	Vol. 1, no. 1
Holland, F. Ross 1978	What We're About	Vol. 1, no. 1
Mackintosh, Barry 1978	CRM Guideline Coming	Vol. 1, no. 1
Sherwood, Susan I. 1978	The List of Classified Structures	Vol. 1, no. 1
Holland, F. Ross 1978	The Policy Corner	Vol. 1, no. 2
Van West, Carla 1978	New Identity for the List of Classified Structures	Vol. 1, no. 2
Fanale, Rosalie 1978	Ethnography, Remote Sensing and CRM	Vol. 1, no. 3
Morgan, Margaret 1978	Data Systems Support CRM	Vol. 1, no. 3
Breun, Raymond 1978	Cultural and Historic Sites are Environmental Education	Vol. 1, no. 4
Brown, William E. 1979	CRM in Alaska	Vol. 2, no. 3
Edelstein, Sue Gary Candelaria 1979	Sitka NHP: Managing CRM Sites	Vol. 2, no. 3
Hoffman, Richard 1979	Skagway: A Three-Way Partnership	Vol. 2, no. 3
Spude, Robert L. 1979	Management Decisions at Klondike Gold Rush NHP	Vol. 2, no. 3
Butowsky, Harry A. 1979	Nomenclature Used in the National Parks	Vol. 2, no. 4
Butowsky, Harry A. 1979	Recreation and the Historical Park	Vol. 2, no. 4
Holland, F. Ross 1979	Locking Up Historical Structures on the National Register	Vol. 2, no. 4
Krog, Bronwyn 1979	The Lowell Experiment	Vol. 2, no. 4
Caldwell, Douglas L. 1980	New Legislation Impacts NPS Preservation	Vol. 3, no. 4
Askins, James S. 1981	Historic Preservation Training for NPS Personnel	Vol. 4, no. 1
Everett, J. Keith 1981	Standardizing Historic Preservation Guides	Vol. 4, no. 1
Holland, F. Ross 1981	Fund Raising	Vol. 4, no. 2-4
Caldwell, Douglas L. 1982	Sources of CRM Information	Vol. 5, no. 1-3



Hotel California after 1925 Santa Barbara Earthquake.

RETROFITTING IN CALIFORNIA

The Western Regional Office of the National Park Service co-sponsored a conference on "Seismic Retrofit of Historic Buildings", April 27, 1984, in Sacramento, California. It was held in conjunction with the California State Office of Historic Preservation and the Western Chapter of the Association for Preservation Technology. Conference presentations covered subjects from seismic retrofitting of an adobe structure to archaic materials and their seismic resistance capacities. Other topics included the economics of seismic building codes and the experimental seismic retrofit concept of "base isolation."



U.S. SUPREME COURT ACCEPTS EASTERN INDIAN LAND CLAIM CASE

The United States Supreme Court agreed March 19 to decide the damages claim of the Oneida Indians for land

taken from them by New York State almost 200 years ago. The particular dispute to be considered next fall directly involves only 872 acres of land in Madison and Oneida counties near Utica, but the decision could have an effect on millions of acres of former Indian land in New York and other eastern states. According to a report in the *New York Times*, the Oneidas filed a test case in 1970 involving the 872 acres. The federal district court ruled in their favor and awarded them \$16,700 in damages. This ruling was upheld in the appeals court. Then the two counties filed a joint Supreme Court appeal, warning that the ruling in the test case, unless overturned, could have "catastrophic" results in central New York. The Oneidas claim several million acres in the state. New York State has also asked the court to review their liability, claiming sovereign immunity. The state concedes that land was purchased from the Oneidas in violation of the 1793 Non-Intercourse Act which required Congressional approval. Questions for the court involve whether the Indian land claims are political issues that should be resolved by Congress. A year or more is expected before the Supreme Court makes its ruling.

COURT REAFFIRMS TRIBAL HUNTING, FISHING RIGHT

In a decision expected to have national impact, the U.S. 9th Circuit Court of Appeals has ruled that Oregon's Klamath Indians have a tribal right to hunt and fish on state land without being subject to state fish and game regulations. In 1901 the tribe ceded some 617,000 acres of land in south central Oregon to the United States, but tribal members continued to hunt, fish, and trap without being subject to Oregon's fish and wildlife laws—regarding it as their historical right. Oregon claimed that state law applied because the land was no longer a reservation. The appeals court said the Indians could hunt and fish without being bound by state regulation because Congress had not specified otherwise when the land was ceded in 1901. The court decided those in authority "must exercise due care in administration of Indian property; they cannot . . . appropriate them to their own purposes." An attorney for the Native American Rights Fund in Boulder, Colorado, told the *Los Angeles Times* the decision is important because "it reaffirms the principle that Indian rights may not be extinguished" simply because Indian lands change hands.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

The Emil W. Haury Award has been created by the Southwest Parks and Monuments Association to recognize outstanding contributions to scientific research in the national parks and monuments in the Southwest. The contributions may be related to a specific research project or to an individual's contribution over a period of time. Serious consideration will be given both to the quality of the scientific contribution and to the degree that the research created a greater public understanding of the cultural and natural resources of national parks. A \$1000 cash prize will accompany the award.

Haury, for many years director of the Arizona State Museum and head of the department of anthropology at the University of Arizona, is widely considered to be the dean of southwestern archeologists. His archeological excavations at Snaketown, a major site northwest of Casa Grande, were instrumental in defining the prehistoric Hohokam culture. Recognition of Haury's work has included being named to a Guggenheim Fellowship, the National Park Advisory Board and the National Science Foundation.

The Southwest Parks and Monuments Association was founded in 1938 to aid and promote the educational and scientific activities of the National Park Service. For further information about the Haury Award, contact T.J. Priehs, Executive Director, Southwest Parks and Monuments Association, 339 South Broad Street, P.O. Box 1562, Globe, AZ 85501; 602/425-8183.

Calendar of Events

August 15-19, 1984

Society of Architectural Historians (SAH) Domestic Tour — Northern Michigan. Contact: SAH, 1700 Walnut, Suite 716, Philadelphia, PA 19103.

August 26-29

Annual Meeting of the American Society for 18th Century Studies — Boston, MA. Contact: Charles A. Knight, Dept. of English, Univ. of Massachusetts in Boston, Harbor Campus, Boston, MA 02125.

August 30-September 3

Society of American Archivists, Washington, D.C.

September 5-7

Second Biennial Conference on Research in California's National Parks, University of California, Davis, CA. Contact: Cooperative Parks Studies Unit, University of California, Davis, CA.

September 16-19

Association for Preservation Technology Training Shortcourses: (1) Masonry Conservation and Cleaning and (2) Historical Archaeology as a Tool in Architectural Conservation. Contact: Herb Stovel, 7th Floor, 77 Bloor Street West, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M7R 2R9. Phone: 416/965-5727.

Survey of Ethnographic Collections, footnotes:

1. Figures are rounded off. Some figures are estimates.
2. Numbers in brackets refer to total number of a particular type of item. Some figures are rounded off.
3. Percentages listed refer to percentage of entire collection.



July 1984

Published quarterly by the Associate Director, Cultural Resources, in the interest of promoting and maintaining high standards in the management of those cultural resources entrusted to the National Park Service's care by the American people.

Director: Russell E. Dickenson

Editor: Mary V. Maruca

Assistant Editor: Karlota M. Koester

Graphic Design: Northlight Graphics

Cultural Resources, Washington, D.C.

Volume 7: No.2

IN THIS ISSUE . . .

Management Issues . . .	1	Survey of Ethnographic	
. . . Bronze Sculpture . . .	1	Collections in the	
Maintenance Training . . .	3	National Park Service . . .	14
Outdoor Sculpture . . .	4	Historic Buildings . . .	17
. . . Rehabilitate a War Ship . . .	8	Tax Incentives . . .	21
Vegetative Threats . . .	11	Regional Updates . . .	22
. . . Ethnographic Objects . . .	12	Calendar of Events . . .	24

Cultural Resources
National Park Service
U.S. Department of Interior
Washington, D.C. 20240

Postage and Fees Paid
U.S. Department of the Interior
INT 417

