

**Correspondence ID:** 1    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Clark, Connie  
**Address:** Sheridan, WY 82801  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Oct,29,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support the preferred plan.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Morgan, Todd  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Oct,29,2015 11:18:02  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Let me begin by thanking everyone involved in the exhaustive research, analysis, and planning which has gone into this EIS. I realize it is no small feat and that not all stakeholders can ever be 100% satisfied no matter what alternative or combination of alternatives are chosen for implementation.  
After following the process closely, reviewing all of the documentation, and with a considerable stake in the outcome I am commenting as a resident, park visitor and Moose commuter. As such I am steadfast on the following combination of considered and dismissed alternative elements:  
1) The road should be realigned and entirely paved beginning from the north at the Chapel Rd. Jct. following east of the entire existing road corridor once south of sawmill ponds and continuing south until returning to the existing paved road between Granite Canyon Trailhead and Poker Flats.  
2) Access to Granite Canyon would remain from the southeast but as a result of the Moose-Wilson Rd. realignment as described in #1 above, would dead end at the existing Granite Canyon parking area.  
3) The Moose-Wilson Rd. realignment as described in #1 above should travel east of the LSR development but of course connect to it. The existing LSR entrance road should be removed.  
4) The existing Death Canyon Rd. should extend southeast to a relocated junction with the new Moose-Wilson Rd.  
5) Death Canyon Rd. should merge with the White Grass Ranch access road at the existing gate and continue on it approximately 1000 ft from that point where a parking area should be built to serve both Death Canyon and White Grass Ranch. The entirety of the existing unpaved portion of Death Canyon Rd. should be restored to the natural contours and from the new parking area a trail toward the ranger cabin built in it's place.  
6) With the above changes in place the abandoned Moose-Wilson road corridor should be converted into a paved multi-use path OR restored to the natural contours BUT with a paved multi-use path constructed parallel to the realigned Moose-Wilson Rd. as described in #1 above.  
7) Do what you will with the speed limit and pull outs but the ubiquitous vehicle sequencing proposal is a recipe for failure and should not be implemented in any way, shape or form.  
I recognize all of the above would result in an exponential cost increase when compared to all of the current EIS alternatives: however, I am convinced it would make for the best alternative for all stakeholders (including flora and fauna) when long term sustainability is considered for the next 100 years.  
Thank you for the opportunity to comment one more time. Respectfully, Todd C. Morgan

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Collins, Greg  
**Address:** Victor, ID 83455  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Oct,29,2015 12:24:24  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I encouraged you to maintain, at the current level, the winter access along the road. Please plow to the Death Canyon junction. Also, I think October traffic could be allowed to continue. Thanks your work on this, it's looking good!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 4    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** plano, joe j  
**Address:** universal city, TX 78148  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Oct,29,2015 18:05:42  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I think you guys are over-analyzing the traffic congestion and impact on the land and the solution to it.

To discourage congestion and people using the park as a short-cut, simply implement a \$10.00 fee to travel down the road. However at the same time, the whole thing must be paved. The one section that is not paved should be paved the same as the rest. Nothing more nothing less.

Locals shouldn't be using this area as a shortcut anyways and the fee would still keep the area open to most visitors who would like to drive through who are not commuters.

Personally, I would be on a motorcycle when I came through so paying the fee on a road trip to the state would not be a problem. The fee would keep people out who are just in a hurry to get home while those that want to experience the area would be under less pressure from motorists.

I dont think making the whole park more expensive to maintain with more employees and complicated traffic management is needed. Plus the fee will help offset costs that already exist instead of creating new costs for taxpayers.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 5    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Young, Brent m  
**Address:** Mount vernon, WA 98273  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Oct,30,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support restricted use of the Moose-Wilson road

---

**Correspondence ID:** 6    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Halpin, Diane R  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Oct,30,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Plan C most closely matches John Rockefeller's vision for the park. I'm in favor of this "less is more" plan which will better preserve the natural beauty of this road and its wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 7    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Bend, OR 97702  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Oct,30,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** My comment is only a simple one. Please don't fancy up this corridor too much. Please keep its quiet, meandering, back road feel. Those are the things that make it wonderful. Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 8    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Adams, Nancy M  
**Address:** Midvale, UT 84047  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Oct,30,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support plan B as I believe it will best address the serious congestion issues on the Moose Wilson road during the peak usage periods, and at the same time promote and enhance the visitor experience. I have spent a great deal of time on this road during the summer months and have seen a significant increase in its commuter use. It's not uncommon to see taxis and other vehicles using the road just to enter the park, often exceeding the 25 MPH speed limit. There has also been an increase in the number of visitors and local residents who frequent the road to take advantage of wildlife viewing and hiking opportunities, and to visit the LSR. Due to the high level of congestion I have witnessed numerous adverse impacts on the visitor experience and wildlife activity. I support the plans to better mitigate and manage these problems, including restricting through traffic during peak periods, moving the road at the northern end, and changing the speed limit to 20 MPH. The Moose Wilson Road is a unique destination to experience some of the best the park has to offer, and I look forward to seeing improvements that focus on its uniqueness, and keeping people and wildlife safe.

Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 9    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Flinch, Hans N  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Oct,30,2015 14:11:07  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The choice by the NPS of Alternative C is very disappointing. Alternative D was clearly the one that would enhance the visitor experience the most and provide the largest amount of multi-use access. The park is clearly missing out on an opportunity to connect the existing world class pathway system, which is a huge draw by visitors into the park, to the pathway system in Teton Village. The relocation of Death Canyon trailhead is a mistake, as it will make Phelps Lake significantly harder to reach and many visitors will be unable to make this hike. Also, it seems that limiting 200 cars into the area at a time is blatantly ignoring the growing traffic issues that GTNP already experiences. Visitors to Moose Wilson road will now be able to talk about their experience waiting in line to enter the corridor. Why wouldn't the park want to groom the MW Road? This is an occurring practice in GTNP already and one that is greatly enjoyed by visitors and locals?  
Overall, Alternative C is, as I stated, disappointing. I would hope that the NPS will reconsider.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 10    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Presson, Lane  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83002  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Oct,30,2015 15:20:32  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** The Park Service needs to do more to increase cycling safety on the Moose Wilson road. The best approach is to Make MWR one way and give cyclists/pedestrians/rollerskiers an entire lane of separated travel. Making Moose Wilson Road one way would naturally make fewer cars use it, so there would be no need for the 200 car limit. This approach would also allow for removal of the ticket window that costs more to operate than it brings in. You could put a self serve kiosk for cyclists headed north. The other option is to make a part of the Moose Wilson Road open to cyclists who are capable of riding on dirt. You could easily make a singletrack/doubletrack trail that could be used by cyclists who choose to ride in nature away from the hum and smog of speeding motorists. Paving moose wilson road is only going to make motorists drive faster and putting in a 20 mile speed limit is a joke. Motorists act like they are GODS and if anyone drives safely they are dangerously passed and honked at by other drivers. The national park service is a disgrace in that they provide billions in infrastructure to those who visit parks in baby-killing climate-changing machines, and legislative persecution by fining cyclists who like to ride on trails.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 11    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sorsby, Wilma D  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,01,2015 19:23:02  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I have ridden the horse trails along and adjacent to the Moose-Wilson Road for the past 30 years. I now own 2 horses & Poker Flats is very convenient to where I live in Wilson. Over the years I have seen a lot of changes; however, the changes along the Moose-Wilson Road for equestrians have been very disheartening. The most upsetting has been the eliminating of trails that have been considered "casual or redundant" trails which I and many others have used for variety for all of those 30 years. By plowing under these trails all the regular trails are already showing overuse and deep trenches. It doesn't make sense; and yet there is discussion to eliminate other "unnecessary" trails. There are reasons given that the usage interrupts wildlife; yet riding quietly past wildlife I have never seen as disruptive as well as one of the reasons to use these trails is to enjoy the scenery AND wildlife. Jackson Hole is being absorbed by "progress" of more roads - more buildings- more lights -more stores - faster pace - modernization. Let us not let our parks & open space be changed to curb what it is meant to experience.

If those in charge need to do anything - just do NOTHING more to hurt the equestrians. I agree better parking - one way traffic alternating north & south - & some paving of the gravel will make the road safer. Just leave the trails alone! So for my choice I would choose Alternative A.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 12    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Offutt, Thomas  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** PO Box 4205 Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Nov,02,2015 10:26:57  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** The GTNP support for preferred Alternative C is an indication that GTNP does NOT support the NPS mission statement: "The National Park Service preserves unimpaired the natural and cultural resources and values of the national park system for the enjoyment, education, and inspiration of this and future generations. The park service cooperates with partners to extend the benefits of natural and cultural resource conservation and outdoor recreation throughout this country and the world."

Alternative C curtails rather than accommodates park visitation. You know a reduction to no more than 200 cars including all those parked at Lawrence Rockefeller Visitor Center and at the White Grass Ranch/ Death Canyon Trailhead, will result in a massive reduction of visitors. This means 9 in 10 park visitors approaching from Teton Village will be DENIED admission to GTNP. How is that supporting the "enjoyment, education, and inspiration" of GTNP? It clearly does not. It is exclusionary and counter to the intent of the NPS and Lawrence Rockefeller.

In addition, it is clearly counter to a cooperative approach of partnership with the many entities that promote outdoor recreation in Teton Village especially and Jackson as well.

As a cyclist that using the Moose-Wilson Road in many of my rides, I see a personal benefit of Alternative C. Yes, paving the dirt would be nice. Less cars would be nice. But, I am not so selfish as to support this poor choice. For the net effect will be massive traffic jams. The exclusionary approach will result in a massive backlash and the initiative will ultimately fail. The result will be uncertain, but it will certainly be at a great expense and visitor frustration. I strongly ask GTNP to consider these points sooner than later. Please recognize that building a bike path to complete the Jackson to Moose to Teton Village to Wilson to Jackson loop is the best choice. GTNP needs to partner with Friends of Pathways and look at the Teton County Comprehensive Plan that promotes alternative forms of transportation to the use of cars.

If you want to curtail the amount of cars look to Zion National Parks use of buses on April 1 through the summer months. GTNP needs to partner with the Start Bus during peak months to ensure access. There is plenty of parking at Stilson Parking Lot and in Moose surrounding the old visitor center.

Any analysis of what will be needed in 10 or 20 years based on visitation growth reveals buses will be required. I encourage a progressive, forward looking solution rather than an exclusionary and untenable road block.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 13    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** PUBLEE, JEAN  
**Address:** FLEMINGTON, NJ 08822  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Nov,02,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** TH EWILDLIFE AND VEGETATION SHOULJD GET THE HIGHEST PRIORITY IN BEING PRESERVED IN ITS NATURAL STATE IN THIS AREA. THE VISITORS NEED TO BE RESTRAINED. I SEE WHER YOU TELL THE VISITORS SOMETHING AND INVARIABLEY THEY DONT LISTEN AND THEN CAUSE PROBLEMS. I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THIS AREA CALLED A WILDERNESS AREA AND KEEP ALL THEVISITORS OUT. I ALSO VERY M UCH OPPOSE ANY HUNTING OR FISHING IN THIS SITE. I SEE VERY LITTLE EFFORT EVER BY ANY GOVT AGENCY TO EVER PROTECT AND PRESERVE FOR OUR CHILDREN. OUR CHILDREN DESERVE NOTHING LESS. THEY DESERVE TO HAVE SOME NATURAL LAND PRESERVED AND PROTECTED. INSTEAD WE HAVE CORRUPT GOVT AGENCIES LIKE THIS ONE PLOTTING WAYT SO OVERRULE ANY PUBLIC COMMENT AND WORK ONLYH FOR PROFITEERS TO DESTROY AND DENIGRATE SITES. THIS IS OPEN SPACE. IT BELONG TO ALL AMERICANW. THE CORRUPT GOVT NEEDS TO STEP BACK AND STOP MAKING WORK FOR EMPLOYEES OF THE AGENCY BY TOXIC CHEMICAL APPOICATIONS, BUTNS THE SITES UP SO THAT THE ANIMALS AND BIRDS HAVE NO FOOD OR HOME, PUTTING ROADS ALL OVER WHICH BRING IN INVASIVE PLANTS AND CUSE DEATH TO ANIMALS AND BIRDS. ITS TIME TO STOP THE CORRUPT GOVT FROM OVERRUNNIG EVERY PUBLIC SITE THE PUBLIC OWNS. THE PEOPLE HIRED IN THESE AGENCIES ARE THE DREGS OF HUMANITY WITH NOT A BIT OF HEART IN THEIR BODIES, JUST THERE TO CONCRETE EVERYTING OVER. KEEP THE VISITORS OUT.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 14    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Smith, Naseem A

**Address:** Denver, CO 80113

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Nov,02,2015 21:09:34

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am a shared property owner (own with family members) of property in Jackson Hole.

I STRONGLY SUPPORT NOT CHANGING WILSON ROAD!!!

I travel often throughout parks in the western US. Things like using queues, busing, limiting hours of access, severely negatively change the feeling of parks, which no longer feel wild and scenic, nor truly open to the public, but rather more like regulated amusement parks. I realize at times this creates some limited crowding and traffic, but this is far preferable to the alternative, and is to a some degree self regulating.

THIS IS NOT JUST CHANGING ACCESS ON THIS ROAD- - IT IS ALTERING THE FEEING AND CHARACTER OF THE PARK. I CANNOT EMPHASIZE THIS ENOUGH; SUCH CHANGES IMPACT (AND DIMINISH) THE ENTIRE CHARACTER OF THE PARKS. I AM MUCH LESS INCLINED TO VISIT PARKS WHERE I HAVE EXPERIENCED THIS, AS ARE MY FRIENDS, AND VISIT THEM MUCH LESS OFTEN.

I realize there is a lot of bear activity on this road; however no one is walking any significant distance into the surrounding forest to disturb that area; people are just right at /near the road.

Also, this will unfairly and negatively impact owners of rental units in Teton Village. I certainly would be less inclined to stay there as a visitor if the proposed changes are put in place.

THE NATIONAL PARKS BELONG TO THE CITIZENS, whose experience of them should be regulated as little as possible.

Sincerely

Naseem Smith M.D.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 15    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** N/A, N/A

**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Nov,03,2015 16:57:42

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** All four alternatives appear to offer something positive but none of them offer the right combination of changes in a single package. Each of the alternatives offered seem to purposefully omit certain aspects that would make the use and enjoyment of the area better while at the same time protecting what makes the area so special. I do not feel that any of the four options are sufficient or complete enough for me to pick just one. I really wish another alternative existed that blended, what are in my opinion, the best aspects of each option.

Here are the changes that I think would best serve the interests of park visitors while maintaining the nature of the corridor.

- Realignment of the road near the Moose entrance station as proposed in alternatives B, C and D.
- The addition of the multiple proposed turnouts in alternatives B, C and D.
- Paving the unpaved portions of the road as in alternatives B and C.
- Implementation of all the proposed changes to the Death Canyon trail head and White Grass Ranch area as proposed in alternative C.
- Realignment of the Moose-Wilson road as outlined in alternative B with parking and wildlife viewing along the realignment as proposed in alternative D.
- Either a separate multiuse pathway along a route determined to be safest for pedestrian/bicycle traffic and wildlife, or reconstruction of the entire roadway to include a designated pedestrian/bicycle lane.
- Improve the Granite Canyon trailhead as proposed in alternative D.
- Do not restrict traffic to a maximum number of vehicles during certain times. Instead, build it wisely to allow for safe and efficient travel all the time.



---

**Correspondence ID:** 16    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Moyer, Peter F  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,04,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am in support of Plan C, the best alternative which was selected by your Staff.  
Very well done, and thank you!  
Peter F. Moyer

---

**Correspondence ID:** 17    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Baehr, William  
**Address:** Maxwell, CA 95955  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,04,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The National Park Service wasted \$2 million on this study because any changes will violate Grand Teton park's enabling legislation.  
Prepare for lawsuits.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 18    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Adams, Justin G  
**Address:** Kelly, WY 83011-0145  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** individual Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Nov,05,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Thank you for choosing Alternative C. You are a steward of GTNP; and you are not here to solve Teton Village's traffic problems.  
They made their own mess. Beware, powerful groups are conspiring to overturn your choice of Alternative C.

Justin Adams, Kelly, Wyoming

---

**Correspondence ID:** 19    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Perry, Myron S  
**Address:** Roy, UT 84067  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,05,2015 16:04:48  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** From the information provided I'm not certain how to respond. As long as there is no effect on Mormon Row and the road leading through it I concur. If the one purposed road road closure is the Mormon Row road I strongly oppose. My father built a home that still exists in that area and I would like to have it remain open for access.

Please respond on plans for Mormon Row road.

Thank You,  
Myron S. Perry

---

**Correspondence ID:** 20    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gardner, Linnea  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,06,2015 10:37:29  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am 100% bhind your Preferred Alternative C. I think this shows a lot of thought for all parties and provides as much access as possible while taking into account the flora and fauna of the Park and keeping in mind it's purpose of protecting the area a much as possible for future generations. You are looking at the least amount of construction and impact, while taking into consideration the large numbers of people who use this corridor.

I was happy to see under "Traffic Management" that if additional traffic measures are needed in the future because of volume related congestion, that you are willing to consider an alternative transit system. Shuttles have proven to be very effective in other parks and I feel that as the population grows and visitor counts go up, that this is the future for most National Parks.

And, although I am not a proponent of bicycles everywhere, I have no problem living with them on the roads if they are managed in a reasonable manner. I

think this plan more than fairly addresses their use and gives them plenty of opportunity to use the road safely, while not impacting others. I thank you for this foresight. This is after all a National Park and not a Recreation Center.

There is no plan that will satisfy everyone. But I think this shows a good balance of trying to take everything into account. We all need to remember that this Park belongs to everyone, and not just those that live here, and not just those on 2 wheels. My personal thought being that we really do not need another pathway or any more roads along this corridor.

I thank you for your consideration of our comments in making your final decision on how to handle this most heavily used and unique area of this Park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 21    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Pieper, Susan  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,06,2015 11:01:33  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** NPS,

I am sincerely disappointed in your recommendation that does not solve anything and, in fact, keeps us stuck with traffic congestion in the park.

At a minimum, please re-consider adding back in/and funding the separate multi-use pathway as a means to reduce car traffic in the park and provide for continued access for generations to come. This will be visionary and something you and your children will be proud that you did. In the future, visitors and locals will visit more parks OUT of their cars. We must as a society embrace this and NOT get stuck with the current paradigm that sitting in a car and driving through a national park is the only way to see a park NOR is it the preferred way. If there were a pathway, it would be so much better visitor experience AND it connects the park to the broader pathway network.

The queuing seems like a very low cost alternative but it does not solve anything. Only an alternate like a pathway can ultimately reduce traffic.

The pathway MUST be part of the solution.

Regards,

Susan Pieper  
Wilson, WY

---

**Correspondence ID:** 22    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Willemain, Jeffrey K  
**Address:** Moose, WY 83012  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,08,2015 09:57:47  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Compliments to the Park for having conducted a process with unparalleled transparency and thoroughness. I believe the Park has arrived at the best preferred alternative, most in keeping with its Mission: to preserve unimpaired the natural and cultural resources of the park system&.. and the provisions of the Organic Act: &to conserve the scenery and the natural and historic objects and the wildlife therein and to provide for the enjoyment of same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for future generations.

We should all recognize that had there never been a road through this stretch prior to formation of the park, we would not be having this debate. Would anyone be seriously suggesting that we build a road/ bike path through a prime wildlife, archeological, and wetlands section of a national park? But we have a road, and we have had tremendous growth in the gateway communities and park visitation as well as other changes not the least of which is the presence of grizzlies, understandably creating pressure to meet a series of needs. This does not mean the Park service should stray from its Mission and enabling Act.

I have volunteered this past year and spent many hours on Moose-Wilson road, assisting the Park in dealing with the visitors and wildlife. It is clear to me that it is not wise, or practical, to absorb more traffic. As noted, traffic is up 26-60% see 2006, depending on the month, and this will increase more as visitation increases. I strongly support a plan to contain this volume, because any appreciable increase will jeopardize the quality of the experience of the visitor. There may be other configurations of traffic management than the specific plan noted in alternative 3, but containing traffic volume is a must. There is a limit to what this area of the Park can absorb.

More important, while people visit the Park for many things, seeing a moose or a bear tops the list. Habitat is critical for these animals. For all who value this unique wildlife and the experience they offer, it is inappropriate to think the Park should undertake to reduce the habitat in my view. The corridor should not be used for commuting purposes. A bike path should not be constructed, as it involves significant habitat loss, as so clearly discussed in the document. The EIS states a bike path-as included in alternative 4- - would have a significant adverse impact on the grizzly bear; involve significant habitat fragmentation and loss and increased risk of surprise encounters.

I support bike paths, and believe the path system in town is an important endeavor. The existing paths in the Park are largely in open space, where visibility is good and there is time to avoid an encounter with wildlife. That would not be the case in this corridor.

Several years ago residents in the Hoback area mobilized to address fracking proposals in the Wyoming range. The economic advantages to those residents were clear, as the surrounding communities generally made their livelihoods in the energy industries. Yet those same residents, rallying behind the mantra too special to drill, successfully worked to stop the proposal, knowing there would be an economic cost.

Moose-Wilson is too special to overwhelm any further than we have already. There are some places where we need to minimize our impact, and this is one of them.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 23    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** hartenstein, larry

**Address:** alpine, WY 83128  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov.10,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** put in a bike path on the Moose Wilson! Keep it open all the time. I and many others run in the park in the morning and then commute to the Village from Moose. don't ruin this!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 24    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bullinger, Brooke  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Letter  
**Correspondence:** Grand Teton National Park -

I would just like to say that I totally back your draft plan (for Moose-Wilson Road) of no separated pathway. Do not let special interest groups sway you. GTNP belongs to 300 [plus] million people not a small number of people who have special interests. Since all the science backs you up you need to say strong and true to the mandate of the park and that is to protect its resources and wildlife.

Thank you.

Brooke Bullinger (41 year resident)

---

**Correspondence ID:** 25    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Halpin Bourdeaux, Christina  
**Address:** Cape Elizabeth, ME 04107  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,10,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am impressed with the research and analysis completed thus far by Grand Teton National Park in regards to the Moose-Wilson Road corridor. I have carefully read through the email newsletters provided over this past year, and am in support of the Park's decision to choose Alternative C. I believe this choice will make the least amount of disruptive environmental impact on the corridor, and will preserve, as best as possible, the natural beauty of this area. As a GTNP/Jackson Hole visitor, resident and property owner since 1968, I fully appreciate what the corridor has to offer to visitors, and the importance of the wildness of this area for an abundance of wildlife species. I believe the Rockefeller family would be pleased with the Park's decision in choosing Alternative C.

Under Alternative C, visitors will be guests, the natural beauty of this corridor and a safe-haven for wildlife will be preserved.

I support Alternative C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 26    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** boynton, beverly j  
**Address:** kelly, WY 83011  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,10,2015 15:28:25  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Thank you for taking time for comments. In general, I think Alternative C (NPS Preferred Alternative) is good, but it can be tweaked to make it even better.

My most important concern is the Death Canyon Trailhead. I strongly feel the DC Trailhead should remain where it is, with dispersed parking similar to what it is now. The road should be graded, and parking areas and other pullouts better defined in order to minimize degradation to surrounding areas.

My reasons:

- o Adding 2 miles to the round trip to Phelps Lake Overlook and to the Valley Trail I think would deter some from what should be an excellent way to experience GTNP away from cars. To my knowledge, these trails are not overrun with too many people, so I don't see a need to discourage people from these hikes.

- o A single large parking lot for 90 cars is quite an eyesore, and would require more disruption of land. Dispersed parking allows users to drive only part of the road if they intend to visit or hike near White Grass Ranch; perhaps somewhat more parking could be had here along with picnic tables. Continued signage that the rest of the road is rough and winding, with RVs etc. prohibited would help minimize traffic and parking further up the road. Signs for hiking in White Grass Ranch area would be compatible with dispersed parking.

Banning taxis year round serves no real purpose, though I suppose it is intended to discontinue use of the road as a throughway. However, there are visitors to GTNP who simply don't want to rent a car, yet should be able to visit the MW Road, or hikers exiting hiking trails (i.e. Death and Open Canyons) who don't want to drive 2 cars to set up a shuttle. Furthermore, taxis usually have more than one passenger, unlike many private vehicles. Taxis should simply have to obey the same rules as private cars (i.e. speed limits, queuing when that is in effect).

It does not seem fair or to accomplish the goal of less traffic on the road to count cars that are parked for full day or multiday hikes. I'm not sure how the goal of 200 vehicles in the corridor can be monitored, and I don't see mention of what hours during the day of peak months would begin limiting vehicles. I am assuming that, for example, a climber needing an early start could drive in earlier than the time chosen, and would be able to drive out whenever finished?

Finally, I am disappointed that some kind of mass transportation (i.e. small shuttle buses) is not on the agenda at this time. I think there is a real need for this, and I envision shuttle vans picking up passengers on either end, stopping at LRP to let some off and pick up others, and continuing to the opposite end before returning to original end. Such vans would decrease the number of single vehicles on the road. Please consider this further.

I agree with the rest of Alternative C, and think you have all done a good job sorting through this contentious issue. I may even try bicycling the MW road, as I think this Alternative will make it safer. Thanks.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 27    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Huebner, Bernard C  
**Address:** Waterville, ME 04901  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov.10,2015 17:51:22  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** This comment concerns Alternative C, the NPS Preferred Alternative. As a former White Grass Ranch wrangler in the 1960s and long-time climber/trekker in the Tetons, I am concerned about the plan to move the Death Canyon Trailhead back from its present position roughly a mile out onto the flats. For anyone day-hiking into Stewart Draw, Death or Open Canyons, or day-climbing any of the surrounding peaks, this amounts to adding two miles of unnecessary trail. Unlike the trail at Lupine Meadows, say, which begins by rising immediately toward Garnet Canyon and Amphitheater and Surprise Lakes and the peaks beyond, this seems a questionable extension of the time available to someone seeking to get into the high country. The current trailhead is already well located; the access road and parking area are all that need improving. Building a parking area a mile out on the Death Canyon Road will take no less space. Given the significant elevation change (anywhere from 9,500' to nearly 12,000' on Buck Mt.) and distance most hikers are already willing to undertake, adding two more miles of needless flat trail seems burdensome. I don't know how many of those using the parking area are already undertaking a very long day (I remember doing a traverse over Prospector's Peak from Open to Death Canyons that began and ended in darkness), versus how many are only out for a stroll to the Phelps Lake Overlook, but this one aspect of Alternative C does not seem to serve the latter well at all.

Many thanks for the opportunity to comment.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 28    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Zelenko, Dan  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** JHWF Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Nov,11,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I totally agree with the planned changes for limiting traffic on the MW corridor. We need to respect and protect this corridor which definitely means avoiding a bike pathway. You have my full support!! Thank you.

Dan Zelenko

Treasurer for JHWF

---

**Correspondence ID:** 29    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stirn, Kelly H  
**Address:** Teton Village, WY 83025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov.11,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Of all the Alternative, I feel Alternative C is the best choice. It combines and balances the need to protect this corridor while offering fair opportunities to take advantage of it's unique resources. Thank you for not including a bicycle pathway. This would have ruined the rare uniqueness of this corridor.

Paving the existing unpaved Southern portion of Moose-Wilson Road

Paving of the southern portion of the road offers a safer access for bicyclists. However, in general, I do not feel the Moose-Wilson road in the park is a safe road for bicyclists and that this should be expressed to bicyclists entering this corridor. I would suggest at the Entrance Stations to this corridor that bicyclists be warned that this road has many blind spots and changes in elevation and is not a safe road to ride and that bicyclists ride it carefully.

Often, I see families entering the Granite Canyon Entrance Station with young children and cringe at the thought of what they are about to get into. I think with a little warning, families and less experienced bicyclists will have pertinent information to make the choice as whether to continue or not. Of course, Teton Village businesses may not like this, but it prevents the park being fully responsible for inexperienced bicyclists entering a challenging road.

Traveler Alerts:

This alert system is a wonderful idea. It would be useful to have it located North of Teton Village entrance rather than South so vehicles exiting Teton Village would be able to view the alerts. It would be useful to include parking situation at LSR. Often, people drive to LSR to find there is no parking and then return immediately, creating more unnecessary traffic on Moose-Wilson.

**Reduce Speed Limits:**

This is also a nice idea. Hopefully, it would not require speed alerts that are present on Highway 390. It would take away the ambiance of the corridor.

**Queuing Lanes:**

Currently, traffic at the Southern Granite Canyon Entrance Station is a problem. On many occasions this summer (2015), traffic was backed up with 60 cars waiting to enter the park. This consequently blocked the entrance to Range Road, which allows access for R Lazy S Ranch, Yodler Subdivision, Granite Creek and Lake Creek. With traffic blocking access, cars wishing to access Range Road from the south would have to wait over 20 minutes. This has also effected deliveries to this area such as UPS, Federal Express, and Sysco.

Queuing Lanes will help, but for only 30 cars. Another solution is to coordinate with Wyoming Highway Department and have a right lane available to turn onto Range Road from the south. Another option would be to allow the Entrance Station to have cars quickly by-pass the entrance station when too many cars were blocking the highway. This would require some kind of eye on the traffic backup situation which is currently not available at the Station.

Another idea to help prevent traffic backup at this Station would be to have another fee station for cars with passes as I understand is done at Moran Entrance Station. This would allow cars to enter this corridor more quickly and therefore prevent potential backup.

I will be sending a hard copy of this comment to show pictures of the traffic backup on HWY 390 before the Entrance Station.

**Horse Use:**

We appreciate the options in Alternative C for horseback riding, especially the Horse Trailer Parking improvements. Currently, however, at the Granite Canyon Entrance Station, horse trailers are bypassing the entrance station and parking on Range Road, sometimes blocking access to residences off of this road and blocking school buses from turning around at this location as they do on a daily basis during school season. This is part of Highway 390 and should not be used for this purpose while accessing the park. We all pay our entrance fees to access the park, why allow those who can park on Range Road bypass this requirement.

A solution would be to either suggest that the Wyoming Highway department place a "No Trailer Parking" signs on this road, or for the park to build a fence along the northern portion of this road to prevent riders from entering the park without going through the entrance station.

I will be sending a hard copy of this comment to show pictures of trailers on Range Road and blocking access to local residences.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 30    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Eisen, Hilary  
**Address:** Bozeman, MT 59715  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Winter Wildlands Alliance Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Nov,11,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Moose-Wilson road draft comprehensive management plan and environmental impact statement (EIS). Winter Wildlands Alliance (WWA) is a national advocacy organization that represents the interests of human-powered winter recreationists across the U.S. Collectively, WWA represents over 55,000 members and 39 grassroots partner organizations in 11 states, including the Wyoming Wilderness Association and Friends of Pathways in Wyoming. Many of our members and ambassadors live in the Jackson area and many more recreate in Grand Teton National Park.

The Moose-Wilson road provides a myriad of winter recreation opportunities, including cross-country skiing and snowshoeing along the unplowed road and access to world-class backcountry skiing. The preferred alternative does not negatively impact winter access and use along the Moose-Wilson road corridor. As such, we support the preferred alternative.

Sincerely,  
Hilary Eisen  
Recreation Planning Coordinator

---

**Correspondence ID:** 31    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Whalen, Lynne M  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,12,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I want to commend the Park Service for the research, the time, and the effort that went into the discussion on the future of the Moose Wilson Road. I do believe that it, and the animals that inhabit it, are a treasure that must be protected.

Your decision did not please certain special interest groups, most notably the Village and the bike path group. They have nothing but their narrow interest at heart. Now that you have made your preferred alternative public you will be under intense pressure from special them and the politicians that they have intimidated to change your decision. I hope you will hold fast.

My preferred alternative was to do nothing with the road. I thought the potholes might prevent too many from using it. I have always loved it just the way it was and enjoyed the need to go slow. But I realize that was selfish, as other people have the right to enjoy the beautiful area.

If the pressure to change your decision becomes too extreme you can always go to the more restrictive alternative- maybe they should remember that. Again, thank you for all you have invested in this controversy.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 32    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kummer, Julie and Larry  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,12,2015 17:27:39  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Thank you for all the work you are doing and will be doing regarding the corridor. I expect you will get comments scattered all over the spectrum.  
Ours:  
Your preferred option would be fine except for bicycles. The road is too narrow for bikes. Constant watching and concern, especially when meeting oncoming cars while passing near bicycles spoils the drive. You might limit the bicycles to certain times, or times for bikes only, but if you insist on allowing bikes and cars to travel this road together, then I would opt for alternative D.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 33    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gillette, Claudia J  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,13,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I commend the park's preferred alternative plan. It is about time a plan that considers the preservation and safety of flora and fauna along this corridor. Too bad more has not been "protected" in this area of Wyoming.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 34    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Brower, Christine  
**Address:** Pocatello, ID 83201  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,14,2015 08:07:14  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I agree with the preferred plan C. Although I am a bicyclist and love biking in the park, I feel adding a bike path to the corridor would add unnecessary expense both in cost and to the environment/wildlife. I have been avoiding biking on the corridor due to the rough road with huge potholes to go around or through. Having the road paved is something I have wanted for years and with a slow speed limit would allow for safer biking even though cars will be there too.  
I would like to see information about wait times near the intersection of Highway 22 and Wilson Rd to decide which route to take into the park. I am looking forward to positive changes in my favorite park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 35    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lightner, Robin  
**Address:** Jackson/Teton Village, WY 83025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,15,2015 11:25:45  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I'm commenting on the MooseWilson Road proposal. At this time the traffic is terrible mostly because of the entrance station that was added. This made it seem to tourist that this is an official road into GTP, a back road where they will see wildlife so they are all taking it. I believe originally it was a totally dirt road only paved to Rockefeller's ranch when he wanted that portion to be paved. However even then it was mostly used by locals with just few tourist. Unless you take out the station and remove it from the maps will there be less traffic on it and that will take a while to happen. However, I believe one thing that might help a little is to give ALL locals and their families who may be visiting a sticker or something to put on their car that will allow them to go through in the proposed second lane without stopping at the entrance. Locals could even pay a small fee for the sticker however I do think it should be free since we have to live and deal with the tourist and we are the ones that support GTP. I included families as since we live on Lake Creek Ranch which is right next door to the station when our children etc are visiting if they want to go to Dorman's or anywhere North they have to buy a pass or drive all the way around through town. It's not just the time involved but also the fuel and wear and tear on our vehicles. The GTP should respect and care about the locals. You want us to support you but you do not support us. You just keep asking for money. We have a Senior Pass but when our grown children are here they have to pay. Not Fair!! They grew up here and this is their park too. I think this should be done altho it may only help a little with the traffic. It's worth a try. This problem with the Moose/Wilson road did not exist when we moved here in 1976.I also think it should be kept open until the snow is too deep to drive through for local use. I always fly in around Nov. 1 or 2 and it's a long way around to get home. Today is Nov. 15th and there is no snow on the ground anywhere. Please take my comments into consideration. Thanks, Robin Lightner

---

**Correspondence ID:** 36    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lightner, Robin  
**Address:** Jackson/Teton Village, WY 83025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:****Received:** Nov,15,2015 11:33:00**Correspondence Type:** Web Form**Correspondence:** I just left you a comment but have an additional concern. If, as I suggested, you give locals some sort of priority, there will still be the problem of how we cross the long line of cars to the second lane to go through with a pass. If you persist with having the station you will need a locals only lane and a tourist only lane. Robin Lightner

---

**Correspondence ID:** 37 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894**Name:** Ker, B**Address:** Not provided, NJ 00000  
United States of America**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual**Affiliation:****Received:** Nov,16,2015 00:00:00**Correspondence Type:** E-mail**Correspondence:** any "conflicts" with wildlife are primarily caused by humans who try to contact animals for their own benefit. most wildlife don't want to be around people, but they do like to eat. please put some fines in place on people who violate common sense. some of them cause animal deaths. we see that all of thetime in nj with stupid people causing animal deaths. I am against logging, new roads, use of chemical poison such as glyphosate.etc. its time to preserve and protect wildlife and vegetation from people's assaults and attacks. this comment is for the public record. please receipt. b ker bk1492@aol.com

---

**Correspondence ID:** 38 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894**Name:** Jones, Joyce p  
**Address:** Casper/Moran, WY 82604  
United States of America**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual**Affiliation:****Received:** Nov,16,2015 13:41:05**Correspondence Type:** Web Form**Correspondence:** IIN ORDER FOR US TO ACCESS THE VALLEY TRAIL VIA THE WHITE GRASS ROAD WE MUST BE ABLE TO DRIVE TO THE TRAILHEAD. THIS ALSO APPLIES TKO THE HIKE UP TO PHELPS LAKE. AT OUR "ADVANCED" AGE IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO WALK TO THIS TRAIL HEAD FROM WHERE THE PUBLIC ACCESS TO THIS ROAD IS PROPOSED.. THE SAME WOULD APPLY TKO ALL OF US EXCEPT FOR THHOSE PLANNING ON AN EXTENDED PHYSICALLY CHALLENGING HIKE.

PLEASE CONSIDER MAKING THE WHITE GRASS ROAD ONE THAT IS NOT FOR ADMINISTRATIVE USE ONLY THIS WOULD ALLOW THE MAJORITY OF THE PUBLIC THE ABILITY TO HIKE THESE VERY POPULAR TRAILS.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION OF THIS PROPOSAL.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 39 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual**Affiliation:****Received:** Nov,17,2015 08:07:31**Correspondence Type:** Web Form**Correspondence:** Given the delicate nature of the corridor, I think limiting both auto and bicycle traffic is the best solution.

I'm not sure paving more of the road will be a benefit. People drive much faster than the speed limit on the paved portions now, so paving it all the way will only make that problem worse. I think the road should remain unpaved in the most critical areas for wildlife - and even allow some of the paved areas to be unpaved to slow traffic.

The ideal solution would be to close the road to autos completely, but given the alternatives I believe the preferred alternative is the best trade-off.

Thanks for preserving the park for wildlife. It really is what most people come to see and the reason I live in Jackson.

Putting up with a little inconvenience to keep the resource as pristine as possible should be acceptable to everyone.

Please don't buckle to the pressure you're bound to get to pave more from the bicycle groups or from Teton Village - who want to use that precious resource as a speedy corridor to get to the airport.

Thanks again.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 40 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894**Name:** Brown, Ned  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual**Affiliation:****Received:** Nov,17,2015 00:00:00**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I strongly support the Park Service's plan. This is wildlife habitat not Disneyland. It needs to be kept in its most natural state for the benefit of wildlife. We have plenty of bike paths and I'd hate to see it paved. I've lived here 37 years and the longer I stay and more people I see moving here I realize they miss some of the things they had back home and would like to see them here. It's too bad the animals can't speak for themselves. Too much is being developed here for the benefit of more people. Keep it natural and wild!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 41      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wilkinson, David L  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,18,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** First, I would like to complement the NPS staff and Grand Teton National Park for completing a thorough and comprehensive study of this very scenic and habitat rich transportation thoroughfare known as the Moose-Wilson Corridor. After reviewing the four alternatives considered, I completely support your decision to make Alternative C the preferred alternative. I feel that this alternative is the best choice if the goals are to achieve a balance of preservation and public use and enjoyment as well as the conservation legacies within the corridor, as stated in your decision. The Moose-Wilson Corridor's critical wildlife habitat, diverse wildlife and scenic grander must be protected and the integrity of this area of the Park must be secured for all. I also am supportive of the parking and road improvements outlined as part of Alternative C regarding the Death Canyon and Sawmill Ponds Areas, the new north entrance gate location and the decision to pave the final portion of the Moose-Wilson Road.

In the future if the implementation of Alternative C is found to be inadequate to the protection of resources, I support the transitioning to a public transit system such as a free shuttle. Long term, this option could be joined with a shuttle transit system between the south entrance and all the way to the Jenny Lake area. This would reduce congestion and overall human transportation impact on some of the most popular sections of the Park. I also would be supportive of an earlier closing of the road by October 1st or 15th to further protect fall wildlife movement and use of this important black and grizzly bear area.

In closing, thank you for focusing on the goals of protecting and allowing this wildlife rich area to continue to perpetuate and remain for the enjoyment of future generations. Additionally, I commend you for not being influenced or coerced by local interests, who through their actions and will are attempting to re-make Grand Teton National Park into a paved pathway filled county recreational park. Alternative C is the right choice at the right time.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 42      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,19,2015 18:48:10  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I emphatically support Alternative C as the best solution for the Moose Wilson road through Teton National Park. It seems to be the least environmentally disruptive way to improve the road for all users and maybe discourage the use by cars simply using the road as a shortcut to and from the airport. Several times I have been sightseeing on the road only to be tailgated by cars that were obviously looking for a quick shortcut to the airport. We certainly do not need another dedicated bike path through this wildlife corridor. An occasional speed bump here and there along the road much encourage people to slow down a bit once the entire road is paved.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 43      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Leon, Nancy  
**Address:** wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,21,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Thank you for your diligent work and process to present these alternatives. I greatly respect your analysis and recommendations, and partnership with the community and visitors at large.

1/ I would like to see a separate bike path added to option C, for increased non-motorized visitor experience to GTNP.

2/ I would also like to recommend for winter - Dec-mid-April - a short (3 mile) groomed nordic trail added, starting from the Granite Canyon trailhead running north to the Death Canyon turnoff (i.e. from plowed road to power road). The nordic ski trail would accommodate 2 classic cross country tracks, with a skate ski track in the middle, similar to the track starting at Taggart lake trailhead. This will increase visitation of this beautiful corridor in winter with very low impact.

Thank you for your consideration.  
kind regards,  
Nancy Leon

---

**Correspondence ID:** 44      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Buhler, Tina W



**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov.21,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Thank you for your good work in the regard of the Moose-Wilson Road. I appreciate your time and care and agree with your findings as stated below.

The Preferred Alternative is a conservation-minded, science-based approach to Corridor management. Support reduced speeds and continued shared use of the road by vehicles and bicycles, thereby negating any demand for a separated bike pathway.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 45    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McWethy, Laura  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Nov.21,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I applaud the current Park Service decisions regarding the future of the Moose-Wilson Corridor.

It does not meet everyone's desire (I.e. Plan A, Plan D), but reflects sound study of the road's use to consider wildlife, environment, visitors. Please deflect the very vocal lobby groups that wish for total access, Pathways & JHMR come to mind.

Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 46    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Blatt, Joan  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov.21,2015 15:57:20  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I would like to basically support the draft that has currently been chosen by the park service. My only concern is limiting the number of cars on the road and the one in/one out policy. I would prefer to restrict the flow of traffic by allowing access from each end of the road, only to the Rockefeller center and back. I realize this would involve some construction of barriers/parking facility.

However, if that isn't going to be a possibility, I am satisfied with the plan that has been designated as preferred which includes reroute get the northern section of the road, paving the road, and most importantly NO BIKE PATH!!!!

I have become aware that the governor is meeting with the business interests in the area. I sincerely hope their interests are counted with the same weight as the local residents of Jackson. One vote for each person. Not one very large vote based on business interests.

Thank you

---

**Correspondence ID:** 47    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Salter, Andrew H  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov.22,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Thank you for the excellent work on the DEIS. I wholeheartedly support the park in your Preferred Alternative (Alt. C), which will protect critical natural and cultural park resources, use adaptive management to reduce congestion on the road, and expand the preservation goals of the LSR Preserve to the entire Corridor.

The Preferred Alternative is a conservation-minded, science-based approach to Corridor management. I strongly support reduced speeds and continued shared use of the road by vehicles and bicycles, thereby negating any demand for a separated bike pathway.

I support continued guided wildlife tours by guiding companies.

I support continued winter use of the ungroomed road by skiers and snowshoers and hikers as snow conditions allow, and urge you not to allow winter wheeled vehicles such as fat tire snow bikes.

I urge you to reduce development footprint from current levels (parking, pullouts, structures, trails, etc.)

I urge you to prohibit commercial traffic unrelated to experiencing the park such as taxis

I urge you to prohibit any further habitat fragmentation and to keep all impacts within the current rustic width of roadway.

I urge you to reduce from current levels any impacts to wildlife, including grizzly bears, black bears, wolves, wolverines, elk and moose.

Thank you for consideration of my comments

Andy Salter

---

**Correspondence ID:** 48    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hornback, Ken  
**Address:** Lakewood, CO 80215  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPS retired Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Nov,22,2015 13:14:12  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Planners -

Have you used the Money Generation Model to calculate the sales revenue, tax revenue, and jobs created by the park in its' current configuration (attributable to the state of preservation). Have you explained that threats to resource preservation undermine existing the tourism benefits now generated by the park. Hopes for increasing tourism actually threaten the delicate metabolism now in place. The ambitions of individuals can easily upset the balance of resources protected vs. resources worth the experience of the touring public. Countering economics with mushy nature talks is lame. Fight economic ambitions with economic facts.

Hornback  
NPS Sociologist (retired)

---

**Correspondence ID:** 49    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wightman, Lynn  
**Address:** Mayville, NY 14757  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,22,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Urge adoption of Alternative C for this important corridor. Allowing more intensive development of this corridor is not in the best interest of preserving the current unique values .

---

**Correspondence ID:** 50    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Castleberry, Don H  
**Address:** Little Rock, AR 72223  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,23,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support the NPS preferred alternative

---

**Correspondence ID:** 51    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83002  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,23,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support the Park's Preferred Alternative (Alt C) because it provides for continued shared use of the road by vehicles and bicycles.

I do not want to see a separated bike pathway because I believe that it would fragment the wildlife habitat and would be very expensive to construct and maintain. I also believe that a separate bike pathway would be potentially dangerous to users because of the bear habitat through which it would be located.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the plan.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 52    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Williams, Scott A  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** retired Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Nov,23,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I completely support the Park Service's proposed approach to managing Moose-Wilson Road.

The summer traffic is completely out-of-hand. Despite increased staffing, large traffic jams build up, creating frustration for drivers and pressuring wildlife (e.g., bears, moose, elk). Motorists in a hurry drive erratically, trying to speed through jams. The unpaved section of M-W gets quickly rutted out and difficult to traverse. It also requires the park to close the road several times each summer for regrading. There is no additional room for a bike path along the existing corridor and cutting an entirely new path would be a huge impact on this sensitive environment.

The park's proposal addresses all of these issues:  
Limiting the flow of traffic reduces bottlenecks and lessens the impact on wildlife.  
Paving the gravel section smooths the drive and eliminate road closings for regrading.  
Bicyclists will be more willing to traverse a road with a better surface and fewer cars.

Most of all - - The Park Service sustains its primary mission - - preserving and protecting the natural environment.

The Moose-Wilson Road within GTNP should not be considered a primary transportation route for Teton County residents and visitors. It passes through an environmentally-sensitive section of the park with abundant wildlife. The Park Service's proposed approach solves some of the existing problems and reduces the impact of the ever-growing traffic numbers.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 53    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tonnessen, Kathy  
**Address:** Missoula, MT 59807  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,23,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support the park in their Preferred Alternative (Alt. C), which will protect critical natural and cultural park resources, use adaptive management to reduce congestion on the road, and expand the preservation goals of the LSR Preserve to the entire Corridor. I do not support the construction of a new pathway/bike path. Further development along the corridor should be kept to a minimum.

I The Preferred Alternative is a conservation-minded, science-based approach to Corridor management, with lots of science studies to back up this plan. I have travelled this corridor and so I would like to see reduced speeds and continued shared use of the road by vehicles and bicycles, thereby negating any demand for a separated bike pathway.

I I support continued guided wildlife tours by guiding companies, within limits and with accommodation for migrating wildlife.

I I support continued winter use of the ungroomed road by skiers, snowshoers and hikers as snow conditions allow. I would not support other winter sports, such as use of winter wheeled vehicles such as fat tire snow bikes or ski joring.

I I would like to see the park limit/reduce the development footprint from current levels (parking, pullouts, structures, trails, etc.)

I I support the alternative that would prohibit commercial traffic, such as the use of the road by taxis, commercial transport or large busses.

I I would urge the park to prohibit further habitat fragmentation and keep all impacts within the current rustic width of roadway.

I Any plan needs to take into account the current and future use of the corridor by wildlife, including raptors and other birds, grizzly bears, black bears, wolves, wolverine, pronghorn, elk and moose

---

**Correspondence ID:** 54    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** hofley, carole s  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,23,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am in favor of Alternative C.

Carole Hofley

---

**Correspondence ID:** 55    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bachman, Don  
**Address:** Bozeman, MT 59715  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,23,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I endorse the NPS preferred Alternative C. I have driven this corridor, and have familiarity with the Park and surrounding landscape. The proposed alternative the product of a compromise which provides for Park access while protecting wildlife values and visitor experience. I fully trust park planning staff in their difficult role of providing for this compromise. There is an alternative route to be chosen by those seeking access to the airport and other commercial facilities in the Park. Short of closing this route at the Park border this is the best alternative.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 56    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Haberfeld, Louise  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83002  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,23,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The Park is making absolutely the right plan! Visitors can drive the Moose-Wilson road slowly and carefully, without too much traffic at any one time. A short wait to enter the road is not a problem in our beautiful scenic countryside. This corridor of the park must be designed and kept for the health of the wildlife; this road is not a commuter shortcut. I enjoy bicycling on our park pathways as much as anyone, but I know that a pathway in this area is unnecessary and unsafe for bicyclists and bears. Fewer cars (perhaps a shuttle bus in the future), a few more miles of pavement, no foot or bicycle traffic, and the safety of wildlife--the Park plan has these elements. My family and I thank you!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 57    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** krugh, brad  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,24,2015 08:02:59  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Limiting the number of cars, including parked cars, to 200 within the corridor seems artificially low. At times, last summer, 20-30 cars were waiting at 10am to enter the gate at Teton Village. You are never going to decrease demand. Please rethink the plan and consider options that address supporting the existing demand. Maybe a shuttle to Jenny Lake?

Anyway, 200 seems like a number cooked up without looking at the data (or real life experiences of residents/visitors). Please reconsider this draconian cap.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 58    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** powers, dan  
**Address:** driggs, ID 83422  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,24,2015 10:11:41  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Briefly, I support the option C , as proposed by FOP. Keep the road open, build a pathway, and improve transit option. Limiting traffic just diverts the problem elsewhere.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 59    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ladd, Anne  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,24,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** ALTERNATIVE C PLEASE!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 60    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** campagnaro, stephen  
**Address:** cheyenne, WY 82009  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,24,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I have intimate experience with the Moose Wilson road corridor. It is a special place that can never be replaced if it is destroyed in any fashion. On one side you have old growth forest and wetlands. On the other is mountain and forest. It is valuable habitat for Moose , Bear, Birds, Fish, Otters, amphibians, deer, elk , Great Grey Owls and many other species. I think it should be left alone and that no changes be made to this unique and precious eco corridor. Thanks  
Steve Campagnaro

---

**Correspondence ID:** 61    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Alderson, George and Frances  
**Address:** Catonsville, MD 21228  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,24,2015 12:56:47  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please consider this message as our comment on the Draft Moose-Wilson Corridor Comprehensive Management Plan/EIS. I visited Grand Teton National Park and Moose some years ago as one of the hosts to an international group of visitors. Our guests, from Switzerland, greatly enjoyed the park and kept lifelong memories of that trip. Nothing should be done that would degrade the park's values or make it less natural.

We support the NPS Preferred Alternative (Alternative C), because it will protect the essential values of the park better than other alternatives, including both natural and cultural resources. It will also use adaptive management to curb congestion on the road, and it will enable NPS to manage the entire corridor with a priority on preservation of lands, waters, and cultural resources.

We support the reduced speeds and shared used of the road by vehicles and bicycles as contemplated in Alternative C. This will obviate construction of any new bicycle pathway, which would consume more park lands, with resulting reduction in wildlife habitat and natural landscape.

We favor the continuation of guided wildlife watching tours led by guide companies, as long as they are approved as concessioners in the same manner as other guide services. We have often seen guide services operating in the Alps, where a guide may lead a small family group on unfamiliar and poorly marked trails. They are a valuable service.

We support a reduction in the footprint of developed facilities such as parking lots, pullouts, structures and trails. The natural landscape is what brings people to this area.

Thank you for considering our comments.

Sincerely,  
George and Frances Alderson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 62    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kidd, Charlotte L  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,24,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** This is a fine plan.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 63    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gammer, Michele  
**Address:** Jackson, WA 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,24,2015 16:11:25  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I appreciate the outstanding work done on the Draft EIS and support the Park's Preferred Alternative of limiting the number of cars on the Moose-Wilson corridor road. I am a resident of Teton County who regularly visits the Moose-Wilson corridor in the summer to hike various trails and in the winter to ski the un-groomed road and surrounding areas that are open for Nordic skiing, along with guests from out of town. The Preferred Alternative will protect critical natural habitat and park resources, properly manage congestion on the road and expand the preservation goals of the LSR

Preserve to the entire corridor. The reduced road speeds and shared use of the road by vehicles and bicycles is prudent. It would be harmful to the natural habitat and the abundant wildlife that inhabit this corridor to pave yet another unneeded pathway for bicycles. This is a spectacular national park with sensitive habits where bears, moose, and wolves roam this corridor and our county has miles and miles of dedicated pathways for bicyclists already. Similarly, I urge you not to allow winter wheeled vehicles, such as fat tire snow bikes, and to prohibit commercial traffic unrelated to experiencing the park, such as taxis coming from the airport and to continue to prohibit RVs and vehicles with campers. The corridor should not be a bypass for tourists who wish to visit the West Bank or the Village. The County should address the transportation needs of the Village through existing or new roads that are maintained and/or built outside the national park and through public transportation available. It is also important to keep all impacts within the current rustic width of the roadway and reduce from current levels any impacts to wildlife, including grizzly bears, black bears, wolves, wolverines, elk and moose.

If this Preferred Alternative is finally adopted, I would ask the Park Service to clearly communicate to the local community and to visitors the manner in which it will enforce the limitations on cars in the Moose-Wilson corridor. It will be important for users to understand how the daily and overnight use at LSR and at the Death Canyon Trailhead parking areas will be handled so as to allow users to plan ahead.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 64    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** st louis, MO 63124  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,24,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** keep the road the way it is. Not many travelers ever get to experience a gravel road and the gravel road keeps speed limits down. no to bike pathways as the animals are more important.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 65    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Cheyenne, WY 82009  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,25,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** What a travesty to even contemplate tearing up the beautiful habitat of the Moose-Wilson Road to accommodate bicycles. The charge of the National Park Service is to preserve this priceless natural treasure for future generations. It is not to accommodate the hobbies of an elite few.

I was upset when they moved the beavers because they were threatening the road. Although I have enjoyed countless, precious experiences viewing wildlife on this road, I would rather give it up than damage the area anymore.

I say close the road to everyone. Leave it alone.

Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 66    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hadden, Kenneth C  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,25,2015 10:14:49  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support the effort to reduce congestion on the road for the sake of the wildlife as well as vehicles. It is not a common transit route - even from Wilson, the highway is a faster way to get to most park destinations than the moose-wilson road. Therefore I think we should treat it as a wildlife/nature viewing road for the most part (with the related additional purpose of accessing key recreational and nature-viewing destinations like the death canyon trailhead.)

In this sense I'd support a one-way road. This would have the added benefit that it could support a bike lane (similar to the one way section at Jenny lake). I'd be very excited to see a bike lane, but if the science shows that bikes have a major adverse affect on wildlife, that would convince me it's not worth it to put in a bike lane. But if the problem is just the required widening of the road, then I'd support a one-way with a bike lane solution, with no widening needed. I dislike the idea of a seasonal one-way, or switching the direction. It will just confuse people, and for people using the road for commuting, they will be going both ways anyway so it won't help them. The road is already closed seasonally and that doesn't bother anyone. As soon as the one-way is established for a year or two, nobody will complain about it. I'd personally like to see it be one way going north, for early access to the trailhead and quick, convenient access for sightseeing vehicles doing a park tour. This would also prevent the need for a new entrance booth to be built/staffed. The other reason I support the one way solution is that even with limits on the numbers of cars, two-way traffic is often very tight, which makes pulling over to view wildlife difficult, and also makes driving dangerous. It makes biking on the road downright stupid. limited cars on the road doesn't solve these issues, and a one-way solution would.

Thanks for considering my comments!  
Kenny Hadden

---

**Correspondence ID:** 67    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Goralski, James

**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Nov.25,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing to applaud and support the park's recommended plan regarding the Moose Wilson road corridor which maintains the character and protects the scenic beauty and wildlife habitat of this very special area. Please do not yield to the demands of special interests who wish to change the current experience of visitors by adding paved pathways and taking other steps which will negatively impact this area and are positive only to the degree that they enhance the business and personal interests of those individuals and groups advocating such changes. The recommended plan of the park is the best solution.

Regards,

James R. Goralski

---

**Correspondence ID:** 68    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Chamberland, Raymond

**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Nov.25,2015 15:32:23

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Present plan is ridiculous. Make it one way with bike path. Like the Jenny lake loop.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 69    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Chamberland, Raymond

**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Nov.25,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Present plan is ridiculous. Make it one way with bike path. Like the Jenny lake loop.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 70    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Conger, Valerie

**Address:** Moran, WY 83013

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Nov.25,2015 15:58:04

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I support the Park's preferred alternative. While I also support the pathways, I first and foremost support the protection of our very precious wildlife resources. I do believe the road should be realigned to remove it from crucial and sensitive riparian habitat and I believe a separate pathway, while a luxury, is not a necessity. Protect the animals and the vegetation before the cyclist.

Alternatively, I support closing the road to vehicle traffic altogether while still allowing cyclists and pedestrian traffic.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 71    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Springett, Jeff

**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Nov.26,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I'm a 34 year resident of Teton County. The Moose-Wilson Corridor should be managed first and foremost for wildlife and resource protection and not the economic and tourism industry of Teton County.

Thanks, Jeff

---

**Correspondence ID:** 72    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Glouchevitch, Michel

**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Nov.28,2015 11:09:36

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Having used the Moose Wilson road on foot, by car and by bike-it's pretty clear to me that the alternative that includes a separate bike/footpath would be a logical choice. I am an accomplished rider and have raced in road and mountain bike races. But the condition of the road especially later in the year with the ruts and potholes makes the riding of this road extremely difficult even for an accomplished rider. It is sometimes more dangerous to stay to the right given the condition of the road and when you happen to be riding when two cars pass, it's nothing short of hair raising. The rest of the valley has invested in bike paths and it would make perfect sense to include this section of road as well. Yes there is a wildlife risk and I understand there are routes that a separate path could take to minimize the risk but with the right signage and warnings, the risk is no different to foot and bike traffic than it is in many other parts of the park. We all know where to buy bear spray, it's not some new risk that we're unfamiliar with. Also, postings about the time of day-dusk and dawn would also help greatly. As for the actual usage of the road, why not have parking at either side of the section of road and run a bus on the road? I would use that over being at the mercy of finding parking at a trailhead.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 73    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Arnett, Barbara L  
**Address:** jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,28,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I have lived in Jackson for nearly 19 years. I have always enjoyed peaceful wildlife viewing drives on the Moose Wilson road, until the last few years. I stopped visiting that area due to traffic volume, cars tailgating in a big rush to get through and vehicles traveling at speed unsafe for other people as well as wildlife. The trip was no longer a positive park experience but rather a quick drive through, disruptive to any wildlife which happened to be near the road. As a result, I stopped visiting one of the most inspiring habitats in our area. (One less human present to crowd the area.). I support the Park's proposed plan option as a reasonable compromise. This community has spent much time and money on pathways. It's not necessary or desirable to have a path at every single spot in the valley. (or a raft on every single body of water:but I guess that is another subject). Thank you for your deliberations and the consideration of my opinions. (PS While the Park should consider the input from private business, it's not your responsibility to subsidize their operations or solve the increasing number of problems resulting from lack of/harmful decisions made by local politicians.). There are too few places like this one left to preserve.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 74    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Poborsky , Kelly  
**Address:** Jackson , WY 83002  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,28,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Please consider a bike path for the moose Wilson road. Public transportation would also be a great idea for people who are not using the bike path. Thank you for your time.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 75    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Morley, Bruce  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83002  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,28,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Human lives count! I am very much in favor of a bike path.

Also, 200 cars at a time means that one won't be able to plan a hike from any of the trail heads along the road.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 76    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Williams, Mark S  
**Address:** Teton Village, WY 83025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,28,2015 17:48:39  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Living in Teton village , and using the moose Wilson road in the summers for the last 25 years for access to the park, I strongly favor option d. With all of the time and effort studying this plan by all of the party's concerned, option d would lead the park service into the future with a upgraded plan that includes a bike/recreation trail, changes the road around the moose ponds, and really enhances the recreational opportunities the park has to offer, while fixing the main source of people/wildlife problems . I think it would be terrible to close the road because of a quota system. The park is a national asset that has gained its reputation, because of the ease of getting to beautiful places without long hikes, or other restricted methods for the public. I fully support keeping taxis off this road, as the time to the airport is really the same either way, and it makes no real sense to allow them if the goal is to try to redirect traffic that doesn't really belong on the road. With this in mind signage on route 22 could also encourage traffic from Wilson and west of there, to go through Jackson . The other options don't show much vision for the future of the recreational opportunities the park has to offer. The reason you are doing this study I believe is because of the success this road is having. This means the public wants to use this road, and it would be very narrow minded to block improving this wonderful area because it is popular.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 77    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Marsh, Susan



**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov.29,2015 07:51:36  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing in support of Moose-Wilson Road Preferred Alternative C, which will protect critical natural and cultural park resources. It is the closest alternative to the conservation emphasis I was hoping to see.

I support the concept of reduced speeds and continued shared use of the road by vehicles and bicycles, thereby negating any demand for a separated bike pathway. My interest is in minimizing the footprint of the built environment in this area, and in keeping areas of disturbance as compact as possible. However, I hope that measures can be taken to keep the road's design speed down, not to simply post a lower limit. Opening up roadside shrubs, widening the road, and paving the part that is not currently paved all serve to encourage drivers to go faster, even if the speed limit is lowered.

The only part of the alternative that concerns me is the new parking area for Death Canyon. I think it is too large, designed for maximum use periods and not the more typical use, and if the vehicle number along the roadway is limited to 200, it doesn't make sense to me to build such a large parking area. I don't like the idea of having to walk an extra ¼ mile to reach the Death Canyon trail, but since the current road is in such tough shape, I tend to park down near Whitegrass anyway.

I support the proposed winter uses of the roadway as well. Keeping it closed to vehicles and available for skiing helps those of us who seek a quieter, less congested experience than what is available in the Taggart area, and it would reduce conflicts with wintering wildlife if the road is closed.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this. I hope the park is able to resist the pressure being placed by those who think their opinions and agendas are more important than the park's mission and the public interest as a whole.

Susan Marsh  
Jackson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 78    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** fisher, denise  
**Address:** moose, WY 83012  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,29,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I understand the need to move the road to create a better place for habitat and to get it away from the wetlands which is now so close to the existing road.

I believe the park needs to protect the wildlife along this corridor and the only way is to not have bike access along this area.

Grand Teton is not a recreation area. Those in this community that would like to bicycle this road have plenty of other places to ride and we need to put the wildlife and the protection of the parks resources before those that are rich in this community and those in the village that want it for their own private gains.

Thanks for your continued perseverance and keeping this road pristine so we don't lose the beauty we all go there to see.

Denise Fisher

---

**Correspondence ID:** 79    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Manhart, Amy  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,29,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I would like to stress the importance I feel to maintain this stretch of road as intact of an animal corridor as possible. Adding a pathway is disruptive and potentially dangerous. I ski this road in the winter and see many LOCALS abusing the privilege of bringing their dogs on the road only to let them off leash or to let them run freely on the trails. This to me is an indication that the same would happen in the summer where potential human/animal conflicts are more likely.

This area needs to be preserved.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 80    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Moran, WY 83013  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Nov,30,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** The Moose Wilson Rd preferred alternative presented by the Park Service is the best option. The road should not be a commuter route, it should not be expanded, the speed limits should remain, and the road should be forced thru an entrance station in Moose before heading south. Thank you Park Service, job well done.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 81    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:****Received:** Nov,30,2015 15:54:37**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** 1) I think the bike path should be included in the plan. It is by far the most environmentally sensitive way to get people into the Park.  
2) I do not think paving over the gravel section will accomplish anything. It will disrupt the eco system and be costly. If we are trying to have fewer cars why improve the road??  
3) Some accommodation should be made to allow locals who drive it for transportation to be included in excess of any limit on the number of cars.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 82 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894**Name:** Rouse, Clay A**Address:** Cheyenne, WY 82009  
United States of America**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual**Affiliation:****Received:** Dec,01,2015 15:43:57**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I wish to comment that I support proposal #three as it will reduce the speed on the rode but the speed limit will have to inforced hard as the local people that use the road to commute will speed no matter what and don't care for the people there to see the countryside and/or wildlife. The road is to see the park and wildlife not commuting.

I believe some more pull-offs should be build to be able to watch wildlife.

Also I am sure no matter what you do about watching wildlife as we come to mostly see bears, moose and elk, but you close the road when bears are present. Something needs to be done to allow more bear viewing.

If you work at it I believe the limit on Vehicles can work, but how are you are going account for the trail users that are only going to a trail head and don't hurt the vehicle limit for those who just traveling through?

As far as the complaints on the effect on commuter travel, the road is closed six months every year so what is their problem. Also Teton County has decided years ago to be a tourist designation and you are a National Park and as such the visitors should be the first throught after the wildlife.

Otherwise I believe this effort is the way to go, although we most likely will not live to see it.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 83 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894**Name:** Sanden, Ed**Address:** Longmont, CO 80503  
United States of America**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual**Affiliation:****Received:** Dec,02,2015 12:25:03**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Thank for these very comprehensive, deep thought proposals.

My main interest is the accommodation of bicycles. As a quiet, self-propelled, non-invasive mode of transportation, it's hard to imagine a more compatible vehicle for the National Park.

If were possible to connect Teton Village to the Airport area somehow (outside of the Park) more than any other single change, this would restore the road to Moose inside the park to it's original intent, focusing that in-Park route on the use of the Park. I believe there is a great gain to all stakeholders to work toward that goal. The overall traffic flow in the Valley would be distributed. There would be less congestion in the Town. Both the Village and the Town would gain by enabling users of each respective destination achieve more direct access. Good for people, good for business, good for animals, good for you and me!

Thank you for considering these comments.

PLEASE INCLUDE ROBUST BICYCLE ACCESS IN ANY OF YOUR FINAL PLANS!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 84 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894**Name:** Talmo, Vic**Address:** Jackson, WY 83002  
United States of America**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual**Affiliation:****Received:** Dec,02,2015 00:00:00**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Please preserve the Moose Wilson corridor at its present configuration. A wise and easy alternative would be to lower the speed limit on this pristine piece of GTNP. In this way safety concerns would be balanced between Bicycle and Vehicular traffic.

Dust control would be enhanced along with a system that the National Elk Refuge has just implemented successfully.

The impact of the construction process of dirt work, cutting trees, noise, and having a 'buffed out corridor' on the natural surroundings are appalling. Mr. Rockefeller always fought to preserve the area as a natural sampling of the area.

A few pullouts and a picnic area would not be amiss.

Respectfully,

Vic Talmo

---

**Correspondence ID:** 85    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Smith, Richard  
**Address:** logan, UT 84321  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,02,2015 22:02:19  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Moose Wilson Planning Team,

This EIS poses four alternatives to the current way of managing the Moose-Wilson Corridor of Grand Teton National Park. In the beginning of the EIS it was made clear that there is a need for a change in the way things are being managed. Clearly the current way of handling issues needs to be revised. This EIS also included some cost analysis of making the changes in the road, parking spots, and traffic management. Alternative C seemed to have the marginal benefits match the costs. It would seem to be most effective due to the idea of equal marginality.

This impact statement is very extensive and I cannot think of any things that have been overlooked in the analysis. There were a few things that were left out of the analysis due to reasoning that deemed it inconsequential though. There were many criteria that this EIS chose to leave out of their detailed analysis, which were mentioned. These include the carbon footprint, air quality, night skies, and some endangered species impacts, to name a few. The reasoning for leaving out the endangered species is very well thought out. I agree that since the Western Yellow-Billed Cuckoo have been historically few in the Teton area that they should be omitted from the analysis. Also the Whitebark Pine omission seems valid due to the fact that they are usually not found in the areas that will be affected by the changes.

I do not agree with the reasoning for the omission of the carbon footprint effects though. The reasoning for leaving it out of the analysis was that the changes would lead to minimal environmental impacts from pollution. This may be true, but in the looming fears of climate change, carbon emission should be included in the analysis. Any extra carbon in the atmosphere, whether big or small, is exacerbating the effects of climate change. Just like in a budget the small things are what add up to make a substantial difference on whether you meet your budget or not. With this reasoning I think that the CO2 emissions should be included in the analysis. This addition would better determine which idea would be the best choice.

Has the department thought of using zoning or a permit system to help reduce the impacts of humans in this area? In the EIS the purpose of closing some areas was to reduce the impacts of humans and also decrease the amount of wildlife-human interactions. Zoning and restricting areas would discourage unwanted impacts due to roadside parking. Also establishing a permitting system would be another way to reduce the amount of people that are traveling in the area. These actions would lessen the need for the some propositions in this EIS.

Despite the issues that I have seen in the environmental impact statement Alternative C seems to be the best of the choices posed. If there were one other alternative posed I would chose to leave the management how it is except the management should use zoning and permitting systems to reduce human-wildlife interactions and other impacts that humans cause on the landscape.

Sincerely,  
Richard Smith

---

**Correspondence ID:** 86    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Moore, Jr. , Joseph F  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,03,2015 05:19:16  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am a 69-year-old resident of Jackson, having lived here for 27 years. For 16 years we lived on an inholding in GTNP. I have reviewed the executive summary and portions of the remaining documents. I have also followed much of the media news coverage over this issue come up for a long time, and the recent meetings in Cheyenne.

I strongly support the NPS preferred alternative C and ask that it be adopted as the clear best choice. I believe that the express reservation of the possibility of future additional controlled transportation along the 7.1 mile corridor is critical and that should be actively pursued. I hope that in the near future NPS will take steps eliminating all automobile and motorcycle traffic (motorized vehicles) , while allowing continued bicycle use. Bus, jitney or other controlled concessionaire or NPS vehicles could utilize the road or one or both directions, with multiple pull overs for passing oncoming vehicles and viewing. I believe that this approach would be highly beneficial to preservation of the beauty of MWR, more than adequate public access to this unique location, and much safer opportunity for bicycle use. It seems clear that the elimination of large numbers of motorized vehicles would result in much less stress and strain on the wildlife.

For a number of years, in my 40s and 50s, I rode a bicycle the full length the Moose Wilson Road exported along Loop ride. While residing in the south end of the park (Nichols Springs Road) we frequently utilized the MWR when returning home from events at Teton Village or on the West Bank. I recognize that my suggestions would make that type of use impossible unless the restrictions were limited to heavy traffic and the road was open to private vehicle use early and late in the day.

I do hope that the NPS is able to successfully pursue the preferred alternative C that the extraordinary and seemingly inappropriate political pressure from the Mountain Resort personnel and Village commercial interest can be resistant or ignored completely.

Thanks.  
Joe Moore

---

**Correspondence ID:** 87    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mortensen, Daniel L  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,02,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Letter  
**Correspondence:** Dear Sir,

Please don't cave in to special use groups. Alternative C makes since, a new paved bike path doesn't.

Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 88    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Albright, Joe  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83002  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,03,2015 09:39:16  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** AS I understand it, the preferred alternative would lengthen the hike to Death Canyon by one mile in both directions. This is going to make this iconic hike less attractive to most visitors to GTNP, including me. In the interests of your visitors, please leave the bumpy, unattractive road to the present trailhead exactly as it is. Not everything in the park has to be perfectly manicured.

I can live with the rest of the proposed changes in the preferred alternative, although I wish the 200 car number could be increased by the number of cars parked in the various parking lots along the route between the southern park boundary and Moose.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 89    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Caesar, Elizabeth H  
**Address:** Kelly, WY 83011  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,04,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am in favor of the National Park Service preferred plan for the Moose-Wilson Corridor.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 90    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jones, Alison B  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,04,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Letter  
**Correspondence:** Grand Teton National Park Superintendent's Office  
Attn: Moose Wilson Planning Team  
P.O. Drawer 170  
Moose, WY 83012-0170.

As a 22 year resident of Wilson, I am very familiar with the Moose Wilson Rd. for its beauty, easy access to a great variety of cross country skiing/snowshoeing and hiking trails as well as the LSR Preserve and (I admit) a lovely drive and a shortcut to the Teton Range.

Having studied the three alternative plans very carefully, I would like to offer the following suggestions:

Alternative C

The most advantageous stated changes to Alternative C are as follows:

1. Pave the unpaved section of the Moose/Wilson
2. Remove the section of road and restore vegetation at the north end of the MW Rd.
3. Relocate and replace the existing Moose Entrance Station to eliminate the need for northbound vehicles to pass through two entrance stations when heading north.

In my view, a major flaw in alternative C is the proposal to shorten the Death Canyon Rd, move the trailhead and parking area back to the White Grass Road junction, and convert the remainder of the access road to a trail. This would double the distance to the Phelps Lake Overlook, effectively eliminating access for the elderly to this popular destination. Here one can enjoy fields of wildflowers, towering firs, a stunning view of the lake, and a sense of immersion into the magnificence of a rugged mountainous environment. Replacing the plan for Death Canyon Road in Alternative C with that described in Alternative D would allow access to this prime destination for everyone.

In addition, to eliminate the present disturbance to the habitat for wildlife by realigning the narrow and congested passageway between Sawmill Ponds and the Death Canyon Trailhead to the east, originally one of the most widely touted reasons for the whole project, would be a definite improvement over leaving that section of the road in place.

A suggestion for Alternative B:

Continue to plow the road from Moose south to the Death Canyon Trailhead. To ski or snowshoe this route would add several miles leaving less time for most people to explore Death Canyon, the White Grass

area, Phelps Lake, the LSR, or the Snake River region, all of which are much more interesting than the road.

Alternative D

Creating a separate path for bicycling is not in keeping with the objectives of the plans. It could be prohibitively expensive and would degrade the natural environment by widening the corridor, destroying the natural vegetation, and fostering increased interaction between wildlife and humans.

Respectfully submitted,

Alison Jones /s/

---

**Correspondence ID:** 91    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Adams, Judith A  
**Address:** Laramie, WY 82070  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,04,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am for Plan C which has no pathways and limited traffic in busy season.

There are enough pathways for people to bike/walk in Grand Teton National Park. The animals were here first and give them room. People on bikes/walk would disturb the wildlife. They do that already throughout the park and the park rangers have enough to do to keep people away from the animals.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 92    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stark, Jack E  
**Address:** Wichita , KS 67230  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Retired NPS Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,05,2015 11:08:05  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** The plan should call for night time closure of the Moose-Wilson road, if local management desires. Better yet, complete night closure from White Grass road to the Trail head on the southern boundary would be desirable to protect wildlife. The public does not need to drive this road at night. This measure would help protect wildlife accidents.

Regarding bike paths: no paved paths should be permitted along the Moose-Wilson road. Grand Teton already has more miles of paved bike paths than any other national park in the system and more are planned in Grand Teton to the north.

The plan should contain flexibility for local management to make adjustments in the number of cars allowed called for in the plan.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 93    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mccarthy , Sean P  
**Address:** Jackson , WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,05,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear NPS,

I am commenting on Moose-wilson corridor. As a avid cyclist for over 20 years and a Jackson resident for 15 years, I feel a bike pathway would be a terrific addition to our community. It would give people a more natural way to observe nature and wildlife, instead of smelling car exhaust and being stuck in traffic. Also, it would be the final piece to connect all the other pathways that already exist. The extra land needed for this project would be small sacrifice for the amount of use it would get by the community and tourists.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 94    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Halloran, Virginia S  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,06,2015 09:38:15  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** This is a copy of a letter to the Editor I sent to JHN&G today (with very minor edits):

Everyone is has strong opinions about the Moose Wilson Rd. What to do about it has been the topic of many discussions for years and we are getting much closer to a decision. I have to congratulate the Park for its many meetings and careful considerations of everyone's opinions. Their preferred alternative is good in most ways, but I disagree with the 200 a day car limit for the following reasons.

I think it is clumsy and unworkable and will eventually fail. It will be expensive and use scarce park personnel to maintain. I am going to suggest an alternative that will have me ducking for cover when my west bank friends read this, but I think my suggestion will lead to a much closer alignment with

the Park's mission and the protection of Moose Wilson Rd. as a scenic corridor.

Let's close the Moose Wilson Road permanently at the Rockefeller Preserve and build a second parking lot on the south part of the Preserve for cars who come from the south. This will eliminate all the cars who are using the Road as a thoroughfare, and limit the cars to just those with occupants using the Road to look at wildlife, going to the Rockefeller Preserve, and traveling to hiking trailheads. The road will become naturally much less congested and available for those traveling by bicycle to travel safely. The wildlife will be better protected with much fewer cars traveling more slowly since their purpose of traveling the road will be to enjoy the scenic values and the wildlife.

If we close the road there will be some traffic pushed back though town and I realize that is the problem with this idea, but it is not the job of the Park to solve Teton County's traffic problems. Let the town and county do that and let the Park service do what they do best- -helping people enjoy the park safely while protecting the abundant wildlife along the Moose Wilson corridor.

Gigi Halloran

---

**Correspondence ID:** 95    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mohan, Margaret (Penny)  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83002  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,06,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The Alternative plan as currently proposed seems to me the sanest, most reasonable of the possibilities. As commented elsewhere, the Moose-Wilson Road is a by-way, not a thru-way. As much as it might suit narrow interests, it is truest to the place (those seven miles of road and the wilderness that surrounds it) to have as little impact as possible. If people are in a hurry, or want to get to the airport, they can drive through town as we all have been doing for decades. And although I would love a bike path along those seven miles, I would rather not have that privilege myself than open it up to people getting in their miles, going as fast as possible, head down and legs pumping for the workout. Go somewhere else for your personal fitness training.  
Gandhi said, "We are not the only species on earth, we just act like it."  
As usual, an agency has to protect us from our own self- and species-centric sides.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 96    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dramis , Forest  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83002  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** JHCycling.org Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,06,2015 17:39:43  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** David Vela  
Superintendent  
Grand Teton National Park

Dear Mr. Vela,

I am writing to you on behalf of the JH Cycling.org. JHCycling.org is a local non-profit with the mission of promoting cycling in the Jackson Hole Area. We are committed to the responsible growth of cycling related activities and believe cycling is one of the best ways to increase one's physical health as well as one of the best and least impactful ways of enjoying our natural resources.

I am writing to you concerning the Moose-Wilson Corridor Preliminary Alternatives. There are 3 specific segments of the plan which we would like to address. 1) Multiuse Pathway 2) Realignment of the Road 3) Vehicle Volume Limits.

1) Multiuse Pathway: While it is the position of JHCycling to favor Pathways in most situations, JHCycling does not favor a separated pathway along the Moose-Wilson Corridor. While such a pathway would be a great addition to the current pathway system and would certainly be well-received and well-utilized, we feel that the impacts to wildlife and habitat outweigh the benefits to the cycling community. Also, we feel that there are less impactful ways of achieving the goal of a safe cycling experience on Moose-Wilson Corridor. We propose that having a designated bike lane marked on the road and judicious placement of signage alerting drivers to cyclists, along with a lowering of the speed limit for vehicles, would be sufficient to provide for the safety of cyclists. Of course, this would require enforcement of the new speed limit as well as enforcement of safe vehicle/bicycle interaction. Also, signs reminding drivers of the Wyoming Statute requiring three feet between a passing vehicle and a bicycle would also help inform drivers of their duties.

2) Realignment of the Road: We believe realignment of the road away from the critical riparian habitat is critical to the long term success of the Corridor. In addition to being the bottleneck of congestion that propagates the length of the Corridor, the close proximity to riparian habitat and the extremely shallow water table combine to create a road surface that quickly becomes dangerous to cyclists. Frost heaves, parallel cracks and parked cars on both sides of the road make this section the most dangerous for cyclists. Realigning this section of the road would allow for a safer road surface, less congestion due to parked cars and most importantly, less impact of wildlife and habitat.

3) Vehicle Volume Limits: We support the limiting of vehicle volume in the Moose-Wilson Corridor. In addition to bicycling being safer with fewer cars, we believe that limiting vehicles is the only way to reducing the impact to

wildlife and habitat. This limitation may also have the ancillary benefit of incenting people to ride their bikes into the Park when the volume quota has been reached. Also, we feel that limiting or restricting commercial taxi use of the road would be beneficial to the cycling community. By their very nature, commercial taxis operate on a time constraint. This constraint incentivizes speeding and speeding on such a narrow road drastically reduces safety on the road, both to bicycles and to other vehicles.

In closing, we hope that you will weigh the benefits to the cycling community against the impacts to wildlife and habitat. While the cycling community embraces the expansion of the pathway system, the cycling community is also acutely aware of its real and potential impacts on our natural resources. If you have any questions, we would be more than happy to serve as a resource for your office, as well as an avenue to liaise between the Park and the cycling community.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Forest Dramis  
Founder, JHCycling.org  
Jackson Hole â€¦ Wyoming

JHCYCLING â€¦ PO BOX 7013 â€¦ JACKSON, WY 83002  
JHCycling.org â€¦ Info@JHCycling.org

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	97	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Finnerty, Maureen				
<b>Address:</b>	Tuscon, AZ 85750-1216 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Coalition to Protect America's National Parks Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	OfficialRep				
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	December 7, 2015				

Grand Teton National Park ATTN: Moose-Wilson Planning Team PO Drawer 170 Moose, WY 83012-0170

Subject: Comments on the Draft Moose-Wilson Corridor Comprehensive Management Plan

Dear Moose-Wilson Planning Team:

We appreciate the opportunity to offer comments from the Coalition to Protect America's National Parks (Coalition) regarding the Draft Moose-Wilson Corridor Comprehensive Management Plan. The Coalition is a non-profit organization comprised of over 1,100 members; most are former and retired National Park Service employees. Collectively, we have over 30,000 years of experience working in and managing the Nation's national parks.

The Coalition has long given close attention to the growing concern for protecting park resources in the Moose-Wilson Corridor. For years, as visitation increased and nearby development added uncontrolled consequences of increased thru-traffic, we watched in anticipation as the Park began the complex process of developing a new comprehensive plan for this part of the Park. In a January 14, 2014 letter to the Jackson Hole News and Guide Coalition Chair Maureen Finnerty highlighted the values of this special place, and we joined the effort to find a balanced approach that would - above all - protect the fragile resources of this world-renowned Park. Our engagement continued with a February 6, 2014 comment to the Park during the scoping phase of the process. And, on September 9, 2014, we offered comprehensive comments on the Moose-Wilson Corridor Preliminary Alternatives.

While these aforementioned comment letters were organized around specific questions prescribed by the process, the themes of our proposals remained the same:

1. The wildlife and related habitat that make the corridor such a unique and significant area must be preserved.
2. The outcome should be a plan that provides appropriate opportunities to use, experience and enjoy the area while protecting park resources.
3. The outcome should be a plan that reflects the laws and policies that guide decision-making throughout the National Park System.
4. The outcome should be a plan that recognizes that Moose-Wilson is a Corridor that provides key and increasingly essential habitat for grizzly bears, moose, and many more species. Planning must go beyond the point of view that it is simply a road.
5. The outcome must be a plan that limits traffic and minimizes congestion in the corridor.

The Coalition supports the National Park Service Preferred Alternative set forth in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). In almost every respect, this alternative reflects the concerns and proposals that we have expressed. Generally, and most notably, the Plan is consistent with the laws, policies, and values of the National Park Service. While providing for visitor enjoyment of this significant part of the Park, the Plan clearly gives emphasis to the preservation of wildlife and other resources along the corridor. We don't believe it is necessary to address each of the many detailed components presented in the Preferred Alternative. Accordingly, the following general proposals, in combination, inspire our support:

1. The recommendations set forth in the Preferred Alternative will collectively strengthen preservation of the natural and cultural resources that are part of the Moose-Wilson Corridor and Grand Teton National Park.
2. Language and recommendations in the proposed alternative emphasize that the Moose-Wilson Corridor will be managed as a whole rather than simply as a road.
3. The proposal to control and carefully manage the volume of traffic is, likewise, a key to achievement of core National Park Service goals and values. We expect that in both the near future, and likely long-term as well, there will be evolving strategies about how best to achieve this goal. The term "adaptive management" seems to call for continuing evaluation, and indicates a willingness to adopt new approaches if needed. Such future adjustments are appropriate provided that new strategies are consistent with the goal of reducing and capping the number of vehicles that use the road at any one time.
4. The current footprint of development would be reduced.
5. Absence of the much-debated construction of a separate multi-use pathway is also a very important component of the Preferred Alternative. If constructed, the proposed pathway would substantially increase human impact along this corridor. In particular, more bicyclists traveling along a path

separated from the road would, inevitably, increase the potential of tragic encounters with grizzly bears, moose, etc. Moreover, the Preferred Alternative includes a number of actions that will improve the safety and experience of bicyclists who will use the existing road, including a reduction in the speed limit, paving the unpaved section of the road, and reducing traffic congestion.

6. Traditional non-motorized winter access, without grooming, such as snowshoeing, skiing, and walking, is perpetuated.

As was always the case when we worked in national park areas around the country, we have paid close attention to opposing points of view. It is obvious that groups of local residents, the real-estate industry, and all manner of commuters forcefully assert that the Moose-Wilson Road be managed as part of the local transportation system for Teton County. It is likewise clear that many of these individuals have appealed to local and often sympathetic elected officials in effort to influence the outcome of this planning process. We strongly urge NPS decision-makers to resist such efforts and remain focused on managing the corridor befitting its location in one of the crown jewels of the National Park System. We have learned elsewhere the costs of failure.

Unfortunately, many national park areas are bisected by state highways, including Grand Teton National Park. Of course, many of these roads existed before parks were established. And, in many areas, other park roads are increasingly used as short-cuts for commuters living in ever-growing communities located nearby park boundaries. Invariably, the results of such increased traffic are a significant compromise to wildlife and the quality of visitor experience. Animals big and small die on the roadways; law enforcement rangers are compelled to spend more and more time managing traffic; and the need to maintain and even improve the road for increasing traffic is inevitable. Painful experience informs us that the result of failure to halt such use along the Moose-Wilson Road will be... in one word... UGLY!

In January 2014, I wrote that..."Jackson Hole is widely revered for its unique modern day conservation success story which resulted in the creation of Grand Teton National Park...Jackson has since evolved into a nationally prominent gathering place where the principles of conservation, wilderness and wildlife protection lead the national dialogue."

We congratulate the National Park Service for the enormous and comprehensive effort given to the planning process so far, and above all for offering a Preferred Alternative that reflects the values at stake.

Sincerely,

Maureen Finnerty  
Chair, Coalition to Protect America's National Parks

Email: maureen\_finnerty@protectnps.org  
Mailing: 5625 North Wilmot Road, Tucson, AZ 85750-1216  
Web: www.protectnps.org

---

**Correspondence ID:** 98      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bergeron, Mary  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Open Moose-Wilson road all the way through, paved year-round, no traffic limitations WITH a bike path. If your goal is reducing vehicular traffic you MUST make this road safe for walkers & bikers; the wildlife will be fine (and everyone knows it). This is one of many bottlenecks in the valley that needs to be addressed: there should have been a north bridge long ago, Spring Gulch should be paved through, and Fish Creek as well (all the way to the Village). Not to mention the Tribal Trails connector. None of this encourages more traffic, it just allows those already here to truly appreciate this amazing place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 99      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Baldock, Steve  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** individual Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Understand and agree with the preferred option with the exception of the que system. I feel it is unnecessary at this time and will detract from the visitor experience with little benefit to any other user group.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 100      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Flores, Regina  
**Address:** Lake Elsinore, CA 92532  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:48:20  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.



Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Regina Flores

---

**Correspondence ID:** 101    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Snider, Jay  
**Address:** Bow, NH 03304  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:48:26  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
Jay Snider

---

**Correspondence ID:** 102    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hritz, Clifford  
**Address:** Philadelphia, PA 19146  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:48:47  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Clifford Hritz

---

**Correspondence ID:** 103    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ramos, Paul D

**Address:** Santa Ynez, CA 93460  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07.2015 14:48:51  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Paul D Ramos

---

**Correspondence ID:** 104    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hafer, Sarah  
**Address:** Vancouver, WA 98684  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07.2015 14:48:57  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Sarah Hafer  
Vancouver, WA

---

**Correspondence ID:** 105    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** G Heinle, Janet G  
**Address:** Santa Monica, CA 90403  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07.2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** THINK BEFORE YOU DESTROY WHAT LIITLE PRISTINE LAND WE HAVE LEFT!@

---

**Correspondence ID:** 106    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mulder, Linda M  
**Address:** Northville, MI 48167-2838  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07.2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please don't give our national parks away!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 107    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** boca Raton, FL 33488  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** HUMANITY FOR HUMANE TREATMENT OF ALL INNOCENT CREATURES IN OUR PRECIOUS AND DRASTICALLY ENDANGERED ECOSYSTEMS.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 108    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Eugene, OR 97408  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Animals lives matter!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 109    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Mount Airy, NC 27030  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:49:26  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

A. Gardner

---

**Correspondence ID:** 110    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** peter, dean  
**Address:** prior lake, MN 55372  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please no more destruction of parks,forests and nature in general. nature can live without us but we can not live without nature

---

**Correspondence ID:** 111    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** B, Peter  
**Address:** East Brunswick, NJ 08816  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please save our national treasures.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 112    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bradley, Marla

**Address:** Broken Arrow, OK 74011  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:49:58  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Marla Bradley

---

**Correspondence ID:** 113    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sherry, Lori  
**Address:** San Antonio, TX 78247  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** None

---

**Correspondence ID:** 114    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Petrisko, George D  
**Address:** Montgomeryville, PA 18936  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:50:08  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 115    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Portland, OR 97220  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** David Vela I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 116    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McAlister, Kevin W  
**Address:** Bellmore, NY 11710  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:50:14

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kevin W. McAlister

---

**Correspondence ID:** 117    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Cliffside Park, NJ 07010  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:50:15  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Brooke Harris

---

**Correspondence ID:** 118    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Utterback, Pamela  
**Address:** Phoenixville, PA 19460  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We need to protect our wilderness areas

---

**Correspondence ID:** 119    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Rubel, Scott  
**Address:** Los Angeles, CA 90031-1633  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please enact "Alternative C" of the the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 120    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mansfield, Lynn  
**Address:** Monona, WI 53716  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:50:22

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Lynn Mansfield

---

**Correspondence ID:** 121    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** LaCroix, Catherine  
**Address:** Grapevine, TX 76051  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:50:28  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Catherine LaCroix

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 122    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Feuss, Samantha  
**Address:** Woodland Park, NJ 07424  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** na

---

**Correspondence ID:** 123    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Baier, Carol  
**Address:** San Diego, CA 92103  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

â€¢ Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park

visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
â€¢Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
â€¢Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
â€¢Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
â€¢Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
â€¢Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
â€¢Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 124    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** healy, patt  
**Address:** santa monica , CA 90402  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 14:50:41  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Patt Healy

---

**Correspondence ID:** 125    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Barrington, Tim  
**Address:** San Jose, CA 95126  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

Tim Barrington

---

**Correspondence ID:** 126    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Somers, Mary  
**Address:** Charlotte, NC 28210  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please support alternative C and protect our wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 127    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bergeron, B  
**Address:** Tulsa, OK 74128-2802  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:50:43  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 128    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** EVANS, HOLLY  
**Address:** STUDIO CITY, CA 91604  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:50:48  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.  
In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 129    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dahl, Thomas G  
**Address:** New Port Richey, FL 34655  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Keep them safely available for all to use.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 130    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** alleon, willow c  
**Address:** honolulu, HI 96826  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** consider the future- it's essential.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 131    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Parrish, Caryl S  
**Address:** Carlsbad, CA 92009  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:50:57



**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Caryl S. Parrish

---

**Correspondence ID:** 132    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hallett, Mark A  
**Address:** Bloomington, IN 47408  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:50:58  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 133    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Philadelphia, PA 19103  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** choose plan c

---

**Correspondence ID:** 134    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Forster, Michael H  
**Address:** Portland, OR 97229  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:51:03  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious

resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Michael Forster

---

**Correspondence ID:** 135    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Graves, Caryn  
**Address:** Berkeley, CA 94702  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:51:08  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 136    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Eaton, Pamala  
**Address:** Newfield, NY 14867  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:51:08  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Pamala Eaton

---

**Correspondence ID:** 137    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dawson, James K  
**Address:** Davis, CA 95618  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:51:09  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious

resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
James Dawson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 138    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Henry, Amy L  
**Address:** Northampton, MA 01060  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C, the preferred plan. We must PROTECT our public lands and wildlife as much as possible.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 139    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cadot, Andrew A  
**Address:** Portland, ME 04101  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I prefer Option C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 140    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jenks, Robert  
**Address:** Landisburg, PA 17040-9331  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C

---

**Correspondence ID:** 141    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Anaheim, CA 92801  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Alternative C is your responsible choice, for it is best for the animals & ecosystem.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 142    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Iovino, Teresa  
**Address:** Memphis, TN 38117  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 14:51:23  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Thank you for your time.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 143    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Hollington , Jason  
**Address:** Gainesville , FL 32605  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Preserve for future generations.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 144    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** gunther, ken  
**Address:** jupiter, FL 33478  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Support Option C

---

**Correspondence ID:** 145    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bradley, Mark  
**Address:** Seattle, WA 98122  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:51:26  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.  
Sincerely,  
Mark Bradley

---

**Correspondence ID:** 146    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Boynton Beach, FL 33437  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect this National Treasure!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 147    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** V, Drew  
**Address:** Fort Collins, CO 80525  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Careful planning now will prevent potential future disaster and headaches!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 148    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cunningham, James M

**Address:** Powell, OH 43065  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:51:39  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

James M. Cunningham

---

**Correspondence ID:** 149    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Dallas, TX 75230  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:51:40  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

H. Guh

---

**Correspondence ID:** 150    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Park Forest, IL 60466  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:51:41  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 151    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Del Valle, Javier  
**Address:** Montebello, CA 90640  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** DVA Commercial RE Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please implement "Alternative C," thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 152    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Murtha, Jacqueline A  
**Address:** hackettstown, NJ 07840  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:51:44  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
jacqueline Murtha

---

**Correspondence ID:** 153    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Henry, Paul  
**Address:** Stoneham, MA 02180-4446  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:51:46  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Paul Henry

---

**Correspondence ID:** 154    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McCoy, Cherie J  
**Address:** Russells Point, OH 43348  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:51:50  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Cherie McCoy

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	155	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Illes, Lou				
<b>Address:</b>	Chicago, IL 60601 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Mr. Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	Member				
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	KEEP INDUSTRY OUT OF THE PARKS THAT INCLUDES CATTLE FEEDERS AND SHEEP HERDERS. QUIT RENTING THEM LAND AND RUNNING OFF THE WILD ANIMALS JUST SO THE BLS CAN MAKE A PROFIT FOR THEMSELVES. GIVE AMERICANS THEIR LAND BACK.				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	156	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	lin, stella				
<b>Address:</b>	Richardson, TX 75080 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Ms. Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	Member				
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 14:51:59				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>					

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Stella Lin

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	157	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Kolodziejczyk, Dorota				
<b>Address:</b>	BROOKLYN, NY 11218 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 14:51:59				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the

Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely, Dorota Kolodziejczyk

---

**Correspondence ID:** 158    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** MacLeod, Dianna C  
**Address:** Langley, WA 98260  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Whidbey Camano Land Trust, Whidbey Environmental Action Network Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 159    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Woodland Hills, CA 91367  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please choose Plan C. Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 160    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** meyers, jim  
**Address:** modesto, CA 95355  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** keep

---

**Correspondence ID:** 161    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Perkins, Guy  
**Address:** Reno, NV 89519  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:52:13  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,



Guy Perkins

---

**Correspondence ID:** 162    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** VanNess, Barbara Z  
**Address:** Kansas City, MO 64118  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:52:21  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Barbara VanNess

---

**Correspondence ID:** 163    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Petkiewicz, Jim  
**Address:** San Jose, CA 95125  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please choose Alternative C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 164    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Copenhaver, Patricia A  
**Address:** Iowa Falls, IA 50126-1427  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am in favor of Alternative "C."

---

**Correspondence ID:** 165    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Teibloom, Joel  
**Address:** Chicago, IL 60645  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:52:30  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Joel Teibloom

---

**Correspondence ID:** 166    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cail, Bonnie L  
**Address:** Russells Point, OH 43348  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:52:32  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Bonnie Cail

---

**Correspondence ID:** 167    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Maisonnave, Pat  
**Address:** Boynton Beach, FL 33436  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:52:33  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Pat Maisonnave

---

**Correspondence ID:** 168    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Grover, Justin  
**Address:** Salt Lake City, UT 84123  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:52:35  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 169    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gawron, Katarzyna  
**Address:** InowrocÁ,aw, UN 88-100  
Poland  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** - -

---

**Correspondence ID:** 170    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** BORING, OR 97009-9553  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Protect the Grand Tetons

---

**Correspondence ID:** 171    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gilmore, Jeanene M  
**Address:** Cedar Rapids, IA 52402  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Pleasea consider the environment and protecting the wildlife and support Alternative C!

Thank you!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 172    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Aiken, Edwin  
**Address:** Sunnyvale, CA 94087  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 14:52:53  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

---

**Correspondence ID:** 173    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** C, Michael  
**Address:** Kennebunk, ME 04043  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Protect the wildlife. That's the priority.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 174    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McDonald, Joyce M  
**Address:** Webster, NY 14580  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We must protect wildlife, and our environment.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 175    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Shirey, Linda R  
**Address:** Okeechobee, FL 34974-4740  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:53:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Linda R. Shirey

---

**Correspondence ID:** 176    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Downey, Judith A  
**Address:** Chelsea, MA 02150  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support alternative c and wish that you would too. Thank you for your time.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 177    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Harrison, Randy  
**Address:** Eugene, OR 97402  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:53:04  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Randy Harrison

---

**Correspondence ID:** 178    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Simmons, Peter & Ruth  
**Address:** Millburn, NJ 07041  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Gentlepersons, We have visited the Grand Tetons national park regularly (every 10 years) since 1956 - - with children and grandchildren as our family has increased, and write to urge you to support alternative C of the proposed plans.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 179    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** rodoff, lennie  
**Address:** ocala, FL 34481  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

we were there a few years ago, and enjoyed seeing the elk and other animals. please keep them safe for future generations, and for when we are able to return!

Sincerely,  
lennie rodoff

---

**Correspondence ID:** 180    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Smith, Judith  
**Address:** Oakland, CA 94601  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor have been released and I want to voice my support for "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;

Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;

Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;

Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 181    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Moss, Michael R  
**Address:** Warren, MI 48091  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We shall all protect all the National Parks once and for all.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 182    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cummings, George  
**Address:** Portland, OR 97212  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:53:30  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 183    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mitchell, Lauren M  
**Address:** Los Angeles, CA 90019  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:53:31  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Lauren Mitchell

---

**Correspondence ID:** 184    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** JENNINGS, SID  
**Address:** OCALA, FL 34471  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**

The National Park system is owned by the American people. The senate and house work for the American people. They seem to have forgotten that. Perhaps that is why people are sick of all government officials and want to replace 100% of them. We "DO NOT" want drilling or anything else to hurt our National Parks. We "DO NOT" authorize any of the Government officials to mess with our National Parks. If you don't get this we will gladly vote you all out of office.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 185    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Pool, Roxann  
**Address:** Belle Chasse, LA 70037  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:53:44  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 186    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stulb, Jeanne R  
**Address:** Folsom, LA 70437-3237  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We must save our Parks and Natural Resources for our future generations.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 187    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** T.M. Petkiewicz, Margaret  
**Address:** San Jose, CA 95125  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Alternative C is the way to go!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 188    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tryon, Laura  
**Address:** Cortlandt Manor, NY 10567  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:53:48  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Laura Tryon

---

**Correspondence ID:** 189    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Grande, Paula G

**Address:** New York, NY 10003  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:54:03  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place and for your consideration of my comments.

Sincerely,  
Paula Grande

---

**Correspondence ID:** 190    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Heide, Andra  
**Address:** Pace, FL 32571  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:54:06  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely, Andra Heide

---

**Correspondence ID:** 191    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hartery, Michaela  
**Address:** Cherry Hill, NJ 08034  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** protecting wildlife is so important.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 192    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Arkema, Carroll E  
**Address:** Pompton Lakes, NJ 07442  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:54:12  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.



Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Carroll E. Arkema

---

**Correspondence ID:** 193    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Werner, Katherine  
**Address:** Waitsfield, VT 05673  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:54:21  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 194    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cohen, Rachel V  
**Address:** Stoudsburg, PA 18360  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Plan C seems like the least harmful and most viable choice.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 195    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** AURIGEMMA, KAYE B  
**Address:** WESTCHESTER, IL 60154-5021  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:54:22  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Kaye Aurigemma  
Westchester, IL

---

**Correspondence ID:** 196    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Munar, Dwayne A  
**Address:** Waianae, HI 96792  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:54:30  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Dwayne A. Munar

---

**Correspondence ID:** 197    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Larrison, Elizabeth  
**Address:** Lombard, IL 60148-6440  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Miss Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:54:31  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Larrison

---

**Correspondence ID:** 198    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** mulder, joel  
**Address:** seattle, WA 98199  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:54:32  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

---

**Correspondence ID:** 199    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Samelson, Audrey  
**Address:** Coconut Creek, FL 33066-1223  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:54:33  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Audrey Samelson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 200    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Madison, WI 53719  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:54:39  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
Brian Yanke

---

**Correspondence ID:** 201    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A

**Address:** Salem, OR 97302  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:54:43  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Hauge

---

**Correspondence ID:** 202    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Fastook, Mary Ann  
**Address:** Brooklyn, NY 11201  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** National Parks Conservation Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please support alternative C and help keep Grand Tetons wild. I visited there for the first time last May and was absolutely amazed by the wildness, animals and the peace and quiet of nature. Please don't destroy the little peace the world has left. Leave the animals in peace or else we will lose them and everything that goes with them.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 203    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** little, Wendy A  
**Address:** OC., OR 97045  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The first time that I got to go to the Teton's my I was pregnant with my daughter, that was 13 years ago. I hope that my daughter can go to see such a incredible natural wonder of our National Park called the Great Tetons. Save and protect this Precious lands for kids and future generations to be able to see, explore and that we all know it goes on to our future generations. Never ever allow it to be destroyed EVER!!!! We as Americans need to Always do Right for our Natural Wonders. Say no to harvesting resoures.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 204    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kleber, Tracey  
**Address:** Los Angeles , CA 90049  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:54:51  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 205    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stierli, Edward  
**Address:** Springfield, VA 22152  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** National Parks Conservation Association Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:54:54  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Ed Stierli

---

**Correspondence ID:** 206    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tonkin, Gary  
**Address:** Duluth, MN 55803  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 207    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Wellington, FL 33414  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:55:02  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Melissa Duralia

---

**Correspondence ID:** 208    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** VOIGTSCHILD, WAYNE & MEG  
**Address:** APPLE VALLEY, MN 55124  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:55:03  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 209    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lepore, Gary  
**Address:** Stevensville, MD 21666  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:55:05  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 210    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wilkerson, Colleen R  
**Address:** Indianapolis, IN 46237  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:55:05  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Colleen R Wilkerson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 211    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stonington, Louise  
**Address:** Seattle, WA 98112  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela, RE: Moose-Wilson Road Corridor - Yes on Alternative C

I have loved Grand Teton National Park since I was a child. It is a unique treasure. Protecting wildlife is not just important to the recreational industries, but to the spiritual balance of humankind.

I hope you will choose Alternative C as your approach to management of the Moose-Wilson road Corridor. It appears to be the best alternative for wildlife protection as well as access for visitors.

Thank you for caring for our future.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 212    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Koritz, Mark S  
**Address:** Atlanta, GA 30338  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:55:09  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Mark Koritz

---

**Correspondence ID:** 213    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Knoll, Carolyn  
**Address:** Orinda, CA 94563  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction but I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by people across the United States and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Knoll

---

**Correspondence ID:** 214    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** schiegg, erika k  
**Address:** dallas, TX 75219  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:55:16  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Erika Schiegg

---

**Correspondence ID:** 215    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dunn, Kelly  
**Address:** Aliso, CA 92656-1305  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:55:26  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Kelly Dunn

---

**Correspondence ID:** 216    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Chenkin, Cari  
**Address:** Citrus Heights, CA 95610  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I'm writing to tell you that I support the "Alternative C" plan for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

This plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;



Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;

Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;

Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;

Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

Won't you please consider this plan? Thank you. Sincerely, Cari Chenkin

---

**Correspondence ID:** 217    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Barson, Kalanit  
**Address:** Langley, WA 98260-8419  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please keep the highest level of protection for this remarkable place. Its unparalleled beauty is always an inspiration whether through a photo or a visit, a place to treasure. Its so important to preserve these spots of natural wonder.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 218    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hiles, Susie  
**Address:** Azle, TX 76020  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:55:29  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Susie Hiles  
Azle, Tx

---

**Correspondence ID:** 219    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Baca, M  
**Address:** Fremont, CA 94536-4464  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Na

---

**Correspondence ID:** 220    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Culbert, Laurette  
**Address:** Seattle, WA 98107  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:55:37  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Laurette Culbert

---

**Correspondence ID:** 221    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mason, Cherie  
**Address:** Sunset, ME 04683  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C as providing the most protection for the Park's wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 222    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Rubino, Vincent  
**Address:** Albany, CA 94706  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Vince Rubino

---

**Correspondence ID:** 223    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tyson, Lathleen J  
**Address:** Riverside, CA 92503  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** For those who have not the opportunity to witness our incredible life in person it is an experience one never forgets. Whether it be seeing a magnificent eagle in flight in Yellowstone deer in Butterfiedl canyon in Utah or feeding squirrels around a campfire in the ethereal Redwoods it reminds us of what is truly important in our world preserving not only our natural resources but these incredible individuals that we need to respect NOW.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 224    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Chwilka , Natalia  
**Address:** Rancho Cordova, CA 95670  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:55:40  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 225    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sheridan, Jill A  
**Address:** Jupiter, FL 33458  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:55:56  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Jill Sheridan

---

**Correspondence ID:** 226    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sharp, Dr Lindsay G  
**Address:** Topanga, CA 90290-3237  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Dr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:55:57  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

Thank you for seeking feedback about the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor issue.

Consequently, I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Dr Lindsay sharp

---

**Correspondence ID:** 227    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** fox, zita  
**Address:** new rochelle, NY 10804  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:55:58  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Zita Fox

---

**Correspondence ID:** 228    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hathaway, Susan  
**Address:** Pico Rivera, CA 90660  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:55:59  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Susan Hathaway

---

**Correspondence ID:** 229    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Patterson, Kevin D  
**Address:** Walnut Creek, CA 94595  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:56:07  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Kevin Patterson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 230    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Benincasa, Terri  
**Address:** Palm Harbor, FL 34684  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 231    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kuhn, Kerry L  
**Address:** Schaumburg, IL 60193  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:56:09  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, Alternative C, best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kerry Kuhn

---

**Correspondence ID:** 232    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Khalsa, Mha Atma S.  
**Address:** Los Angeles, CA 90035-3314  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:56:14  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

As a concerned American citizen and taxpayer I appreciate your considering my comments regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Mha Atma s Khalsa

---

**Correspondence ID:** 233    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Beckner, Cele E  
**Address:** Shepherdsville, KY 40165  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:56:15  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely, Cele Beckner

---

**Correspondence ID:** 234    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Koehl, Lisa  
**Address:** Ormond Beach, FL 32174  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The plan would:

â€¢ Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
â€¢ Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
â€¢ Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
â€¢ Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
â€¢ Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
â€¢ Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
â€¢ Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 235    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kent, Ellen  
**Address:** Winchester, VA 22604  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** You need to do something to remedy this situation concerning wildlife, roads and vehicles. Please do your best to come up with a solution. Thanks

---

**Correspondence ID:** 236    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Garcia, Armando A  
**Address:** Paramount, CA 90723  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Teton grizzly bear

[Click Here to Send Your Comments to the National Park Service!](#)

(This link will take you to the National Park Service's Planning, Environment, & Public Commenting website.)

Take Action  
Dear Armando A.,

The historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas.

The popularity of the narrow roadway with both visitors and animals can create traffic jams and wildlife management challenges, particularly during the busy summer months.

To address congestion, park staff and outside experts have worked for the last decade to study wildlife use, historic sites, automobile, bicycle, and pedestrian use, and other factors to develop a new management plan for the corridor. Their goal is to protect these world-class resources while allowing visitors to continue to use and enjoy the roadway.

The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor have been released and we need your voice! Please join NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:  
Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.  
In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Take Action: Tell Grand Teton Superintendent David Vela that you support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

Here's how to submit your comments to the Park Service.

Step 1: Go to <https://parkplanning.nps.gov/commentForm.cfm?documentID=68894> and carefully follow the form instructions.  
Step 2: Submit your comments by copying and pasting the sample message below into the web form. Please add any personal observations or stories that support your comments.  
Step 3: Once you have completed all of the required fields on the form, click the gray "submit" button at the bottom of the page.

Sample message/comments

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Thank you,

Armando A. Garcia

---

**Correspondence ID:** 237    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** jensen, wendy  
**Address:** Loganville, GA 30052  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I sand with NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," as the preferred plan.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 238    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Fuqua, Linda A  
**Address:** Willis, TX 77318  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:56:29  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Ricky & Linda Fuqua

---

**Correspondence ID:** 239    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Schrock, Rob L  
**Address:** kalispell, MT 59901  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** keep it wild. Like before our forefathers came here.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 240    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Burnett, Jean B  
**Address:** Weaverville, NC 28787  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please save our national parks and the animals that inhabit them!  
They are precious national treasures to be enjoyed by future generations of both Americans and foreign visitors.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 241    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Naidich, Sandra  
**Address:** New York, NY 11215  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Our National Parks are for the protection and sustaining of our amazing natural heritage, not for destructive commercial endeavors.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 242    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tergesen, Ron  
**Address:** East Islip, NY 11730  
United States of America



**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:56:34  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Ron Tergesen

---

**Correspondence ID:** 243    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sylvester, Angee  
**Address:** Quartz Hill, CA 93536-3769  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please save our national parks!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 244    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Myers, Stephanie  
**Address:** Las Vegas, NV 89124  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please choose Plan C - it is the best at protecting wildlife!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 245    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ferry, Deborah J  
**Address:** Sun Lakes, AZ 85248  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative "C" of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor plan for Grand Teton National Park. I believe it provides the best compromise between visitor accessibility and wildlife protection.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 246    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Gardner, KS 66030  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:56:47  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the

Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Cindy Fine

---

**Correspondence ID:** 247    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Allbright, Galloway  
**Address:** Los Angeles, CA 90039  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 14:56:53  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Galloway Allbright

---

**Correspondence ID:** 248    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Johnson, Bruce K  
**Address:** Carson City, NV 89701  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 249    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gonzales Jr., Frank  
**Address:** Plymouth, MI 48170  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 14:56:58  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 250    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gonzalez, Maria A  
**Address:** Chicago, IL 60656  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:57:03  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 251    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Chalker, Mikki  
**Address:** Binghamton, NY 13905  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:57:10  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 252    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Corr, F  
**Address:** Montague, MA 01351  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:57:10  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Regards, F. Corr

---

**Correspondence ID:** 253    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Swan, Susan M

**Address:** Carlsbad, CA 92009  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect Grand Teton National Park!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 254    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Engle, I.  
**Address:** Village of Tularosa, NM 88352  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 14:57:24  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I support Alternative C. I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
I. Engle

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 255    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Vancouver, UN V2B6H7  
Canada  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 14:57:27  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Ella Reeves

---

**Correspondence ID:** 256    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** West Hartford, CT 06117  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I support Plan C! Preserving nature in our national parks should always take priority over the interests of those who use the parks for sports and recreation.  
Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 257    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** LaPointe, Drena  
**Address:** Scottsdale, AZ 85250  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:57:32  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Thank you for your time and consideration,  
Drena LaPointe

---

**Correspondence ID:** 258    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** clark, gretchen  
**Address:** marina del rey, CA 90292  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:57:32  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Gretchen

---

**Correspondence ID:** 259    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Detmers, Peggy A  
**Address:** Rapid City, SD 57702  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

As a wildlife biologist and also a wildlife artist, I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

I know that increased vehicle traffic can and has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. With diminished wild land areas, your top priority should be protect wildlife and natural resources while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 260    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mann, Paul  
**Address:** Ronkonkoma, NY 11779  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please don't do anything to ruin the pristiness of the Grand Tetons. They are beautiful & angelic. Keep them that way so future generations can go there

---

**Correspondence ID:** 261    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dahl, Kevin A  
**Address:** Tucson, AZ 85719  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 262    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** dumont, lynette  
**Address:** golden, CO 80401  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We, especially in the west, are so sick of having to fight for our wilderness. Go with alternative C and protect the wildlife so necessary to keep nature in balance. Accommodating an increasing population of humans will have dire consequences for us all. If you can't walk, snowshoe or ski in, you should stay out.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 263    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** LaVail, Logan  
**Address:** Montclair, NJ 07042-2902  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:57:45  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Logan LaVail

---

**Correspondence ID:** 264    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Westwood, MA 02090  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:57:50  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Nikolaos Milonas

---

**Correspondence ID:** 265    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Schaberg, Pamela  
**Address:** Essexville, MI 48732  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect the wildlife in this area.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 266    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** RANDOLPH, JOHN P  
**Address:** Escondido, CA 92029  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** PLEASE USE PLAN C IN REGARDS TO ALTERATIONS IN TETON NP.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 267    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Vaughn, Robert  
**Address:** Houston, TX 77043  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am in favor of the new management plan. I believe that we need to find a way to satisfy both the health and safety of the wildlife with continuing access for recreation and wildlife viewing.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 268    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Calgary, UN T3A3S4  
Canada  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:58:03  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious

resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Danielle Tran

---

**Correspondence ID:** 269    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Berkeley, CA 94705  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C.  
I also support the use of shuttles to minimize impact on wildlife and habitat.  
Thanks!  
Chrissy Hoffman

---

**Correspondence ID:** 270    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** m, cindy  
**Address:** morristown, NJ 07960  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:58:06  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Cindy Marvin

---

**Correspondence ID:** 271    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Shitama, Celeste  
**Address:** Gainesville, FL 32601  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:58:08  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Celeste Shitama



---

**Correspondence ID:** 272    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Baldwin, Anne  
**Address:** Niles, MI 49120  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** we need our parks

---

**Correspondence ID:** 273    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Day, Janeth N  
**Address:** Hoover, AL 35244  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:58:19  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Janeth N Day

---

**Correspondence ID:** 274    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** wisner, jerry  
**Address:** nottingham, MD 21236  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** i agree with the new plan to help control the traffic on the moose-wilson road in the grand teton area

---

**Correspondence ID:** 275    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Langford, Jean  
**Address:** Little Rock, AR 72223  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please take responsible actions!! We are counting on you all doing the right thing. Please be responsive to these public comments & thx for all considerations!! The NPS is comprised of good folks who know what they are doing & we do not want private sector destruction of pristine forests for the selfish & greedy few!!

Cheers, Jean Langford, Arkansas

---

**Correspondence ID:** 276    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Goettling, Sandra E  
**Address:** Las Vegas, NV 89108-1106  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect the Grand Tetons!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 277    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Arnold, Angela  
**Address:** Troy, VA 22974  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 14:58:30  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place. It is truly awe-inspiring and one of the most beautiful places I have been to in my extensive travels.

Sincerely,  
Angela Arnold

---

**Correspondence ID:** 278    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stetler, David H  
**Address:** Kirkland, WA 98034-2894  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** support parks

---

**Correspondence ID:** 279    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** limoges, william r  
**Address:** montgomery, MD 01085-9545  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** all of our national and state parks need to be preserved for future generations to enjoy !

---

**Correspondence ID:** 280    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Clough, Cyndi  
**Address:** Wichita, KS 67207  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am supporting "Alternative C," this would best protect the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor

In addition to the preferred plan, I would encourage the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Sincerely,

Patrick and Cyndi Clough

---

**Correspondence ID:** 281    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kafka, Mo  
**Address:** Albany, NY 12203  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please support plan C for the Grand Teton National Park, Moose Road corridor updates. Thank You.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 282    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Denver, CO 80205  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing in support of the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, and a user of the Grand Teton National Park, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Over the years, I have seen how increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Thanks you,  
Ed Guhman

---

**Correspondence ID:** 283    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McKeehen, Kathleen E  
**Address:** Indianola, WA 98342  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

My husband and I visited Grand Teton National Park for the first time several years ago, and considered it one of the highlights of our many national park visits; we plan to see it again this summer. I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kathleen McKeehen

---

**Correspondence ID:** 284    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Roebuck, Margaret  
**Address:** Northville, MI 48167  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please proceed with proposal c to insure the safety of wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 285    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hughes, Lisa

**Address:** Galveston, TX 77550  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:59:09  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Lisa A. Hughes

---

**Correspondence ID:** 286    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hudgins, Jerry C  
**Address:** Point Reyes Station, CA 94956-1408  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:59:10  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Jerry Hudgins

---

**Correspondence ID:** 287    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Larson, R A  
**Address:** Mount Vernon, WA 98274-8925  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:59:13  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
R A Larson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 288    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hope, Phillip  
**Address:** Brooklyn, NY 11215  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:59:16  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Phillip HOpe

---

**Correspondence ID:** 289    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mickelson, Rhonda  
**Address:** Estes Park, CO 80517  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

As in many parks (I volunteer in RMNP) increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor. I believe this plan considers the enjoyment of future generations as well as those of us who love the area now.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Rhonda Mickelson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 290    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Reichel, Tom  
**Address:** Rochester Hills, MI 48309  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please go with ALT C choice for the park Thanks Tom

---

**Correspondence ID:** 291    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Barth, Kelly L  
**Address:** Lawrence, KS 66044  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:59:36  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

Kelly Barth

---

**Correspondence ID:** 292    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jacobson, Tobin B  
**Address:** Boynton Beach, FL 33437-6067  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:59:41  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Tobin B. Jacobson, Esq.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 293    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Bardstown, KY 40004  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Our national parks are some of the greatest places in the world. Let's preserve them and the animals there.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 294    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** clausen, nina  
**Address:** new york, NY 13625  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Miss Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** stop the hunt of bears, they have a heart and have the right to liv in peace just like you and me

---

**Correspondence ID:** 295    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wightman, Kevin  
**Address:** sylmar, CA 91392  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:59:51  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I got my Eagle Scout Badge from my final test done this area of Grand Tetons.  
You must do all you can to protect this area.

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kevin Wightman

---

**Correspondence ID:** 296    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ketchum , Lynn M  
**Address:** Madison , WI 53704  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:59:53  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. We must all step up and be better stewards of our earth for ourselves and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 297    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** BILYK, BOGDAN  
**Address:** PELLA, IA 50219  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** VOTE FOR BERNIE SANDERS FOR PRESIDENT !!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 298    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sikand , Vikram S  
**Address:** Weehawken, NJ 07086  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 14:59:55  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:**

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Vikram Sikand

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	299	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Wappler, David				
<b>Address:</b>	Ojai, CA 93024 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 15:00:01				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
David Wappler

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	300	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Conn, Patrick				
<b>Address:</b>	Kent, WA 98031-9669 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 15:00:03				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System and a frequent visitor of the Grand Teton region, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic continues to significantly diminish the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please make the protection of wildlife and natural resources EVEN MORE OF A TOP PRIORITY while YOU adopt a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Patrick Conn

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	301	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
---------------------------	-----	-----------------	-------	------------------	-------



**Name:** walter, gail L  
**Address:** kalamazoo, MI 49001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:00:04  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
gail walter

---

**Correspondence ID:** 302    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bass, Wanda G  
**Address:** Durham, NC 27701  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:00:07  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Wanda G. Bass

---

**Correspondence ID:** 303    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cohen, Harriet  
**Address:** New York, NY 10016  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:00:07  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I hereby join NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;

Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;

Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;

Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 304    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wollman, Barbara  
**Address:** Friday Harbor, WA 98250  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Prefer alternative C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 305    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Colson, Clay G  
**Address:** Land O' Lakes, FL 34638-3564  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Hence I am supporting "Alternative C," my preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.  
In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Thanks, peace and be well,  
Clay G. Colson  
Board Director and Water Issues Chair  
Citizens for Sanity.Com, Inc.  
Public need over corporate greed  
(813) 601-3391

[citizensforsanity.com](http://citizensforsanity.com)

"Only after the last tree has been cut down, Only after the last river has been poisoned, Only after the last fish has been caught, Only then will you find that money cannot be eaten." - - Cree Indian Proverb

"If a nation expects to be ignorant and free, in a state of civilization, it expects what never was and will never be." Thomas Jefferson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 306    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Schlam, Steven  
**Address:** San Diego, CA 92103  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Plan C makes the most sense and will have the least deleterious effect on all relevant stakeholders- -animals, staff and visitors. Please implement it forthwith.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 307    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gregory, Tina  
**Address:** St. Louis, MO 63126  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:00:14  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Tina Gregory

---

**Correspondence ID:** 308    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hediger, JoAnn  
**Address:** Saint Louis, MO 63141  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** It would be wonderful to see thoughtful control over such a congested area. Plan C sounds reasonable and doable with wildlife considered and beneficial for tourists. Anyone who loves the parks should be more than happy with such an arrangement. I would be.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 309    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Weaver, Joan  
**Address:** Chatsworth, CA 91311  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:00:18  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Joan Weaver

---

**Correspondence ID:** 310    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tarpley, Matthew

**Address:** McDonough, GA 30253  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:00:24

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** The Alternative C plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;

Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;

Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;

Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 311      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Gx, Perry

**Address:** Tustin, CA 92780

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Grizzly Bears DESERVE Better A Good Safe Home!!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 312      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894

**Name:** holleran, julia a

**Address:** Moorpark, CA 93021

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Miss Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:** Member

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** save majestci grizzly bear

---

**Correspondence ID:** 313      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Lenz, Andrew

**Address:** Leverett, MA 01054

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor and allow for pauses in transit to allow wildlife viewing.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 314      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894

**Name:** McCoy, Dan

**Address:** Encinitas, CA 92024

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C for the Moose-Wilson Corridor at Grand Teton National Park. I believe that this alternative best protects the corridor for wildlife protection and traffic congestion. I visit this park on an annual basis and look forward to this improvement. Sincerely, Dan McCoy

---

**Correspondence ID:** 315    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Ostrander, Jr., William P  
**Address:** Bellingham, WA 98229-3131

**Outside Organization:** United States of America  
**Affiliation:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor. Perhaps a bus/shuttle or maybe a monorail type of observation could be utilized for park visitors to enjoy checking out the wildlife in their natural habitat without greatly disturbing them?

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

William P. Ostrander, Jr.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 316    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Moffatt, George  
**Address:** Oceanport, NJ 07757  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Sierra Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Go for it. Good plan.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 317    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Minneapolis, MN 55404  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:**

Please allow better protection for grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

Please limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

Please extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;

Please continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

Please maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;

Please reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;

And finally, please continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 318    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Potter, Doris  
**Address:** St-Laurent, UN H4L 5E7  
Canada

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:01:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Doris Potter

990 St-François-Xavier #605

St-Laurent, QC

H4L 5E7

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	319	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Gleason, Mindy				
<b>Address:</b>	Angels Camp, CA 95222 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System and also a past Wyoming resident of 21 years, of which I lived on the Moose-Wilson Road for 17 , I believe the Park Services preferred plan, Alternative C, best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. The Moose-Wilson Rd was my special place within the park for the time I lived there and looms large in my memory of what I consider "home." I implore you to protect it from over development. I have never seen a traffic jam on the road (well a car or two!), I skied in an out of my home during the winters of my residence and there was no park gate. I may be living in the past, but it's a good one. There is a compromise to be had in this situation and I thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

[your name here]

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	320	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Kennedy, Charles M				
<b>Address:</b>	Deadwood, OR 97430 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park, I request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management. Thank you.				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	321	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	Columbus, OH 43214 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 15:01:15				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Steven Skal

---

**Correspondence ID:** 322    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Shoup, Eric S  
**Address:** Springfield, VA 22153  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:01:17  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Eric S Shoup

---

**Correspondence ID:** 323    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Valentien, Judith  
**Address:** East Machias, ME 04101  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:01:20  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Thank you.

Sincerely,  
Judith Valentine

---

**Correspondence ID:** 324    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hegg, Nancy  
**Address:** Brightwood, OR 97011  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Decision Maker, after my review and conversations I humbly request you choose option C.  
regards,  
Nancy Hegg

---

**Correspondence ID:** 325    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Firth, Shawn  
**Address:** FALLS CHURCH, VA 22043-1522  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:01:25  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Shawn Firth

---

**Correspondence ID:** 326    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dingleberry, Pat  
**Address:** Jersey City, NJ 07305  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We NEED to protect our wild places, they are an integral part of our ecosystem and we need them to keep our planet healthy.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 327    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, Robert Johnson  
**Address:** Las Vegas, NV 89134  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:01:33  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 328    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ringgaard, Line  
**Address:** Herning, UN 7400  
Denmark  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:01:36  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form



**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Line Ringgaard

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	329	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Kalavity, Karen F				
<b>Address:</b>	Westminster, CO 80031 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:**  
The historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas.

The popularity of the narrow roadway with both visitors and animals can create traffic jams and wildlife management challenges, particularly during the busy summer months.

To address congestion, park staff and outside experts have worked for the last decade to study wildlife use, historic sites, automobile, bicycle, and pedestrian use, and other factors to develop a new management plan for the corridor. Their goal is to protect these world-class resources while allowing visitors to continue to use and enjoy the roadway.

The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor have been released and I am joining NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

- Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
- Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;
- Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
- Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;
- Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;
- Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;
- Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Please, David Vela, support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	330	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Herzer, Sibylle U				
<b>Address:</b>	Flemington, NJ 08822 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 15:01:51				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Billie Herzer

---

**Correspondence ID:** 331    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ripplinger, George R  
**Address:** Belleville, IL 62226  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Sierra Club Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:01:52  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
George R. Ripplinger

---

**Correspondence ID:** 332    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hardie, Eldridge  
**Address:** Denver, CO 80210  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 333    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Smith, Mary J  
**Address:** Mesa, AZ 85213  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Paths like Moose-Wilson MUST be PRESERVED for those special creatures God created them for. There is NO QUESTION of their importance.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 334    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Baier, Mary Ann  
**Address:** Dearborn, MI 48124  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:02:03  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Mary Ann Baier

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	335	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Terry, Clifford				
<b>Address:</b>	Knoxville, TN 37928 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Mr. Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	Member				
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 15:02:13				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Clifford Terry

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	336	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Watanabe, Hiroe				
<b>Address:</b>	Dallas, TX 75211 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	Member				
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 15:02:15				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Hiroe Watanabe

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	337	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Fore, Judy O				
<b>Address:</b>	Black Mountain , NC 28721 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 15:02:21				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Judy O Fore

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	338	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Ostrander, Jr., William P				
<b>Address:</b>	Bellingham, WA 98229-3131 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 15:02:22				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor. Perhaps a bus/shuttle or maybe a monorail type of observation could be utilized for park visitors to enjoy checking out the wildlife in their natural habitat without greatly disturbing them?

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

William P. Ostrander, Jr.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	339	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Garcia, Vitra				
<b>Address:</b>	Miami Shores, FL 33138 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 15:02:32				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 340    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stanton, Alan R  
**Address:** Topeka, KS 66606  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:02:39  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, and as someone who once lived and worked at Jackson Lake Lodge, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Alan Stanton

---

**Correspondence ID:** 341    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Gainesville, GA 30501  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support alternative C, and hope you will do everything possible to retain the park atmosphere of this road and its surroundings.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 342    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Knodle, Marjorie  
**Address:** cincinnati, OH 45237  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** i could not say it better:there is no Second Nature.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 343    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Yasgur, Eleanor S  
**Address:** Teaneck, NJ 07666  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:02:42  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Best regards,  
Eleanor Swift Yasgur

---

**Correspondence ID:** 344    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Amalfitano, Gloriamarie  
**Address:** San Diego, CA 92111  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:02:42  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Gloriamarie Amalfitano

---

**Correspondence ID:** 345    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cross, Stephen H  
**Address:** Mount Prospect, IL 60056-2120  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** RETIRED PHYSICS TEACHER Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:02:50  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,SHCROSS44@GMAIL.COM

---

**Correspondence ID:** 346    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Phillips-Calapai, Jean M  
**Address:** Milford, MA 01757  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:02:53  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Jean Phillips-Calapai

---

**Correspondence ID:** 347    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** blaesing thompson, shawn  
**Address:** ames, IA 50014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:02:56  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 348    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Conroy, Beverly A  
**Address:** Oak Park, IL 60304  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Our National Parks are our treasures and belong to all Americans and to all the world as some of the most magnificent places on the earth. They need to be cherished and nurtured for all creatures great and small.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 349    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Cambria, CA 93428  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:03:13  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

This Park is such a national treasure! Therefore, I'm writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and I request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I strongly encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our privilege and responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this very special place.

Sincerely,  
Lynne Harkins

---

**Correspondence ID:** 350    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Crosland, Richard

**Address:** Vista, CA 92081  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:03:21  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Richard Crosland

---

**Correspondence ID:** 351    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** ImMasche, Sonia  
**Address:** Fort Collins, CO 80524  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** National Parks Conservation Association Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please choose Option C. We feel that it is the best plan.

Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 352    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Baker, Sharon  
**Address:** Palmer Lake, CO 80133  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:03:33  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Sharon Baker

---

**Correspondence ID:** 353    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wettersten, Jill A  
**Address:** Oberlin, OH 44074-1904  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:03:35  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form



**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Jill Wettersten

---

**Correspondence ID:** 354    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gold, Vicki A  
**Address:** Mt Shasta, CA 96067  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Water Flows Free Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Our National Parks and Wildlife Sanctuaries must be protected. The Precautionary Principle must be observed regarding traffic, visitors "loving the parks to death" and protection of the wildlife from human intrusion.  
Thank you for all your efforts.  
Vicki Gold

---

**Correspondence ID:** 355    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** furman, elaine  
**Address:** jacksonville, FL 32217  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** i hate to see parks being broken up by roads that stop wildlife from having their space

---

**Correspondence ID:** 356    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, Terry  
**Address:** carlyle, IL 62231  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:03:49  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Terry

---

**Correspondence ID:** 357    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Meisner, Lora  
**Address:** Salem, OR 97306  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Coalition Advocating for Animals Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** We just visited the Tetons last year and we believe that Plan C for the Moose-Wilson Road is the most reasonable to ensure the safety of wildlife. For us, there seemed to be too many dangers for wildlife as things are presently.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 358    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Urias, Victoria  
**Address:** Seattle, WA 98125  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPC Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:03:51  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 359    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Davidson, Mary E  
**Address:** San Antonio, TX 78227  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** LIUNA #1095 and Sierra Club Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I agree with Alternative C plan

---

**Correspondence ID:** 360    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Young, Anne  
**Address:** Revere, PA 18953-0517  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:04:12  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Anne Young

---

**Correspondence ID:** 361    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Blue Bell, PA 19422  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Let's seriously consider Alternate #3

---

**Correspondence ID:** 362    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hydeman, Jinx  
**Address:** Trabuco Canyon, CA 92679  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:04:18  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Jinx Hydeman

---

**Correspondence ID:** 363    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Carollo-Zeuner, Christine M  
**Address:** Oregon, WI 53575  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:04:22  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Christine M. Carollo-Zeuner

---

**Correspondence ID:** 364    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Andersen, Peggy J  
**Address:** Livermore, CA 94551  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support alternative C as it sounds like a win-win for the animals and humans.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 365    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Sabol, Barbara T  
**Address:** Naples, FL 34112  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We need to preserve the beautiful and historic lands for future generations.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 366 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cusumano, Richard  
**Address:** Keeseville, NY 12944/3106  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:04:38  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Richard Cusumano

---

**Correspondence ID:** 367 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** PONCHOT, SUSAN M  
**Address:** SUNRISE, FL 33351  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:04:52  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 368 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Blanding, David J  
**Address:** Lowell, MI 49331  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Parks

---

**Correspondence ID:** 369 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Olander, Alan  
**Address:** Nevis, MN 56467  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:05:04  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Alan Olander

---

**Correspondence ID:** 370      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Macomber, Judith M  
**Address:** Ann Arbor, MI 48105  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Nat'l Parks are essential to our country

---

**Correspondence ID:** 371      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Rarick, Karen J  
**Address:** Nashville, IN 47448  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:05:09  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Karen Rarick

---

**Correspondence ID:** 372      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Zimmerman, Leda  
**Address:** Lexington, MA 02420  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing as a huge booster of the National Park System, and with great interest in maintaining the best possible protections for one of its crown jewels: Grand Teton National Park. I write specifically regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton, and to endorse the Park Service's preferred plan, Alternative C. This plan best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor, and I urge you to choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Cordially,

Leda Zimmerman

---

**Correspondence ID:** 373    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Densmore, Paul  
**Address:** St Paul, MN 55116  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please approve alternative c

---

**Correspondence ID:** 374    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Segota, Joy  
**Address:** Moscow, ID 83843  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The National Parks are important to me.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 375    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, deborah  
**Address:** santa monica, CA 90405  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Can we not leave our environment free from exploitation?

---

**Correspondence ID:** 376    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Fehr, Richard P  
**Address:** Sharpsburg, GA 30277  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I have read in detail proposals by the National Park Service for the Grand Teton National Park and I support each and every one of the proposals. I support the proposals because they address to the adverse effects to the park due the high numbers of visitors, some of the detrimental practices of some of the park visitors and inadequate funding and numbers of National Park staff.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 377    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kotch, Brant  
**Address:** Houston, TX 77024  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:05:39  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Brant Kotch

---

**Correspondence ID:** 378    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** D., Laura  
**Address:** Atlanta, GA 30318  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:05:39  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Laura D.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 379    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McCurdy, Michael H  
**Address:** Homer, AK 99603  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** National Parks are a Treasure. They should Not be risked for any reason!!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 380    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hoffman, Marc  
**Address:** North Wales, PA 19454  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park. The popularity of the narrow roadway with both visitors and animals can create traffic jams and wildlife management challenges, particularly during the busy summer months.

To address congestion, park staff and outside experts have worked for the last decade to study wildlife use, historic sites, automobile, bicycle, and pedestrian use, and other factors to develop a new management plan for the corridor. I support "Alternative C" as the preferred plan.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 381    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** issaquah, WA 98029  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:05:53  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 382    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Harrison, Norma J F  
**Address:** Berkeley, CA 94702  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** keep the Grand Tetons unassailed.  
The historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas.

The popularity of the narrow roadway with both visitors and animals can create traffic jams and wildlife management challenges, particularly during the busy summer months.

To address congestion, park staff and outside experts have worked for the last decade to study wildlife use, historic sites, automobile, bicycle, and pedestrian use, and other factors to develop a new management plan for the corridor. Their goal is to protect these world-class lands while allowing visitors to continue to use and enjoy the roadway.

The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor have been released to you. Please join NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

- Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
- Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;
- Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
- Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;
- Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;
- Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;
- Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging you, the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Grand Teton Superintendent David Vela. Take Action. I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

Advocate for birth control including for pregnancy termination by choice (abortion).

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and all people on Earth, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious lands for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Norma J F Harrison normaha@pacbell.net  
Berkeley, Ca.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 383    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ellis, Angele  
**Address:** Pittsburgh, PA 15206-3461  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Mr. Vela,

I join NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:



- \* Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
- \* Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;
- \* Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
- \* Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;
- \* Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;
- \* Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;
- \* Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, I join others in encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Thank you for your attention to these suggestions.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 384    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Smith, Ellen  
**Address:** Dallas, TX 75230  
 United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:06:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
 Ellen Smith

---

**Correspondence ID:** 385    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ball, Evelyn  
**Address:** Lockport, UN R1A3L7  
 Canada  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;

Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;

Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;

Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, I encourage the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 386    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kameon, Kitty  
**Address:** Oakland, CA 94618  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:06:07  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kitty Kameon

---

**Correspondence ID:** 387    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hissler, Ange  
**Address:** cincinnati, OH 45211  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 388    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Newton, Robert D  
**Address:** Preque Isle, MI 49777  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The Grand Teton National Park is true national treasure and must be kept in pristine condition for future generations. The resources that are in the park belong to all citizens of the United States and need to be kept as a national treasure.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 389    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Anderson, Christy  
**Address:** Portland, OR 97213  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:06:22  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Christy Anderson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 390    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Nevada City, CA 95959  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I can support Alternate C but frankly think we should close the road from time to time and only have foot traffic!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 391    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Linda, Lauren  
**Address:** Laguna Woods, CA 92637  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I join NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 392    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Bellingham, WA 98229  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Sincerely,  
Rick Romito

---

**Correspondence ID:** 393    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Walsh, Kevin  
**Address:** Madison, CT 06443  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**

Teton grizzly bear

[Click Here to Send Your Comments to the National Park Service!](#)

(This link will take you to the National Park Service's Planning, Environment, & Public Commenting website.)

Take Action  
Dear Kevin,

The historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas.

The popularity of the narrow roadway with both visitors and animals can create traffic jams and wildlife management challenges, particularly during the busy summer months.

To address congestion, park staff and outside experts have worked for the last decade to study wildlife use, historic sites, automobile, bicycle, and pedestrian use, and other factors to develop a new management plan for the corridor. Their goal is to protect these world-class resources while allowing visitors to continue to use and enjoy the roadway.

The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor have been released and we need your voice! Please join NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 394    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Varcoe, Donna D  
**Address:** State College, PA 16803  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Our Nation's treasures MUST be SAVED!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 395    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** stein, harvey  
**Address:** merrick, NY 11566  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:06:43  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Dr. Harvey Stein, Mrs. Alice Stein, Ms. Loni Stein, Ms. Vanessa Youmans, Mr. James Voulgarakis, Mrs. Shira Voulgarakis

---

**Correspondence ID:** 396    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sanford, Julia K  
**Address:** Van Nuys, CA 91406  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:06:44  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 397    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Griffith, Alfred  
**Address:** Miami, FL 33172  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:06:47  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Alfred Griffith

---

**Correspondence ID:** 398    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Faust, Carol & Malcolm  
**Address:** Oakdale, CA 95361-9537  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** <http://my.npca.org/site/R?i=NvKBiHDEbxmc6N2ot7e0cQ>

[Click Here to Send Your Comments to the National Park Service!](#)

(This link will take you to the National Park Service's Planning, Environment, & Public Commenting website.)

<http://my.npca.org/site/R?i=cgnITnSLgCke6h2UUCoUTA>  
Dear Malcolm,

The historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas.

The popularity of the narrow roadway with both visitors and animals can create traffic jams and wildlife management challenges, particularly during the busy summer months.

To address congestion, park staff and outside experts have worked for the last decade to study wildlife use, historic sites, automobile, bicycle, and pedestrian use, and other factors to develop a new management plan for the corridor. Their goal is to protect these world-class resources while allowing visitors to continue to use and enjoy the roadway.

The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor have been released and we need your voice! Please join NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

- Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
- Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;
- Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
- Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;
- Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;
- Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;
- Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Take Action: Tell Grand Teton Superintendent David Vela that you support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

Here's how to submit your comments to the Park Service.

Step 1: Go to <https://parkplanning.nps.gov/commentForm.cfm?documentID=68894> and carefully follow the form instructions.  
Step 2: Submit your comments by copying and pasting the sample message below into the web form. Please add any personal observations or stories that support your comments.  
Step 3: Once you have completed all of the required fields on the form, click the gray "submit" button at the bottom of the page.

Sample message/comments

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Carol & Malcolm Faust

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	399	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	estes, eh				
<b>Address:</b>	Mountain View, CA 94041 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Option C should be the preferred option.				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	400	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Ingram, Carole				
<b>Address:</b>	So. Fulton, TN 38257 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 15:07:05				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	401	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Stevenson, Julia				
<b>Address:</b>	Washington, DC 20008 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 15:07:36				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your

approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Julia Stevenson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 402    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Takacs, John  
**Address:** Milford, CT 06460  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support alternative C

---

**Correspondence ID:** 403    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lundgren, Scott A  
**Address:** Chicago, IL 60614  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:07:45  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Scott Lundgren

---

**Correspondence ID:** 404    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jobe, Laura  
**Address:** Pearland, TX 77584-2231  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support alternative C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 405    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Van Ormer, Diana  
**Address:** San Diego, CA 92128  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:08:04  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your

approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations.

Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Diana Van Ormer

---

**Correspondence ID:** 406    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Rosenblum, Stephen  
**Address:** Palo Alto, CA 94301  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Sincerely yours,  
Dr. Stephen Rosenblum

---

**Correspondence ID:** 407    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Blandford, Tom  
**Address:** Kansas City, MO 64112  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I'm a 62 year old, happily retired, and a very frequent visitor to our National Parks. Grand Teton is one of my very favorites and my wife and I visit it at least once or twice per year. When we visit the park we usually do so in the fall and we stay in Teton Village. So our daily access to the park is via Moose-Wilson Road.

You might say we're experts on this road, it's wonderful wildlife and the challenges of driving it during busy times. We've often remarked that there should be a few changes made to improve the experience of driving it and protecting the wildlife that frequents the area.

We believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Thank you for your excellent management of Grand Teton National Park. We can't wait for our 2016 trips back there.

Best regards,

Tom and Helen Blandford

---

**Correspondence ID:** 408    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Forte, Lorraine  
**Address:** New York, NY 10009  
United States of America



**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:08:18  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Lorraine Forte

---

**Correspondence ID:** 409    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Spradlin, Karen W  
**Address:** Jacksonville, AL 36265  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please support alternative C

---

**Correspondence ID:** 410    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Paul, Lori L  
**Address:** Altadena, CA 91001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear National Park Service,

As a California licensed veterinary technician and former zoo keeper at the Los Angeles Zoo whose has old family albums full of photos taken in National Parks, including the Grand Tetons, I urge you to implement Alternative C, the preferred plan, in Grand Teton National Park's Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. I have worked with bears, deer, and many other large species. I understand concerns about traffic jams to see these magnificent creatures and the dangers involved in "road contacts" with wildlife, either through deadly collisions between animals and cars or when careless tourists fail to give space to wildlife while taking selfies! I am pleased that Alternative C addresses many of these concerns.

That said, I am about to commit a "public comment felony" by pasting in comments generated by the venerable National Parks Conservation Association. I am doing so because I cannot make any improvement in the following statements. Their points express my opinions exactly. Please take this good advice and select Alternative C.

Alternative C would:

- Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
- Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;
- Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
- Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;
- Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;
- Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system similar to that available in Yosemite Valley.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 411    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gonzales, Debbie  
**Address:** Colorado Springs, CO 80906  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:08:30  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Debbie Gonzales

---

**Correspondence ID:** 412    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bostick, Carol S  
**Address:** Novato, CA 94949-5046  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Help Us Protect Grand Teton Today!  
Sharon Mader, NPCA <takeaction@npca.org>  
To Carol Bostick  
Today at 1:46 PM

To ensure delivery to your inbox, please add us to your address book: [takeaction@npca.org](mailto:takeaction@npca.org)  
Teton grizzly bear

[Click Here to Send Your Comments to the National Park Service!](#)

(This link will take you to the National Park Service's Planning, Environment, & Public Commenting website.)

Take Action  
Dear Carol,

The historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas.

The popularity of the narrow roadway with both visitors and animals can create traffic jams and wildlife management challenges, particularly during the busy summer months.

To address congestion, park staff and outside experts have worked for the last decade to study wildlife use, historic sites, automobile, bicycle, and pedestrian use, and other factors to develop a new management plan for the corridor. Their goal is to protect these world-class resources while allowing visitors to continue to use and enjoy the roadway.

The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor have been released and we need your voice! Please join NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Take Action: Tell Grand Teton Superintendent David Vela that you support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

Here's how to submit your comments to the Park Service.

Step 1: Go to <https://parkplanning.nps.gov/commentForm.cfm?documentID=68894> and carefully follow the form instructions.

Step 2: Submit your comments by copying and pasting the sample message below into the web form. Please add any personal observations or stories that support your comments.

Step 3: Once you have completed all of the required fields on the form, click the gray "submit" button at the bottom of the page.

Sample message/comments

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 413      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Herrmann, Dorene  
**Address:** South Elgin, IL 60177-2311  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Mr. David Vela, Superintendent,  
I am contacting you to inform you that I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan for Grand Teton National Park.  
Sincerely,  
Dorene Herrmann

---

**Correspondence ID:** 414      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Brewer, John R  
**Address:** Marietta, OH 45750  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Individual Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I very much support "Alternative C".

---

**Correspondence ID:** 415      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cohen, Fritz D  
**Address:** nahcotta, WA 98637  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

I think it is appropriate to protect wildlife and natural resources as a top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States. It is also an important part of our conservation history. We need to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations.

thank you,  
Fritzi Cohen

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	416	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Posch, Robert				
<b>Address:</b>	For Lauderdale, FL 33305 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 15:08:42				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Robert Posch

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	417	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Zerr, Laura				
<b>Address:</b>	Auburn, WA 98092 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please protect this fragile area. "Alternative C" is the preferred option for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. A shuttle-type service may be an even better option for reducing congestion, pollution, minimize people and animal interaction and lower our impact on this area.				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	418	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Robinson, Pat				
<b>Address:</b>	McComb, MS 39648 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 15:08:49				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Pat Robinson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 419    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Burns, Lyn  
**Address:** Scottsdale, AZ 85258  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:08:49  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
Lyn Burns

---

**Correspondence ID:** 420    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Culmore, Matthew  
**Address:** Windsor, CA 95492  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:08:50  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Matthew

---

**Correspondence ID:** 421    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hartman, Suesie  
**Address:** Bowmansville, PA 17507  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** National Parks are the legacy that we generations that will follow us. We owe the protection of the National Parks to the trees, land, animals & birds that call National Parks their home.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 422    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Bennion, Beth  
**Address:** McKinleyville, CA 95519  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place. I have made a number of visits to the Grand Tetons over the years and it has given me many wonderful, special memories and has a very special place in my heart.

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

Beth Bennion

---

**Correspondence ID:** 423    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** willing, rick  
**Address:** terlingua, TX 79852  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor. Increasing bicycle and small scale transit traffic and reducing automobile traffic should be at the heart of all future plans.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Thanks,  
Rick Willing

---

**Correspondence ID:** 424    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gregory, Mark S  
**Address:** Yorkville, IL 60560  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. I am writing because I am not only a supporter of the National Park System, but I visit Grand Teton NP every summer, hiking in the mountains, around the lakes, and driving and walking along Moose-Wilson Road. As a person who has experienced first hand the summertime congestion on this corridor, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the valuable Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. And I request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has very much diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road

Corridor. We have noticed in the last few years, a decrease in the number of moose along this Corridor. I know protecting wildlife and natural resources is one of your top priorities as Superintendent of the Park, and as one who loves visiting our National Park, I know it is a balancing act to work out plans that protect wildlife and resources, and also allow visitors to continue to use and enjoy, to borrow from Ken Burns, America's Best Idea.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift that is cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people like me, folks all over the USA. And I want you to know you have people like me, who support the parks with dollars and time, who support you in your efforts to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Mark S. Gregory  
Member of the NPCA, The Yellowstone Association, the National Park Foundation, and The Trust For Public Land

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	425	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	Jersey City, NJ 07302 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 15:09:24				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Elisa Neal

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	426	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Falink, Norma Jean				
<b>Address:</b>	Roseville, MN 55113-1973 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	Member				
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please find a way to preserve this amazing land for the animals that belong there. They've been there much longer than the visitors who are disturbing their home. Perhaps an animal bridge(s) would be helpful. Perhaps something else. But something needs to be done.				

The Grand Teton area has been my favorite since my childhood. I love the wildness of the place as well as the beauty of it.

Please save the animals from the constant disruption of the visitors!!

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	427	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Henzel, William				
<b>Address:</b>	San Jose, CA 95127 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 15:10:05				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
William Henzel

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	428	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	Merion Station, PA 19066 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I support Alternative C. I believe this plan will better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves and other wildlife, and decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through limiting Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic.				

In addition, extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area.

Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing.

Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes.

Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	429	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Lukas, J				
<b>Address:</b>	Greenwood, IN 46143-8460 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 15:10:06				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is negatively effecting wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority, while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is good but more should be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and visitors from across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations.

Thanks for your strong work to protect this very special place.

Sincerely,

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	430	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	bordentown, NJ 08505 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	National Parks are a treasure that should last for all time. We need them and we need to protect them!				



---

**Correspondence ID:** 431    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cadot, Henry M  
**Address:** hancock, NH 03449  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Harris Center for Conservation Education Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Endorsing Alternative C

---

**Correspondence ID:** 432    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Adamson, Kristen  
**Address:** Seattle, WA 98144  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:10:21  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely, Kristen

---

**Correspondence ID:** 433    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Swanson, R G  
**Address:** Berkeley, CA 94707  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:10:48  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
RG Swanson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 434    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** atkins, nathan  
**Address:** Los Angeles, CA 90066  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C because it's three best compromise between conservation of natural resources and acres by the public.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 435 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Berkheimer, Nicole  
**Address:** Knoxville, TN 37921  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 15:11:08  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

â€¢ Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

â€¢ Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

â€¢ Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;

â€¢ Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

â€¢ Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;

â€¢ Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;

â€¢ Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, I encourage the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 436 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ruiter, Rikje Maria  
**Address:** Utrecht, CA 35155  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Save Mother Earth!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 437 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Haertel, Melissa  
**Address:** Bronx, NY 10465  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 15:11:18  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Melissa Haertel

---

**Correspondence ID:** 438    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Siegmann, Eric  
**Address:** Westminster, CA 92683  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:11:28  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park.

As a strong supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor, and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Eric Siegmann

---

**Correspondence ID:** 439    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Thomas, Alyssa  
**Address:** Bend, OR 97703  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:11:30  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Alyssa Thomas

---

**Correspondence ID:** 440    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Medlock Jr, Howard S  
**Address:** Lubbock, TX 79416  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** None

---

**Correspondence ID:** 441    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sewick, Karen  
**Address:** Downers Grove , IL 60515  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:11:37  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Best regards,  
Karen Sewick

---

**Correspondence ID:** 442    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Armer, Paul/Joan  
**Address:** San Mateo, CA 94403  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** none Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please work to conserve these wild and wonderful places... when they're gone, they're gone, and future generations will not know what we had. We have NO right to destroy everything wild!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 443    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Griffin, Chas D  
**Address:** Seven Lakes, NC 27376  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Protect America's beauty!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 444    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Chockla, Bill  
**Address:** Estes Park, CO 80517  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:11:45  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Bill Chockla  
Estes Park, CO  
RMNP and USFS Volunteer

---

**Correspondence ID:** 445    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A

**Address:** San Francisco, CA 94131  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Save Mother Nature!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 446    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Laurel, MD 20723  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please do the right thing.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 447    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Rotman, Linda  
**Address:** Duxbury, MA 02332  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I would hate to see this beautiful piece of the U.S. get ruined-please help! Thank you!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 448    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Eisler, Laurie  
**Address:** Cotati, CA 94931-4565  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:12:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Laurie Eisler

---

**Correspondence ID:** 449    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ashby, Brendan  
**Address:** Hibbing, MN 55746  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:12:05  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 450    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Barcion, Danielle R  
**Address:** Miami, FL 33133  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:12:05  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Danielle Barcion

---

**Correspondence ID:** 451    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** eschen, john  
**Address:** grand coulee, WA 99133  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Well, your job, is to find solutions to such problems. The more advanced we become, the more land, we consume. When one chooses to visit such places, they better expect the animals.

People, have to remember, the animals, their not house pets. And people, should not try to approach these animals, as so.

I respect the job of rangers, and those government agencies, that have done so much to try and preserve the rights of the animal population.

We invade their land, not the animal invading ours.

After all, the animals, are a gift from GOD.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 452    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Los Angeles, CA 90066  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C because it's the best compromise between conservation of natural resources and access by the public.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 453    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bates, Abigail  
**Address:** Los Angeles, CA 90034  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:12:20  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Abigail K. Bates

---

**Correspondence ID:** 454    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Brigger, Kathy A  
**Address:** Nuevo, CA 92567  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Save our parks

---

**Correspondence ID:** 455    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Roche, Peter  
**Address:** Santa Fe, NM 87507  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:12:28  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 456    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Milwaukee, WI 53211  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:12:36  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kevin Zellmer

---

**Correspondence ID:** 457    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Fydrych, James  
**Address:** Albuquerque, NM 87111-3732  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect wildlife in the Park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 458    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Blankinship, Ramona L  
**Address:** Lakeland, FL 33801  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:12:58  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Ramona L. Blankinship

---

**Correspondence ID:** 459    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Santa Barbara, CA 93101  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:13:07  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Camille Gilbert

---

**Correspondence ID:** 460    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** San Jose, CA 95112  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:13:10  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your



approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Chrystie

---

**Correspondence ID:** 461    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Boulder, CO 80305  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Grand Teton National Park is one of the most memorable for its extraordinary beauty and for the wildlife it supports. I understand that there are alternate plans for the protection of the park, its wildlife, and its visitors, and of these, Alternative C has strong recommendations.

It was designed to decrease too close contact between wildlife and admirers of wildlife, many of who seem to always to understand that wildlife is wild and need to remain self-sufficient.

It would limit the Moose-Wilson corridor access for cars, while managing to avoid serious inconvenience.

The length of the Death Canyon hiking trail would be expanded and a new parking area developed.

Road speed limits would be reduced and the road made safer for bicyclists, which in turn would make it more accident and annoyance free for drivers.

Continue to allow cross-country skiing and snowshoeing during the winter.

Study corridor use and make changes in accordance with those studies.

I understand that the NPS is being encouraged to consider alternative transportation for park visitors. Since not all visitors are accustomed to wildlife and mountain driving, and some visitors are inexperienced drivers or older people who no longer feel secure on unaccustomed terrain, this seems to me to be an option worth considering.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 462    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Pueblo, CO 81004  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** You must protect Grand Teton it was one of the most beautiful parks and it leads directly into Yellowstone together they make a wonderful wildlife refuge. Protect the parks and their wildlife for all generations.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 463    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Heap, Francesca  
**Address:** Plainville, CT 06062  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please take into consideration my support of Option/Alternative C for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor proposal. This option provides a reasonable long-range view which balances the needs of the public with that of the wildlife in the area.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 464    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Berkowitz-Berliner, Jill  
**Address:** Mount Kisco, NY 10549-2908  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:13:52  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely, Dr Jill Berkowitz-Berliner

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	465	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Jones-Bedel, Laura G				
<b>Address:</b>	San Diego, CA 92116 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Superintendent Vela:				

I am a supporter of our National Park System. I write regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park.

I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", provides the best protection for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request respectfully that you select Alternative C as the most viable approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and continues to have a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority whilst adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a positive step but more must be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a magnificent gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States. It is our responsibility to protect this wonderful resource for now and for future generations. I thank you for your continuing good work in protect the Grand Teton.

Sincerely,

Laura Jones-Bedel  
San Diego, CA  
[your name here]

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	466	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Godich, Marcia				
<b>Address:</b>	Trafford, PA 15085 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I just came home from several weeks in national parks out west. It was a wonderful vacation and I had no problem with taking my turn to see the sights. I was so happy at the lack of congestion, at least in late October, and feel that if it's a choice between protecting the wildlife and allowing hordes of people in the park at once, the choice should be for protection of wildlife because if we bring too many people in there won't be any wildlife to see.

Therefore I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Marcia Godich

---

**Correspondence ID:** 467    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Craft, Robin  
**Address:** Plain City, OH 43064  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:14:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Robin Craft

---

**Correspondence ID:** 468    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Kansas City, MO 64113  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:14:01  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 469    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** kirola, ana m  
**Address:** san francisco, CA 94109  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect the land and preserve our forests.  
We need all creatures great and small.  
Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 470    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hogan, Randolph  
**Address:** Falls Village, CT 06031  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I support Option C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 471    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Corby, Kathleen  
**Address:** Pine Plains, NY 12567  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:14:49  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kathleen Corby

---

**Correspondence ID:** 472    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Virre, M. M.  
**Address:** New Orleansw, LA 70115  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Protection for our national parks is always a top priority.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 473    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Moody, Richard L  
**Address:** Macon, GA 31211-1313  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Moody's Collectibles, Inc. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

I worked four summers in Yellowstone Park, 1959-1962, when bear jams were everywhere and hope you can protect the wildlife from the "dudes" who aren't prepared for what they will encounter. The animals need your protection.

Sincerely,  
Richard Moody

---

**Correspondence ID:** 474    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Russell, Ivan  
**Address:** Pittsburgh, PA 15220  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:14:53  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
~ivan

---

**Correspondence ID:** 475    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Scotton, Bruce W  
**Address:** Larkspur, CA 94939  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:14:58  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Bruce W Scotton, M.D.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 476    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ostwalt, Alisa  
**Address:** Mocksville, NC 27028  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect the park and the wildlife that live there. It is important for us to be good guardians of the environment.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 477    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Brincka, Frank A  
**Address:** Sussex, NJ 07461  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C" for Grand Teton National Park, in order to:

â€¢ Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
â€¢ Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
â€¢ Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
â€¢ Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

- â€¢Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;
- â€¢Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;
- â€¢Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, I encourage the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 478    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bartell, Jon  
**Address:** Plymouth, WI 53073  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:15:23  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Jon Bartell

---

**Correspondence ID:** 479    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stern, Richard  
**Address:** New York, NY 10023  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:15:33  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Richard Stern

---

**Correspondence ID:** 480    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** wallerstein, immanuel  
**Address:** branford, CT 06405  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** This is an excellent project...hope it is realized ASAP

---

**Correspondence ID:** 481    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tenerelli, Brenda

**Address:** Weaverville, NC 28787  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07.2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan and I'm asking you to as well. Do what is right for this beautiful park!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 482    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Williams, William E  
**Address:** Jericho, VT 05465  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07.2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing about the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As an ex-resident of Wyoming and occasional visitor to Grand Teton, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor. I would also encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Bill Williams

---

**Correspondence ID:** 483    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jones, Robert C  
**Address:** Alameda, CA 94501  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07.2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I have been to the Grand Tetons National Park and it is sensational in its beauty and as a natural habitat to some of our most treasured wild life. It would be a pity to lose this under the guise of progress.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 484    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Spencer, Nita L  
**Address:** Tillamook, OR 97141  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07.2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Your plan sounds as if it is scientifically based. I suggest you proceed, using the guidelines you developed by gathering and assessing empirical data. You have my full support.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 485    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Porcelli , Maureen  
**Address:** North Bergen, NJ 07047  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07.2015 15:16:08  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the

Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Maureen Porcelli

---

**Correspondence ID:** 486    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dominguez, Bernadette  
**Address:** Vancouver, WA 98664  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:16:10  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for protecting my favorite National Park.

Sincerely,

Bernadette Dominguez

---

**Correspondence ID:** 487    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McCullough, Paul  
**Address:** Highland, MI 48357  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:16:14  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Paul McCullough  
3030N Hickory Ridge  
Highland, MI 48357

ph. (989) 630-8710

---

**Correspondence ID:** 488    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Corrigan, Diane R  
**Address:** Shelby Twp., MI 48316  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual



**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please don't let this happen to these lands, we need to preserve what there is left.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 489    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Leo, Carlos A  
**Address:** Hollywood, FL 33019  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 490    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Turnoy, David  
**Address:** Eastsound, WA 98245  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NCPA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support alternative C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 491    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Maciel, Marie  
**Address:** Bridgewater, NJ 08807  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 15:16:49  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Marie Maciel

---

**Correspondence ID:** 492    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bradley, Kathy  
**Address:** Lugoff, SC 29078  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 15:16:58  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kathy Bradley

---

**Correspondence ID:** 493    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Drahos, Ronald W  
**Address:** Bloomington, IN 47401  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:16:58  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 494    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Meeks, Mark A  
**Address:** Bailey, CO 80421-2077  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I strongly support Alternative C and am pleased to see that proposal. I believe it to be best for wildlife and for limiting adverse interactions between animals and people. I believe limits on traffic are wise and critical for today, given extensive visitation which will only increase. Winter recreational use of the road is great, giving a wonderful opportunity to visit the Park in winter conditions. Reducing speeds for vehicles is good and making the road work for cars and bikes is excellent. Continuing to study the corridor and striving to manage it in ways consistent with the National Park priorities will continue to be a requirement as is acknowledged. So thank you for the strong Alternative C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 495    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Simle, Anna  
**Address:** Denver, CO 80211  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:17:38  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Anna Simle

---

**Correspondence ID:** 496    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stenflo, Jahnvi  
**Address:** Boulder, CO 80304  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management. Therefore I feel that it is the best plan and should be the plan that is implemented.

As you know, increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. I cannot ask enough: Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, including myself in Colorado. It is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Jahnavi Stenflo

---

**Correspondence ID:** 497    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mosca-Clark, Vivianne  
**Address:** wiliams, OR 97544  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Parks are very important to people and other life forms. How important they are is something personal, it seems. To me they are a part of life it's self. When I can't see them I get sad to much. They help our spirit, be happy and bold. Support parks, please.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 498    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lowe, Sharon L  
**Address:** New Park, PA 17352  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I've been traveling the Wilson/Moose Road for over 30 years. Have been driving it when there is no one on it to 100's of cars in the way. My thoughts, for what they are worth ..... Close it to All traffic, except people who live there!!!! The animals come first!!! As you know .... They were there before any of us were!!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 499    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Daniels, Mark L  
**Address:** Flagstaff, AZ 86005  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:17:55  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Mark Daniels

---

**Correspondence ID:** 500    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Richards, Mary S  
**Address:** Evergreen, CO 80439  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** National Parks Conservation Assoc Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor. I have traveled this road and would prefer to wait longer to drive the road rather than face the congestion that is the current situation.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor. This would be very, very helpful for both the animals and the visitors.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 501    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Nieters, Lenore  
**Address:** Asheville, NC 28805-1161  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:18:01  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

Lenore Nieters

---

**Correspondence ID:** 502    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hahn, Millie A  
**Address:** Califon, NJ 07830  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We love the Tetons...  
We support Alternative C for the Moose Wilson road project.

We are proud to continue supporting our great National Parks.....we need open space for wildlife so natural habitat remains as natural as possible, while still allowing people to enjoy the great outdoors.

Thanks for all you do.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 503    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Manookian, Judith

**Address:** Mineral, VA 23117  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** . Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 15:18:24  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Judith Manookian

---

**Correspondence ID:** 504    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Whitsell, Susan  
**Address:** Woodland Park, NJ 07424  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 505    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Paretzky, Raymond  
**Address:** Bethesda, MD 20814  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 15:18:30  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Raymond Paretzky

---

**Correspondence ID:** 506    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Shoemaker, Terrance  
**Address:** Parker, CO 80134  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 15:18:31  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I

believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Terrance Shoemaker

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	507	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Davis, Lisa E				
<b>Address:</b>	New York, NY 10014-3050 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 15:18:33				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Lisa E Davis, PhD

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	508	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Hutchinson, Bryce R				
<b>Address:</b>	Rogue River, OR 97537 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Just like here, when it gets crowded with tourist, Permits are required on the wild and scenic portion of the Rogue River for everyone to have a good experience. Less traffic more fun.				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	509	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Gunter, Karlene				
<b>Address:</b>	Rochester, NY 14618-4861 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 15:18:38				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.				

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place. This is one of our family's favorite places.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 510    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Reid, John E  
**Address:** Mountain City, TN 37683  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:18:41  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

John E. Reid

---

**Correspondence ID:** 511    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Siddique, Omar  
**Address:** Ellicott City, MD 21043  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:18:42  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela:

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift, cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 512    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Van Nuys, CA 91406  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:18:49  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Jonathan Lammers

---

**Correspondence ID:** 513    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Weggel, Bob  
**Address:** Reading, MA 01867  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please manage Grand Teton National Park with highest priority given to the preservation of its National Treasures in perpetuity. Prioritize the protection of wildlife, reducing access via private vehicles to whatever extent is necessary.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 514    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dempsey, Stephen  
**Address:** Cochranville, PA 19330-1773  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** If a new roadway will help bth the public and the wildlife inhabitants then it should be built provided there is little or no environment impact.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 515    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Chance, Matilyn D  
**Address:** The Woodlanda, TX 77382  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The protection and preservation of our national parks is essential for our country, children and grandchildren, only by saving the, will we save our heritage and natural spaces.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 516    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** MacRaith, Bonnie  
**Address:** Arcata, CA 95521  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear National Park Service,

Regarding the historic seven mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor please support ALTERNATIVE C!

Thank you,  
Bonnie MacRaith

---

**Correspondence ID:** 517    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** BERGER, ELMER  
**Address:** SAN RAFAEL, CA 94901  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Don't mess with the Tetons! They're beautiful! I've had the pleasure of seeing them several times.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 518    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dunn, Elmo  
**Address:** Longwood, FL 32779  
United States of America



**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:19:20  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a visitor and supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States. It is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations.

Thank you for your good work to protect this extremely special place where we visited in September 2015.

Sincerely,

Elmo Dunn

---

**Correspondence ID:** 519    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Reyer, Thomas  
**Address:** Ithaca, NY 14850  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** To whom this may concern.

The Grand Tetons must have gotten busier than they used to be 15 years ago. That is when I was there last and it was pretty busy then- and breath taking.

Out of those options for improvements I do like option C the best, especially for the snowshoeing and cross country opportunities and generally its light impact.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 520    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McCollom, Leslie  
**Address:** Austin, TX 78748  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support the Alternative C plan, the preferred plan, for the following reasons:

- â€¢ Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
- â€¢ Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;
- â€¢ Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
- â€¢ Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;
- â€¢ Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;
- â€¢ Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;
- â€¢ Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, I think the National Park Service should study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle bus service.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 521    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sanford, Ellen D  
**Address:** Anaconda, MT 59711-1713  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 522    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, Martha  
**Address:** Brevard, NC 28712  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C, which I believe to be the best plan. Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 523    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dorraugh, Tami  
**Address:** Volcano, CA 95689  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:19:37  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Tami Dorraugh

---

**Correspondence ID:** 524    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Barry, Dwight  
**Address:** Antioch, CA 94509  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Our National Parks are a treasure that we haven't yet found a way to destroy. We must work to keep our Parks pristine and representative of our beautiful country. Let's make our parks the envy of all!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 525    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cornelia, Jared  
**Address:** Wilmington, DE 19804  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:19:51  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 526    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dinsmore, Nancy  
**Address:** Greensboro, NC 27410  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:19:58  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

Please choose "alternative C" for the Moose Wilson Corridor in Grand Teton NP.

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Mrs. Nancy Dinsmore

---

**Correspondence ID:** 527    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Perez, Jose  
**Address:** Fort Pierce, FL 34982  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Jose and Letty Perez

---

**Correspondence ID:** 528    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Arlington, VA 22203  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Choose Alterative C

---

**Correspondence ID:** 529    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Faegre, Dirk  
**Address:** Gouldsboro, ME 04607-3034  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:20:11  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I write today regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a strong supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 530    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Murtagh, Joan E  
**Address:** Takoma Park, MD 20912-6421  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** In the interest of wildlife and wild places preservation, I support Alternative C in Grand Teton National Park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 531    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Walker, Christopher  
**Address:** Benton, AR 72015-2602  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:20:20  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Walker

---

**Correspondence ID:** 532    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** miami, FL 33176  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** How about respecting all life forms on earth and stop being greedy for power and wealth. Every life form deserves life on earth!.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 533    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mock, M.D., Ph.D., Dr. T. Randall (Randy)  
**Address:** Dallas , TX 75214-3939  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Legal/Medical Consulting, Inc. Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:20:32  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 534    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jones, Andrea  
**Address:** Aurora, IL 60506  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan, and encourage the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 535    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Fargo, ND 58104  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please help protect the wildlife!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 536    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tascano, N  
**Address:** Anchorage, AK 99515  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I have no comments.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 537    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Helmer, Kathleen  
**Address:** West Hills, CA 91307  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:21:07  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** "Alternative C" is the best plan for lots of reasons, it would:  
Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 538    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Monroe, James R

**Address:** Concord, CA 94521  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:21:08  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

James R Monroe

---

**Correspondence ID:** 539    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Austin, TX 78751-3206  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:21:36  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Sharon S. Bramblett

---

**Correspondence ID:** 540    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Caylor, Jule A  
**Address:** West Jordan, UT 84088  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** USDA Forest Service: Retired Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:21:37  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Jule A. Caylor

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 541    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sandbulte, Joe A  
**Address:** Duluth, MN 55404  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:21:37  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Joe Sandbulte

---

**Correspondence ID:** 542    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Malone, Marsha L  
**Address:** Chino, CA 91710  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:22:05  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Marsha Malone

---

**Correspondence ID:** 543    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** New Orleans, LA 70117  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please join NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

I am writing in support of alternative C.

This plan will better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road. It will limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer. It will also, extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area for visitors to enjoy.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 544    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Saunier, Curt E  
**Address:** Austin, TX 78660  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:22:16  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am writing in supporting "Alternative C,".

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

Thank you for your time.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 545    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hart, Tricia  
**Address:** Indianapolis, IN 46234  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** nn

---

**Correspondence ID:** 546    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stein, Herbert M  
**Address:** Washingtonville, NY 10992  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Audubon Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:22:42  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations.

Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Herbert M Stein



---

**Correspondence ID:** 547    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Paulsen, Sarah T  
**Address:** Plymouth, MN 55441-1826  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Rent is too high.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 548    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** C, Maribeth  
**Address:** Denver, CO 80206  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

We visited Grand Teton for the first time this past Summer, and we were awed at how beautiful and special it is. It needs and deserves to be well-protected.

Thank you,  
Maribeth Clemm

---

**Correspondence ID:** 549    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wilson, L M  
**Address:** Houston, TX 77066  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:22:55  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
L. M. Wilson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 550    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Stanley, KS 66221  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:22:56

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Lesli

---

**Correspondence ID:** 551    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** temple city, CA 91780  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We must preserve our parks and the animals and the birds that live there.  
For our children's sakes.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 552    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** hohewart, UN 86558  
Germany  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:23:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Lorenz Steininger

---

**Correspondence ID:** 553    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** MAZZA, PENELOPE  
**Address:** FAIRFIELD, IA 52556  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:23:07  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Penelope S Mazza

---

**Correspondence ID:** 554    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Weaver, Tracie  
**Address:** Dayton, OH 45415  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:23:08  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

[your name here]

Dayton

---

**Correspondence ID:** 555    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Nickerson, Albert M  
**Address:** Biddeford , ME 04005  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am a Maine based Landscape Architect with a career long interest in the National Park Service and parks  
At this time I wish to comment on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor project at Grand Teton National Park  
I am urging adoption of "Alternative C" ....understanding the Park Service has spent years working on options and proposals  
It seems "C" is the best compromise within a very difficult situation and urge further work on refining small scale public transportation alternatives to also assist in allowing visitors 'close up' nature experience without all their own cars and many minds in control of driving and stopping  
Much can be experienced with professional drivers and knowledgeable leaders

thank you for the opportunity to comment  
sincerely Albert Nickerson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 556    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jorgensen, Bob  
**Address:** East Peoria, IL 61611  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** This is supposed to be a national park. This seems to indicate that ATV's should be strictly limited. They harm the landscape, the animals, the plants and cause air and noise pollution.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 557    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Young, Rachel  
**Address:** Menlo Park, CA 94025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:**

Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:**

Web Form

**Correspondence:**

Teton grizzly bear

[Click Here to Send Your Comments to the National Park Service!](#)

(This link will take you to the National Park Service's Planning, Environment, & Public Commenting website.)

Take Action

Dear Rachel,

The historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas.

The popularity of the narrow roadway with both visitors and animals can create traffic jams and wildlife management challenges, particularly during the busy summer months.

To address congestion, park staff and outside experts have worked for the last decade to study wildlife use, historic sites, automobile, bicycle, and pedestrian use, and other factors to develop a new management plan for the corridor. Their goal is to protect these world-class resources while allowing visitors to continue to use and enjoy the roadway.

The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor have been released and we need your voice! Please join NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;

Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;

Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;

Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Take Action: Tell Grand Teton Superintendent David Vela that you support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

Here's how to submit your comments to the Park Service.

Step 1: Go to <https://parkplanning.nps.gov/commentForm.cfm?documentID=68894> and carefully follow the form instructions.

Step 2: Submit your comments by copying and pasting the sample message below into the web form. Please add any personal observations or stories that support your comments.

Step 3: Once you have completed all of the required fields on the form, click the gray "submit" button at the bottom of the page.

Sample message/comments

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Rachel Young

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	558	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Rivera, Sergio M				
<b>Address:</b>	Chicago, IL 60641				
	United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:23:35  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Sergio M Rivera

---

**Correspondence ID:** 559    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tharp, Reynold  
**Address:** Urbana, IL 61801  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:23:47  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Reynold Tharp

---

**Correspondence ID:** 560    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, Bonnie  
**Address:** Casper, WY 82609  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I agree that the speed limit should be as slow as possible, people always go 10-20 mph over it anyway. I am a huge advocate for slowing down for wildlife. The limit of 200 cars in this area may be difficult as I have seen huge traffic jams from Moose to Jackson (people leaving the park around 4-5 PM)

---

**Correspondence ID:** 561    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stewart, Sarah B  
**Address:** Gardiner, MT 59030  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** My Family and I support Alternative C the preferred plan for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor .  
We support C because it would

- Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park

visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road.

- Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer.

- Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area.

- Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing; Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes.

- Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident.

- Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the Alternative C, the preferred plan, we would like to encourage the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Thank you for your attention to our thoughts.

Sincerely, The Stewart Family

---

**Correspondence ID:** 562    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Grubb, Harold M  
**Address:** Naples, FL 34109  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Plan C please

---

**Correspondence ID:** 563    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hart, Rick  
**Address:** Austin, TX 78752  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:23:59  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Rick Hart

---

**Correspondence ID:** 564    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Austin, TX 78735  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:24:03  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Michael P. Revord

---

**Correspondence ID:** 565    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** guyon, pamela  
**Address:** west henrietta, NY 14586  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am concerned about the wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 566    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jackson, Warren  
**Address:** Seattle, WA 98121-2304  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:24:35  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Warren Jackson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 567    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Messer, Gretchen  
**Address:** Cedar Mountain, NC 28718  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:24:48  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

gretchen messer

---

**Correspondence ID:** 568    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Trewartha, Cynthia R  
**Address:** Las Vegas, NV 89106  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please keep this corridor safe and as stress free as possible for wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 569    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Boyd, Mame  
**Address:** Rancho Mirage, CA 92270  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:24:59  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
MAME BOYD

---

**Correspondence ID:** 570    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Paulsen, Sarah T  
**Address:** Plymouth, MN 55441-1826  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Protect the wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 571    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Keefe, George  
**Address:** Edmonds, WA 98020  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Self Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:25:07  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am a hiker and backpacker in roadless wilderness areas. I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---



**Correspondence ID:** 572    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kiver, Eugene  
**Address:** Anacortes, WA 98221-3244  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:25:23  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 573    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Hoffman Estates, IL 60192  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:25:25  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 574    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wasielewski, Alison  
**Address:** Fairfield, IA 52556  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Grand Teton Superintendent David Vela:  
I support "Alternative C."  
Thank you for taking care of this irreplaceable "purple mountains majesty."

---

**Correspondence ID:** 575    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Freer, Elizabeth  
**Address:** Scottsdale, AZ 85251  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am requesting that Plan C be implemented.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 576    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** wolf, joe r

**Address:** Cleveland, OH 44124  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Global Citizen Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I agree with plan"C"

---

**Correspondence ID:** 577    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jorgenson, Jerry  
**Address:** Plano, TX 75023  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 15:26:16  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Jerry Jorgenson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 578    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** robinson, joyce c  
**Address:** glen burnie, MD 21061  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 15:26:18  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Joyce C. Robinson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 579    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Heffernan, Terry  
**Address:** San Francisco, CA 94107  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Academy of Art Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support the proposed changes by the National Parks Service to further protect the wildlife of the Grand Tetons National Park.

Terry Heffernan

---

**Correspondence ID:** 580    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Trimm, Jeremy d  
**Address:** Fairfield, CA 94533  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Teton grizzly bear

[Click Here to Send Your Comments to the National Park Service!](#)

(This link will take you to the National Park Service's Planning, Environment, & Public Commenting website.)

Take Action  
Dear Jeremy,

The historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas.

The popularity of the narrow roadway with both visitors and animals can create traffic jams and wildlife management challenges, particularly during the busy summer months.

To address congestion, park staff and outside experts have worked for the last decade to study wildlife use, historic sites, automobile, bicycle, and pedestrian use, and other factors to develop a new management plan for the corridor. Their goal is to protect these world-class resources while allowing visitors to continue to use and enjoy the roadway.

The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor have been released and we need your voice! Please join NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

- Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
- Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;
- Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
- Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;
- Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;
- Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;
- Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Take Action: Tell Grand Teton Superintendent David Vela that you support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

Here's how to submit your comments to the Park Service.

Step 1: Go to <https://parkplanning.nps.gov/commentForm.cfm?documentID=68894> and carefully follow the form instructions.

Step 2: Submit your comments by copying and pasting the sample message below into the web form. Please add any personal observations or stories that support your comments.

Step 3: Once you have completed all of the required fields on the form, click the gray "submit" button at the bottom of the page.

Sample message/comments

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 581    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** ray, glynda

**Address:** Arlington, TX 76013  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:26:44  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Plan C for the following reasons:

The plan would:

â€¢ Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
â€¢ Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
â€¢ Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
â€¢ Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
â€¢ Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
â€¢ Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
â€¢ Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 582    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McKelvie, Patricia R  
**Address:** Denver, CO 80012  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:26:45  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Patricia Mckelvie

---

**Correspondence ID:** 583    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dickinson, Vicki R  
**Address:** Battle Creek, MI 49014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please do NOT allow the little precious natural resources we have left to disappear. These places are so very necessary to our world.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 584    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** New York, NY 10036  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:27:22  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 585    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Archer, Tracey  
**Address:** Lincoln, CA 95648  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** When Teddy Roosevelt instituted The National Parks System, He did not intend for the parks to be Prostituted by congress! Currently to support the areas people camp and fish, the parks are selling Wildlife, water, Trees and fracking not to mention permitting coal factories! Why aren't these parks funded as they where intended to be? Greed and corruption!

Stop killing and maiming! Stop selling off Park rights to Nestle and Any Fracker who wants to destroy our water table!

Stop crowding and killing the wildlife for greed and profit! Do right by our public lands and stop decimating native species!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 586    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kerivan, John  
**Address:** Westwood, MA 02090  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Preserving our wildlife and pristine wilderness should be the priority and not always what is right for people.

The animals should come first.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 587    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wells, Karena S  
**Address:** Brooklyn, NY 11201  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:27:49  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Karena Wells

---

**Correspondence ID:** 588    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sherman, Terry  
**Address:** San Ramon, CA 94582  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:27:50

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Terry Sherman

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	589	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Keiser, John L				
<b>Address:</b>	New York, NY 10009-6417 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 15:27:55				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	590	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Hayward, Michelle				
<b>Address:</b>	Kempston, UN MK42 7DP United Kingdom				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 15:28:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Yours Sincerely,

Michelle Hayward

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	591	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				

**Address:** Dallas, TX 75235  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Save Grand Teton Nation Park

---

**Correspondence ID:** 592    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ferguson, Cheryl  
**Address:** Ogden, UT 84404  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 15:28:32  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Ferguson  
Ogden, UT  
United States

---

**Correspondence ID:** 593    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** robinson, richard m  
**Address:** manhattan beach, CA 90266  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 15:28:40  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

R. Maurice Robinson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 594    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cohn, Barbara  
**Address:** Carlsbad, CA 92010  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 15:28:47  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 595    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wichman, Michael J  
**Address:** Flagstaff, AZ 86005  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:28:57  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 596    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hehn, Paul V  
**Address:** Washington, UT 84780  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

The Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a wonderful resource for visitors and we always make a trip or two through the Corridor whenever we visit Grand Teton National Park. It is always a wonderful experience and we have often view there. It is a special treat.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Paul Hehn

---

**Correspondence ID:** 597    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Schmidt, Susan G  
**Address:** Medina, OH 44256  
United States of America



**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:29:17  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Susan Schmidt

---

**Correspondence ID:** 598    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Reichert, Robyn L  
**Address:** Lake Worth, FL 33467  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:29:26  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

- â€¢ Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
- â€¢ Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;
- â€¢ Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
- â€¢ Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;
- â€¢ Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;
- â€¢ Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;
- â€¢ Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 599    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Andrews, Tammy  
**Address:** Palm Desert, CA 92255  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Option C to best protect Grand Teton

---

**Correspondence ID:** 600    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gill, Stephanie n  
**Address:** Austin, TX 78757  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** 1953 Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** It is our duty to preserve our national parks as part of our heritage as Americans. Our children and our children's children will look to us to preserve the fantastic natural beauty of our country. Stand firm and do not sell the resources of our parks to the highest bidder!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 601    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sharee, Donna  
**Address:** San Francisco, CA 94112  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela, I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

Sincerely,  
Donna Sharee

---

**Correspondence ID:** 602    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Markowitz, John C  
**Address:** New York, NY 10024

United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I know that your report on the Grand Tetons report on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor have been released, and I urge you to support Alternative C. This would be the best alternative for protecting wildlife, would helpfully slow traffic, and would best preserve the environment of the Park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 603    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Denniston, Glenda F  
**Address:** Madison, WI 53705

United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vega,

I wish to comment on the planning process for the Moose-Wilson Rd Corridor in the Grand Teton National Park.

I strongly prefer the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C, " as the plan which will be best for this wonderful park and the people who visit it.

Thank you,  
Glenda Denniston

---

**Correspondence ID:** 604    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bailey, Catherine  
**Address:** Wheatland, VA 82201

United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** The new plan should look at some sort of transit service where-by people can enjoy the wildlife without traffic jams or accidents. Basically, the plan seems sound and workable.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 605    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Margolis, David P  
**Address:** Buffalo Grove, IL 60089

United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** We must save our national parks from privatization and keep them safe from hunting and degradation. These parks are the gems of our great nation and a symbol of freedom and democracy. Please do not give our great treasures over to private interests. Our parks, our natural resources, our great postal service, our public educational system, our roads and highways... all contribute to making this nation great. The reason we are experiencing funding shortages is due to loss of revenue as the 1% have transferred most of our nation's wealth to themselves and purchased our government with their spare change, rewriting our laws and rigging the system in their favor. It's time to right the wrongs of the last 35 plus years under Reaganism and to restore and protect ALL of our national treasures.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 606    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Willis, Joanne  
**Address:** Fort Worth, TX 76244

United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I like plan C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 607    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Silver City, NM 88062  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:31:18  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Jan McCreary

---

**Correspondence ID:** 608    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Blubaugh, Penny  
**Address:** Chicago, IL 60646  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please use Alternative C for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Protest our wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 609    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gorrin, Eugene  
**Address:** Union, NJ 07083  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:31:24  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela:

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations.

Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 610    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** O'Donnell, Richard M  
**Address:** Wolfeboro, NH 03894-4421  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:31:28  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 611      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Fusco, Felix and Judi  
**Address:** NYC, NY 10002  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** thank you

---

**Correspondence ID:** 612      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Veltkamp, Robert J  
**Address:** Jupiter, FL 33477  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:31:40  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Robert J. Veltkamp

---

**Correspondence ID:** 613      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Houston, TX 77007  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:31:51  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious

resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Laura

---

**Correspondence ID:** 614    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Oldham, Kevin  
**Address:** Shirley, NY 11967-2264  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:31:51  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kevin Oldham

PS: I have plans to visit Grand Teton National Park in Fall 2016.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 615    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Maxa, Karen  
**Address:** Seven Hills, OH 44131  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** save our parks!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 616    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** byrnes, kelly  
**Address:** Santa Barbara, CA 93108  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** please support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.  
thank you

---

**Correspondence ID:** 617    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Donnell, Bruce  
**Address:** Santa Fe, NM 87506  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,  
For the Moose-Wilson road corridor in Grand Teton Park, I strongly favor Alternative "C".  
Sincerely,  
Bruce Donnell

---

**Correspondence ID:** 618    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McDonald, Maureen L  
**Address:** Los Angeles, CA 90068  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Don't

---

**Correspondence ID:** 619    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Breckinridge, Lynn  
**Address:** Falmouth, ME 04105  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:32:32  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Lynn Breckinridge

---

**Correspondence ID:** 620    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Fielden, Kari  
**Address:** St Petersburg, FL 33710  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:32:40  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kari Fielden

---

**Correspondence ID:** 621    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Bolingbrook, IL 60440  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:32:54  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Gary Rejsek

---

**Correspondence ID:** 622    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Higgins, Bruce  
**Address:** Atlanta, GA 30318  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:32:57  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Bruce Higgins

---

**Correspondence ID:** 623    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kramer, Eileen  
**Address:** La Quinta, CA 92253  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C as the best option.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 624    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Urbana, IL 61801  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:33:17  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Thank you for your consideration.

J. Beverly

---

**Correspondence ID:** 625    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kollbach, Anja  
**Address:** Menlo Park, CA 94025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:33:20  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

Anja Kollbach

---

**Correspondence ID:** 626    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Och, Evelyn M  
**Address:** Pittsburgh, PA 15232  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:33:26  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Evelyn Och  
Pgh, PA

---

**Correspondence ID:** 627    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Miller, Crickett D  
**Address:** Saint Louis, MO 63117-1906  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a strong supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative



C as your approach to future management.

Kind regards,  
Crickett Miller  
St. Louis, MO

---

**Correspondence ID:** 628    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Reuter, Cedric  
**Address:** Seattle, WA 98115  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:33:39  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Cedric Reuter

---

**Correspondence ID:** 629    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cobb, Sandra M  
**Address:** Moreland Hills, OH 44022  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I feel that Plan C would best protect wildlife and also give visitors the access that they want. Plan C would decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road.

On the Moose-Wilson corridor it would limit access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

It would provide sanctioned parking and discourage unauthorized vehicle pull-offs. At the same time it would continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

---

**Correspondence ID:** 630    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Conrad, Lori  
**Address:** Davis, CA 95618-1613  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:34:12  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious

resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Lori Conrad

---

**Correspondence ID:** 631    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Honore, Stephanie  
**Address:** Kissimmee, FL 34759  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:34:14  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Honore

---

**Correspondence ID:** 632    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hillard, Dale  
**Address:** Salinas, CA 93908  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:34:23  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Dale Hillard

---

**Correspondence ID:** 633    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Kingston, NY 13201  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am in favor of Alternative "C".

---

**Correspondence ID:** 634    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bradley, DeeAnn

**Address:** Hemet, CA 92544-4020  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:35:13  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Thank you,  
DeeAnn

---

**Correspondence ID:** 635    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Griffin, H Ellis  
**Address:** Poughquag, NY 12570  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Assc. of Retired Field Service Engineers Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We need to protect our national parks and wildlife. It is our duty as Americans.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 636    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Chiodo, Tony  
**Address:** Belfast, ME 04915  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please choose alternative C as your approach to future management.  
The well being of wildlife should ALWAYS be the priority in such decisions. Some of the popular parks remind me of amusement parks. Concessions and visitor facilities need to be scaled back, as well as traffic. Fumes, noise, radio music blaring, and crowds are all detrimental to the natural environment of this beautiful park.  
I am 70 years old and have watched our population double in size. There are way too many of us and our arrogant ways diminish every part of the natural world we come in contact with.  
PRESERVE THIS PARK AS IT SHOULD BE PRESERVED: FOR THE CREATURES THAT HAVE INHABITED IT LONG BEFORE WE CAME ON THE SCENE.  
Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 637    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Proud, Donald J  
**Address:** Ann Arbor, MI 48108  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:35:21  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor, and I request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that relies on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please make protection of wildlife and natural resources your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work toward protecting this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 638    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cyzner, Steven  
**Address:** Austin, TX 78749  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** na Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C.he plan would:

- Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
- Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;
- Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
- Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;
- Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;
- Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;
- Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 639    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sherman, Remy  
**Address:** Commerce Twp, MI 48390  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Protect Grand Teton!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 640    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Greenberg, Lee M  
**Address:** Birmingham, AL 35216  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** US citizen Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Been there. Hiked there. Beautiful  
PROTECT IT!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 641    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** basye, mae  
**Address:** fuquay varina, NC 27526-8719  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** To address congestion on the historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor, I hope the Park service chooses Alternative C. If put into effect, the plan would:

- \* Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
- \* Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which probably won't exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;
- \* Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
- \* Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;
- \* Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with only minor changes;
- \* Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour. The addition of "share the road" signs and bicycle-friendly pavement edges will allow cyclists to use the road without incident;

The service should also continue to study and adjust management of the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 642    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Church, Terry  
**Address:** Petaluma, CA 94952  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:36:14  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Terry Church

---

**Correspondence ID:** 643    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Thousand Oaks, CA 91362  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please join NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

- â€¢ Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
- â€¢ Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;
- â€¢ Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
- â€¢ Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;
- â€¢ Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;
- â€¢ Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;
- â€¢ Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, I am encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 644    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Welsh-Holder, Jackie  
**Address:** Fenton, MO 63026  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect our parks.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 645    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McLaughry, Kate S  
**Address:** Centennial, CO 80112  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:36:29  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:**

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

[

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	646	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Judd, Margot				
<b>Address:</b>	Douglasville, GA 30135 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:** Park visits should be managed in a fair manner both for the people and animals: reducing the speed limit and limiting the number of motorized vehicles allowed at any given time would enhance the experience.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	647	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	YOUNG , DR LIH YOUNG				
<b>Address:</b>	Rockville, MD 20854 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	DR LIH YOUNG FOR U.S.SENATE COMMITTEE Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	OfficialRep				
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:** I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor. It is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations.

**WORK TO BE DONE; SOCIAL JUSTICE IN GREAT DANGER!**

(I). Too much rhetoric, false, misleading, unjust manipulation! Meaningless! i, · Restore JUSTICE -fix "ROBBER-ISM" first; NOT to benefit "MURDER-FRAUD-CRIME-INJUSTICE NETWORKS" operation.  
i, · The most urgent serious problems here and overseas: ROBBERISM="MURDER- FRAUD- CRIME- INJUSTICE NETWORKS" operation = bad guy propaganda to help/benefit/self-promotion among themselves; victimize others = destroying freedom, fairness, democracy, capitalism; continuing, on-going; expanding; penetrating every segment of our lives, including civic non-profit, women, minorities, churches, nonsense studies, proposals, block grants, "think tanks", etc.  
i, · OPPOSE PUBLIC FINANCE MATCHING SMALL DONORS FUND - falsely named "Fair Election Act", "Government by the People"... whatever. It is simply "Government by Bad Guys".  
i, · OPPOSE: U.S. Supreme Court decisions on Citizen-United, and on McClucheon on election campaign; Koch Brothers Dark money; super PAC strategies; BAILOUTS; corporate welfares; non-sense grants.  
i, · Promote democracy, fair election, quality, competition, people input (policies, issues, officials, judges); televise public hearings, citizen/candidate forum/debate; maintain, disseminate meaningful accurate information, records, capability, reasoning, good sense of justice, public interest, endurance. Objective screening by meaningful rigorous examinations, evaluations for quality, capability, endurance and public interest.  
i, · UNJUST killing: not just gun shooting; false imprisonment, rehab, medication, injection, accidents, brutality, freezing, hungry...  
i, · Many people are misdiagnosed, hospitalized/ imprisoned as mentally ill, etc.; political prisoners; dissenters; victimized/abused - resources/properties/benefits (private, public; vet, Medicare, ssa, â€¦).  
i, · Living wage=min. for survival in justice; but never enough in injustice: unlawful traffic tickets, fines, legal fees, other problems.  
i, · Agencies, doj, fbi,cia, nsa, dhhs,dot, â€¦etc. local-federal have no accountability! Lots of problems: waste, abuse, official misconduct.  
i, · Urge @WhiteHouse, Congres, officials local-federal to investigate suppression/concealment of public comments/complaints; e.g., on federal solidarity CMU, DOJ, policing, correction facilities  
i, · PREVENT hidden agenda; not to divert taxpayers' resources to benefit "BAD GUYS"; more law/caucus/task force and/or shuffling do not make government/contractors/churches etc. work better.  
i, · Urge to re-open/investigate/prosecute "MURDER- FRAUD- CRIME- INJUSTICE NETWORKS" operation; seizure, destruction; obstruction, tampering; denial of LIVES/resources/ rights/freedom/reputation; access/use of communication; phone, fax, media; equipment, facilities; computers, internet, social media; work, documents, files, petition/action sites, voters guides, websites, e.g., DNet (by League of Women Voters, Congress.org); Congress.org, Vote-Md, Project Vote Smart, media, links... ..more.

(II). Restore JUSTICE -fix "ROBBER-ISM" first; NOT benefit "MURDER-FRAUD-CRIME-INJUSTICE NETWORKS" propaganda to help/benefit themselves; victimize others = destroying freedom, fairness, democracy; continuing, on-going; expanding; penetrating civic non-profit, women, minorities,

churches, nonsense studies, proposals, block grants, think tanks; unjust practices, manipulation, influence; legislation; hidden agenda; false/ misleading excuses; divert resources for private gains; inc. private-public partnership, development, housing, school, transportation, abandonment of properties, roads

### (III). WORK TO BE DONE; SOCIAL JUSTICE IN GREAT DANGER!

PROMOTE FAIRNESS, FREEDOM, JUSTICE, PEACE, HUMANITY, PRODUCTIVITY, WELL-BEING OF GENERAL PUBLIC.

RESOLVE SOCIO- POLITICAL ISSUES, BALANCING BUDGET. OPPOSE SO CALLED "FAIR ELECTION ACT" PUBLIC FINANCE MATCHING SMALL DONORS FUND- DO MORE HARM THAN GOOD, RESOURCES BENEFIT "BAD GUYS" AS USUAL. TASKS: TOP PRIORITIES: Society in vicious cycles as in need of revolution, we MUST act:

(1). Prosecute, eliminate "MURDER- fraud- crime- injustice networks"= cruel tyranny= robbery machine = ROBBER- ISM; destroying essences of democracy, capitalism; continuing, on-going; relaying, penetrating every segment of our lives (inc. civic non- profit organizations); expanding here domestically to overseas- foreign countries; with threat, coercion, victimization, deprivation, discrimination; unjust practices, manipulation, influence; bad legislative bills; unjust hidden agenda with false/ misleading excuses (inc. private- public partnership, economic development, housing, school construction, transportation, abandonment of properties, maintenance of "paper roads", nonsense grants, programs; etc.), whatever) to benefit/ facilitate "MURDER-fraud- crime- injustice networks" (inc. officials, judges, developers, lawyers, employees, etc.); expand further unjust operation; endless unlawful- immoral acts; rob/destroy resources (rights, land, properties, home, buildings, assets, accounts, income, pension, documents, evidences; public, private; business, civic, political); frivolous litigation, levies, foreclosures, garnishment, guardianship, power of attorney; improper processing of complaints, procedures, proceedings, docketing, accounting; cause vicious cycles: socio- political- election-media- budget- legislative- system problems; civil-human rights backwards; people-slave. Examples of problems are provided below: \*\*

(2). Restore: principle, fairness, cost-effectiveness, accountability, reliability, capability; fair election, justice, peace (including civic, non-profit organizations), "check and balance"; Restore: TRUE essence of democracy, fair election process; easy access to government, files, records, transcripts; not unjustly manipulated, influenced, misled by wrong person, information, or "official misconduct- government gang- fraud- crime- injustice networks" = serious causes of socio- political - election -media- budget- legislative problems, which destroy people, families, society, peace, justice, democracy. Based on merits, justification; NO double standards, improper processing of complaints, procedures, proceedings; falsification, false records, tampering of evidence, data; harassment, intimidation (complainant, witnesses); false charges, citation, bond, imprisonment; disparities and improper treatments, etc.; abuse of laws, power, authorities. (3). Promote democracy, fair election, quality, competition, people input (policies, issues, officials, judges); televise public hearings, citizen/candidate forum/debate; maintain, disseminate meaningful accurate information, records, capability, reasoning, good sense of justice, public interest, endurance. Objective screening by meaningful rigorous examinations, evaluations for quality, capability, endurance and public interest.

\* To capture extended serious problems of "MURDER-fraud- crime- injustice networks" with official misconduct- government gang, please incorporate the following: (A) complaints/ cases: administrative and judicial levels; (B) frequent testimonies before government bodies, official, legislators, law enforcement, committees, public hearings; local - federal; (C) spin-off complaints, improper processing of complaints, procedures, proceedings, accounting, bookkeeping, docketing, etc.; (D) Candidate statements, see Webs and archives. Urge to re-open and investigate Congress.org, DNet (by League of Women Voters), links, etc.; (E) complaints and reports to police, law enforcement, consumer affairs, other authorities/agencies against "fraud- crime- injustice networks"; (F). Numerous TV programs on social issues (Dr. Lih Young: producer, host, speaker).

(G). Frequent recommendations/petitions to officials, agencies, law enforcement, local - federal; as individuals or with civic organizations. \*\* EXAMPLES OF PROBLEMS - "MURDER- FRAUD- CRIME- INJUSTICE NETWORKS"- with official misconduct, government gang- endless unlawful immoral acts:

(A): OPM, IRS, DHHS, SSA, DOJ, FBI, DOD, USDA, DOC, USCIS (US citizens/ neutralization services), custom services, various agencies, law enforcement; 3 branches, local - federal- global; National Park Service (Pretymann?), Library of Congress (Neil Gladd); many financial/brokerage/ accounting/ bill-payment processing/ collection institutions/agencies; insurance, car related businesses, utilities, etc., more; dealers Lakeforest Oldsmobile; phone/utilities/cable, judges, legal/court personnel, detective/ process server/ impersonators, with phone names, or would not provide names even in the court cases (Complainant could not get access to court files; could not even make copies); Leslie Gradet, Tamara Jones, William D Roessler; Offices of Treasury, Comptroller, Attorney General: Joel Jacob/Jacobson, Gail Malle-Davis, Sylvia J. Brokos, Mary Hawse, Linda Tanton, Gerald Langbaum, John Barry, Pamela Porter, Leo F. Partridge, Mark Vulcan, Jamis Riley, James Britt, Audrey Thomas, Jeanne Lippy, Jesse Rosenburger, Ralph Lepson; transcribers Margaret Bauer, Senators: Walter Baker, Barbara Hoffman, Thomas Middleton, Trooper: Marty Sealey, Vincent Mass, State Election Board Ross Goldstein; Maryland DOEd Susan Page, Barbara Smith(?), SSA employees and supervisors (especially in Rockville, Md), and Bullivant (?), probably in N. J.; or other states). Lobbyists/ municipal attorneys/lawyers/affiliates, Paul Glasgow, David Venable, Joseph Stoltz, Jr., Barry Gordon, Stephen Perouka, David Steinberg, Wolpoff & Abramson, Richard D. Mirsky, Poppletun, Garrett & Polott, P.C., accountant Hilda K. Matijevic; Marc Sliffman (Silver Spring, Wheaton area), Samuel White and his law firm and lawyers Shawn Bartley, Daniel Pesachowitz, Laura Jolly (phony person, named as "substitute trustee", but can never be found or contacted even through official agencies); many court personnel and judges (District Court - Court of Special Appeals). Court Auditor Robert Romero. State, county health services organizations/ agencies, Department of Health and Human Services, Montgomery County Crisis Center, Jean Burgess (white, female), Marsha Aaron; Department of Aging: Adult Protective Services, Sherry Davis, Suzanne Lord (?), Odick Esq., Bonnie Klem, etc.; Suburban Hospital, physicians, emergency staff; social worker Jody Crecenski (?), case manager Patricia Grafferty (?), Robert Rothstein, M. D. (?), Tipp Woodward; Manor- Care in Potomac Maryland: Cheryl Paulson (?), administrator and nurses, etc.; forensic services, Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene: Jolie Smith; numerous psychologists, psychiatrists, social workers, etc.; Potomac Ridge Steven Israel, Collin, Gabriel (?); social worker Tracy Lewit; Springfield Hospital Center: forensic services, Kevin Knight, Dr. Valadez (refused to give full name, despite repeated requests); Carla Craville, Françoise Reynolds, Roxanne Heyman, Amy True; Judges James Sasfield, Gary Crawford, Cheryl McCally, etc., prosecutors/government attorneys, e.g., John McCarthy, Nunlynn (?), Peter Mitchell (Montgomery County Office of Human Rights); District Court Commission (Rockville, Md) M. Dickerson; Court Personnel T.M. (only initials, no full name available); many judges, clerk of the courts (Jeffrey Ward, Loretta Knight, Bettie Skelton, Molly Rhul, etc.), other court personnel, various counties, states; local - federal; law enforcement, FBI; police e.g., in Rockville, Md. Sgt. Cowell (first name not available), C.P. Sadleson; officer Davis (MCPD); Denis Lewis (Baltimore County); sheriff: R. Lewis (Female, White, Montgomery County Md.), K. Naff (white, male, Montgomery County, Md); fire and rescues (abuse, even no fire; conspiracy).

(B): The problems are interrelated horizontally and vertically, among all issues, local- global. Clerks of the Circuit Court (Montgomery County, Md.) Loretta Knight, Bettie Skelton, Molly Rhul; District Court Clerk Jeffrey Ward, Administrative Judge Cornelius Vaughney, Sheriff Elliot Tolbert, etc. government attorney John McCarthy, Kristen Bender, court personnel, attorneys (public, private), law enforcement (FBI, sheriff, police, fire/rescue, etc., contractors) are part of the "fraud- crime- networks: with spying, surveillance, harassment, unlawful search, stealing, robbery, injuries; false arrest, imprisonment, citation, trespass, testimony; withholding witnesses; destroy information, documents, evidence, etc.

(C). False/ unjust/ frivolous levies, liens, garnishment, guardianship, power of attorneys, foreclosure, tenant-hold-over- eviction, etc. Thousands of cases are pending in the court systems for years or even decades; believed to be filed by "fraud- crime- networks" to victimize people; without due process, proper services, proceedings; cause homelessness, poverty; not because of the problems of homeowners/citizens, but because of unjust judicial/court/legal personnel, court auditor Robert Romero as part of "official misconduct- government gang- fraud- crime- injustice networks". Judges (unjust, irresponsible, judicially disabled) include Warren Donohue, John Debelius, Durk Thumpson, Ann Harrington, Louise Scrivener, Lawrence De Beard, Eric Johnson, James McKenna; District Judge Gary Everngam, Judge Gary Crawford; court personnel/ sheriff, attorneys, affiliated law firms; Sheriffs Earnest Turner, R. Lewis, K. Naff, etc.

(E). Problems of privatization, irresponsibility, disabilities of government attorneys and judicial/legal/court personnel are very serious, expanding, local-

nationwide- global; exporting injustice overseas; Rockville city, Montgomery County, Maryland state; New Jersey Monmouth County, Judge Robert McLeod (private attorney), Judge Patricia Bueno Cleary, Prosecutor (private attorney) Patrick Healy, police David D'Arcy.  
(F) Other people committed, conspired with police and fraud- crime- networks: Private attorneys: Robert McCarthy (Bethesda, Md.), Olivia Cammack (Silver Spring, Md.), David Slacker (Bethesda Md.); Ria Rochvarg (assigned as legal assistance provider to certain counties by Md. Department of Health and Mental Highgiene, but she went around the state for various abuses with Sherry Davis, Police Davis, etc. attorneys (public and private), Timonthy Adelman, Esq., (?) and law firms Adelman, Sheff and Smith(? in Annapolis, Md), Robert McCarthy, Suburban Hospital, etc. Landlord/ landladies e.g., Jiewen Tan (Rockville, Md.) and Chia Yao (Gaithersburg, Md.) had been unjustly influenced/ conspired.  
(G). MURDER-FRAUD- CRIME- INJUSTICE NETWORKS inc. Montgomery County Council, Md, Nancy Floreen, Roger Berliner, Valerie Ervin; Sonya E. Healy, Esq. Legal counsel/Chief of staff (any relation to Patrick Healy, esq. in New Jersey??), Jackie Steward, council hearing coordinators/substitute; Md General Assembly, Jamie Raskin; U. S. Congress, Senate, legal staff; American U, administrative staff, security guard, students (4801 Massachusetts Ave., NW, DC); Catholic U; US Institute of Peace, conference organizers/ speakers.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 648    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hanson, Annette  
**Address:** Boise, ID 83712  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 15:36:47  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I love visiting the Grand Tetons. Even from a distance they are spectacular. I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Annette Hanson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 649    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tuvim, Michael  
**Address:** Houston, TX 77079  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support the new plan.  
Thank you for the great job, everyone!  
MT

---

**Correspondence ID:** 650    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Camp, Mike D  
**Address:** Edmonton, UN T6M 0G2  
Canada  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 15:36:52  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.



Sincerely,  
Mike Camp

---

**Correspondence ID:** 651    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sullivan, Edward  
**Address:** San Francisco, CA 941116  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:37:01  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 652    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Burbank, CA 91506  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Protect the land & animals.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 653    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Swartzel, Paul  
**Address:** Dubuque, IA 52001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:37:32  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Paul Swartzel

---

**Correspondence ID:** 654    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Nolan, Antoinette  
**Address:** Los Angeles, CA 90024  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C" as the preferred plan for protecting the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor in Grant Teton. Alternatively, I support parking outside the Corridor and a shuttle system that would permit visitors to enjoy the experience, enhance safety, and still provide access to all.

I request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 655    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McGill , Bonnie  
**Address:** Conneaut Lake, PA 16316  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:38:25  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

I am thrilled to see wildlife in the wild and I hope a way is found to enhance this experience for all.

Sincerely,  
Bonnie McGill

---

**Correspondence ID:** 656    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lang, K. E  
**Address:** Scottsdale, AZ 85261  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I want to express my support for Plan C, the preferred plan. Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 657    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Proeger, Terry  
**Address:** Sarasota, FL 34234  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I favor Alternative C as the best plan to balance wildlife management and people use.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 658    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Smith, April  
**Address:** Flagstaff, AZ 86002  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please consider Option C as the most viable approach to congestion on the Moose-Wilson route.

I think C will:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

I think Moose-Wilson traffic should be cut to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer; Park Service should extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;

Nat'l Park Service should continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing; Please maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;and also reduce the speed limit to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;

All share holders should continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

I did my archaeology field work at MEVE prior to shuttle ops and worked interp there post shuttle. Shuttle really worked, cutting accidents and emergencies to almost zero. Almost. Please consider a shuttle system. Shuttles also reduce smelly exhaust. Thanks for listening.

April Smith

---

**Correspondence ID:** 659    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stellner, Richard  
**Address:** Portland, OR 97209  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Thank you for the chance to review and comment on the Moose-Wilson road plans. I have visited Grand Teton National Park several times over the years, and one summer while I was a graduate student, I was a volunteer in the park's naturalist program. I know the Moose-Wilson road well, and am aware of crowding problems.

In reviewing the four alternatives, I agree with the NPS that alternative C is the best.

Thanks,  
Richard

---

**Correspondence ID:** 660    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McGeary, Mary  
**Address:** New York, NY 11201  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** To: Grand Teton Superintendent David Vela

RE: The seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor in Grand Teton National Park  
I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

Dear Superintendent Vela:

I am aligned with NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan with regard to the seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor in Grand Teton National Park.

The plan would:

- Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
- Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;
- Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
- Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;
- Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;
- Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;
- Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, I hope the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Mary McGeary  
Brooklyn, NY

---

**Correspondence ID:** 661    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Shumaker, H. Dennis  
**Address:** Marietta, PA 17547  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and I hereby request that you choose it as your future management approach.

Increased vehicle traffic on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor has threatened the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on it. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority, while adopting a plan that allows properly limited numbers of visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States. It is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us today, the animals that call the park "home" and for future generations of flora and fauna. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	662	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Mumaw, LeeAnn				
<b>Address:</b>	mauldin, SC 29662 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	It is sad what is happening to our world and wildlife. It is sad that our mankind does not have more respect for nature and its future. We all need to be supportive and take action in helping its future and what is to be its outcome for its survival. Without nature, earth will fail and can not survive.				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	663	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Driessen, Lynn				
<b>Address:</b>	Appleton, WI 54915 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 15:39:37				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Lynn Driessen

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	664	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	New Albany, IN 47150 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 15:39:48				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.				

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 665    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Fellner, Robin V  
**Address:** McKinleyville, CA 95519  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C, the preferred plan. Thanks for working so hard on this important issue.

Regards, Robin Fellner

---

**Correspondence ID:** 666    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sakata, Cynthia C  
**Address:** Amelia Island, FL 32034-5740  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. Having lived in Montana for a portion of my life I know first hand how important our National Parks and their management are.

Additionally, as a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Cynthia C Sakata

---

**Correspondence ID:** 667    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Phillips, Judith  
**Address:** NYC, NY 10128  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I walked with a friend and my family through the trails of this lovely park and we attended his wedding held in a tent on nearby grounds.

It must be saved for all the animals of the future...Human and the rest of the creatures in the wild.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 668    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tilden, Rebecca L  
**Address:** Aumsville, OR 97325  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please choose wisely for the sake of all.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 669    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Madison, WI 53704  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I prefer the selection of the National Parks choice of option "C" as safer for animals and visitors to the park. Anything less will lead to traffic jams, overuse of car/truck/bus horns and potential injury to wildlife. It is much less like nature to have motor vehicles moving about in nature than having individuals and families walk or bike through the wilds of a National Park !

---

**Correspondence ID:** 670      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Long Beach, CA 90808  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Save the Tetons!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 671      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mee, William H  
**Address:** Santa Fe, NM 87507  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:40:47  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, I first went there in 1963 at age 5, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

William H. Mee, Jr.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 672      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Clinton, MI 49236  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:40:56  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Nicki Cogar

---

**Correspondence ID:** 673      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Rohde, Janet  
**Address:** Northbrook, IL 60062  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Please pick Alternative C. This is the favored choice of both the Park Service and the National Park Conservation Association. I am not in a position to know more than these 2 groups, so I am writing, primarily, to be sure you know that I care about the future of this magnificent park.

I visited Grand Tetons Nat'l Park many years ago with my family. It certainly ranks as one of the most beautiful and inspiring places I've ever seen. Please protect this park for future generations.

Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 674    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Koessel, Karl T  
**Address:** Mckinleyville, CA 95519  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:41:27  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Karl Koessel

---

**Correspondence ID:** 675    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Guaraldi, Thomas A  
**Address:** Houston, TX 77071-1511  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:41:30  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place. I agree with these words and could not say it better my self!-We must live in harmony with Nature!-not try to conquer it!

Sincerely,  
Thomas A, Guaraldi

---

**Correspondence ID:** 676    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Shalat, Harriet  
**Address:** Forest Hills, NY 11375  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:41:36  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

---

**Correspondence ID:** 677    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Yale, MI 48097  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I believe you know what the right thing to do here. But will you?

---

**Correspondence ID:** 678    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bray, Brent T  
**Address:** Pflugerville, TX 78660-2318  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:41:49  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
Brent Bray



---

**Correspondence ID:** 679    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mundhenk, Norm  
**Address:** Poulsbo, WA 98370  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic is not working well.

The preferred plan is the right choice, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

It is our responsibility to protect the precious resources of Grand Teton National Park for those of us who can enjoy them now and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 680    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** smith, peter  
**Address:** Issaquah, WA 98027  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The recommendations of the park staff should be installed so that the wild life and the public are more protected

---

**Correspondence ID:** 681    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Pinard, Zac J  
**Address:** Corvallis , OR 97331  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Protect the corridor!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 682    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Central , SC 29630-9065  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:42:44  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Barb Bittenbinder

---

**Correspondence ID:** 683    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Olmsted, Charles E

**Address:** Greeley, CO 80634  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 684    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Andrews, Marcia

**Address:** Willits, CA 95490

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

I have not had the opportunity to visit the Grand Tetons yet, but it's on my bucket list, and I would love to see lots of happy, healthy, wild animals while I'm there!

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 685    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Jetty, Charles E

**Address:** Albuquerque, NM 87109

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:43:04

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Charles Jetty

---

**Correspondence ID:** 686    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Judge, Patrick R

**Address:** New Orleans, LA 70118

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:43:07

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
-Patrick Judge

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	687	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Lawrence, Robert				
<b>Address:</b>	San Francisco, CA 94118 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 15:43:07				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations.

Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Robert Lawrence

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	688	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Urton, S.				
<b>Address:</b>	Moscow, PA 18444 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 15:43:17				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	689	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	shanks, George W				

**Address:** St Michael, MN 55376  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:43:24  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
George shanks

---

**Correspondence ID:** 690    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Alesso, Regina  
**Address:** Weston, FL 33331  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:43:37  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Regina Alesso

---

**Correspondence ID:** 691    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bartelmes, Farley  
**Address:** Kirkland, WA 98034  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:43:38  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Farley Bartelmes

---

**Correspondence ID:** 692    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lopez, Laura  
**Address:** West Palm Beach, FL 33411  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:43:46  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 693    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Scott, Dorinda  
**Address:** Austin, TX 78704  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:43:47  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Dorinda Scott

---

**Correspondence ID:** 694    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Fortini, Jan  
**Address:** Reno, NV 89509  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** This corridor is a great idea. We should have more of these to protect the wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 695    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Fisher, Sharon K  
**Address:** Shoreline, WA 98177  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:43:53  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your

approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Sharon and Rick Fisher

---

**Correspondence ID:** 696    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Castaneda, Jamie  
**Address:** Sierra Madre, CA 91024  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:44:03  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Jamie Castaneda

---

**Correspondence ID:** 697    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Collins, Barbara  
**Address:** Ewing, NJ 08628  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 698    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bechtel, Paul  
**Address:** Redlands, CA 92373  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:44:16  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the

Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely, Paul Bechtel

---

**Correspondence ID:** 699    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** charbonneau, john t  
**Address:** spring valley, CA 91977  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:44:26  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
John Charbonneau

---

**Correspondence ID:** 700    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Rigney, J  
**Address:** Newton, NJ 07860  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** To Grand Teton Superintendent David Vela:

I am writing to voice my strong support for "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

That plan will:

1. Protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves and other wildlife better; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road.
2. Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer.
3. Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area.
4. Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing.
5. Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes.
6. Reduce the speed limit from 25 miles to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident.
7. Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time, based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, I ask that the Park Service study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 701    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lo, Jen  
**Address:** Plittsburgh, PA 15212  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:45:17

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 702    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** burger, nancy  
**Address:** Haverhill, MA 01832  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please put the priority on the welfare of the animals, people should come second.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 703    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mchugh, Angela J  
**Address:** Cincinnati , OH 45212  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Save our parks and the beautiful creatures that live in them

---

**Correspondence ID:** 704    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Richardson, James A  
**Address:** Concord, MA 01742  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:45:41  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
James Richardson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 705    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Pappas, Robin



**Address:** Pocono Manor, PA 18349  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**

It is important that we work to preserve nature and all worldly inhabitants - we are part of the web of life but it behooves us to respect the rest of life on this planet - including our friends the moose.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 706    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Livingston, Deborah L  
**Address:** Austin, TX 78757  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:45:52  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Deborah Livingston

---

**Correspondence ID:** 707    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Johns, Jennifer S  
**Address:** Albuquerque, NM 87111  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor. This has been very effective in other national parks.

Thank you for your good work to protect this special place. This is one of my family's most visited and loved places. We kept a record for a long time of wildlife sightings during each trip.

Sincerely,  
Jennifer Johns

---

**Correspondence ID:** 708    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Espeseth, Marla P  
**Address:** Chicago, IL 60618  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:45:59  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a strong supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and respectfully request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States including me and my family, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you very much for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Marla Espeseth

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	709	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Poulos, Peter S				
<b>Address:</b>	Montgomery, OH 45242 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec.07.2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I am standing with the National Parks Conservation Association and supporting Alternative C because of the stated reasons:				

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	710	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, Pam				
<b>Address:</b>	Houston, TX 77045-3525 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec.07.2015 15:46:15				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	711	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Davis, Liz				
<b>Address:</b>	Brevard, NC 28712 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec.07.2015 15:46:22				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Thank you,  
Liz Davis

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	712	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Walls, Mary				
<b>Address:</b>	Jacksonville, FL 32218 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Tell Grand Teton Superintendent David Vela that you support "Alternative C," the preferred plan				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	713	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	Seattle, WA 98102 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 15:46:30				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Kind regards.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	714	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Steiker, Gene				
<b>Address:</b>	Caldwell, NJ 07006 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Mr. Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	Member				
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 15:46:30				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Gene Steiker

---

**Correspondence ID:** 715    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Robinson, Sam  
**Address:** Waunakee, WI 53597  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:46:40  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.  
Sam Robinson, Waunakee, WI

---

**Correspondence ID:** 716    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Davids, Charles K  
**Address:** Daytona Beach, FL 32114  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Like all land areas and parks in this country, I think its important to protect Grand Teton National Park. Lets face it, I realize people like to visit the parks. But keep in mind its more important to protect the land itself. This way the land will still be protected,and people will be able to visit it and it will still remain a proper habitat.

Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 717    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Naylor, Alan H  
**Address:** Boston, MA 02118  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:46:59  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

I have visited Grand Teton in the past. It is a national park cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States. It is our responsibility to protect it for visitors to continue to enjoy as well as perserve it for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Alan H. Naylor

---

**Correspondence ID:** 718    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Benjamin, Frank

**Address:** Las Vegas, NV 89156-4739  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I support any corridor that enhances the welfare of all wildlife. Would also ask that visitor behavior be more addressed including installation of video cams or even the parking of all vehicles and having to board NPS tour buses. Please insure me this will be no hunting and no trapping zone.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 719    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Mottl, Robb C

**Address:** Salt Lake City, UT 84103

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:47:01

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

Robb C Mottl

---

**Correspondence ID:** 720    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** N/A, N/A

**Address:** Hayward, CA 94541-1808

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I support Option/Plan C. Protect the animals!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 721    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Hauenstein, Cathleen

**Address:** Apple Valley, MN 55124

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

We have been down that road many times, in both summer and winter, and the experience has always been rewarding. We want to make sure that our children and their families will always have that same quality experience and be able to encounter wildlife safely, and be able to expose themselves to the nature-rich exposure of the back road.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

---

**Correspondence ID:** 722    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Morello, Phyl  
**Address:** White Pine, TN 37890  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** When will you seriously protect our national parks & national forests & public lands?

All wildlife in these areas are constantly threatened by man & businesses that want to take over & destroy these wildlife lands.

Regarding the Moose-Wilson Corridor, please go with alternative C. Limiting vehicle traffic during summer months is a must to safeguard the wildlife.

Keep the current "footprint", & 20MPH speed limit. Bicycle-friendly roads must be implemented, also. The unauthorized vehicle pull-offs need to be replaced w/parking areas.

Please help keep all our national parks, lands & forests PROTECTED. Help keep wilderness & wildlife viable.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 723    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Krause, Al  
**Address:** NYC, NY 10025-6931  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect this wildlife .

---

**Correspondence ID:** 724    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kasper, Sandy L  
**Address:** Hemet, CA 92544  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** PLEASE VOTE C!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 725    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Connor, Thomas V  
**Address:** Wallkill, NY 12589  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear superintendent Vela,  
Regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park, I urge you to choose Alternative Preferred Plan C. I believe this option best protects this priceless wonder as a good step forward which could be even more protected for future generations if the US Park Service could complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options in the future.  
Thank you for the vital work you and your fellow Employees do each and every day for all Americans. God bless all your work.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 726    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Greene, Lucinda M  
**Address:** Harrison Valley, PA 16927-1209  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please support this plan that protects visitors' access to the spectacular wildlife and scenery of this park while preserving these precious assets for future generations to experience.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 727    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Lujan, Judy  
**Address:** Hill AFB, UT 84056  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:48:13  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Judy Lujan

---

**Correspondence ID:** 728    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cecil, Emily  
**Address:** Berrien Springs, MI 49103  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:48:22  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Emily Cecil

---

**Correspondence ID:** 729    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hirsh, Deborah  
**Address:** Berkeley, CA 94708  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:48:24  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious

resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Deborah Hirsh

---

**Correspondence ID:** 730    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tempel, Norman  
**Address:** Willmar, MN 56201  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** As a trailmaster volunteer at RMNP and former ranger at Sequoia, Glacier, and Rocky Mt. National Park I recommend that you do everything you can to keep bikes out of the national park. NO MOUNTAIN BIKES ON THE TRAILS. WORK WITH THE STATE TO PUT IN BIKE TRAILS ON STATE HIGHWAYS ON THE SIDE OF THE ROADS ONLY. LIMIT SPEEDS FOR CARS.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 731    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McKeever, Mary A  
**Address:** Cincinnati, OH 45231  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:48:32  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Mary McKeever

---

**Correspondence ID:** 732    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Epstein, Kelly  
**Address:** Spring, TX 77379  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:48:46  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kelly Epstein

---

**Correspondence ID:** 733    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bailey, Larry



**Address:** Redding, CA 96099  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support NPCA's recommendation 'Alternative C'.  
Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 734    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Handelsman, Robert  
**Address:** Evanston, IL 60201  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Sierra Club Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:49:07  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Robert Handelsman

---

**Correspondence ID:** 735    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sharfman, William  
**Address:** New York, NY 10024  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Alternative C is the best plan to adopt.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 736    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Houston, Meghan  
**Address:** Lehigh Acres, FL 33936  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:49:14  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Meghan Houston

---

**Correspondence ID:** 737    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Johanson, Arne  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The top priority for Teton Park needs to be the protection of those qualities that make it special. Views, habitats, wildlife and solitude are some of these. While access is important for people to be able to enjoy the place it is also important to not love the place to death.

Turning the Moose-Wilson road into a regular traffic route has no place within the Park. While the road is admittedly a convenience for me, convenience is not a worthy tradeoff for a national treasure. Convenience is a strong motivator for overuse of the road. I am even guilty of taking the road out of convenience.

I am in favor of closing the road for through vehicle traffic. Let hikers and bicycles travel through. For the rest close it at a trailhead or center. Alternately provide shuttle buses. Either way prevent through traffic. I realize that doing so will bring more traffic near my house on the way to Spring Gulch.

I also aware that closing the Moose-Wilson road to through traffic is not in itself a traffic solution. But it may just force a regional traffic study and an ultimate solution. It is important to keep in mind that the regional traffic plan is not a National Park priority.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 738    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Fromberg, Jeff  
**Address:** Los Angeles, CA 90049  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Protect the Tetons.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 739    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Nicholson, Alice  
**Address:** Sweet Home, OR 97386  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please do everything to support the project to protect wildlife in our country's National Parks so generations to come may enjoy seeing these creatures in their natural habitat. We need to preserve this resource for our country.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 740    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bonnett, Gary B  
**Address:** Rockville, MD 20854  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:49:59  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Gary Bonnett

---

**Correspondence ID:** 741 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Brown, Tom  
**Address:** Marshall, IL 62441  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:50:08  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Tom Brown

---

**Correspondence ID:** 742 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Langham, Sheri B  
**Address:** Arlington, VA 22201  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:50:29  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Sheri Langham

---

**Correspondence ID:** 743 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Shamblen, Dean P  
**Address:** Emerson, NE 68733  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Sierra Club Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We need to keep the road with as small a "Footprint" as possible.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 744 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Oldani, Julie L  
**Address:** St Louis, MO 63109  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:50:41  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Julie Oldani

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	745	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Martin, Karen L				
<b>Address:</b>	Spotsylvania, VA 22553 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

I would actually prefer that the road be closed permanently and give the area back to the wildlife.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Karen Martin

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	746	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Roach, Jean				
<b>Address:</b>	Pelican Lake, WI 54463 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

Dear Superintendent Vela,

My husband and I had the rare privilege of visiting Grant Teton National Park this past May. Its beauty and abundance of wildlife make it a treasure to be protected and also enjoyed by visitors.

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
Jean and Joe Roach

---

**Correspondence ID:** 747    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Denver, CO 80202  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:51:07  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Todd Smarr

---

**Correspondence ID:** 748    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bauman, Joan-Marie  
**Address:** Monticello, NY 12701-4852  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

My husband and I truly value our National Parks. We have visited, camped and enjoyed many of them across the country.

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
Joan-Marie Bauman

---

**Correspondence ID:** 749    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Carow, David A  
**Address:** Porter Ranch, CA 91326  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Stop destroying our parks. There's other ways to make money

---

**Correspondence ID:** 750    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Beeler, Kim  
**Address:** Lake Oswego, OR 97034  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:51:20  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kimberly Beeler

---

**Correspondence ID:** 751    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McDougal, Austin  
**Address:** Boulder, CO 80304  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Protect our parks!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 752    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cattell, June  
**Address:** West Columbia, SC 29169  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:51:39  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 753    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Leonard, Valerie  
**Address:** Palatine, IL 60074  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Please do whatever possible to preserve the integrity of the wildlife on this road. My husband and I will never forget when we visited one year and saw a moose licking the salt on the road. We were lucky enough to observe it for several minutes as it went along it's business. It was so quiet and peaceful when we were there, and it was in mid-summer so perhaps we got lucky. After a few minutes about 3-4 other cars joined us but it was nothing like a traffic jam. I'm hoping that we will be able to bring our two young sons there someday, and hope they will be as lucky as we were. We would be glad to "wait in line" to get back on the road if that means preserving what we were fortunate enough to witness that day.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 754    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Johnson, Bret  
**Address:** Saint Paul, MN 55106  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Our national parks are no less than national treasure. Please act to preserve/conservse them in perpetuity.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 755    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Wisconsin Rapids, WI 54494  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:51:49  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Dameta Robinson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 756    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dawson, Kathryn  
**Address:** BINGHAMTON, NY 13905  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Alternative "C" should be selected in order to protect wildlife from excessive conflicts with humans. The resident wildlife deserves the chance to exist in it's own environment without the trash, noise, destruction necessary to complete a road, and the inevitable disobedience for defined limits by those who feel they are "entitled" to do as they please. Too many feel their own rights supersede those of our fellow creatures.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 757    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Reeve, Sharon  
**Address:** La Mesa, CA 91941-5402  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:52:01  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Sharon Reeve

---

**Correspondence ID:** 758    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Pennell, Joyce  
**Address:** San Mateo, CA 94402  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:52:17  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 759    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Black, Angela  
**Address:** Long Beach, CA 90805  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan. This is the best plan to protect our wildlife areas.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 760    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Orcholski, Gerald  
**Address:** Pasadena, CA 91104  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:52:38  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Gerald Orcholski

---

**Correspondence ID:** 761    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Baker, P.  
**Address:** NY, NY 10128  
United States of America



**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:52:56  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 762    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Florance, Jeannine L  
**Address:** Bend, OR 97702  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support option C for your plans. It is highly important that we protect animals.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 763    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** St. Augustine, FL 32086  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please save our parks and wildlife that make these areas their home from development, drilling, and other commercial venues. Keep corporate greed out of our parks too!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 764    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hesse, Susanne C  
**Address:** Alachua, FL 32615  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Protect Grand Teton National Park with the Alternative C plan

---

**Correspondence ID:** 765    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Roessler, Leslie  
**Address:** Bethlehem, PA 18017  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** As a family planning a long-awaited trip out West next summer, we are happy to have the chance to weigh in on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As supporters of the national park system, we believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C," best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

While we are thrilled to have access to America's great national parks, it is vitally important that the experience provides us with the opportunity to enjoy nature as minimally impacted by human beings as possible. Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor. This will both enhance the visitor experience and provide for the creatures that call the area home.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. We encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

We are so lucky in this country that our forefathers saw the value in creating the national park system, and that its administrators continue that tradition by enacting policies which encourage people to visit but still preserve the wild nature of the parks as much as possible. Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both

the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 766    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Philomath, OR 97370  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:53:38  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Melanie Feder

---

**Correspondence ID:** 767    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jahn, Frank  
**Address:** Damascus, OR 97089  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:54:10  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 768    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Albany, NY 12203  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:54:20  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Sara Hart

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	769	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	Vernon hills, IL 60061 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 15:54:25				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Karina Pavlova

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	770	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Bridges, Beth M				
<b>Address:</b>	St. Petersburg, FL 33701 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 15:54:42				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

Beth Bridges

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	771	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Stahr, Jonel				
<b>Address:</b>	Seattle, WA 98136 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:55:04  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Jonel Stahr

---

**Correspondence ID:** 772    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** shewchuk, cris  
**Address:** Charlotte, NC 28270  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:55:14  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please support Alternative C.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 773    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Person, Wayne  
**Address:** Mount Laurel, NJ 08054  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:55:32  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Wayne Person

---

**Correspondence ID:** 774    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bell, David

**Address:** Lago Vista, TX 78645-6117  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:55:43  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

David Bell

---

**Correspondence ID:** 775    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Allen, Julia N  
**Address:** Seattle, WA 98199  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:56:01  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 776    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** reinhart, robin  
**Address:** san diego, CA 92104  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:56:17  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Robin Reinhart

---

**Correspondence ID:** 777    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Montpelier, OH 43543  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please implement plan alternative C for the wild life corridor in Teton National Park, thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 778    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Greer, Helen  
**Address:** Tucson, AZ 85705  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** National Parks are priceless treasures that were given to us by previous generations to be shared with future generations. People from all over the world enjoy the USA's splendid parks. Please don't sell them out.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 779    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Boniface, Kathryn I  
**Address:** Cincinnati, OH 45229  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect our national resources including the Tetons.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 780    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hunnicutt, Joan E  
**Address:** Citrus Heights, CA 95621  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Affordable World Travel Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We should do everything humanly possible to preserve our state and national parks and the flora and fauna therein.

Less war, more preservation.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 781    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Norbury, Christopher  
**Address:** Owatonna, MN 55060  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 15:57:01  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Chris Norbury

---

**Correspondence ID:** 782    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kautz, Katherine M  
**Address:** Northglenn, CO 80233-2284  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** National Parks Conservation Association Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Our National Parks are the last heritage we have left of our country as it originally was. We must protect each and every one so generations coming after us will be able to enjoy the wilderness. There is nothing like hiking and camping and fishing in the peace and quiet of these wonderful places.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 783    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** birmantas, allan j  
**Address:** kewanee, IL 61443  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Our national parks are for everybody to enjoy, and nothing else. Let's keep snowmobiles and hunting out of them permanently.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 784    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gergat, jim  
**Address:** Bechtelsville, PA 19505  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:57:41  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Keep the frackers and oilmen out of the park.

Sincerely,  
James E. Gergat

---

**Correspondence ID:** 785    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Doney, Sandra  
**Address:** Slidell, LA 70458  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:57:49  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious

resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Sandra Doney

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	786	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Chemai, Beverly				
<b>Address:</b>	Germantown, MD 20874 United States of America Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec.07.2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Teton grizzly bear				

[Click Here to Send Your Comments to the National Park Service!](#)

(This link will take you to the National Park Service's Planning, Environment, & Public Commenting website.)

Take Action  
Dear Beverly,

The historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas.

The popularity of the narrow roadway with both visitors and animals can create traffic jams and wildlife management challenges, particularly during the busy summer months.

To address congestion, park staff and outside experts have worked for the last decade to study wildlife use, historic sites, automobile, bicycle, and pedestrian use, and other factors to develop a new management plan for the corridor. Their goal is to protect these world-class resources while allowing visitors to continue to use and enjoy the roadway.

The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor have been released and we need your voice! Please join NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;

Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;

Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;

Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Take Action: Tell Grand Teton Superintendent David Vela that you support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

Here's how to submit your comments to the Park Service.

Step 1: Go to <https://parkplanning.nps.gov/commentForm.cfm?documentID=68894> and carefully follow the form instructions.

Step 2: Submit your comments by copying and pasting the sample message below into the web form. Please add any personal observations or stories that support your comments.

Step 3: Once you have completed all of the required fields on the form, click the gray "submit" button at the bottom of the page.

Sample message/comments

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.



Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Beverly Chemai

---

**Correspondence ID:** 787    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Atkinson Jr, Joe F  
**Address:** Fort Smith, AR 72901  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** The historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas.

The popularity of the narrow roadway with both visitors and animals can create traffic jams and wildlife management challenges, particularly during the busy summer months. I support Option C. I urge this solution be adopted

---

**Correspondence ID:** 788    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ouellette, Tracy J  
**Address:** Edison, WA 98232  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:58:38

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely, Tracy Ouellette

---

**Correspondence ID:** 789    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** misner, patricia a  
**Address:** Cannon Beach, OR 97110  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Please choose "Alternative C" as the new plan for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor for the Grand Teton National Park

---

**Correspondence ID:** 790    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Rodriguez, Linda D  
**Address:** Visalia, CA 93291-8488  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:** Member

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Please stop the desecration of or remain in wildlife area.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 791    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Maness, Celia A  
**Address:** Ballwin, MO 63021  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Sounds good.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 792    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Luhmann, Dave A  
**Address:** Bundaberg South, UN 4670  
Australia  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:59:18  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
Dave Luhmann

---

**Correspondence ID:** 793    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lafleur, Steven  
**Address:** Alexandria, LA 71301  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:59:39  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Steven Lafleur  
Alexandria, La.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 794    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Berry, Mary O  
**Address:** Croton on Hudson, NY 10520  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 15:59:42  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I

believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Mary O'Neill Berry

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	795	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Wiley, Arleen S				
<b>Address:</b>	Mena, AR 71953-9688 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 15:59:55				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	796	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Van Leekwijck, Natalie				
<b>Address:</b>	Deurne, UN 2100 Belgium				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 16:00:02				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Natalie Van Leekwijck

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	797	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Gambriel, John				
<b>Address:</b>	Belair, MD 20722-2277 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 16:00:15				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
John Gambriel

---

**Correspondence ID:** 798    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bongiorno, Joseph  
**Address:** Valley Stream, NY 11580  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:00:20  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Joe Bongiomo

---

**Correspondence ID:** 799    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Reid, Lucia A  
**Address:** Sarasota, FL 34237  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:00:34  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

The Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park is an exciting project. I am an avid supporter of the National Park System and believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", offers the best protection via the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. PLEASE - choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Thank you,  
Lucia Reid

---

**Correspondence ID:** 800    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** schuster , rudolph m

**Address:** Deming,, WA 98244  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The proposals in 'Article C' are reasonable and common sense.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 801 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hlodnicki, Bruce  
**Address:** INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46226  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C.

This plan includes a number of measures that protect wildlife and wilderness yet allows for humans.

- 1-Better protect wildlife including closing roads when appropriate
- 2-Limit Moose-Wilson corridor to 200 cars at one time.
- 3-Extend "Death Canyon" hiking trail and place a new parking area.
- 4-Continue Winter recreational activities.
- 5-Maintain current road "footprint".
- 6-Reduce the speed limit to 20 mph with signs reminding people that this is the Home of these animals. And respect them and their home.
- 7-Adjust the plan according the science- not convenience.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 802 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ridder, Lynette  
**Address:** Concord, CA 94521  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 16:01:09  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 803 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Calmes, Ariel  
**Address:** Evanston, WY 82930  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. I am a third generation Wyomingite and Grand Teton National Park is a treasured place for me. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor. I love to visit Grand Teton National Park because of the wildlife viewing it offers, but I do not want to see even a single animal hit by a vehicle. There is no reason to lose wildlife to motor vehicles. We must protect the wildlife inside the park.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor. We must protect the wildlife, because if we do not, who will?

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming, like myself, and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Ariel Calmes

---

**Correspondence ID:** 804    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Leyrer, N/A  
**Address:** Seattle, WA 98109-3528  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:01:53  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
Bill Leyrer

---

**Correspondence ID:** 805    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Branham, Barbara  
**Address:** Portland, OR 97225  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 806    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Anderson, Judith  
**Address:** Long Beach, CA 90807-4209  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:02:16  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely, Judith S Anderson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 807    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Swinyer, Bruce P  
**Address:** Bakersfield, CA 93312  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** The National Park System was established to protect scenery/landscapes in addition to wildlife, historical sites and relics. It is the responsibility of Congress and the park service to make these elements readily available to the public in a safe environment for the public/people as well as the wildlife. Please continue this purpose with the resolve that stimulated this initial purpose in mind.

Thank you,

Bruce P. Swinyer, M.D.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 808    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Rogers, Dirk  
**Address:** Dallas, TX 75204-5426  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** As wild areas and wildlife become more and more threatened it's vital that we act now to protect these treasures. I support alternative C. Please enact this option to protect land and animals.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 809    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Wald, Aloysius  
**Address:** Columbus, OH 43214  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:02:43

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Aloysius Wald

---

**Correspondence ID:** 810    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Thomas, Woody  
**Address:** Clarkston, GA 30021  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Comment

---

**Correspondence ID:** 811    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Walters, Judy  
**Address:** Poughkeepsie, NY 12601  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:02:56  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Judy Walters

---

**Correspondence ID:** 812    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Givens, Roger G  
**Address:** Morgantown, KY 42261  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We must do anything possible to protect both animals and humans

---

**Correspondence ID:** 813    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Burns, Cecilia  
**Address:** Aurora, CO 80013  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:03:47  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Cecilia Burns

---

**Correspondence ID:** 814    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Zerzan, Paula A  
**Address:** Sonoma, CA 95476-7250  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:03:54  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form



**Correspondence:**

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Paula Zerzan

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	815	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Beavers, Leigh-Anne				
<b>Address:</b>	Cathedral City, CA 92235-1747 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 16:03:56				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Leigh-Anne Beavers

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	816	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Severns, Josh				
<b>Address:</b>	Brentwood, TN 37027-8995 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 16:03:56				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Josh Severns

---

**Correspondence ID:** 817    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tackett, Kenneth  
**Address:** Oakland Park, FL 33334  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:04:01  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kenneth Tackett

---

**Correspondence ID:** 818    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dorcy, Michael H  
**Address:** Lake Havasu City, AZ 86406  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I have enjoyed my trip up to Grand Teton NP and hope to visit again next summer. I would like to see alternative C used. Thanks for your time, Mike

---

**Correspondence ID:** 819    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Willner, Dina  
**Address:** Mahwah, NJ 07430-2022  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, I believe that the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 820    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Miller, Ed S  
**Address:** Albany, NY 12209  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Related to National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor, I strongly prefer "Alternative C," so I hope the Park Service agrees with me.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 821    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lambert, Rick L  
**Address:** Eugene, OR 97401  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:05:16  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Rick Lambert

---

**Correspondence ID:** 822    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Rutkowski, Robert E  
**Address:** Topeka, KS 66605-2086  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Grand Teton Superintendent Vela  
<https://parkplanning.nps.gov/commentForm.cfm?documentID=68894>

Re: Support "Alternative C," the preferred plan/  
GRTE Moose-Wilson Draft EIS

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Yours sincerely,  
Robert E. Rutkowski

2527 Faxon Ct  
Topeka, KS 66605-2086

---

**Correspondence ID:** 823    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Burnash, George  
**Address:** Rancho Cordova, CA 95670  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Save the park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 824    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ucko, Aaron  
**Address:** Rockville, MD 20850  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:05:53  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Aaron M. Ucko

---

**Correspondence ID:** 825    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Blomfield, Mark  
**Address:** Bethlehem, PA 18017  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:06:01  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Mark Blomfield

---

**Correspondence ID:** 826    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Gulf Breeze, FL 32563  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:06:15  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Paul Groh

---

**Correspondence ID:** 827    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Richey, Paul L  
**Address:** Pittsburgh, PA 15217  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** We should hold harmless all creatures in public lands. We are losing the battle to respect wildlife as exemplified by beef cattle owners' killing of wolves. I ask that we STOP all such acts and preserve the remaining balance of nature on earth.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 828    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Reed, Mary  
**Address:** Chattanooga, TN 37411  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I have soent many times in the Tetons and consider them the heart of this country. Please save The Grand Tetons.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 829    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Hayworth, Steven  
**Address:** Ypsilanti, MI 48197  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 830    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Patitz, Tatjana  
**Address:** Los Olivos, CA 93441  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** our national parks are our heritage and what makes america so great.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 831    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Brandt, Vicky  
**Address:** New York, NY 10011  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:06:40

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela:

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I prefer the Park Service's "Alternative C" as the one that best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

Increased vehicle traffic has severely diminished the visitor experience and is having a deleterious effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious

resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
V. Brandt

---

**Correspondence ID:** 832    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Beck, Kim  
**Address:** Kanab, UT 84741  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am writing to support the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", to best protect the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor. When I visited Grand Teton NP last year, Moose-Wilson Road was the ONLY place we saw a bear!

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kim Beck

---

**Correspondence ID:** 833    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** hudnut, robert  
**Address:** cottage grove, MN 55016  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The inviolable nature of this National Park must be protected, at all costs! It is there for ALL future generations.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 834    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wolf, Lee K  
**Address:** Philadelphia, PA 19136  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please support the Alternative C which is the best solution for the wildlife and all concerned. Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 835    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Martinez, Linda  
**Address:** Roseville, MN 55113  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:07:10  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 836    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Davis, Margaret J  
**Address:** Schuylkill Haven, PA 17972  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** After our recent visit to the Grand Tetons, I pray you will preserve the site for future generations! What a beautiful place!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 837    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bradley, Mark  
**Address:** Concord, CA 94520  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 16:07:27  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely, Mark Bradley

---

**Correspondence ID:** 838    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Allain, Mark C  
**Address:** Mohnton, PA 19540  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 16:07:30  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Mark Allain

---

**Correspondence ID:** 839    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wharton, Becky  
**Address:** Campbell, TX 75422  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect the parks for the future

---

**Correspondence ID:** 840    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Scranton, PA 18505  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:07:36  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Nezka Pfeifer

---

**Correspondence ID:** 841    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Burger, Scott L  
**Address:** Richmond, VA 23220  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am in favor of protecting wildlife more. Option 'C' looks good.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 842    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Harland, Donald  
**Address:** Candler, NC 28715  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:08:18  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
Donald Harland

---

**Correspondence ID:** 843    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** bunnell, FL 32110  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:08:33



**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Marjorie angelo

---

**Correspondence ID:** 844    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Smith, Aubury R  
**Address:** Wilmington, NC 28403  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support alternative c.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 845    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** suchenicz, carolyn R  
**Address:** Stafford, CT 06076  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson rd corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park.  
I support the preferred plan, alternative C. Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 846    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Norris, William S  
**Address:** Knoxville, TN 37912  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:09:12  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
William Norris

---

**Correspondence ID:** 847    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ross, Elliot  
**Address:** Union Dale, PA 18470-7615  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 848    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Davidson, Kathryn A  
**Address:** Austin, TX 78731  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.To protect the historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor - -one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park.I support The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 849    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bennie, Nigel G  
**Address:** Atlantic beach, FL 32233  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Save the tas tas

---

**Correspondence ID:** 850    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Davis, Margaret J  
**Address:** Schuylkill Haven, PA 17972  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please choose alternative C

---

**Correspondence ID:** 851    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** SUTTON, BONNIE  
**Address:** WADSWORTH, IL 60083  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** My family has made a yearly camping trip out west and always stays a week or so in the Tetons. Our son even got married in the mountains by Jackson Lake, so this area holds a special meaning to our family. I have personally witnessed many traffic jams , especially in the past 10 years or more, related to wildlife viewing. While I am certainly excited to view animals in their natural habitat, I fully support limiting access to this road. In Alaska, at Denali National Park, the main park road is limited to park run buses, and perhaps that would be an alternative if this plan isn't adopted.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 852    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Damascus, MD 20872  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 16:10:15  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Janey Roth

---

**Correspondence ID:** 853    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Shen, Gloria  
**Address:** Asheville, NC 28805  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:10:50  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Gloria Shen

---

**Correspondence ID:** 854    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jordan, Gary L  
**Address:** Madison, WI 53713-2070  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Madison Public Library Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

People, in both the public and private sectors, tend to forget that we are merely temporary caretakers of this earth. That it is also a life form absolutely critical to all other life forms upon it. We would be failing our duties to the following generations if we foul it beyond repair or recovery.

Sincerely,

Gary L. Jordan

---

**Correspondence ID:** 855    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hasselbrink, Robert  
**Address:** Pueblo, CO 81004  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:12:04  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I strongly urge you to support Alternative C as it would;

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 856    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mueller, Sharon  
**Address:** Idaho Falls, ID 83406  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Idaho Life LLC Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:12:20  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Gary & Sharon Mueller

---

**Correspondence ID:** 857    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Rosenfeld, Mimi  
**Address:** Brooklyn, NY 11217  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:12:35  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 858    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Coffman, Lauri  
**Address:** Cedar Falls, IA 50613  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** In addition to all listed information, I'd suggest a couple under the road trails for wildlife. This would help greatly reduce any conflicts vehicles and animals. If you build it they will come!!! Either over or under making it wildlife friendly and they'll use it. Do the right thing by all wildlife as it's humans who have encroached and disturbed their homes! Hurry as there's no time to lose as we're "RACING EXTINCTION"!!!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 859    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mallett, Barbara  
**Address:** Norman, OK 73069  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:12:38  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely, [your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

BARBARA Mallett

---

**Correspondence ID:** 860    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Skinner, Russell  
**Address:** Kimberly, WI 54136  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Save it.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 861    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Chicago, IL 60642  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Why not err on the side of caution? The parks should be protected at all cost.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 862    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Orlando, FL 32828  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:13:48  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Catherine McNamara

---

**Correspondence ID:** 863    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Frerker, Ronald B  
**Address:** St. Louis, MO 63110  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** civilian and NPCA member Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I prefer the plan to continue to study and select a plan based on peer reviewed scientific study.  
Thanks  
Ron Frerker

---

**Correspondence ID:** 864      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** asheville, NC 28804  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please support the alternative " plan.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 865      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** rey, irma r  
**Address:** Miami, FL 33138-3440  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Save the sage grouse

---

**Correspondence ID:** 866      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Curley, Frank  
**Address:** Middletown, NY 10940  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We have to persevere our parks and wildlife for future generations

---

**Correspondence ID:** 867      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kucynski, Ronald M  
**Address:** Maineville, OH 45039  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:14:42  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Ronald Kucynski

---

**Correspondence ID:** 868      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Malerman, Rina  
**Address:** Jenkintown, PA 19046  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**

Dear Grand Teton Supervisor David Vela

I am writing to you as a passionate lover of nature and wildlife.  
Having reviewed suggestions for improving the Moose Wilson corridor I do believe Plan C is an excellent solution and I urge you to select this plan.

My family & I have visited the area many times and we think it one of the most beautiful sites in all the National Parks.

Preserving the safety of both Wildlife and visitors is of course essential.  
I believe Plan C is the wisest solution.  
No one would object to waiting 15 minutes in such splendor. Such a small price to pay, and what beauty to observe and relax in!

Thank you for your kind consideration.

Most sincerely yours,

Rina Malerman

---

**Correspondence ID:** 869      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Maret, Jacqueline  
**Address:** Santa Fe, NM 87505  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Let us try to save our natural resources.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 870      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mayotte, Donna R  
**Address:** Minneapolis, MN 55410  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** These parks were preserved for a reason; leave them alone.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 871      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Maruzo, Hope  
**Address:** Bozrah, CT 06334  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:15:42  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Hope Maruzo

---

**Correspondence ID:** 872      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Field, Brian  
**Address:** Thornton, CO 80260  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 873    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hanlon, Stephen J  
**Address:** Los Angeles, CA 90049  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:15:49  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Steve Hanlon

---

**Correspondence ID:** 874    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Janusauskas, Matthew  
**Address:** Bourbonnais, IL 60914  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Thank you for your consideration of this important issue.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 875    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lupenko, Andy  
**Address:** Lemon Grove, CA 91945  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I urge you to choose alternative "C".

Sincerely,  
Andy Lupenko

---

**Correspondence ID:** 876    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mendousa, Tony  
**Address:** Orleans, MA 02653  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:16:36  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road; Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;



Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

I support "Alternative C"!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 877    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Brown, James R  
**Address:** Los Angeles, CA 90034  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:16:44  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
James R Brown

---

**Correspondence ID:** 878    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Harvey , Dawn  
**Address:** Highlands ranch , CO 80130  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Thank you

---

**Correspondence ID:** 879    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Deutsch, Eileen R  
**Address:** Port Townsend, WA 98368  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:17:19  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Eileen Deutsch

---

**Correspondence ID:** 880    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Granbury, TX 76049  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:17:36  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Jackie Demarais

---

**Correspondence ID:** 881    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tait, Ann  
**Address:** Pasadena, CA 91104  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Use Alternative C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 882    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Rasmussen, David  
**Address:** Plattsburgh, NY 12901  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:17:49  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 883    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lyon, Angela Treat  
**Address:** Kailua, HI 96734  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Grand Teton Superintendent David Vela I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 884    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** MORGAN, JOHN R  
**Address:** Woodstock, GA 30188  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support option "C" as the most appropriate for the stated purposes.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 885    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Robinson, Aaron  
**Address:** Chicago, IL 60606  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:18:26  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Aaron Robinson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 886    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ziegler, David R  
**Address:** Chicago, IL 60630  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is my favorite National Park. I've visited there five times for extended periods over the last thirty years. I love hiking around lakes such as Jenny and Signal Lakes, up to Lake Solitude and visiting the Museum at Colter Bay. Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

David R Ziegler

---

**Correspondence ID:** 887    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Patrovsky, Edward  
**Address:** Apple Valley, CA 92307  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am a longtime visitor to Grand Teton and Yellowstone National Parks. I prefer to come to the Parks to view wildlife in a natural and non-obstructive manner as possible. Park roads should not be managed as transportation thru-fares, but as a manner for visitors to get in touch with the Parks. I believe Alternative C for the Moose/Wilson road meets those criteria better than the alternative.

Thank you for consideration of my views.

-Ed Patrovsky

---

**Correspondence ID:** 888    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** wechter, michael s  
**Address:** arden, NC 28704  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** This seems to be a reasonable course of action to protect what the people come to see & experience

---

**Correspondence ID:** 889    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hannah, Pam W  
**Address:** Mobile, AL 36605  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support the suggested plan c for the road corridor in the grand Teton national park

---

**Correspondence ID:** 890    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bunch, Van  
**Address:** Signal Mountain, TN 37377  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:19:38  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Van Bunch

---

**Correspondence ID:** 891    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wise, Carol  
**Address:** Trenton, IL 62293-2211  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** In general, SAVE our National Parks !!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 892    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** sweetling, william R  
**Address:** halifax, UN b2y1x6  
Canada  
**Outside Organization:** self Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:20:18  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming, many people across the United States, and other countries as well, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 893    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Michel, Debora  
**Address:** Laguna Hills, CA 92653  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:20:43  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Debora Michel

---

**Correspondence ID:** 894    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gorn, Scott  
**Address:** New Britain, CT 06053  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:20:45  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Scott Gorn

---

**Correspondence ID:** 895    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Boone, Jim  
**Address:** Las Vegas, NV 89117  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

Grand Teton National Park is a special place, and I would hope that the NPS will protect the wildlife and other natural resources as the top priority.

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely, Jim Boone

---

**Correspondence ID:** 896    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tanz Kubota, Ria  
**Address:** El Sobrante, CA 94803  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am writing to support Alternative C, which offer more protection to wolves, grizzlies, moose and other wildlife while protecting people through decreasing conflict with wildlife.

Cars should be limited to 200 at a time on the Moose-Wilson corridor to reduce traffic and better protect the animals.

Alternative C would lengthen the "Death Canyon" hiking trail and add a new parking area for cars.

Reducing the speed limit to 20 mph would create more safety for animals and people; bicycle-friendly pavement would give cyclists more safety.

Science would be applied to future decisions as we learn more about how to balance the needs of recreation, protection of beauty and of wildlife, and safety of human visitors.

Int might serve well to consider a shuttle bus or other means of reducing ar traffic while giving access to the beauty of this magnificent Park beloved across the nation.

Thank you for considering my concerns.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 897    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Graver, Chuck E  
**Address:** Southampton, NJ 08088-1002  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor of Grand Teton.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 898    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hall, Jan  
**Address:** Worthington, OH 43085  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,  
I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 899    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A

**Address:** Seattle, WA 98115  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:22:22  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
Robert Blumenthal

---

**Correspondence ID:** 900    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Berna, Patricia  
**Address:** Underwood, IN 47177  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:22:25  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** How about electric tour buss or vans to reduce automobile traffic and emissions.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 901    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hunt, Bob  
**Address:** Washington, MO 63090  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:22:37  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Bob Hunt

---

**Correspondence ID:** 902    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Schmidt, Kati  
**Address:** San Francisco, CA 94117  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:22:45  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kati Schmidt

---

**Correspondence ID:** 903    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Swanson, Michael  
**Address:** Lancaster, PA 17603-2611  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:22:55  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Michael Swanson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 904    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Marysville, WA 98271  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Plan C makes the most sense.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 905    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hasty, Elizabeth  
**Address:** Reading, PA PA 19607  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:23:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.



Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth Hasty

---

**Correspondence ID:** 906    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McMullen , Laura  
**Address:** Sebring, FL 33875  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:23:11  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Laura McMullen

---

**Correspondence ID:** 907    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Zahn, Guy L  
**Address:** Riverside, CA 92506  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:23:51  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Guy L. (Larry) Zahn

---

**Correspondence ID:** 908    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** St Petersburg, FL 33707  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors

outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, the National Park Service should study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 909    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Spring Branch, TX 78070  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** It seems to me that alternative Plan C is very reasonable in protecting wildlife and yet letting the public enjoy our parks.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 910    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Burk, Joyce  
**Address:** Barstow, CA 92312  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear NPS,

The National Park Service has released the options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

- â€¢ Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
- â€¢ Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;
- â€¢ Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
- â€¢ Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;
- â€¢ Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;
- â€¢ Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;
- â€¢ Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

I would also like to encourage the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Sincerely,  
Joyce Burk

---

**Correspondence ID:** 911    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Homer, NY 13077  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:24:34  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious

resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Aaron Fumarola

---

**Correspondence ID:** 912    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bratvold, Gretchen  
**Address:** Minneapolis, MN 55406  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:25:18  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela:

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished not only by the residents of Wyoming but also by people across the United States. It is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Gretchen Bratvold

---

**Correspondence ID:** 913    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Seltzer, Rob  
**Address:** Malibu, CA 90265  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:25:23  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Rob Seltzer

---

**Correspondence ID:** 914    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** arvola, andarin A  
**Address:** Fort Bragg, CA 95437  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** THIS SENIOR CITIZEN, WHEN SHE WAS A CHILD, VISITED ALL THE NATIONAL PARKS IN THE US. IN THE TETONS MY FAMILY SAW A GRIZZLY. FABULOUS.  
DO YOUR JOB! PROTECT THE WILD LANDS AND WILD LIFE IN OUR NATIONAL PARKS - NOT YOUR PARKS.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 915    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A

**Address:** Sunnyvale, CA 94087  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C as the best alternative for preserving what the Tetons are about.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 916    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Friedman, Honey  
**Address:** New Orleans, LA 70123-2550  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 16:25:38  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Carolyn Honey Friedman

---

**Correspondence ID:** 917    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Riblett, Mary  
**Address:** Culver City, CA 90230  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 16:25:40  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 918    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Boerner, Gary  
**Address:** Spring, TX 77386  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 16:25:44  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your

approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Gary Boerner

---

**Correspondence ID:** 919    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stiehl, Joanna L  
**Address:** Portland, OR 97202  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:25:58  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Joanna Stiehl

---

**Correspondence ID:** 920    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Pippin-Emanuel, Patricia  
**Address:** Panama City, FL 32405  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Our parks are a National Treasure, they MUST be protected!!!! Nothing less is acceptable.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 921    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kay, Susan S  
**Address:** Vashon, WA 98070  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:26:38  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely, Susan Kay

---

**Correspondence ID:** 922    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** adsit, roy  
**Address:** portland, OR 97228  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** the whole idea of putting coal mining roads across roadless land is crazy!  
STOP all roads & mining in or across wild areas.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 923    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Weber, Zorina  
**Address:** montclair, NJ 07042  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please go with Plan C, it is the best choice for wildlife and for the environment.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 924    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Granlund, Fred  
**Address:** N. Hollywood, CA 91601  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:26:49  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Fred Granlund

---

**Correspondence ID:** 925    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** stillwater, MN 55082  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:26:54  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 926    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kenny, Patrick  
**Address:** Houston, TX 77007  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The Batiknsl Parks are a treasure and must be treated as such.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 927    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Shimasaki, E.  
**Address:** Glenview, IL 60025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Mr. Vela,

Please support the Tetons by supporting the NPCA "Alternative C."

The plan would:  
Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.  
In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

This is important and I urge you, Mr.Vela to protect the Tetons!  
Thank you,  
E.Shimasaki

---

**Correspondence ID:** 928    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Zissu, Thomas  
**Address:** Woodbury, CT 06798  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear sirs,

Please use the alternative which leaves the smallest foot print.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 929    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** CRUGER, KURT  
**Address:** LONG BEACH, CA 90803  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We must preserve that which is unique and unspoiled for once its been changed it cannot be undone.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 930    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** fairfield, CT 06825  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** preserve land,now!!!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 931    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** jordan, sheila Jordan  
**Address:** BERKELEY, CA 94703  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** 1945 Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Please protect the wildlife. My time in the Tetons 20 years ago was one of the most memorable experiences of my life. It is immense and beautiful. We ran into bears and moose, we scaled mountains and crossed great brooks. It is critical that foresight is used in limiting cars and investing in public transit. We are attempting to visit as many of the wonderful National Parks as necessary. Our travels have revealed any # of Parks who have instituted significant limits on cars. We loved the ability to travel by transit. Thank you,  
Sheila Jordan  
Martin Nicolaus

---

**Correspondence ID:** 932    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Fanning, Susan  
**Address:** Point Pleasant, NJ 08742  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Susan Fanning

---

**Correspondence ID:** 933    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Millican, Diane L  
**Address:** Kirkland, WA 98033  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Please save our National Parks. They will soon be the only way future generations will be able to see real wild life.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 934    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Leach, Jason A  
**Address:** Keller, TX 76244  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Plan C sounds like the best one

---

**Correspondence ID:** 935    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Geist, Cathy  
**Address:** Minneapolis, MN 55406  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:29:45  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park, a park that I have visited and greatly appreciated.



As a supporter of the National Park System, a conservation biologist, and an environmental science instructor, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 936    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Betti, Mark  
**Address:** Sherman Oaks, CA 91423  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:29:57  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. \

Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 937    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jennings, Scott  
**Address:** New Orleans, LA 70118  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:30:08  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Scott Jennings

---

**Correspondence ID:** 938    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** O'Brien, J.  
**Address:** Houston, TX 77092-3311  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:31:05  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Jennifer O'Brien

---

**Correspondence ID:** 939    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Muss, N/A J  
**Address:** sacramento, CA 95819  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:31:06  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Jeff Muss

---

**Correspondence ID:** 940    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Boehler, Karen  
**Address:** Roswell, NM 88201  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:31:09  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Karen Boehler

---

**Correspondence ID:** 941     **Project:** 48252     **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jeavons, John  
**Address:** Willits, CA 95490  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please preserve this important area! Thanks.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 942     **Project:** 48252     **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dowson, Eleanor  
**Address:** Mill Creek, WA 98012  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:31:44  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

[your name here]

---

**Correspondence ID:** 943     **Project:** 48252     **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** MacKrell, Chris G  
**Address:** Long Beach, CA 90813  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**

I am writing in support of Alternative C. I believe that the Alternative C plan will better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife, and decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road.

Additionally, the plan will limit Moose-Wilson corridor vehicle access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer. Damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs will be replaced with a new parking area, continuing to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing. The current "footprint" of the road will be maintained with minor changes including a speed limit reduction from 25 to 20 miles per hour, "share the road" signs added, and bicycle-friendly pavement edges created so cyclists can leave the road without incident. The length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail will also be extended by one mile.

Finally, corridor management will be studied and adjusted over time, based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 944     **Project:** 48252     **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stoltenberg, John and Martha  
**Address:** Elkhart Lake, WI 53020-1828  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Save all wildlife!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 945     **Project:** 48252     **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Meyer, Joe A  
**Address:** Amery, WI 54001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Sandplay Therapists of America Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The new park plan seems like a good one for us and for the animals. Thanks

---

**Correspondence ID:** 946 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McMullen, Colleen  
**Address:** Kanab, UT 84741  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:32:40  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As one who has experienced the Grand Teton area and a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Colleen McMullen

---

**Correspondence ID:** 947 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sonin, John S  
**Address:** Juneau, AK 99801  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Civil Human Service Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** STOP the human spectacle intruding and rampaging through its own internal origins!  
MY organs (all of other species enable my efficient, waste-less, living quality and are NOT made (and functioning perfectly, undisturbed - I might add!) for another's entertainment!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 948 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Patten, Robin  
**Address:** Oklahoma City, OK 73115  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:33:10  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 949 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** groshardt, joanne

**Address:** richardson, TX 75081  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** David Vela - -- support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 950    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Martin, Drew  
**Address:** Lake Worth, FL 33460  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 16:33:38  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.  
In addition to the preferred plan please study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 951    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Delabre, Lisa M  
**Address:** Winthrop harbor, IL 60096  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect our wildlife !!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 952    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kerkhoff, Barbara  
**Address:** Somis, CA 93066-9783  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I urge you to adopt Plan C as recommended by the National Park Service.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 953    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Villanova , Carolyn  
**Address:** Pittsfield , MA 01201  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Private citizen Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Alternative C, please.  
I hope to visit some day with my daughter and her family.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 954    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Holthouse, Dave  
**Address:** Bellevue, NE 68123  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 16:35:05  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Dave Holthouse

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	955	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Columbia, James				
<b>Address:</b>	Bakersfield, CA 93306 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 16:36:05				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
James Columbia

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	956	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	LaBasco, Chris B				
<b>Address:</b>	Danville, CA 94526 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 16:37:21				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Chris LaBasco

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	957	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	Coatesville, PA 19320 United States of America				

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:37:33  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

As a frequent visitor to the Tetons, I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

I have seen the changes over the years that I visit the Tetons. Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Joan Bristol

---

**Correspondence ID:** 958    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Manhattan, IL 60442  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:37:50  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 959    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Brooklyn, NY 11204  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:37:58  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Services preferred plan, Alternative C best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 960    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cave, Robin R

**Address:** Stuart, IA 50250  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect the Grand Tetons and it's wildlife. With the growing population we must find alternative ways for the general population to visit and observe the beauty of our National Parks. Please study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 961    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Burstein, Mimi  
**Address:** Paoli, PA 19301  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I'm writing to comment about the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority.

I would also like to see the Park Service complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be used in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Mimi Burstein  
Paoli, PA

---

**Correspondence ID:** 962    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Swindell, Elak G  
**Address:** Waynesboro, GA 30830  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:39:14  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Elak Swindell

---

**Correspondence ID:** 963    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tennant, Allie  
**Address:** Ft Myers, FL 33905  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I want you to support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.



---

**Correspondence ID:** 964    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bosch, Milton  
**Address:** Napa, CA 94558  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing to show my support for Alternative C for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. As an outdoorsman, fisherman, hunter, biologist, chemist, and medical doctor, my exposure to nature and understanding of complexities are needed when considering the best of the three options. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

---

**Correspondence ID:** 965    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** miller, jean e  
**Address:** arlington, VT 05250  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please do all you can to protect these corridors

---

**Correspondence ID:** 966    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Martin, Ms. K.  
**Address:** Perry Hall, MD 21128  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:39:57  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely, Ms. K. Martin

---

**Correspondence ID:** 967    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Massey, Linda A  
**Address:** Seattle, WA 98026  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:40:20  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Linda A. Massey

---

**Correspondence ID:** 968    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Davidson, Judy  
**Address:** Montrose, CO 81403  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Although I love taking photographs of landscapes and wildlife in their natural surroundings, I agree with the NPCA that limiting traffic in this wildlife corridor is the right thing to do. We have loved our national parks to death and as people increasingly assert their "right" to go wherever they please, often endangering others as well as themselves, some serious changes need to be made in our national parks and monuments to protect people from endangering themselves as well as wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 969    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cristello , Dianna L  
**Address:** Uncasville , CT 06382  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please help keep American Homeland beautiful and by simply making the right choices for our captivating landscapes and beautiful...but defenseless and innocent wildlife. Our wildlife depends on us for protection. We need to be their voices and we need to be heard loud and clear! Please help by not making changes for our land and all it's habitants'...please...I beg of you. Not only for today's generation but for our future generations as well. This is America...the land of the free and the home of the brave...I'm proud to be American Indian and of my country and its people. All we ask is that it is preserved in its own right...thank you and God bless ...

---

**Correspondence ID:** 970    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Koopman, William  
**Address:** Olympia, WA 98513  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Teton grizzly bear

[Click Here to Send Your Comments to the National Park Service!](#)

(This link will take you to the National Park Service's Planning, Environment, & Public Commenting website.)

Take Action  
Dear William,

The historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas.

The popularity of the narrow roadway with both visitors and animals can create traffic jams and wildlife management challenges, particularly during the busy summer months.

To address congestion, park staff and outside experts have worked for the last decade to study wildlife use, historic sites, automobile, bicycle, and pedestrian use, and other factors to develop a new management plan for the corridor. Their goal is to protect these world-class resources while allowing visitors to continue to use and enjoy the roadway.

The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor have been released and we need your voice! Please join NPCA in supporting

"Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;

Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;

Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;

Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Take Action: Tell Grand Teton Superintendent David Vela that you support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

Here's how to submit your comments to the Park Service.

Step 1: Go to <https://parkplanning.nps.gov/commentForm.cfm?documentID=68894> and carefully follow the form instructions.

Step 2: Submit your comments by copying and pasting the sample message below into the web form. Please add any personal observations or stories that support your comments.

Step 3: Once you have completed all of the required fields on the form, click the gray "submit" button at the bottom of the page.

Sample message/comments

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

William Koopman

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	971	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	kelley, dorinda s				
<b>Address:</b>	portland, OR 97220 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 16:40:37				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I was driving on this highway when the car in front of me braked suddenly to avoid hitting an animal crossing the road. There are too many cars on this road and something has to change for both animals and drivers. Transit busses might work.				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	972	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Geist, Kathleen				
<b>Address:</b>	West Point, PA 19486 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Ms. Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	Member				
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I prefer Alternative C because this best protects wildlife, preserves the "wildness" of the visitor experience, and favors passive recreation activities. When I visit our National Parks, I am most interested in the natural beauty of the location, the wildlife viewing (especially birds and butterflies), and activities such as cross-country skiing.				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	973	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Bissell, Kelly				
<b>Address:</b>	Hill, NH 03243 United States of America				

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:40:47  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kelly Bissell

---

**Correspondence ID:** 974    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Graham, Karyn M  
**Address:** Tampa, FL 33604  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please support Alternative C The preferred plan for the Moose Wilson Road Cooridor! Thank you

---

**Correspondence ID:** 975    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Esser, Pamela J  
**Address:** Bloomfield, MI 48302  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Grand Teton is such a magical place and part of what makes it so are the animals that reside there in abundance. I agree with the National Park Service in their analysis of what will best work moving forward in caring for the visitors and the resident fauna. Please put my name in the column solidly behind their ideas on this matter. Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 976    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Popoff, Kathy  
**Address:** San Pedro, CA 90732-2272  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:41:38  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely, Kathy Popoff

---

**Correspondence ID:** 977    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Phillips, Karen

**Address:** Homosassa, FL 34446  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

As a summer employee in the area, our "down time" is spent hiking, kayaking and enjoying all the wonderful recreation activities the park has to offer. We have been particularly distressed by the speeding and disregard for safety many drivers have exhibited while traveling on the Mosse Wilson Road.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Karen and Al Phillips

---

**Correspondence ID:** 978    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Frost, Chris  
**Address:** Bridgewater, NJ 08807  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 16:41:46  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 979    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hess, Carolyn  
**Address:** Hertford, NC 27944-9373  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

We have always enjoyed our time in the Grand Tetons, particularly when our children were little and just learning about the great outdoors. We hope you

will continue to preserve and cherish the land as we have.

Sincerely,  
Bob & Carolyn Hess

---

**Correspondence ID:** 980    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wyatt, Cathy  
**Address:** Bainbridge Island, WA 98110  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:42:05  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Cathy Wyatt

---

**Correspondence ID:** 981    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Scaltrito, Marietta  
**Address:** Staten Island, NY 10312  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:42:08  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 982    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Allentown, PA 18103  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:42:19  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Amanda Joas

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	983	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Porter, Kathleen				
<b>Address:</b>	Centerport, NY 11721 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 16:42:34				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Porter

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	984	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Affolter, Angie				
<b>Address:</b>	Mundelein, IL 60060 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 16:42:50				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:** am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Angie Affolter  
Mundelein IL

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	985	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	Constable, NY 12926 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 16:43:14				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

---

**Correspondence ID:** 986 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Messing, Mark

**Address:** TRAVERSE CITY, MI 49684

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:43:35

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 987 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Heller , Michael

**Address:** Eldersburg , MD 21784

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:43:44

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Michael Heller

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 988 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894

**Name:** N/A, N/A

**Address:** Hobart, WA 98025

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**



**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:43:52  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Diane E George

---

**Correspondence ID:** 989    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bhattacharji, Lee C  
**Address:** Arkville, NY 12406  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:44:03  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely, Lee C. Bhattacharji

---

**Correspondence ID:** 990    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mariposa, Virginia  
**Address:** Santa Barbara, CA 93110  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Only a desperate, mercenary fool would consider profaning the Teton Mountains!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 991    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Walker, Max D  
**Address:** Anchorage, AK 99501  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Self Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please approved the preferred alternative.

Thank you very much. Max Walker

---

**Correspondence ID:** 992    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Durrum, Kathy  
**Address:** Aurora, CO 80017  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kathy Durrum

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.  
protect these world-class resources while allowing visitors to continue to use and enjoy the roadway.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 993    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** columbia, SC 29201  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan. Dr. Todd Beasley

---

**Correspondence ID:** 994    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hughes, Barbara C  
**Address:** Sanford, FL 32771  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Grand Teton is one of the noblest places in the world, and I've seen a lot other places. A picture my husband took is a favorite of ours, holding a place of honor on our photo wall. The animals there are the most special anywhere. We must take care of the whole Grand Teton world. If it is lost through encroachment or "development" our country and the world will be the poorer for it.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 995    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Matlin, Thelma  
**Address:** Reno., NV 89519  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I would like to endorse Alternative C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 996    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Spotts , James  
**Address:** Joshua Tree, CA 92252  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:48:01  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
James Spotts

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 997      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mangam, Susan  
**Address:** Tannersville, NY 12485  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Reducing human access is essential

---

**Correspondence ID:** 998      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sinclair, Karen  
**Address:** Grants Pass, OR 97527  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:48:36  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Karen Sinclair

---

**Correspondence ID:** 999      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mang, J. D  
**Address:** Jefferson Hills, PA 15025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Protect and defend our national parks

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1000      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Redmond, WA 98052  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:49:09  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your

approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Oleg

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1001	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	K, Jacqueline				
<b>Address:</b>	Long Beach, CA 90803 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear committee,				

I am writing to express support for Plan C, although it doesn't go far enough, regarding modifications to human access of Moose-Wilson Road corridor in Grand Teton National Park. As an avid hiker and frequent visitor to national parks, I prefer to enhance the experience of getting away into nature as a respite from modernity, which can truly happen when we reduce human impact in national parks. Equally significant, we have, at this time, a rare opportunity to mitigate negative encroachment while global warming is increasing the struggle for plants, trees and wildlife to survive right now. Plan C could help with this.

About a decade ago, the decision was made to ban individual cars from driving into a heavily car utilized portion of Zion National Park and instead provide buses that would transport the public into the park. There were of course the usual objections of impinging on someone's freedom to drive right up to a site, but the decision remained in place. It did not in any way interfere with my splendid time there. In fact, the benefits to me, my family, and the natural environment completely over took the minor objections. I found the absence of exhaust fumes and roar of car engines to be a necessary relief from the signs of modern life. All of Nature- -including this human's happiness- - was preserved.

A great contemporary example of leadership, Steve Jobs, once said, "Be a yardstick of quality. Some people aren't used to an environment where excellence is expected." Once great leadership makes a sound decision based on high standards, Everyone gets used to a high quality environment and in time come to recognize the benefits of achieved excellence.

We need strong, wise, and far seeing leadership on this issue.

Warm Regards,  
Jacqueline K.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1002	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	Atlanta, GA 30328 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 16:49:16				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
John Wienert

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1003    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** mongere, anne n  
**Address:** lewisville, TX 75077  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** National Parks are very important and need to stay protected.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1004    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Herich, Abigail  
**Address:** Saint Louis, MO 63074  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:50:05  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Abigail Herich

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1005    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Butler, Kathy  
**Address:** Fairfield, IA 52556  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:50:33  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kathy Butler

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1006    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Robinson, Janet  
**Address:** Boca Raton, FL 33433  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

Glacier National Park has shuttle buses as well as the big red buses. You should be able to implement something similar to get some cars off the road.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Thank you for considering my comments.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1007    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Corey, Sheffield  
**Address:** Saunderstown, RI 02874  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Private Individual Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:50:46  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1008    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Freeman , Amy C  
**Address:** Pelham, NH 03076  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Support Alternative C !

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1009    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Young, William H  
**Address:** Lynchburg, VA 24503  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** THE TETONS ARE DESERVING OF PROTECTION!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1010    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** g, c  
**Address:** san diego, CA 92122  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:51:04  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
c g

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1011    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** hansen, paula j  
**Address:** wheat ridge, CO 80033  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** It sounds like a well thought plan and should be implemented.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1012    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mitchell, William  
**Address:** Oakland, CA 94619  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Our Natioal Parks are a treasure please protect them.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1013    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gordon, Billie  
**Address:** Los Gatos, CA 95032  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:51:54  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilsonbillie Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
billie

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1014    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Keaton, Rebecca M  
**Address:** Tallahassee, FL 32304  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Plan C seems to be the best option for protecting the amazing wildlife in this great park.  
I visited years ago and would love to return.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1015    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** nylan, eric  
**Address:** bethesda, MD 20814  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:52:27  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Eric Nylan

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1016    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Esposito, Dan  
**Address:** Manhattan Beach, CA 90266  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please support Alternative C, thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1017    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ernst, Cathie  
**Address:** scottsdale, AZ 85262  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:53:13  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

[your name here]



Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
Cathie Ernst

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1018    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Fiedler, David M  
**Address:** Bensalem, PA 19020  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:53:23  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
David M. Fiedler

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1019    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Vorachek, Mary A  
**Address:** Salem, OR 97301  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:53:32  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Mary A. Vorachek

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1020    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Steijn, Alice  
**Address:** Roslyn, WA 98941  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Please support Alternative C concerning the use of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. I am a member of the National Parks Conservation Association, and agree with their concern over the safest utilization of this road with wildlife and humans in mind, with quality of the park in mind.

I also support the cessation of the sale of all plastic bottled water in the parks.

Looking at the possibility of small scale park transit options with minimizing of air pollution, and the use of alternative energy sources within the park.

Thanks, Alice Steijn

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1021    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tobin, Ralph W  
**Address:** Fort Worth, TX 76103-1433  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:53:36  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Ralph W. Tobin

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1022    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sanford, Nathaniel De'Von  
**Address:** Dayton, OH 45417  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Eco-Warriors@ Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Eco-Mobilize

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1023    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mathews, Don  
**Address:** Tiburon, CA 94920  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The Jackson Hole area and the Teton Mountains are extraordinarily beautiful, and I strongly support protections of the land, streams and wildlife in the vicinity. Please help protect them!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1024    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cathey, Gynette L  
**Address:** Elsberry, MO 63343-1219  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please take steps to ensure the safety of our wildlife. The attacks on the ESA must stop. We the people want our wild places and wildlife protected.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1025    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Komisarof, Jeff  
**Address:** Potomac, MD 20854  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Do whatever it takes to preserve the Grand Tetons- -it is an irreplaceable area.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1026    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A

**Address:** New Orleans, LA 70118-4951  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I write to support Alternative C for the Moose-Wilson corridor because limiting the number of cars to 200 at a time will best protect the unique ecological system and wildlife of the region, it will extend the "Death Canyon" trail by a mile and provide less damaging parking, reduce the speed limit and include continued study. By preserving this unique area, we will provide the best protections for the wildlife that makes it unique so that future generations of Americans can continue to be inspired by it. Excessive use will bring about degradation that will damage the area and its wildlife. The Moose-Wilson Corridor deserves our best protection.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1027    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Traweek, James L

**Address:** Springfield, VA 22151  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** NPS Representatives, please try to do more to help the natural treasures entrusted to you, and I well recognize that funding is always difficult. We, my family and I, ask that you do more in particular for the wild animals who are continually at risk from the cars and vehicles of fellow Americans. It's my observation that some National Park visitors who may not know the harm and damage their behavior may cause. Thanks

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1028    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Mitchell, Kenneth J

**Address:** Davidsonville, MD 21035  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:** Member

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am a supporter of the National Park System, and of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor, and I request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to its future management.

Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor. Currently, an increase in vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

Beyond Alternative C, I hope the Park Service will complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a natural-resource treasure for the United States, and it is our national responsibility to protect this precious resource for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for helping to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kenneth Mitchell

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1029    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Berkowitz, Julia

**Address:** Los Angeles, CA 90025  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** .

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1030    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Chen, Sau-Ha

**Address:** Tenafly, NJ 07670  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:55:35

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your

approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Sau-Ha Chen

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1031    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stone, Lisa  
**Address:** Houston, TX 77096  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:55:44  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely, Lisa E. Stone

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1032    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cowan, Donald J  
**Address:** Silver Spring, MD 20904-6310  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:55:50  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Donald J Cowan

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1033    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** WHITE, MSW, KAREN A  
**Address:** Houston, TX 77042  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** PLAN C AND NOTHING ELSE WILL DO.

WE HAVE TO MAKE CHOICES FOR THE FUTURE GENERATIONS AND NOT POLITICAL EXPEDIENCY. THANK YOU.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1034    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Fink, Brian  
**Address:** Philadelphia, PA 19130  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:56:35  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Brian Fink

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1035    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ceren, Albert  
**Address:** Ooltewah, TN 37363  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:56:48  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Albert G Ceren III

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1036    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Laguna Woods, CA 92637  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I urge you to support Alternative C. Our family has been several times, everyone loves it, don't spoil it.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1037    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wilson, Sharon L

**Address:** Seattle, WA 98115  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:57:53  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Sharon Wilson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1038    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** jacksonville, FL 32246  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** My family and I strongly support Alternative Plan C

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1039    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tierney, Robin  
**Address:** Branford, CT 06405  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:58:46  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing as a concerned citizen, who frequently visits our nations national parks, especially Grand Teton National Park. I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely  
Robin Tierney

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1040    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Santa Fe, NM 87507  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:58:46  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I believe the Grand Teton National Park is one of the star treasures of our park system.

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Denys Cope

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1041    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Evans, Pamela  
**Address:** Kemp, TX 75143-0644  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:58:46  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Pam Evans

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1042    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Swallow, Tarra L  
**Address:** Phoenix, MD 21131  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I strongly believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is an extremely gift, cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. I will never forget my visits to Grand Teton, they are etched in my memory. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Tarra Swallow

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1043    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ruggles, Derya  
**Address:** Vancouver, WA 98661  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The Grand Tetons are one of the rarest and most beautiful jewels we are privileged to steward.

In this climate of profit at any cost, there is pathological exploitation and shameful short-sightedness.

Don't let this happen.

Whatever it takes, protect the Grand Tetons.

Thank you,  
Derya Ruggles

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1044    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Cheney, WA 99004  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** please protect the Grand Teton National Park

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1045    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Garver, Pamela J  
**Address:** Salem, OH 44460  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:59:28  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Pamela Garver

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1046    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Marriott, Pat  
**Address:** Los Altos, CO 94024  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I write in support of Alternative C because it would

â€¢ Protect wildlife and decrease the potential for conflict between people and animals.

â€¢ Reduce traffic by limiting Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months.

â€¢ Extend the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;

â€¢ Continue to permit winter recreational access to the road for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing ;

â€¢ Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;

â€¢ Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges - - all in the interest of



safety;

â€š Continue study and management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

Please monitor and continue to consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1047    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mutzberg, John  
**Address:** Bethesda, MD 20814  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** School of Life Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support option C and thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1048    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bryan, Karol L  
**Address:** Lake Worth, FL 33467  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please consider alternative C for Grand Teton Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1049    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Van Deusen, Christina  
**Address:** Niagara Falls, NY 14301  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:59:44  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
C. Van Deusen

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1050    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Harpswell, ME 04079  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 16:59:49  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the

Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1051    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Haley, Patty A  
**Address:** Mt Sterling, KY 40353  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** All parks should be preserved.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1052    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jacques, Karen  
**Address:** Sacramento, CA 95811  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor. Vehicle traffic is always a detriment to wild areas and a threat to wildlife and it is best to limit it to the absolute minimum necessary to allow people into a wild area.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1053    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Smith, Carolyn B  
**Address:** Stoneville, ND 27048  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:01:06  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Carolyn Smith

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1054    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** hoenigman, vince e

**Address:** san francisco, CA 94123  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:02:58  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Vince Hoenigman

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1055 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Vinson, Dolores  
**Address:** Willow Grove, PA 19090  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I have reviewed the plans and I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", works best to protect the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Clearly Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources first as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I ask the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor. A very good idea.

I am Native American and the Grand Teton is a sacred place to us On a spiritual level. Protection of this park And its wildlife is your duty. It is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1056 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Detroit Lakes, MN 56501  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** For the reasons articulated by NPCA, I join them in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan. Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1057 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Purington II, Kenneth  
**Address:** Candia, NH 03034  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Sir  
Regarding the Moose Wilson. Road Corridor please support alternative C.  
Thank you very much and thanks everyone in your Department for what you do.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1058    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Huerta, John E  
**Address:** Elkins, WV 26241  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:04:34  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

John E Huerta

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1059    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Benford, Alan L  
**Address:** Manchester, CT 06042  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** U.S. Citizen Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

I have worked in Yellowstone 3 summers, and have visited GTNP many times while working in YNP. I have also visited both parks multiple times as a tourist. These places must be preserved and protected so my grandchildren can enjoy them as much as I have.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

[your name here]

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1060    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Perry, Bruce B  
**Address:** Springdale, AR 72762  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Environmental destruction must stop.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1061    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Coz, Ann  
**Address:** Nashville, TN 37215  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:04:59  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Ann Coz

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1062    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** STUMPF, LAWRENCE  
**Address:** PHOENIX, AZ 85018  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** U.S. Citizen Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:05:04  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

Greetings From Phoenix, Arizona:

Grand Teton National Park is one of my favorite NPS park areas in the whole United States!

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Lawrence E. Stumpf

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1063    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Crump-Doyle, Deborah K  
**Address:** FLORISSANT, MO 63031  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Save our parks for future generations. It is imperative that we save funding to protect them.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1064    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Henley, Jeanne M  
**Address:** Radford, VA 24143  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:05:27  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor. The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Jeanne Henley

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1065	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	brewer, ginger c				
<b>Address:</b>	pensacola, FL 32506 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 17:05:33				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Ginger Brewer

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1066	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	San Francisco, CA 94133 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please protect all National Park lands from human intervention				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1067	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	krulik, rich				
<b>Address:</b>	Irvington, NY 10533 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 17:06:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely

richardKrulik

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1068    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sapphire, Peggy  
**Address:** Craftsbury, VT 05826  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** My family & I traveled West in 1968...tent camping in national parks across the country - Grand Tetons, Yosemite, Rocky Mtn. National Park, Grand Canyon, and on & on. There is no rationale which can possibly be tolerable.  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1069    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Land, Martha J  
**Address:** Concord, CA 94518-1324  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:06:22  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Martha Land

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1070    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hurlburt, Richard L  
**Address:** Belfair, WA 98528  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please Protect all the wild animals in the National Parks, they deserve to be saved man is to protect not let them be killed off by Ranchers and Hunters, I believe they should be protected even outside of the Parks, they are part of the natural enviramnt and should be saved at all cost.

The wolves has helped to restore Yellowstone back to it natural habetat, even changed the course of rivers, Yellowstone is much healthier with wolves than without.

Warmly Yours Richard Hurlburt

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1071    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kaufman, Barry  
**Address:** Burbank, CA 91506  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** .National Park Conservation Association Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please support Alternative C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1072    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cottrell, Alson  
**Address:** N Tustin, CA 92705  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** National Parks Conervation Association Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:07:04  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Alson Cottrell

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1073    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gruenau, Douglas  
**Address:** Santa Fe, NM 87508  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:07:06  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As one who has traveled this beautiful road and enjoyed the wildlife along it, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more creative things could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Doug Gruenau

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1074    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cloud, Michael  
**Address:** Palmyra, NJ 08065  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual



**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:07:36  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Michael Cloud

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1075    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sobanski, Sandra  
**Address:** Brooklyn, NY 11218  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Please protect this area of the park this way!

Thank you!  
Sincerely,  
Sandy Sobanski

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1076    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Nazzaro, Patricia  
**Address:** Union, KY 41091  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C" plan for Grand Teton National Park. Because the Park is "home" to many different kinds of wildlife, their protection and well being is important to me. Maybe a small scale shuttle system could be considered as another transportation option for park visitors.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1077    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bofinger, Diane P  
**Address:** East Amherst, NY 14051  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:08:20  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Diane P. Bofinger

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1078	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Mackert, James L				
<b>Address:</b>	Lorain, OH 44053 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 17:08:27				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
James Mackert

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1079	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	jackson, richard s				
<b>Address:</b>	shelburne, VT 05482 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Additionally, please consider the advantages that overpasses and underpasses that have been seen in the Banff-TransCanada Highway are since these structures were implemented.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
R S Jackson

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1080	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	hill, bob				
<b>Address:</b>	groton, MA 01450 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:08:48  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Bob Hill

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1081    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Spragins, John D  
**Address:** Clemson, SC 29631  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Sierra Club Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:09:03  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1082    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** King, Marsha K  
**Address:** Topeka, KS 66614-1752  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:09:10  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1083    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Bridgewater, MA 02324  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Save the parks and the animals for my grand kids

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1084      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** DeCarlo, Anthony W  
**Address:** Kissimmee, FL 34741-7608  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Having visited Grand Teton 2 years ago I can attest to its magnificence. Our National Parks are a treasure and should be treated as such. Let all of us work to ensure all Americans and their children can see the beauty America has to offer its citizens.

Sincerely,  
Anthony W. DeCarlo

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1085      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wolongevicz, Patricia  
**Address:** Hanover, MA 02339  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:09:54  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Patricia Wolongevicz

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1086      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cohagen, Judy  
**Address:** Arlington, TX 76018  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:****Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00**Correspondence Type:** Web Form**Correspondence:** Anyone who truly loves wild creatures and natural environment will gladly accept and cooperate with any restrictions necessary to protect and preserve this habitat.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1087 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894**Name:** Ramirez, Carina**Address:** El Paso, TX 79907  
United States of America**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual**Affiliation:****Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00**Correspondence Type:** Web Form**Correspondence:** We must do whatever it takes to preserve our parks in their pristine state.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1088 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894**Name:** N/A, N/A**Address:** new york, NY 10026  
United States of America**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual**Affiliation:****Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:10:33**Correspondence Type:** Web Form**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1089 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894**Name:** Reese, Toby Ann**Address:** Valley City, OH 44280  
United States of America**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual**Affiliation:****Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00**Correspondence Type:** Web Form**Correspondence:** Please protect our national parks.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1090 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894**Name:** Nutini, Michael**Address:** Delray Beach, FL 33445-5993  
United States of America**Outside Organization:** Sierra Club Unaffiliated Individual**Affiliation:****Member****Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:11:12**Correspondence Type:** Web Form**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Michael Nutini

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1091    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Lewes, DE 19958  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please implement the wise suggestions to enable better care and freedom for park creatures.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1092    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bradshaw, Beverly  
**Address:** Fredericksburg, VA 22408  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We need to save our parks and our wildlife

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1093    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Pearson, Lynn M  
**Address:** Wheeling, IL 60090  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan, because of better protections for wildlife and people.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1094    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McCormick, Jean E  
**Address:** Cheraw, SC 29520  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** self Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please save the animals, for they are God's creatures and do not belong to us. We are only their caretakers. What will you say to God when they are gone?

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1095    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Allen, Johnnie  
**Address:** Cahokia, IL 62206  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** These bold actions would protect the wildlife that visitors want to see without putting addition stress on the animals.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1096    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kennedy, Linda  
**Address:** Oak Park, IL 60304  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:13:22  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1097    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Blair, Jean K  
**Address:** Glendale, AZ 85304  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:13:56  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Jean Blair

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1098    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sheridan, Michelle  
**Address:** Allentown, PA 18104  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:14:09  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service preferred plan Alternative C best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming people across the U.S. and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank-you for your good work to protect this special place.

Cordially,  
Michelle Sheridan

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1099    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Haemmerle, Joseph L  
**Address:** Rockaway , NJ 07866  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:15:36  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Joseph Haemmerle

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1100    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kimball, Larry  
**Address:** Cotopaxi, CO 81223  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management. Protecting the wildlife should be the highest priority.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1101    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** May, Hildy  
**Address:** Guerneville, CA 95446  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:15:40  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Hildy May

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1102    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Severns, Dayle A  
**Address:** Concord, VA 24538  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Having been to the Tetons, and loved the park and the environs, I am against anything that would cause any further disruption or any harm to the park and its wildlife. Option C would be the best.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1103    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sand, Margaret  
**Address:** Maynard, MA 01754  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:16:01  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form



**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

Margaret Sand

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1104	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Philleo, David				
<b>Address:</b>	Scotts Valley, CA 95066-3333 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Mr. Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	Member				
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 17:16:06				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
David G. Philleo

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
David G. Philleo

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1105	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Hartley, James W				
<b>Address:</b>	Arlington, VA 22207-1210 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 17:16:16				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the

Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

James Hartley

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1106    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Marshall, Ethel Ann  
**Address:** St Paul, MN 55106  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please follow Plan 3.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1107    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cowan, Keith W  
**Address:** Seattle, WA 98126  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:17:32  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

[your name here]

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1108    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stark, Tom  
**Address:** Colorado Springs, CO 80909  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The shuttle system and general speed reductions are all good ideas. Anything that protects the people and animals will be of great benefit>

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1109    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cleaver, Harry  
**Address:** Austin, TX 78704  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:17:53  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

Having been to the Park and climbed the Tetons, including Storm Peak in the midst of a storm, I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Harry Cleaver

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1110    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** LaBelle, Ernest  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83002  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I fully support the Preferred Alternative. I believe it is in the best interests of the Park, the wildlife community, and the general public

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1111    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Grams, Jon  
**Address:** Boulder, CO 80303  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** This plan sounds like a move in the right direction.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1112    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Johnson, Russell L  
**Address:** Norco, CA 92860  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:18:12  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Russell Johnson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1113    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Miyashiro, Marla  
**Address:** Richmond, CA 94803  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:18:14  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. I have been lucky enough to have enjoyed visits to Grand Teton twice in my life. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Marla Miyashiro

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1114    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Goldwater, Glenda  
**Address:** Portland, OR 97214  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I urge you to select Alternative C as the best plan of action.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1115    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Marotta, Tracy  
**Address:** Brooklyn, NY 11214  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:18:33  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Tracy Marotta

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1116    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Seff, Joshua  
**Address:** McKinney, TX 75070-5815  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:18:38  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Joshua Seff

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1117    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Arnold, Paul M  
**Address:** Osceola, MO 64776  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** save our national parks for our children and theirs

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1118    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Futrell, Sherrill  
**Address:** Davis, CA 95618  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Alternative C would protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves and other wildlife, and decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road. Thanks.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1119    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Garratt, Liz  
**Address:** Indianapolis, IN 46234  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Because I want wildlife to be protected, I support Alternative C.  
Thanks,  
Liz Garratt

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1120    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Abdel-Gawad, Aliaa K  
**Address:** Tucson, AZ 85704  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:20:36  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Aliaa K. Abdel-Gawad

In closing, Happy Holidays!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1121    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Schneider, N. F  
**Address:** Baltimore, MD 21210-2701  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** We are writing in support of alternate C in regards to the Moose-Wilson corridor.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1122    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lenk, Vivienne  
**Address:** Little Neck, NY 11363  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:21:48  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I hope you will listen to the Park Service (Option "C") in addressing the issues surrounding the Grand Tetons National Park. It's an amazing area -- as is RMNP and as is Denali. That being said, given the unfortunate human proclivity for doing irresponsible things in our National Park, I believe a traffic "plan" ought to have incentives to get people out of their cars and into buses -- similar to RMNP set up and the buses in Denali. YOU can sell the bus idea easily -- I was delighted in RMNP last summer when I took the bus and left my car. I was sitting very high up -- and that gives one a view of things that are easily missed when in a regular vehicle. Plus, "after hours" when most people are preparing for dinner and evening activities, there is ample opportunity to drive on one's own without all the traffic. I think that, for example, one incentive to get out of the car and use a bus system, could be offering each person who rides the bus a "coupon" for maybe .50 cents off at a park concession, or something like that..... Thanks for listening to my comments.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1123    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Henderson, Michael  
**Address:** Huntington Beach, CA 92649  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:22:10  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a National Park System supporter, I strongly believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Michael Henderson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1124    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Yurchuck, Ruth a  
**Address:** Tucker, GA 30084-1566  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:22:18  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1125    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hofheins, Paul  
**Address:** Tonawanda, NY 14223  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** bhjkj,h

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1126    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McGlinch, Suzan J  
**Address:** Hasbrouck Heights, NJ 07604  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We must protect our national parks for future generations and to help save our planet!!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1127    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Christopher, Bruce W  
**Address:** Hoffman Estates, IL 60169  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:23:08  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Bruce W. Christopher

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1128    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Causer, Richard  
**Address:** Flagstaff, AZ 86001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** It is always best to do something properly when possible. Doing it shoddy only makes it more expensive when it must be redone.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1129    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Zappala, Sam  
**Address:** Mullica Hill, NJ 08062  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I feel the changes better for the animals; not to mention the visitors.

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the

Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1130    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** San Jose, CA 95123  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support helping our wildlife live naturally in their environment.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1131    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Boise, ID 83709-5302  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:24:01  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

Jim May

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1132    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Heilemann, Dave  
**Address:** Pahoa ex, HI 96778  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** As e America's most beautiful park!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1133    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Rogers, Lilith  
**Address:** Sebastopol, CA 95473  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Rachel Carson Returns Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:24:12  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form



**Correspondence:**

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Lilith Rogers

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1134	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	Honolulu, HI 96822 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Grand Teton Superintendent David Vela,				

I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan for these reasons:

Alternative C will better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

Alternative C will limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer.

Alternative C will extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area.

Alternative C will continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing.

Alternative C will maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes.

Alternative C will reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident. Thank you.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1135	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	krygowski, richard j				
<b>Address:</b>	ponte vedra beach, FL 32082 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please maintain the current foot print of the road and protect the natural area for wildlife. I would prefer to see less human access to this corridor and less motor vehicle traffic.				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1136	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Snyder, Robert				
<b>Address:</b>	North Syracuse, NY 13212 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 17:25:37				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Robert Snyder

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1137    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Anderson, Karen L  
**Address:** Rollinsville, CO 80474  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear National Park Service,  
Allow me to begin by saying that I love the National Park system. Every since my son was four years old, and he is now 25, we have extensively visited and camped in the park system.  
I have just read that after years of careful study you have a Moose Wilson draft ready. From my reading I would support alternative C. We love the Tetons. What a great idea to lower the speed from 25 to 20.  
Given the extreme popularity of these parks, and the wonderful wildlife that live in them, shuttles are also a great idea.  
Time and time again I tell friends that in the parks you see people everywhere - shooting animals...with their cameras!  
My son and I support alternative C.  
Thank you for being interested in my comments,  
Sincerely,  
Karen Anderson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1138    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Chi, AniMae  
**Address:** Ojai, CA 93023  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:25:46  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1139    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** swopes, eileen k  
**Address:** strafford, MO 65757-8378  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please use the Plan C that is in this email/

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1140    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hatsis, Elaina D  
**Address:** Kittery, ME 03904  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please support Alternative C for the well being of our wildlife and the safety of our people.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1141    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Newman, Suzanne K  
**Address:** Orinda, CA 94563  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** All parks and wildlife should be protected forever!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1142    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Rybka, Michelle  
**Address:** Oak Lawn, IL 60453  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:26:25  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1143    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Simone, Louise P  
**Address:** Washington, DC 20008  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:26:29  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1144    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Williams, Charles  
**Address:** Va beach, VA 23456  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** J

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1145    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Meyer, Eric  
**Address:** Fairfield,Iowa, IA 52556  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:27:37  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1146    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sandel, Petrea  
**Address:** Pasadena, CA 91104  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I heartily support Alternative C. I stand with NPCA.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1147    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** DeFoggi, Virginia j  
**Address:** Boise, ID 83702  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Grand Teton is a gift cherished by people across the United States, and the world. It is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1148    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** PALM, R  
**Address:** DS, TX 78620  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:28:12  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** ONLY PEDESTRIAN TRAFFIC SHOULD BE ALLOWED!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1149    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** State College, PA 16801  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I visited Grand Teton National Park several years ago and it is one of my favorite parks that I have visited. The landscapes and wildlife in this park are just superb, and we need to do all that we can to preserve that experience.

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Amy Griffin

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1150    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Watts, Elizabeth  
**Address:** Lynbrook, NY 11563  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:28:48  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth Watts

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1151    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** percy, patrick r  
**Address:** baton rouge, LA 70820  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:29:07  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** As a frequent visitor since 1947, before the Rockerfellers helped to create Grand Teton, I know this is not a new problem. One of the problems is this road does not even fall within the boundaries of the park. Unless I am mistaken, this road runs from the western side of the Snake River as one approaches Teton Pass north past Teton Village to one of the south entrances to Grand Teton. In my experience, the portion of the road that lies between the south entrance and ending at Moose Junction has never been a problem. The problem lies on the privately owned, heavily settled portion of the road which begins at Wilson and extends to Teton Village. So, my question is are we petitioning the right agency??? Certainly I support every effort to protect the precious wild life I have enjoyed for 70 years.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1152    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jarvis, Noel  
**Address:** Lafayette, IN 47909  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please help!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1153    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Rabinowitz, Rebecca  
**Address:** Reading, PA 19605-3292  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:30:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Rebecca Rabinowitz

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1154    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Santa Ana, CA 92701  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:30:06  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Phaedra M  
A mother who would really like her children to see this park someday.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1155    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** rammel, vicki a  
**Address:** mechanicsburg, OH 43044  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I sign email's that come to me for support of our parks. I believe we need to keep park's protected from fracking, deforestation, polluted water and extinction of animal's. Problems seem to be about corporation's making money off the land that should be left untouched. Is nothing sacred in this country?

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1156    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Graff, Wanda  
**Address:** Canby, OR 97013  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:31:14  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
Wanda Graff

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1157    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Rubenstein, Harvey M  
**Address:** Hockessin, DE 19707-1928  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:31:29  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing about the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park.. As a supporter and visitor of the National park System, I believe the Park Service preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you select Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has limited the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect the wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while providing a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and I have enjoyed the beauty of the area in a past visit. It is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this this unique place.

Sincerely,  
Harvey M. Rubenstein

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1158    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Nichols, Carmen  
**Address:** Chandler, AZ 85225  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** It is time to stop allowing the destruction of our world. All creatures have the right to be here and since many of the areas in which some creatures have come from are areas that we utilize we must not kill them to make the area more for only humans rather than the creatures that live there all their lives. Animals have the same rights to live in this world as we do, let's give them that opportunity and use our brains to make things easy for the creatures as well as ourselves. This world is a beautiful place with the animals living in it, let's keep it that way.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1159    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wilson, Richard G  
**Address:** Oceanside, CA 92054-2267  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:32:08  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Richard Wilson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1160    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bradshaw, John  
**Address:** charlotte, NC 28212  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:32:17  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
John Bradshaw

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1161    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dahoda, Jeff  
**Address:** Boulder, CO 80305  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:32:18  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I was just at Grand Teton NP in the summer of 2014 and was able to visit the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor, and I am writing you regarding planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Jeff Dahoda



---

**Correspondence ID:** 1162    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mitchell, Robert W  
**Address:** Lexington, KY 40502  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:32:19  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am writing to ask you to support "Alternative C" for the historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor in Grand Teton National Park.

The plan would:

1. Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
2. Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;
3. Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
4. Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;
5. Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;
6. Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident; and
7. Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

Thank you for reading this.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1163    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Frey, Lawrence O  
**Address:** Villa Park, IL 60181  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:32:56  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
L.Frey

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1164    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Beatini, Tom  
**Address:** Hillsdale, NJ 07642  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:32:57  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** ear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious

resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Tom Beatiini

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1165    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** mittig, william p  
**Address:** mariposa, CA 95338  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:33:12  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1166    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Smith, Donald H  
**Address:** Capitan, NM 88316  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please support alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.  
In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1167    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Monie, Sherry  
**Address:** Damascus, OR 97089  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:33:33  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious

resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Sherry Monie

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1168    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Whiteside, Catherine  
**Address:** Katy, TX 77450-5478  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:33:40  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Catherine Whiteside

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1169    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Baclija, Martin  
**Address:** Indio, CA 92203  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:33:44  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Martin Baclija

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1170    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** St. George, UT 84770  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Please protect the the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. It is such a wonderful place to visit and it critical to the animals that call the area home. It is a great idea to limit the number of vehicles to reduce the congestion, noise and pollution in the area. It would be wonderful to have more authorized pull-offs for safe viewing of the animals. The speed limit should definitely be lowered. I like the plan you have set out. Please also continue to study other options for visitors. That is a great idea.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1171    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Pooler, Carole  
**Address:** Chicago, IL 60625  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:34:50  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1172    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Houck, Faith  
**Address:** Tamarac, FL 33321  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:35:07  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1173    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Walker, Charlotte M  
**Address:** Steelville, MO 65565  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Dr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Alternative C serves the public & protects wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1174    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cencula, David  
**Address:** Sparks, NV 89441  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** N/A Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** please support Alternative C. Thank you

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1175    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Chisholm, Jeanne  
**Address:** Bethesda, MD 20817  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support alternative "C".

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1176    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hukee, Julie  
**Address:** Basye , VA 22810  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:35:37  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I feel that closing the Moose to Wilson road to all summer traffic would be the best remedy to save the wildlife. In fall the bears must be active feeding along that road and I can hardly believe that bicycles are allowed through an active bear area. Perhaps Park controlled vehicles such as snow coaches could enable visitors to travel the area to see the winter wildlife. I lived in the West for 45 years and have enjoyed the Tetons twice a year during that time. Areas should be available for tourists but not at the detriment to wildlife. Grizzlies need all the space they can travel without human interference.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1177    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Morrissey, Jerry  
**Address:** San Antonio, TX 78259  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:36:17  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am outdoors person who periodically visit the Grand Tetons and Yellowstone Park region. I support alternative C of the Moose-Wilson Draft EIS. I have been concerned for years about the reducing of human impact on the wildlife of this region and have commented several times on transportation plans for Yellowstone Park. I support more mass transit options and restrictions for the Grand Teton Park transportation. I have visited Denali NP twice and found the bus access appropriate for that park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1178    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wasgatt, A  
**Address:** Roseville, CA 95678  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is such a special place, that I can hardly wait until my next visit. Please continue to do all in your power to protect and enhance its special qualities.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Ann Wasgatt

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1179    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Tampa, FL 33647  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. My family treasures the National Park System and we visit at least one new park each year. I look forward to visiting Grand Teton National Park.

Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Dr. Daphne Lambright

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1180    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gordon, Janet  
**Address:** Fairdale, KY 40118  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** As a girl in the 1950's and 1960's my parents made sure we 3 kids knew our country. I've traveled to every State except Hawaii and Louisiana. I've seen the National Parks and many, many State and Local parks. I remember the sights I saw - Mesa Verde, Grand Canyon, Zion, Tetons, Yellowstone, Mt. Rushmore, Everglades, Mt Rainier, Mt Katahdin, Adirondack Park, Denali - and many, many more. They MUST be preserved and taken care of. They are the gems of the United States. When they are gone, they are gone forever. We must be responsible stewards of our land.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1181    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Seattle, WA 98117  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect public parks. We need to realize that our connection with Mother Nature is our mental stability.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1182    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Savage, Craig  
**Address:** Shoreline, WA 98155  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please support this proposal from your own National Park Service!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1183    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tirrell, Elaine G  
**Address:** Boston, MA 02129  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** This is in support of Alternative plan "C" as the preferred plan to protect the Grand Teton historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor, one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose, wolves and other wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1184    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kartman, Sue  
**Address:** Fifield , WI 54524  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:38:56  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I

believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Sue Kartman

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1185    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Glascock, Katherine H  
**Address:** Studio City, CA 91607-4136  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The National Park Service has been protecting our public grounds and we must support this good work. The careful planning behind its amenities for visitors and residents alike requires our support and understanding.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1186    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Allen, Susan M  
**Address:** Livermore, CA 94551  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We need to preserve these for future generations.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1187    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kappy, Glen  
**Address:** Albuquerque, NM 87106  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please choose alternative plan c for the GRTE Moose-Wilson Draft EIS. Thank you!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1188    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Zinn, Andrea  
**Address:** Brooklyn, NY 11210  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:40:02  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Andrea Zinn

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1189    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** GILBERT, TIMOTHY  
**Address:** Chesterfield, VA 23838  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support option C

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1190    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hissom, Terry J  
**Address:** Richmond, KY 40475  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Library Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Keep America Beautiful!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1191    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Beldin, Joan  
**Address:** Portland, OR 97203-1570  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:41:21  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Joan Beldin

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1192    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Knight, Julia  
**Address:** Albuquerque, NM 87106  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:41:34  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Julia Knight

---



**Correspondence ID:** 1193    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Elsasser, Fred  
**Address:** Tega Cay, SC 29708  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** 1947 Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please help us protect the wildlife along Moose Wilson Rd. It was one of our favorite roads when we visited Jackson Hole several times 10 years ago.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1194    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hedrick, Erick L  
**Address:** Danville , IN 46122  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** National parks belong to the nation, not private businesses. Let them look for fossil fuels in their private back yards that they paid for.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1195    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** New Orleans, LA 70117  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support the "alternate C" plan for the park and urge you to adopt it!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1196    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Siewert, C.  
**Address:** Madison, WI 53716  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Alternative C is the preferred plan because it does a better job

--protecting grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife and decreases the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road

--limiting Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer

--extending the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area

--creating a bicycle-friendly road by reducing the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1197    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Nedeau, Elden J  
**Address:** Muskegon, MI 49441  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:43:06  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1198    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Laslie, Maude A  
**Address:** Tacoma, WA 98422  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:43:21  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our privilege and responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Maude A. Laslie

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1199    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** kingston springs , TN 37082  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** It is very important that we keep the corridors for the wildlife of this region open and in healthy conditions.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1200    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kuhlman, Lewis J  
**Address:** La Crosse, WI 54601  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:44:21  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1201    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Terrell, Walter

**Address:** NYC, NY 10465  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect Grand Teton National Park and It's Environs.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1202    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, Serge  
**Address:** Salem, OR 97303  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 17:44:36  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** It would be Wise to leave this area alone, thank you very much :):)

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1203    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Brown, Anna Marie C  
**Address:** Spooner, WI 54801  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 17:44:48  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Anna Marie Brown

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1204    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** willett, victoria b  
**Address:** Sterling, VA 20165  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 17:45:07  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Victoria Willett

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1205    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Heck, Nancy R  
**Address:** Santa Maria, CA 93454  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please go with Plan C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1206    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Rosenberger, Paul C  
**Address:** Decatur, IL 62526  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Decatur Audubon Society (IL) Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect as much of our country's natural areas as possible so our future generations can enjoy what we've seen in our lifetime.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1207    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Fasano, Marianne  
**Address:** Batavia, IL 60510  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:46:06  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Marianne Fasano

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1208    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Helmick, Charles G  
**Address:** Atlanta, GA 30306  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Grand Teton is a gift I've cherished since 1969; residents of Wyoming and people across the United States have as well. Please protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1209    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Silverdale, WA 98315  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:46:17  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** The plan would:  
Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1210    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Brown, Vera J  
**Address:** Redwood City, CA 94065-1338  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1211    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Berauer, Bernard F  
**Address:** Homosassa, FL 34448-5553  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Teton grizzly bear

[Click Here to Send Your Comments to the National Park Service!](#)

(This link will take you to the National Park Service's Planning, Environment, & Public Commenting website.)

Take Action  
Dear Bernard,

The historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas.

The popularity of the narrow roadway with both visitors and animals can create traffic jams and wildlife management challenges, particularly during the busy summer months.

To address congestion, park staff and outside experts have worked for the last decade to study wildlife use, historic sites, automobile, bicycle, and pedestrian use, and other factors to develop a new management plan for the corridor. Their goal is to protect these world-class resources while allowing visitors to continue to use and enjoy the roadway.

The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor have been released and we need your voice! Please join NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.  
In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Take Action: Tell Grand Teton Superintendent David Vela that you support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

Here's how to submit your comments to the Park Service.

Step 1: Go to <https://parkplanning.nps.gov/commentForm.cfm?documentID=68894> and carefully follow the form instructions.

Step 2: Submit your comments by copying and pasting the sample message below into the web form. Please add any personal observations or stories that support your comments.

Step 3: Once you have completed all of the required fields on the form, click the gray "submit" button at the bottom of the page.

Sample message/comments

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Bernard Berauer

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1212	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Baker-Smith, Gerritt and Elizabeth				
<b>Address:</b>	East Stroudsburg, PA 18301 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 17:46:35				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

We are writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporters of the National Park System, we believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. We encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is an amazing and wonderful place. Once it's irresponsibly 'messed with', it will never be the same. it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1213	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Stevens, Carol				
<b>Address:</b>	Lynnwood, WA 98037-5509 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

- â€¢ Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
- â€¢ Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;
- â€¢ Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
- â€¢ Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;
- â€¢ Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;
- â€¢ Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;
- â€¢ Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1214    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cannon, Thomas  
**Address:** Haslett, MI 48840-8441  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:47:27  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Tom Cannon

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1215    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Vienna, VA 22180  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:47:43  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Genevieve Miller

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1216    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Acker, Mike

**Address:** Vancouver, WA 98685  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am writing in support of alternative C for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Thank you

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1217    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Spengler, Brett W  
**Address:** Durant, IA 52747/9704  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Sincerely, Brett Spengler

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1218    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Pretlow, Theresa P  
**Address:** Cleveland, OH 44120  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I prefer Plan C. It would continue to allow use of the area as well as increase safety for both people and wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1219    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ingemi, Lynn M  
**Address:** Bridgewater, NJ 08807  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The National Parks need to be protected and supported because they benefit all Americans and inspire us to enhance, and enrich our lives. Many of us will never be able to truly experience the beauty of Mother Nature until we have gone to a National Park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1220    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Fulcher, Diane  
**Address:** Raleigh, NC 27604-2339  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** S

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1221    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Campbell, George H  
**Address:** Pine Bluff, AR 71611-7654  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am for Alternate Plan C. It seems to benefit the animals as well as visitors to the park.



---

**Correspondence ID:** 1222    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hoke, Beth  
**Address:** Wells, ME 04090  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:49:44  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;

Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;

Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;

Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1223    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Halligan, Michele A  
**Address:** Chico, CA 95928  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:50:23  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place. The children of the future will also thank you.

Sincerely,

Michele Halligan

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1224    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** van Oers, Tricia  
**Address:** Pawling, NY 12564  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** To Whom It May Concern:  
I strongly support the Alternative C Plan. I believe it will be best for the Park if this Plan is implemented.  
Sincerely,  
Tricia van Oers

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1225    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cousins, Virginia O  
**Address:** Tallmadge, OH 44278  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Do whatever you can to save our parks for future generations!!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1226    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Katz, Ron  
**Address:** Huntington Woods, MI 48070  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:51:46  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1227    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Simpkins, Irv  
**Address:** Salem, NJ 08079  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:52:04  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Irv Simpkins

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1228    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ugolik, Lori L  
**Address:** Macon, GA 31211  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** tax paying citizen Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:52:23  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I would like to see this corridor off limits to cars, and used for hikers, snowshoeing, and park rangers, to allow wildlife to be wild!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1229    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Walton, John  
**Address:** Gualala, CA 95445  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:52:30  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
John Walton

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1230    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Davis, S.  
**Address:** Bristol, CT 06010  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:52:36  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
S Davis

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1231    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Moscatello, Brian K  
**Address:** Cape May Court House, NJ 08210  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:52:40  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Bian Moscatello

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1232    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Springfield, MO 65810  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:52:56  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1233    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** O'Connell, Kimberley A  
**Address:** Seguin, TX 78155  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** What we do to the planet, we do to ourselves

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1234    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lewis, O  
**Address:** Los Angeles, CA 90009  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:53:43  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I'm writing in regards to the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

o lewis

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1235    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Maher, Linda  
**Address:** Newport Beach, CA 92658  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Grand Teton Superintendent David - II support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1236    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hartman, Jenifer  
**Address:** Glenview, IL 60026  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:53:57  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Jenifer Hartman

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1237    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, Jan  
**Address:** Mount Vernon, IL 62864  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** It seems that the proposed changes/improvements have a lot of 'common sense' attached to them. We do need to continue access to our national parks and also need to protect them.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1238    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** paleias, linda  
**Address:** ft. lauderdale, FL 33308  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** My husband and I join NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan regarding the National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

The historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

thank you,  
Linda and Lewis Paleias

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1239    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Weirich, Art G  
**Address:** Ft.Edward, NY 12828  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:55:24  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Art Weirich

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1240    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Shoemaker, Lisa  
**Address:** Empire, MI 49630  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am backing you on this!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1241    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** coloohy, pamel a  
**Address:** cobleskill, NY 12043  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** State University of NY Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:56:13  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Pam

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1242    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hilton, Bill  
**Address:** Sunnyvale, CA 94087  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:56:18  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1243    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Emerson, Jan  
**Address:** New York, NY 10032  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please consider Alternative C for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor to preserve and protect the park and its wildlife. Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1244    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sellon, Kim  
**Address:** New Providence, NJ 07974  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:57:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Kim Sellon

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1245    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** LeClair, Peg  
**Address:** Lee, MA 01238  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:57:09  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1246    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hahn, Theodore  
**Address:** North Scituate, RI 02857  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am voicing my support for alternative C

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1247    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dunn, Timothy J  
**Address:** Babylon, NY 11702-2634  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:58:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Timothy J Dunn

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1248    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Werner, Shahla M  
**Address:** Madison, WI 53714  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing to ask that you implement Alternative C in managing the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor at Grand Teton National Park in order to best protect the natural resources and beauty of this fragile area.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but more should be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for future generations. Thank you for considering my comments, and for all the hard work you do every day to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Shahla M. Werner

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1249    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Rosenberg, Steven  
**Address:** Brooklyn, NY 11201  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:58:12  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.



The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Steven Rosenberg

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1250    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Szymanowski, Paul  
**Address:** Curtice, OH 43412-0074  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:58:37  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1251    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Rosenfeld, William A  
**Address:** Dover, DE 19901  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:58:46  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** To me "B" has the best combination of fairly controlled habitat disturbance while maintaining access to a wide range of visitors.

"C" is attractive, but having areas open on some days but not others makes it very difficult to plan, especially to a remote, almost entirely outdoors experience where the vagaries of weather limit the ability to design "Plan B"s".

I would opt for whichever plan disturbs the animals and the habitat the least. Closures for one or two days a week seems to be becoming popular and I can live with this, if the science is there. As a matter of fact, I'd rather have the road completely closed if access causes significant environmental degradation.

I could have taken some time to cut and paste the alternative, but maybe in the future alternatives could be provided side-by-side so as to compare each parameter more clearly. A list of pluses and minuses would have been helpful, though I'm sure that it's contained somewhere in all of your considerations and documents.

Thank you for your work and the opportunity to comment.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1252    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Masuda, Carol  
**Address:** Tucson, AZ 85716  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Good management is so essential in order to keep the Grand Teton healthy and wildlife thriving. Since so many people love our national parks, let's keep them beautiful and a pleasure to visit.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1253    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mondazze, gina  
**Address:** hollywood, FL 33021  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 17:58:56

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Gina Mondazze

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1254    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Donovan, Patrick M  
**Address:** Brooklyn, NY 11238  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support the plan to:

\* Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

\* Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

\* Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;

\* Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

\* Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;

\* Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;

\* Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1255    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Nashua, NH 03060  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support the plan to help grizzlys thrive!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1256    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Brinkley, John M  
**Address:** Eugene, OR 97405  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** McKenzie Flyfishers Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1257    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Schweizer, Scott

**Address:** Norwalk, CT 06851  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Support the C

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1258    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lash, Cal  
**Address:** Glendale, AZ 85304  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** animals first, environment second, humans too many

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1259    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gordon, Jessie  
**Address:** Cleveland, OH 44022  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Anything we can do to maintain the earth the way it is supposed to be, allowing animals to live in peace and keep natural beauty for as long as we can, I support entirely.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1260    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Britton, Bill  
**Address:** Livermore, CA 94550  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:01:53  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Bill Britton

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1261    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cate, Darnell  
**Address:** Saint Petersburg, FL 33713  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:02:29  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
Darnell Cate

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1262    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Eddy, Nancy B  
**Address:** Pittsburgh, PA 15208  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** National Park Conservation Association Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** To David Vela of Grand Teton National Park,  
I support Alternative C for managing the Moose-Wilson Road corridor into the park. Having visited Grand Teton this summer I have a recent feel for what that road is like and think limiting the traffic on it will be a good thing for park visitors and wildlife as well. Since I am hoping to become a park volunteer in the summer I know I will be back to experience the park in the hundredth year of the National Park Service and to camp and hike in the Tetons as I am helping other visitors enjoy this national treasure. Thank you for the work that you do, so often without the financial support that you and your staff need to keep our park safe and well maintained.

Nancy Eddy, Pittsburgh, PA

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1263    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bennett, Virginia H  
**Address:** Honolulu, HI 96822  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** National Audubon Society Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 18:02:53  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1264    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jevne, Lucretia  
**Address:** Vacaville, CA 95688-3811  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 18:03:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1265    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** k, m  
**Address:** Gainesville, FL 32605  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:03:17  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
mk

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1266    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Proudfit, Linda  
**Address:** Chesterton, IN 46304  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:03:51  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be used in the corridor to reduce pollution.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Linda Proudfit

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1267    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Absarokee, MT 59001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor - I support "Alternative C." Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1268    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dufficy, Judy N  
**Address:** Skokie, IL 60076  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:04:14  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Judy Dufficy

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1269    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Angel, John  
**Address:** Palm Harbor, FL 34684  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:04:15  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

John Angel PhD

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1270    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Houdashelt, Mark L  
**Address:** Fort Collins, CO 80521-1645  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:04:17  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please make the protection of wildlife and natural resources your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but even more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Mark Houdashelt

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1271    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Miller, Tami  
**Address:** Hampton, VA 23666  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:04:18  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Tami Miller

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1272    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Katz, David  
**Address:** Westminster, CO 80021  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1273    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dugaw, Anne  
**Address:** Costa Mesa, CA 92627  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:04:43  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Anne Dugaw

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1274    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bennett, Bryan A  
**Address:** Kennesaw, GA 30144  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:04:53  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Bryan Bennett

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1275    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Moore, Judy I  
**Address:** Florence, MT 59833  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:06:06  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Judith L. Moore

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1276    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ruiz, Sylvia V  
**Address:** Los Angeles, CA 90086-0995  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at America's national parks represent our heritage as well as representing a true treasure of our country. For many, the national parks are one of the few opportunities to commune with nature. However, in doing so it is critically important that we do so in a manner that preserves the wildlife in the parks and the natural habitat. For these reasons, and as a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Grand Teton National Park.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the



Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1277    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** bham, WA 98229  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:06:07  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

L Sherwood  
Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1278    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Spacek, Pamela J  
**Address:** Chicago, IL 60626  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please select Alternative C, the best of the options for all concerned. Help keep both visitors and wildlife free to enjoy the park and live a good, safe life.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1279    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Corpus Christi, TX 78415  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Mankind had better start doing all that it can to protect, preserve, and renew Mother Earth. Otherwise she will strike back. The story of Noah has taught man nothing. God is not going to take much more of mankind & it's disobedience. There's much more than water that He can use... try reading Revelations if your still that ignorant.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1280    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Barnes, Howard J  
**Address:** Miami, FL 33177  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:07:09  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Howard Barnes

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1281    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Aniserowicz, Monika  
**Address:** Cracow, UN 30-012  
Poland  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:07:43  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Monika Aniserowicz

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1282    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** V, Barbara  
**Address:** New York City, NY 11222  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:07:48  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1283    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Berg, Jon E  
**Address:** Washington, DC 20010  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:07:50  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Jon Berg

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1284	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Chisholm, Angell				
<b>Address:</b>	Saint Louis, MO 63114 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 18:07:55				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Angell

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1285	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Mattes, Dale				
<b>Address:</b>	Bullhead City, AZ 86442 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Mr. Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	Member				
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 18:09:08				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Dale Bryan Mattes

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1286	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Johnson , Mary				

**Address:** Edgewater , FL 32132  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:09:14  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Mary Johnson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1287    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bedient, Gwen  
**Address:** Sacramento, CA 95691  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Prefer alternative C for grand Tetons.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1288    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Myers, Linda M  
**Address:** Petersburg, PA 16669  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:09:47  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Linda M. Myers

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1289    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Huffman, Melodie  
**Address:** Danville, IL 61832  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Pick Plan C

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1290    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Misek, Jolie A

**Address:** Wonder Lake, IL 60097  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:10:10  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Jolie A Misek

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1291    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** New haven, CT 06512  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please consider the best interest of wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1292    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Rizer, William D  
**Address:** Carlton, OR 97111  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please decide on Option C, to best protect the wildlife that frequent the road.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1293    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Riverton, UT 84065  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:10:49  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
Mr. Jon Hager  
Riverton, Utah

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1294    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Covell, Sandi  
**Address:** San Francisco, CA 94112  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

Regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. I request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Thanks very much.  
Sandi Covell

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1295    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** fries, jeb  
**Address:** fredonia, NY 14063  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** nice to limit vehicles to allow wildlife to be wild.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1296    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Houseknecht, Cathy  
**Address:** Hughesville, PA 17737  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** All of our National Parks must be protected!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1297    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Alden, Rory  
**Address:** Berkeley, CA 94704  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please help

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1298    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Collins, Carol L  
**Address:** Dover, DE 19904  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor have been released and I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

â€¢ Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

â€¢ Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

â€¢ Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;

â€¢ Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

â€œMaintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;

â€œReduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;

â€œContinue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, I encourage the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Again I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

Thanking you in advance for your attention in this most important matter.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1299    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Musleve, Benita  
**Address:** akron, OH 44306  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** wildlife must be protected fr the future

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1300    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Zanger, Manfred P  
**Address:** Roscoe, NY 12776  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Save our National Park and its wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1301    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Nahman, Ph.D, Jaime  
**Address:** Topanga, CA 90290  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. I have backpacked extensively through the Tetons and cannot stress enough how important it is to protect this fabulous wildlife environment for the current and future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1302    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Atlas, Debra  
**Address:** Redding, CA 96001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela:

I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan . This plan will better protect grizzly bears and other wildlife and limit access to the Moose-Wilson corridor to 200 cars at a time during the busiest season. It will also extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area; continue to allow winter recreational access to the road,maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes; reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident; and especially continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

All these factors are critical to maintain the health of this important ecosystem.

Thank you for your consideration and support.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1303    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** bernstein, marcy  
**Address:** margate, FL 33063  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** SAVE THE ANIMALS.....

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1304    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Johnson, Stewart C  
**Address:** Westfield, IN 46074  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I have become aware of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic in all national parks has diminished the visitor experience. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

Grand Teton is but one of several National Parks I wish to visit as time permits. It is all of us to be responsible to protect these precious resources for ourselves and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Stewart Clark Johnson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1305    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Franklin, Constance  
**Address:** Los Angeles, CA 90026-6197  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** As an American who has traveled extensively to our National Park Systems, I am writing in support of "Alternative C", the Park Service's preferred plan what would best protect the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. I travel with the intention of viewing wildlife and being in America's pristine wilderness, and as an American gravely concerned with thriving biodiversity, I genuinely hope that you made the protection of wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor. Increased vehicle traffic is congesting and having a negative impact on wildife that rely on the corridor. Rather, I encourage the Park Service to complete an anylisis of small -scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor. This would be a win win solution for both the visitors and wildlife, which I expect is your goal.  
Thank you for your serious consideration

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1306    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Collier, Don  
**Address:** New Hope, MN 55428-2816  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:15:54  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I



believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Don Collier

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1307    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** winkelmayer, patricia s  
**Address:** ocean pines, MD 21811  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Congratulations to the Moose-Wilson Planning team for their work to solve the problems of that area of the Grand Teton National Park! With the development of so many areas closeby open areas and especially State and National Parks, it becomes vitally important to solve potential and present problems before further problems develop. While there are many problems we are trying to find solutions to in the US, I believe that it is vitally important to protect our National Parks, which some day may be the only areas in some parts of the US where families can enjoy the wilderness and/or at least open and beautiful areas .

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1308    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Mason, Roberty E  
**Address:** Thaxton, VA 24174  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:16:15

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** The seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas. Creating a 'safe' corridor for wildlife to 'bypass' serious dangers to wildlife and visitors is a wonderful idea. I guess the sticky-goo in all of this is how to make it a 'safe' experience is to permit visitors to see the wildlife up-close from their cars. Drawing a line between creating a zoo and protecting and conserving nature is a tough call. Please protect the plant and animal wildlife and encourage visitors to walk on trails for close up views. Thank you. Robert E. Mason

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1309    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Kjono, Pamela K  
**Address:** Grand Forks, ND 58201  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.  
In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1310    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Vinikoff, Jerald  
**Address:** Mechanicville, NY 12118  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:16:56

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Jerald Vinikoff

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1311	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Depew, Robert A				
<b>Address:</b>	Staunton, VA 24401 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec.07,2015 18:17:58				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>					

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Robert A. Depew

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1312	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	McCarty, Chris				
<b>Address:</b>	Denver, CO 80220-5255 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec.07,2015 18:17:58				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Chris McCarty

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1313	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
---------------------------	------	-----------------	-------	------------------	-------

**Name:** Dilley, Jean  
**Address:** White Salmon, WA 98672-8949  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** As the daughter of Willard Dilley, Chief Naturalist of Grand Teton National Park in the 1960's, I had the cherished opportunity to spend many hours bike riding and cross country skiing on the Moose-Wilson road. It was not uncommon to see moose, bear, deer, elk, and a variety of bird life in their natural habit. I encourage you to select Plan C option for future use of this overused road. Its value for the next generation lies in protecting this wildlife viewing path as opposed to a shortcut to Wilson.

Thank you for the many years of diligent work in trying to resolve this issue.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1314    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** K, JO  
**Address:** Sierra Vista, AZ 85635  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Limit the Federal influence on these decisions. Get the Federal gov't out of the way and let the citizens decide the fate of the parks.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1315    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Morrison, Larry R  
**Address:** O Fallon, IL 62269  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I strongly support Alternate C for the Moose Wilson Road Corridor in Grand Teton National Park. This alternative changes the current "footprint" only slightly and provides the best protection for wildlife by limiting the amount the traffic allowed on the road.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1316    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wagner, Vickie M  
**Address:** Three Oaks, MI 49128  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:19:08  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C," best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and I request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1317    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Henrico, VA 23238  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please make the "C" option your preferred plan.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1318    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wagner, Robert B  
**Address:** Three Oaks, MI 49128  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** National Parks Conservation Association Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:20:28  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1319    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Leavel, Janet  
**Address:** Atlanta, GA 30340  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:20:33  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
I support alternative C

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1320    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Schaeff, Dennis R  
**Address:** Meadville, PA 16335  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I think Alternative "C" would be the best plan moving forward for the Grand Tetons Thank You, Dennis Schaeff

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1321    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mangus, Tracey  
**Address:** Ford City, PA 16226  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:21:43  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
Tracey Mangus

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1322    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Toon, Beverly  
**Address:** Guilford, IN 47022  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:21:54  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Beverly Toon

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1323    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** South Deerfield, MA 01373  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:21:58  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Michelle Kofler

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1324    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Day, Edward J  
**Address:** Orlando, FL 32829  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:22:18  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

Choose Alternative C -

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Edward Day

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1325    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wechsler, Susan  
**Address:** Corvallis, OR 97330  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan, because it would better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1326    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bonner, Patricia  
**Address:** Long Beach , CA 90815  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:22:34  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Patricia Bonner

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1327    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** King, Travis  
**Address:** Los Angeles, CA 91601  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:23:21  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1328    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** KINNEY, LOIS J  
**Address:** BELLEVUE, WA 98005  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Thje animals should be accommodated

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1329    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Delehant, Raymond L  
**Address:** Hamden, CT 06518  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** This road is the best introduction to getting to Grand Teton NP.  
However appropriate measures should be taken to keep wildlife and motorists apart.  
A good management plan will do this.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1330    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gore, Vicki  
**Address:** Brentwood , TN 37027  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I totally and wholeheartedly support Alternative C. We must do all we can to preserve the beauty and nature that is The Grand Teton.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1331    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Bernardston, MA 01337  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

This plan will ensure that the corridor is a safe site for humans and animals alike for years to come.

Thank you for your careful attention to this important project.

Sincerely,  
Anne-Marie Mallon

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1332    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Turner, Phyllis  
**Address:** Winslow, AZ 86047-2821  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:26:23  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Phyllis Turner

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1333	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Catone, Deb				
<b>Address:</b>	Wakefield, RI 02879 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Our family has loved visiting National Parks for over 30 years. We want there to continue to be wildlife to see for our 4 year old grandson.				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1334	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Wang, MD, Li-hsia -				
<b>Address:</b>	Berkeley, CA 94705 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela, I am writing as a long-time lover of Grand Teton National Park. The first mountain I ever climbed was the Grand, led up by my husband. We brought my parents here just before my father died; a wonderful trip/wildflowers in full bloom/perfect weather. Please give some weight to the opinions of those who know and love the park.				

Therefore, I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Li-hsia Wang, pediatrician and outdoor lover

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1335	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Kurtz, Kevin				
<b>Address:</b>	Rochester, NY 14618 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 18:26:52				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.



The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kevin Kurtz

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1336    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Walters, Wendy  
**Address:** Brooklyn, NY 11211  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Although the text for this letter was suggested in an email from the NPCA, I want you to know that I sincerely believe Alternative C is the best option. Thank you for reading this letter.

Sincerely,  
Wendy Walters

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1337    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ross, Jean  
**Address:** Minneapolis, MN 55409-1018  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** "Alternative C" is my preferred plan for the historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1338    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Berman, Nancy E  
**Address:** Kensington, CA 94707-1412  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support option C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1339    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Whitethorn, CA 95589  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:27:54  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Bernadette Webster

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1340	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Travis, Michael				
<b>Address:</b>	Clearwater, FL 33761 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 18:28:03				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Michael Travis, Ph.D.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1341	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Coppotelli, Heide Catherina				
<b>Address:</b>	Cedar Mountain, NC 28718 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 18:28:25				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Heide Catherina Coppotelli, Ph.D.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1342	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Haseltine, Michael				
<b>Address:</b>	Viola, ID 83872 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	NPCA Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	Member				
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 18:28:46				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Since increased traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor, protecting wildlife and natural resources must be your top priority, while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor must also be done.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1343	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Kemenesi, Rick				
<b>Address:</b>	West Covina, CA 91791 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 18:28:56				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Rick Kemenesi

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1344	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	O'Kelley, Donald A				
<b>Address:</b>	Benton, AR 72019 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I support Plan C.				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1345	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Hopkins, Paul C				
<b>Address:</b>	Norwalk, OH 44857-2642 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 18:29:48				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the

Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1346    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ostopoff, Christine  
**Address:** Philadelphia, PA 19148  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:30:02  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Christine Ostopoff

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1347    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Klein, Laura  
**Address:** Bronx, NY 10471  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** humanity Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:30:49  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Laura Klein

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1348    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Randall, Michael L  
**Address:** Arlington, MA 02474  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:30:55  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1349    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Taylor, Jean  
**Address:** New York, NY 10023  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:31:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, and as someone who has many good memories of the Tetons and hopes to make more, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Jean Taylor

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1350    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Steinnes, Annalisa M  
**Address:** Tacoma, WA 98406  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am writing to say that I support Alternative C for addressing the issues with the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor in Grand Teton National Park. Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Annalisa Steinnes

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1351    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Campbell, Allan L  
**Address:** San Jose, CA 95132  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:31:36  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you

choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1352    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** sunrise, FL 33323  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:32:04  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I have visited Grand Teton several times since 2004 and I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Debbie Martin

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1353    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** clark, zulma  
**Address:** miami gardens, FL 33055  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please consider alternative c as the best choice to suit the animals' needs.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1354    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Perdios, Dan  
**Address:** Palm Springs, CA 92262  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:32:57  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1355    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Smith, Mack  
**Address:** Talbott, TN 37877  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:33:41  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I'm writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and I ask that you choose the plan as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Mack Smith

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1356    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mitchell, James A  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:33:43  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** As a 40 yr resident and a frequent user of Teton Park and the Moose Wilson Rd. I feel that it is important that the corridor includes

- 1) A separate pathway for safe public access outside of the car, and
- 2) Forward-thinking transportation solutions, like transit.

The preferred alternative does not adequately address human safety or environmental concerns in the Moose-Wilson Corridor, particularly with regard to the safety of young children and vulnerable inexperienced cyclists. Vulnerable users, people on foot or bike, face the greatest danger in the Moose-Wilson Corridor. Grand Teton National Park has suffered two human fatalities from vehicle-cyclist collisions on roads where only a shoulder was present. This plan needs to address that threat and recognize that existing use on the Moose-Wilson Road will increase with the connected pathways valley-wide. 10% of accidents in the Moose-Wilson corridor involved cyclists and autos and pedestrians and autos. People on foot and bike deserve real measures to ensure their safety. Friends of Pathway supports continued safe access to the park for visitors who would like to see, experience and enjoy GTNP in a manner that intimately connects them to their surroundings. Walking and biking are a quiet, non-polluting, low impact method for visiting the park. But visitors must be able to travel safely, without the risk of being hurt or killed by a distracted driver.

In my time in Jackson I have lost one friend from being hit by a car. Another was severely injured and another lassoed. Safety of non motorized users is VERY important in any plan you implement.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1357    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bruce, Felicia  
**Address:** Fort Pierce, FL 34949  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** retired conservationist, LCV, EDF, SIERRA CLUB Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Plan C...because all living things should get the best protection we, as stewards, can provide.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1358    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Raccio, Karen  
**Address:** Maple Grove, MN 55311  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please support Alternative C

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1359    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Schetzer, Kathryn  
**Address:** Bellingham, WA 98225  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:34:50  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kathryn Schetzer

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1360    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Babiak, Katherine M  
**Address:** Port Tobacco, MD 20677  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I have had the great pleasure of visiting Grand Teton National Park and appreciate the amazing wildlife that can be encountered while realizing the problems that that proximity can bring.

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Katherine Babiak

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1361    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Coen, Susan  
**Address:** Elizabeth, NJ 07208  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C;" it is the preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road.

Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer.

Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area.

Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing.



Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes.

Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident.

Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1362    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Littlefield, West Coast Director, James H  
**Address:** Aptos, CA 95003  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Surfers' Environmental Alliance (S.E.A.) Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:36:20  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, We believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management. We have visited Grand Teton National Park and have viewed and enjoyed its wild beauty.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. We encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1363    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Slawinski, Katherine E  
**Address:** New York, NY 10003  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Protect Grand Teton National Park and its wild residents--please support "Option C"! Thanks - -

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1364    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mahoney, Krista  
**Address:** Sacramento, CA 95820  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:37:18  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Krista Mahoney

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1365    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, Laura  
**Address:** Sacramento, CA 95818  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:37:47  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Laura

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1366    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Yarger, Andrea  
**Address:** Hot Springs, SD 57747  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Friends of Wind Cave Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:38:33  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Andrea Yarger

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1367    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Smith, Kim  
**Address:** Homer, AK 99603  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:38:50  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

Please utilize Alternative C. As a visitor multiple times to the Park, this offers the best choice for wildlife. I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1368    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Turner, Dawn E  
**Address:** Elkton, MD 21921  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:39:22  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1369    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bettridge, David C  
**Address:** Providence, RI 02908  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:39:49  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

David Bettridge

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1370    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mitchell, Laura K  
**Address:** Charlotte, NC 28208  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Teton grizzly bear

[Click Here to Send Your Comments to the National Park Service!](#)

(This link will take you to the National Park Service's Planning, Environment, & Public Commenting website.)

Take Action  
Dear Laura,

The historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas.

The popularity of the narrow roadway with both visitors and animals can create traffic jams and wildlife management challenges, particularly during the

busy summer months.

To address congestion, park staff and outside experts have worked for the last decade to study wildlife use, historic sites, automobile, bicycle, and pedestrian use, and other factors to develop a new management plan for the corridor. Their goal is to protect these world-class resources while allowing visitors to continue to use and enjoy the roadway.

The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor have been released and we need your voice! Please join NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;

Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;

Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;

Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Take Action: Tell Grand Teton Superintendent David Vela that you support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

Here's how to submit your comments to the Park Service.

Step 1: Go to <https://parkplanning.nps.gov/commentForm.cfm?documentID=68894> and carefully follow the form instructions.

Step 2: Submit your comments by copying and pasting the sample message below into the web form. Please add any personal observations or stories that support your comments.

Step 3: Once you have completed all of the required fields on the form, click the gray "submit" button at the bottom of the page.

Sample message/comments

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Laura Mitchell

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1371	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Glancy, JoAnn				
<b>Address:</b>	Annapolis, MD 21401 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 18:40:28				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
JoAnn Glancy

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1372    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** daniels, Pat  
**Address:** Spring valley, CA 91977  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support alternative c

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1373    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Drew, Barbara  
**Address:** Newtown, PA 18940  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:40:48  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely, Barbara Drew

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1374    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Margerum, John  
**Address:** Philadelphia, PA 19129  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:40:48  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1375    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Goebel, Fred J  
**Address:** Sheboygan, WI 53081  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:41:04  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Fred J Goebel

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1376    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hale, Sharon  
**Address:** Colorado Springs, CO 80921  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:41:08  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1377    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** swain, robert&mary  
**Address:** grand rapids, MI 49506  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Let's do everything possible to preserve, conserve, & protect our precious planet

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1378    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Uzuner, Selim  
**Address:** Carnation, WA 98014  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I think plan "C" would best serve the preservation of the natural environment. Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1379    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Steele, Cheryle  
**Address:** La Habra, CA 90631  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:42:24  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Cheryle Steele

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1380    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Parsons, Ron L  
**Address:** South San Francisco, CA 94080  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C", the preferred plan for Grand Teton National Park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1381    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, Deborah  
**Address:** Delavan, WI 53115  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I didn't give all my info as I get too much mail....

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1382    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Nappi, Glenn A  
**Address:** Delray Beach, FL 33446  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:42:33  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Glenn A. Nappi

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1383    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ellis, Susan  
**Address:** Red Hook, NY 12571  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support the National Parks Conservation Association in calling for adoption of alternative C as the preferred proposal.

Sincerely, Susan Ellis

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1384    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Horowitz, Laura  
**Address:** Pittsburgh, PA 15217  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Teton grizzly bear

[Click Here to Send Your Comments to the National Park Service!](#)

(This link will take you to the National Park Service's Planning, Environment, & Public Commenting website.)

Take Action  
Dear Laura,

The historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas.

The popularity of the narrow roadway with both visitors and animals can create traffic jams and wildlife management challenges, particularly during the busy summer months.

To address congestion, park staff and outside experts have worked for the last decade to study wildlife use, historic sites, automobile, bicycle, and pedestrian use, and other factors to develop a new management plan for the corridor. Their goal is to protect these world-class resources while allowing visitors to continue to use and enjoy the roadway.

The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor have been released and we need your voice! Please join NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

- Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
- Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;
- Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
- Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;
- Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;
- Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;
- Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Take Action: Tell Grand Teton Superintendent David Vela that you support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

Here's how to submit your comments to the Park Service.

Step 1: Go to <https://parkplanning.nps.gov/commentForm.cfm?documentID=68894> and carefully follow the form instructions.

Step 2: Submit your comments by copying and pasting the sample message below into the web form. Please add any personal observations or stories that support your comments.

Step 3: Once you have completed all of the required fields on the form, click the gray "submit" button at the bottom of the page.

Sample message/comments



Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

I love our national parks and want to keep them healthy and pristine. Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1385	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	O'Nan, Kathleen				
<b>Address:</b>	Los Angeles, CA 90039 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec.07,2015 18:43:29				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1386	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Edwards, Eric				
<b>Address:</b>	West Chicago, IL 60185 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec.07,2015 18:43:46				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	The plan would better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road; Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer; Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area; Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing; Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes; Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident; Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1387	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Wolford, John M				
<b>Address:</b>	Baltimore, MD 21231 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec.07,2015 18:44:02				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

John M. Wolford

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1388    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bialczak, Deanna J  
**Address:** Bridgeview, IL 60455  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Save the Teton!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1389    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Rorke-Davis, Shawn  
**Address:** Scottsdale, AZ 85261  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:44:44  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor. Perhaps a parking lot with small Park Service vans could be created.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done.

Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Shawn Rorke-Davis

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1390    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sinclair-Smith, N/A  
**Address:** Colorado Springs, CO 80904  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Although the parks are for us to enjoy, animal - human interactions are dangerous. There still stupid people who feed bear cubs and other wild animals. We need to decrease animal - human encounters in the parks.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1391    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jensen, Roy  
**Address:** Gardner, KS 66030-9339  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:45:10  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Roy A. Jensen, M.D.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1392	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Rist, Joanne				
<b>Address:</b>	Manahawkin, NJ 08050 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 18:45:37				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Joanne Rist

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1393	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Rist, mark				
<b>Address:</b>	Manahawkin, NJ 08050 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 18:46:33				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Mark Rist

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1394	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Themm, Caroline				
<b>Address:</b>	New York, NY 10025 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Ms. Unaffiliated Individual				

**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We must protect our parks and wildlife!!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1395    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Reyes, Joan  
**Address:** Livonia, MI 48152  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Plan C because it does the following:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to supporting Plan C, I encourage the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Thank you in advance for your attention to my comment.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1396    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** gronemeyer, kimberly  
**Address:** tampa, FL 33615  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1397    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Guard, Mary B  
**Address:** Friday Harbor, WA 98250  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:47:38  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Mary Guard

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1398    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Allen, III, Doug  
**Address:** Roswell, GA 30076  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:47:49  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Doug Allen, III

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1399    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Boise, ID 83714  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:47:51  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Peter Brockett

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1400    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** FRANK, MITZI  
**Address:** sharon center, OH 44274  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:48:49  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1401    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sword, Shirley H  
**Address:** Harrisburg, PA 17111  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor. It would be especially beneficial to explore transit options that would focus on raising awareness of the public on the value of renewable energy sources and not rely on transit options that would utilize fossil fuels. This would be a great educational opportunity for the public to understand why we need to take action to mitigate climate change.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Shirley H. Sword

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1402    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wiesner, John C  
**Address:** Castro Valley, CA 94546  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:49:48  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

-- John C. Wiesner

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1403    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dellinger, Robert  
**Address:** High Point, NC 27265  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:50:08  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

I have been to Teton and traveled the Moose-Wilson road. It is a treasure full of wildlife viewing.

Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Robert Dellinger

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1404    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** roe, erin r  
**Address:** arcata, CA 95521  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** comment

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1405    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Vollmer, Alex  
**Address:** San Rafael, CA 94901  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:51:01  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** join the NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

- â€¢ Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
- â€¢ Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;
- â€¢ Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
- â€¢ Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;
- â€¢ Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;
- â€¢ Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;
- â€¢ Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1406    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** English, William  
**Address:** FALMOUTH, MA 02540  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

My wife and I were so impressed by Grand Teton NP that a few years later we brought the whole extended family there- -three generations. That's why I am writing about the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at the Park. I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1407    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Khambholja, Ann  
**Address:** Tucson, AZ 85756  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:51:34

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C which would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1408    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Friedman, Frank L  
**Address:** Delanco, NJ 08075  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** As a frequent visitor to the Tetons when I was younger, I am concerned about actions that might interfere with the stability of these parks and render visitations less rewarding than they used to be. Let us be careful with what I consider to be our most precious of all our resources - - our National Parks.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1409    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hilbert, Dee  
**Address:** Emmaus, PA 18049  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** My family visited the Grand Tetons when my children were in grade school it was part of a cross country trip to visit national parks. I think seeing these parts preserved is something wonderful to do for our children and grandchildren and everyone else who follows.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1410    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stewart , B.  
**Address:** West palm beach , FL 33409  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** If we don't protect vulnerable property from ruin, this will become a cement country with wall to wall people. That is merely a precursor to extinction.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1411    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Baltimore , MD 21212  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Love our parks!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1412    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Iseri, Martin  
**Address:** Fair Oaks, CA 95628  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** SJUSD, NCSOA, NCWLUA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** "C" please. It is the best option.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1413    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Brunton, James P



**Address:** Tampa, FL 33612  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:55:15  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
James P. Brunton

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1414    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bridges, Linda  
**Address:** Athens, IL 62613  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:55:26  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park's Service Preferred Plan, "Alternate C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternate C as your approach to future management.

Increased traffic has decreased the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect and preserve natural wildlife as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to enjoy and use the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this place.

Sincerely,

Linda Bridges

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1415    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cooley, Marian E  
**Address:** Muncie, IN 47304  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I prefer the Alternative C plan. I feel that best serves everyone.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1416    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Raftery, Rita  
**Address:** Ridgefield Park, NJ 07660  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1417    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** mead, dawn m  
**Address:** lakewood, CO 80228  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** National Parks Conservation Assoc. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:56:18  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
dawn mead

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1418    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Webb, Brad  
**Address:** Statham, GA 30666  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:57:31  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Brad Webb

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1419    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Warren, Kevin h  
**Address:** Cora, WY 82925  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:58:02  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the

Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
kevin warren

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1420    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gillis, Diana E  
**Address:** Queensbury, NY 12804  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Save the Grand Tetons.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1421    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Evans, Keisha  
**Address:** East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2633  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 18:58:59  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Keisha Evans

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1422    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Collins, Francis  
**Address:** Cincinnati, OH 45244  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Dr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** in line with our friends at NPCA we support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.  
In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1423    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Portland, OR 97202  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Our family strongly supports #3 as the appropriate choice for protecting wildlife and assuring the environmentally sound future of Grand Teton National Park.

Thank you.  
The Knutsons

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1424    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Adkins, William D  
**Address:** Burleson, TX 76028  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Preserving the National Park experience as well as the wildlife who make this place their home should be the only goal of the National Park Service. As such, plan C is the only viable plan that meets both these goals at this time and place. Please protect what all, that is our heritage.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1425    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dujon, Phyllis  
**Address:** Newnan, GA 30265  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place

Sincerely yours,

Phyllis Dujon

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1426    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Heyden, Neil E  
**Address:** Alameda, CA 94501  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** NPCA member supporting Alternative C

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1427    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Weimer, Constance M  
**Address:** Portland, OR 97213  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I've visited the Grand Teton Part and absolutely love it.  
I enjoyed a morning boat excursion and evening jeep adventure --quietly looing for

precious wildlife.

Please - - select Option 3 to best protect the grizzly bears.

It has the features to make adaptations that will make this treasure better protected for generations to come.

We have few chances to really make a long-term difference.

This is one of those opportunities.

Please - - use your position to implement OPTION 3.

Thanks.

Connie Weimer

Portland

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1428    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stone, Russell B  
**Address:** San Jose, CA 95148  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:00:25  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Russell B. Stone

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1429    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** stong, stuart r  
**Address:** spring, TX 77386  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** we must protect the parks... I think the speed limit should not be changed, it should remain at 25 mph. and it should accomidate all the people who visit in the summertime, at the busiest time of the year adequately. thanks for your time.... stuart stong

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1430    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Watson, John S  
**Address:** Evanston, IL 60202  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:01:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

John Watson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1431    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Halizak, Kimberly A  
**Address:** Los Angeles, CA 90068-4035  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:01:03  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kimberly A. Halizak

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1432    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Galveston, TX 77554  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:01:10  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Janelle Murphy

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1433    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Long Beach, CA 90803  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:02:01  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
John Zimmermann

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1434    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Chucker, Judy L  
**Address:** St. Louis Park, MN 55416  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:02:05  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Judy Chucker

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1435    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ellsworth, Shirley E  
**Address:** Schnecksville, PA 18078  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Allowing access to our national parks is important, of course, but protect the environment and the wild life as well. I think that objective comes first - people have to adjust to what is needed to protect the natural areas we have, or there will be no wild areas left.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1436    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ferrell, Judith C  
**Address:** Elkhart, IN 46514  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am asking you to select Alternative C that will protect the wildlife and still allow humans to use, with care.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1437    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Patrick, Robert C  
**Address:** Everton, AR 72633  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

I first saw the Grand Tetons when I was 13 years old in 1961. It was a memory I will never forget and future generations should have the same chance I did. Our Parks are a wonderful thing for all people, wildlife, and forests.

Sincerely,  
Robert C. Patrick

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1438    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Smith, Larry L.  
**Address:** Moline, IL 61265  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Citizen of Earth Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Anything less than total love and respect for this beautiful Organism we call HOME, Earth, is UNACCEPTABLE!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1439    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Yun, Allen  
**Address:** Rockville, MD 20850  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:04:35  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Allen Yun

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1440    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tedesco, Frances A  
**Address:** Carson City, NV 89701  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I would like to see plan C adopted for the roadway in the Tetons. Slow these folks down.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1441    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dixon, Joyce H  
**Address:** Dallas, TX 75219-3710  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:05:06  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:  
Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;



Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer; Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area; Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing; Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes; Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident; Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1442      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lewis, Pravin  
**Address:** Darien, IL 60561  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:05:07  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I strongly believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Pravin Lewis

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1443      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Harrell, Marlene L  
**Address:** Saint Marie, MT 59231  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:06:04  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Marlene L. Harrell

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1444      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Donnelly, Stephen M  
**Address:** Easthampton, MA 01027  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:07:08  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

The plan would:  
Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1445    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cappuccio, Sharon  
**Address:** Hammonton, NJ 08037  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:08:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Sharon Cappuccio

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1446    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sweeny, Peter  
**Address:** Pleasantville , NY 10570  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:08:17  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**

I support and ask that you choose "Plan C" for the Moose-Wilson road corridor.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1447    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Allam, Catherine  
**Address:** Ann Arbor, MI 48103  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Grand Teton NP is a precious treasure that deserves every thoughtful care as generational considerations and technological gadgets increase.

It is our responsibility to review and improve steps taken to protect this area with the years and changes in climate that affect every area, particularly sensitive areas.

I stand with the alternative plan C that reduces the impact of automobiles on the road and increases the range of hikers and cross-country skiers. Please insure that future generations will reap the benefit of decisions made today.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1448    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kirsh, Julie  
**Address:** Shrewsbury, NJ 07702  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Miss Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I've been to the Tetons, and it is imperative the area be kept as it is!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1449    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gallagher, Glenn W  
**Address:** Simi Valley, CA 93065-2369  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:10:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Glenn Gallagher

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1450    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** van lear, tom e  
**Address:** seguin, TX 78155  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** And effort of man to keep nature protected. God bless.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1451    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McCuen, Annie F  
**Address:** Salem, OR 97302  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Tourist/ in retirement Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:10:20  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** On two occasions these past years, we have travelled to the Grand Tetons. We LOVE the area, but were extremely concerned about the wild life. On the Wilson road, we were stopped to allow a juvenile grizzly to make up his mind about crossing, the poor things should not have to dodge cars in order to meander in their backyards. What about only allowing tramways on wheels to take tourists around, stopping and loading along the way. Cars have no business in such beautiful, precious environment. Pollution is another huge problem, for wildlife and humans both. Please decide to reduce the impact of the human influx during the summer months.  
Thank you for your attention. We would love to come back and see improvements.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1452    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ellis, R.  
**Address:** Crystal Lake, IL 60014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:10:21  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

R. Ellis

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1453	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Johanson, Erica				
<b>Address:</b>	Hopewell, NJ 08525 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 19:10:23				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>					

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System and visitor of the Grand Tetons Park, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Erica Johanson

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1454	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	jenkins and holmes, eugenie and brian				
<b>Address:</b>	Baltimore, MD 21210 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Ms. Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	Member				
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 19:10:59				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Eugenie Jenkins and Brian Holmes

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1455	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
---------------------------	------	-----------------	-------	------------------	-------

**Name:** Silvers, Margaret  
**Address:** Mill Spring, NC 28756  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:11:19  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor. The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Margaret Silvers

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1456    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** sailer, randy g  
**Address:** beulah, ND 58523  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am very much in favor of alternative C in the grand Teton moose Wilson corridor eis.thankyou randy sailer

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1457    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Newark, DE 19711  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:12:21  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

We are writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As supporters of the National Park System, we believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. We encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Robert and Joan Bennett

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1458    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Coon Rapids, MN 55448  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support alternative C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1459    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Swenson-Zakula, Kimberly  
**Address:** Excelsior, MN 55331  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:12:49  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Swenson-Zakula

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1460    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Frank, Tom  
**Address:** Saint Louis, MO 63146  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:13:02  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Tom Frank

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1461    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wine, Jordann  
**Address:** Bethesda, MD 20817  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:13:30  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the

Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Jordann Wine

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1462    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mendez, Leslie  
**Address:** El Cerrito, CA 94530  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please support Alternative c.

Thank you

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1463    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ransom, Jill  
**Address:** Reno, NV 89509  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Preserve our parks for future generations!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1464    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Chieco, Eileen  
**Address:** Ashland, OR 97520  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:15:18  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Eileen Chieco, Ph.D.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1465    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** anderson, janie R  
**Address:** San Jose, CA 95123  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** AT THIS HORRENDOUS TIME FOR ANIMALS WE MUST DO EVERYTHING POSSIBLE TO PROTECT AND PRESERVE THEM THIS IS AN AREA OF TREMENDOUS VEHICLE USE TRAFFIC AT TIMES IS VERY HEAVY THIS IS BAD FOR THE ANIMALS, IT SEEMS THE usda AND THE FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE R NOT THA CONCERNED AOUT PRESERVING LIFE IN THIS INSTANT THERE IS A PLAN, aLTERNATIVE c IS NOT PERFECT BUT IT IS A BEGINNING AND I FEEL IS THE BEST ONE AT THIS TIME. THIS AREA IS A VERY IMPORTANT AREA THAT NEEDS TO PRESERVED FOR NOW AND THE FUTURE

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1466    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lotven, Jay

**Address:** Fort Worth, TX 76110  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** None

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1467    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Marasco, Summer  
**Address:** Costa Mesa, CA 92626  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:16:20  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1468    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gertler, Ed  
**Address:** Kingston Springs, TN 37082  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The Grand Tetons is one of the most beautiful parks I've ever visited. I actually prefer it to Yellowstone. We need to limit motorized vehicles including snowmobiles and protect this environment and the wildlife that resides there.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1469    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McKenna, Colleen  
**Address:** Brunswick, ME 04011  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1470    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Grindle, Rev. Charles E  
**Address:** Kennebunk, ME 04043  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Lets' do what's best for animals first and people second.They were here before us...

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1471    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ramaci, Lisa  
**Address:** NY, NY 10009  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual



**Affiliation:****Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00**Correspondence Type:** Web Form**Correspondence:** The historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas.

The popularity of the narrow roadway with both visitors and animals can create traffic jams and wildlife management challenges, particularly during the busy summer months.

To address congestion, park staff and outside experts have worked for the last decade to study wildlife use, historic sites, automobile, bicycle, and pedestrian use, and other factors to develop a new management plan for the corridor. Their goal is to protect these world-class resources while allowing visitors to continue to use and enjoy the roadway.

I urge the National Park Service to support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
 Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
 Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
 Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
 Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
 Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
 Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, I encourage the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Thank you for considering my request.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1472	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	Maplewood, MN 55117 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 19:19:34				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Joseph Wenzel

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1473	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	waldron, janice y				
<b>Address:</b>	Lake Jackson, TX 77566 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Ms. Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	Member				
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	We need to keep our national parks so people will be able to visit and experience nature.				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1474	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Pierce, Brian				
<b>Address:</b>	Green Bay, WI 54311 United States of America				

**Outside Organization:** 3115 Brook Park Dr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Alternate C, please.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1475    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** sterling heights, MI 48314  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Save our parks.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1476    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Walters, Robyn S  
**Address:** Montoursville, PA 17754  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:21:49  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Robyn S Walters, Ph.D.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1477    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** West Seneca, NY 14224  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We have to save the Grand Teton because it has beauty in the animals it has and the landscape. Please do whatever you can to protect it.

Thank you for taking the time to read this letter.

Sincerely,

Brenda

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1478    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Busnach, Nadine  
**Address:** Wakefield, MA 01880  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:22:22  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Nadine Busnach

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1479    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Haley, Stacia  
**Address:** Seattle, WA 98108  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** American. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** My father worked for the Forest Service, the Roosevelts and Rockefellers and Chittendens and Kennedys come from the Northeast where I grew up. Keep your grubby corporate paws off our wilderness.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1480    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hoffmann, James  
**Address:** Buffalo, NY 14225-1177  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C".

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1481    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Vonn, S.  
**Address:** Boston, MA 02116  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Congress,

Please help our National Parks, and save all bears and living creatures.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1482    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** K, C M  
**Address:** Lake Geneva, WI 53147  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:24:56  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Colleen Krohn

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1483    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** DeMaio, Arline j  
**Address:** Little Falls, NY 13365  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:25:11  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Mrs .Arline J DeMaio  
59 Burwell Street  
Little Falls,NY 13365  
516 314 6221  
norskebear@hotmail.com

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1484    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mackay, Leslie  
**Address:** San Francisco, CA 94114  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

Sincerely,  
Leslie Mackay

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1485    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Morsey, Paul  
**Address:** Owensboro, KY 42303-9301  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:25:48  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Paul Morsey

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1486    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Anderson, Karen  
**Address:** Spring Lake, MI 49456  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect our wildlife. They need our help to survive.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1487    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Roesch, Dwight H  
**Address:** Friendswook, NY 77546  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** As a member of the National Parks Conservation Association, I urge you to back "Alternative C" for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. It is a reasonable and workable solution to many of the current problems facing the Corridor and the areas around it. For example, it better protects grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife, while decreasing the potential for conflict between people and wildlife. C would limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. This plan does a multitude of other things: It would:  
â€¢Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
â€¢Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
â€¢Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
â€¢Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour;  
â€¢Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, the members of NPCA are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1488    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Pasqua, John  
**Address:** ESCONDIDO, CA 92025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** SUPPORT ALTERNATE C THE PREFERRED PLAN .

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1489    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Browning, John  
**Address:** Denison, TX 75020  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** it is all and all a great idea

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1490    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** scarry, patrick k  
**Address:** o'fallon, MO 63366  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:28:43  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Patrick scarry

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1491    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Glover, Tim  
**Address:** Micco, FL 32976  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:29:09  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your consideration to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1492    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Burgess, Wendy  
**Address:** Park Ridge, IL 60068  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:29:18  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Wendy Burgess

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1493    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Vaniman, Jill M  
**Address:** Alexandria, VA 22304  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am writing in regards to Grand Teton National Park. Please support the Park's Service preferred plan, Alternative C. This park and its wildlife need to be preserved for future generations. It is a beautiful asset to our country and needs to stay that way. Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1494    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Saint Helen, MI 48656  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:31:26  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1495    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gabriel, Robert  
**Address:** Olympia, WA 98506  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I join NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;

Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;

Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;

Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, please study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1496    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Blount, Susan  
**Address:** Atlanta, GA 30350  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:32:21  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Susan Blount

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1497    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dunham, Susan  
**Address:** Worthington, MA 01098  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:32:48  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Susan Dunham

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1498    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Nicholson, Jane A  
**Address:** Manitowish Waters, WI 54545  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am writing in favor of Alternative C. The multiple use nature of this corridor needs a careful analysis of how park fauna can safely negotiate the corridor in the presence of motorized vehicles. It seems wise and prudent to control the rate of traffic and the speed of motorized vehicles.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1499    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Erbs, Lori  
**Address:** Acme, WA 98220  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect the natural integrity of the Teton Wilderness by choosing Alternative C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1500    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Martin, Paul  
**Address:** Coral Gables, FL 33134  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** As someone who has had the good fortune to visit the Tetons I support Alternative C to preserve the tranquility of the Park and to lessen disturbance of wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1501    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Fura, David  
**Address:** San Francisco, CA 94133  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** 1973 Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:34:43  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.



Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1502	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Stewart, Christine L				
<b>Address:</b>	Escondido, CA 92026 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I support Alternative C, which will better protect wildlife and allow safer passages for hikers and bicyclists.				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1503	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	Victor, ID 83455 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 19:35:17				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I like Alternative C.				

You have done a great job and due diligence.

Thanks for extending the comment period.

I love the Death Canyon ideas and the realignment of North sector. Can you reroute the beaver pond section to the airstrip?

I think the "one car in one car out" even during peak summer traffic is kind of dumb and would be a pain to enforce.

I don't think a separate path way is necessary.

I think the LSR could be plowed to and open for parking in winter, adding a cool winter destination with out environmental impact.

My 2nd choice would be no action. What does Gov Mead prefer ? What does JHMR prefer ?

Thanks again,

Gregory Collins

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1504	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	Bethesda, MD 20814 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and by me. It is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Mary Karen Olson

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1505    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** krause, doug  
**Address:** fargo, ND 58108  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:36:07  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Doug Krause

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1506    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jennings, Linda  
**Address:** sanibel, FL 33957  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:36:44  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

- â€¢ Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
- â€¢ Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;
- â€¢ Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
- â€¢ Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;
- â€¢ Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;
- â€¢ Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1507    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Covington, Laurel  
**Address:** Lutz, FL 33548  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Help fight

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1508    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Schlesinger, Sybil E  
**Address:** Natick, MA 01760  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** U.S. Citizen Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:37:55  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Sybil Schlesinger

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1509    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Christman, Mary A  
**Address:** Muskegon, MI 49445  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Our National Parks are our National Heritage. It is our duty to be good stewards of these precious gifts of Nature.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1510    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Chivian, Susanna  
**Address:** Cambridge, MA 02139  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Protecting our heritage is essential to our future.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1511    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Powell, Lance A  
**Address:** Everett, WA 98204  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:38:46  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Lance Powell

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1512    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sproles, Suzanne L  
**Address:** Wayne, WV 25580  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Leave the parks alone .dont build or droll or hunt or anything .leave things as they are stop destroying the beauty and the animals have to have some where to live.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1513    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hunrter, Diane  
**Address:** Roswell, GA 30075  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:39:53  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Diane Hunter

powered by Blackbaud  
nonprofit software

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1514    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bowers, Chad A  
**Address:** Arvada, CO 80005  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:40:37  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Chad & Rowan Bowers

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1515    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Grenard, Mark Hayduke  
**Address:** Phoenix, Yuck, Sprawl, AZ 85022  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Please keep the Grand Tetons Wild and do not build a corridor through the tetons.  
This road will break up habitat for wildlife and endanger the habitats that they use to pass through the Wilderness of the Grand Tetons Plateau.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1516    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Spengler, Susan  
**Address:** palatine, IL 60074-6337  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please keep our parks open and preserved for the animals and the humans, the citizens, who want to visit them, our national treasures.  
Thank you Theodore Roosevelt.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1517    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Rusch, Denyce B  
**Address:** Fairfield, IA 52556  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:42:09  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is one of my favorite places on the planet, and our family visits every chance we get. It is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Denyce Rusch

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1518    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Vogel, Steven J  
**Address:** Falls Church, VA 22046-4121  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:42:41  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1519    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kolter, Art  
**Address:** Juneau, AK 99802-0414  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Superintendent David Vela,

I am writing to ask you to support "Alternative C.", I believe Alternative C would best protect the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Thank you,

Art Kolter  
alkolter@gmail.com  
Juneau Alaska

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1520    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Picchetti, Gloria  
**Address:** Chicago, IL 60613  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:46:14  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1521    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dishion, Catherine D  
**Address:** Corbett, OR 97019  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We must protect our National Forests for the enjoyment of generations. Drilling for oil and mining have no place here. These are special places that are owned by all taxpayers and allowing a small few to make profits at the expense of everyone else is just wrong. The Grand Tetons are especially special. Once they are gone, they are gone forever. No to commercial income from our National Forests!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1522    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Csolak, Janet  
**Address:** Webster Groves, MO 63119  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:46:18  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Janet Csolak

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1523    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hazel, Tim R  
**Address:** Tucson, AZ 85710  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please save and take care of our National Parks. Not only for my own enjoyment, but also for sons and grandchildren. It is a great and wonderful legacy to pass on to future generations.

Thanks

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1524    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Beauchamp, Marian B  
**Address:** Roanoke, VA 24015-4921  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We must do all we can to continue to protect our National Parks and all of the wildlife and plants that thrive within. These Parks are owned by the citizens of the US, not by special interests or corporations.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1525    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Novkov, Russell J  
**Address:** Madison, WI 53705  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please restore the moose on the National Parks!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1526    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mazuji, Nasrin  
**Address:** Sierra Vista, AZ 85635  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:47:59  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Nasrin Mazuji

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1527    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** romero , mary  
**Address:** mesa, AZ 85210 3136  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Free gods createsion! god bless.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1528    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Aderhold, Steven  
**Address:** Fallbrook, CA 92028  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:48:26  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Steve Aderhold

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1529    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jandourek, Alexia  
**Address:** Hortonville, WI 54944  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Miss Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I'm asking you to support AlternativeC. Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1530    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gray, Alice D  
**Address:** Port Orchard, WA 98366  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:48:58  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
alice d gray

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1531    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Shields, J W  
**Address:** Conklin, NY 13748  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:49:36  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form



**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
JW Shields

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1532	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	Chapel Hill, NC 27516 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec.07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I join NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition I encourage the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Janet Tice

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1533	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Rasmussen, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	Burien, WA 98166 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec.07,2015 19:50:51				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your

approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
Nancy Rasmussen

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1534	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	Cold Spring , NY 10516 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 19:51:13				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Serena Klempin

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1535	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Theroux, Gene				
<b>Address:</b>	Salisbury, MD 21804 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing concerning the plan for the Moose Wilson Corridor Road in the Grand Teton Park. I believe option C is the best alternative. Thank you for allowing me to express my opinion.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1536	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Welling, Jeannette				
<b>Address:</b>	Thousand Oaks, CA 91362 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 19:51:29				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Jeannette Welling

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1537    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Endress, Daphne  
**Address:** Katy, TX 77450  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:51:42  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. I have heard so many wonderful comments about Grand Teton and plan to take my family next summer. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Daphne Endress

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1538    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Rohrer, Laurel  
**Address:** Fredericksburg, VA 22401  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:51:51  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C" , best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Laurel Rohrer

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1539    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Pauls, Theresa M  
**Address:** Fenton, MO 63026  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:52:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
T Pauls

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1540    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** MATHESON, BRUCE  
**Address:** MIAMI, FL 33233  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** LIMIT MOOSEE - WILSON CORRIDOR ? 200 CARS AT A TIME  
MAINTAIN FOOTPRINT OF THE ROAD /-  
PROTECT THE WILDLIFE !!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1541    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Soares, Faye L  
**Address:** Pollock Pines, CA 95726  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:53:07  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Faye Soares

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1542    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Johnston, Jessica M  
**Address:** Tucson, AZ 85716  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:53:13  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the

Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Jessica Johnston

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1543    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Soares, David  
**Address:** Pollock Pines, CA 95726  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:54:46  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
David Soares

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1544    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Frazier, Julie  
**Address:** Somerville, OH 45064  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:54:50  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Julie Frazier

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1545    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jatinen, Jane E  
**Address:** Austin, TX 78733  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** To protect the animals, please select option C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1546    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Fitz, Fran

**Address:** Irvine, CA 92618  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** All Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Save

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1547    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Hillsboro, OR 97124  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 19:55:45  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Sondra Huber

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1548    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Moy, Kristine  
**Address:** Grosse Pointe, MI 48230  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 19:56:32  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kristine Moy

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1549    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** dildine, charles  
**Address:** st.clair, MI 48079  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 19:56:52  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** i would favor any program that puts wildlife as the first priority and visitor viewing as a 2nd priority, there fore I would favor having a public transport system into this corridor so people would get the experience with the presence

of a park ranger on the transport system to teach about the wildlife and the need to protect their habitat. I would do this during peak times- summer/fall. the park is one of most beautiful I have visited, photographed and I have been to all the national parks in the u.s. it is especially very beautiful in the fall.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1550    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sirois, Brent  
**Address:** Brooklyn, NY 11218  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:57:19  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Brent Sirois

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1551    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Geist, Sandra  
**Address:** Santa Cruz, CA 95060  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:59:40  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Sandra Geist

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1552    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kindel, Karen s  
**Address:** Canton, OH 44718  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C. This plan would better protect grizzlies, moose, wolves and other wildlife and reduce conflict between people and wildlife. Limit Moose-Wilson Corridor access to 200 cars at a time. This would not exceed a wait time over 15 min. Reduce speed limit to 20mph. consider a small scale shuttle system for visitors.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1553    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Price, Elisabeth  
**Address:** Albuquerque, NM 87110  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 19:59:52  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Elisabeth Pri e

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1554    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McDaniel, Larry E  
**Address:** Vinton, IA 52349  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:00:24  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Larry E McDaniel

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1555    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gleaton, Dianne S  
**Address:** Lake Panasoffkee , FL 33538  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** A delay such as is suggested would not be a hardship just to be able to enjoy this region of our country.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1556    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Arnold, Alan G  
**Address:** Albuquerque, NM 87110  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**



**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:01:41  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Alan Arnold

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1557    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McGowan, Gail  
**Address:** San Francisco, CA 94109  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** In support of Alternative C

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1558    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Larson, Brian  
**Address:** Seattle, WA 98117  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:02:39  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Brian Larson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1559    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Davis, Jeff  
**Address:** Boulder, CO 80302  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The non-human animals must come first so please do what is necessary for them first. This is too important to screw up, animals first priority please

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1560    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sames, Thea A  
**Address:** South Portland, ME 04106  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I used to live and work in Yellowstone, Grand Teton National Park and Jackson WY; all are beautiful places and will only remain so if wildlife is safe and able to live in their most natural habitat possible. Humans belong there at a limited rate and should not disturb what is meant for wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1561    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Nicolosi, Tina

**Address:** Methuen, MA 01844

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:** Member

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** This and all other parks belong to the PEOPLE NOT THE 1% OTHE "BIG" COMPANIES!!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1562    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Frey, John W

**Address:** Lexington, MA 02420

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:04:39

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:**

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
John W. Frey

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1563    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Thompson, Keith

**Address:** St. Paul, MN 55104

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:05:53

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1564    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Johnson, Lorraine D

**Address:** Seattle, WA 98118-2851

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:07:06  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1565    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Schneider, Edward R  
**Address:** Philadelphia, PA 19154  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:07:10  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Edward R Schneider

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1566    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Salvner, Amanda  
**Address:** Ann Arbor, MI 48104  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:07:46  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1567    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Chapel Hill, NC 27514  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Please accept these comments on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor Planning Process. I support "Alternative C", as I feel it best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1568    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Livingston, C.J.  
**Address:** Seattle, WA 98115  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I worked in Grand Teton National Park many years ago, for one summer. This territory is best experienced in the slow lane - the most amazing views and experiences happen when you go slow or stay still and let the environment and wildlife move at their speed around you. Limiting the speed, encouraging bicycles, all sound like a good way to let some visitors get a chance to be integrated into the scene, if only for a little while. Snow covered roads in winter are an excellent way to slow down and appreciate what is there; motorized vehicles should not be interrupting those willing to use their own muscles to earn a view of wilderness in the winter!

As concerns the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", contains the best plan and request that you choose Alternative C to guide your approach. It seems the best offered to limit the human impact on this wonderful place, preserving it, at least partly, for future generations.

Thank you for your good work to protect this wonderful place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1569    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Lind, Britt  
**Address:** Thousand Oaks, CA 91360  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:08:59

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

sharon\_mader\_90b.jpg

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1570    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Strickland, Tracy  
**Address:** Littleton, CO 80123  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:09:15  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Tracy Ann Strickland

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1571    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Harrison, Jeane  
**Address:** Des Moines, IA 50321  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:09:16  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is cherished by residents of Wyoming as well as people across the United States and the world. We must protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1572    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Keegan, Barbara A  
**Address:** Hicksville, NY 11801  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:11:21  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Barbara A. Keegan

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1573    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McNeil, Kerry  
**Address:** Panama City, FL 32405  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:11:51  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely, Kerry McNeil

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1574    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Moissant, Helen  
**Address:** Central Point, OR 97502  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:12:06  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
The Moissant Family

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1575    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Weed, Ardeth L  
**Address:** Edmonds, WA 98020-4000  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:12:46  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
ArdethL. Weed

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1576    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hubbard-Reeves, Susan F  
**Address:** Pensacola, FL 32504  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:13:24  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear: Grand Teton Superintendent David Vela

I am writing regarding the Moose- Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Susan Hubbard-Reeves

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1577    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Peters, Robert J  
**Address:** Estes Park, CO 80517  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect The Tetons from excessive winter traffic by AT Vehicles. Wildlife needs some time to rest also. Tetons are one of our last pristine wilderness wildlife areas. We hope you agree and will protect it.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1578    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Petruzzi, Maryke  
**Address:** nyack, NY 10960  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support plan C. protect the wild life!!!!!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1579    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Smith, John  
**Address:** New York, NY 10017  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:14:41  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, Alternative C, best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
John Smith

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1580	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Grames, Patricia A				
<b>Address:</b>	Tucson, AZ 85745 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

As someone who enjoyed Grand Teton National Park fifty years ago when our children were young, I am interested in preserving the park from more congestion and make it more like the beautiful, quiet place I remember. I support Alternative C, which I understand has the following provisions:

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the Death Canyon hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current footprint of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Patricia Grames, age 83

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1581	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	Modesto, CA 95350 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 20:15:31				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.



The wildlife and environment should come first with this decision. Limiting human interference is important.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1582    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Neuhauser, Alice  
**Address:** Manhattan Beach, CA 90266  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C."

The plan would:

- â€¢ Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
- â€¢ Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;
- â€¢ Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
- â€¢ Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;
- â€¢ Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;
- â€¢ Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;
- â€¢ Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, I encourage the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Thank you, Alice Neuhauser

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1583    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Brooks, Elizabeth C  
**Address:** Cumming, GA 30028  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:16:02  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing about the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1584    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Brinsky, Kris  
**Address:** Bethel Park, PA 15102  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations.

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the

Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kris Brinsky

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1585    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Bremerton, WA 98310  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:19:08  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The plan would:

â€¢ Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
â€¢ Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
â€¢ Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
â€¢ Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
â€¢ Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
â€¢ Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
â€¢ Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1586    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Disidoro, Karen  
**Address:** Swan Point, MD 20645  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:19:25  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Karen Disidoro

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1587    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** West, Paul  
**Address:** Fort Collins, CO 80526  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:19:37  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Paul West

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1588    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Butler, Amber  
**Address:** Middleburg, FL 32068  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please support Alternative C!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1589    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cuff, Kermit F  
**Address:** Mountain View, CA 94041  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:21:50  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

As a frequent visitor to Wyoming and Grand Teton National Park in particular, I'm writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1590    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** White, Michael P  
**Address:** Los Angeles, CA 90059-3400  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:22:01  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Michael P. White

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1591    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sembrowich, Nita D

**Address:** Cambridge, MA 02139  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and is making the experience less pleasant for human visitors. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor. A few years ago I visited Zion National Park and found that the shuttle system worked well.

Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1592    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Pena, Deanna M  
**Address:** Houston, TX 77036-6739  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:23:01  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Deanna M. Peña

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1593    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Clough, Bradley S  
**Address:** Missoula, MT 59803  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** National Parks Conservation Association Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:24:08  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Bradley S. Clough

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1594    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, Carl  
**Address:** Plano, TX 75025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:24:29  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1595    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Farlow, J. I  
**Address:** Greensboro, NC 27408  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please save this park. It is a national treasure and should be preserved in perpetuity.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1596    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gabrie, Joan F  
**Address:** Perkasio, PA 18944  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** because I've never seen it

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1597    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Imlay, Marc and Alice  
**Address:** Bryans Road, MD 20616  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We support alternative C

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1598    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dengler, Donna  
**Address:** Victor, ID 83455  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vila,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management

I have traveled Moose-Wilson Road to view wildlife for many years with my family and friends. The current large amount of traffic that has greatly increased over the last few years is having a negative affect on GTNP. Large groups of people speed through the corridor and regard it as some kind of freeway, honking at and passing slow moving vehicles viewing wildlife. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Donna Dengler

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1599	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	schreur, lois				
<b>Address:</b>	omaha, NE 68104 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec.07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Alternative C gets my vote. Anything that we can do to promote healthy environment and living for our wildlife is essential.				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1600	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Cohen, Howard J				
<b>Address:</b>	Palo Alto, CA 94306 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec.07,2015 20:28:38				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Howard J Cohen, Ph.D.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1601	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Holmeyer, Mary C				

**Address:** Phoenix, AZ 85051  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please help the Wildlife for future generations

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1602    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Benoit, Marguerite  
**Address:** Norwalk, CT 06851  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 20:29:10  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Marguerite Benoit

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1603    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Josephs, Andrew C  
**Address:** Hicksville, NY 11801  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 20:29:50  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Andrew Josephs

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1604    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** New York, NY 10028  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I have visited Grand Teton Park, a great national treasure, and for that reason I am writing about the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor in Grand Teton Park, and to urge the adoption of "Alternative C" for planning for this region.

Alternative C is best able to protect wildlife including grizzly bears, moose, and wolves. It would also lessen conflict between wildlife and people by outreach to park visitors and road closures when the bears come to close to the roads.

I favor limiting Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time in the busy summer periods. Wait times can be posted, and they will not be too long, and I know that visitors to the park will understand why these are important and glad to be a part of these conservation efforts.

I also favor extending the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by a mile. Also I favor replacing unauthorized pull-offs with a new dedicated parking area.

By all means I favor allowing winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles.

I favor essentially maintaining the current footprint of the road with tiny tweaks.

I favor reducing the speed limit from 25 to 20 mph, and it would be extremely useful to add "share the road" signs, and to create pavement edges for bicyclists.

By all means, management of the corridor should continue to be studied and adjustments made on the basis of peer-reviewed science.

Grand Teton is a great treasure for our nation and for Wyoming and Plan C would best preserve this magnificent region for now and for the future.

Thank you.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1605	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	Hamilton, NJ 08610-6321 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 20:30:27				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Henry Montague

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1606	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	Elk Grove Village, IL 60007-1718 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 20:30:37				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Dawn M. Albanese



---

**Correspondence ID:** 1607    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sweeney , Wesa  
**Address:** Willisburg , KY 40078  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:31:02  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1608    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** San Francisco, CA 94107  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1609    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Inman, K.  
**Address:** Fort Collins, CO 80521  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:31:46  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1610    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lupo, Jack  
**Address:** Conklin, NY 13748  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:32:59  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1611	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Greene, William A				
<b>Address:</b>	New Orleans, LA 70118 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 20:34:24				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
William A. Greene

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1612	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Bahris, Angie				
<b>Address:</b>	Santa Monica, CA 90405-1206 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 20:34:59				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1613	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	Boggs, Christopher E						
<b>Address:</b>	Akron , OH 44313 United States of America						
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	NPCA Unaffiliated Individual						
<b>Affiliation:</b>	Member						
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00						

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Please protect that road out west from danger. And don't send my info to the public please cause this comment is private. It's only for you guys. I care about our national parks and the plants and wildlife that live in them to call home. May they always stay protected for generations to come.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1614    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Flanagan, Marianne  
**Address:** Des Plaines, IL 60018  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Several plans have been submitted and I support Plan C. It will limit traffic on the road, keeping it accessible without widening it and disrupting wildlife. It will afford protection to both grizzlies and people by closing roads when the bears are too close. This will help avoid instances that result in injury to tourists and bears alike. These measures will ensure that the integrity of the park is intact and the wildlife which is so important to the park is protected.

It also allows for better recreational use of the park by extending the Death Canyon trail and continuing to allow snow shoes and cross country skiing. By adding new, scenic parking areas the integrity of the road will be preserved. This plan allows for tourists to continue to enjoy the park without having to compromise the park itself or the wildlife that makes the park their home.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1615    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gustafson, Duane E  
**Address:** Cook, MN 55723  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela:

I support "Alternative C," for the Moose-Wilson area.

Sincerely,

Duane Gustafson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1616    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Siegrist, Toni M  
**Address:** Boston, MA 02116  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:37:52  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1617    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Carrillo, Stephen  
**Address:** Albany, CA 94706-2055  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I'm writing to voice my support for Alternative "C" in regard to the Moose-Wilson corridor. I have not yet been to Grand Teton National Park, but hope to see it someday. I believe this alternative best serves the park's wild habitat. Thank you for your attention.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1618    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Janzen, Gayle  
**Address:** Seattle, WA 98133  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing in support of Alternative C in regards to the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. Alternative C will be the best protection for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and the animals who depend on it.

The traffic has increased to the point where it is having an extremely negative affect on the wildlife that rely on this corridor for their comings and going. While the preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, I don't believe it goes far enough. So I hope the Park Service will complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options such as shuttle options, that could be utilized in the corridor.

I support Alternative C for the reasons below:

â€¢ It better protects grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; and decreases the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

â€¢ It limits the Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

â€¢ It extends the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replaces damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;

â€¢ It will continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

â€¢ It maintains the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;

â€¢ It will reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;

â€¢ It will continueto study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

Alternative C really is the best proposal to keep this important corridor safe for people and animals alike. Thank you

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1619    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Smetana, Carol A  
**Address:** Southern Pines, NC 28387  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:41:11  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,Carol Smetana

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1620    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** de Vincent, Lisa  
**Address:** Los Angeles , CA 90064  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect our parks.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1621      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Patrick, Jane  
**Address:** Cedarville, OH 45314  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** USAF Retiree Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:43:29  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
Jane Patrick

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1622      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Kuehl, Bobbie  
**Address:** Kansas City, MO 64133  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:43:44  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** ear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Bobbie Kuehl

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1623      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Hepburn , Isabel  
**Address:** Port St. Lucie , FL 34953  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Please protect wildlife and don't kill even more...!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1624    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Raychaudhuri, Sumana  
**Address:** New York, NY 10025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please continue your support of the Grand Teton Park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1625    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Marckini, David G  
**Address:** Holland, MI 49423  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** First United Methodist Church of Holland Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support your work.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1626    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Rochester Hills, MI 48307  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:45:47  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Thank you

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1627    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dycus, Terry  
**Address:** San Marcos, CA 92078-2050  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:46:01  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Terry Dycus

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1628    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Goldenberg, Georgean  
**Address:** Chicago, IL 60645  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** It is important that the NPS is protected at all times. We are not only protecting for the present but for future generations. I have friends and family who always use the parks for canoeing, fishing, hiking and camping. Where would we be if we didn't have them.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1629    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** richardson, peggy  
**Address:** boulder, CO 80305  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please pick option C. This seems to be the best plan for the Park, visitors and animals. We want the best plan to make sure our National Parks are in good shape for future generations.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1630    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Koch, Joann  
**Address:** Lebanon, CT 06249  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:47:33  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a strong supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I strongly encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Joann Koch  
134 Olenick Rd  
Lebanon, CT 06249

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1631    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** San Francisco, CA 94133  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:48:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Jim Lansing

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1632    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Fly, Carol  
**Address:** Austin, TX 78727  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:48:22  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Carol Fly

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1633    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** hermosa Beach, CA 90254  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:48:36  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Sharon Torrisi

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1634    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Albany, OR 97321  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** To ensure delivery to your inbox, please add us to your address book: [takeaction@npca.org](mailto:takeaction@npca.org)



Teton grizzly bear

[Click Here to Send Your Comments to the National Park Service!](#)

(This link will take you to the National Park Service's Planning, Environment, & Public Commenting website.)

Take Action  
Dear Amy,

The historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas.

The popularity of the narrow roadway with both visitors and animals can create traffic jams and wildlife management challenges, particularly during the busy summer months.

To address congestion, park staff and outside experts have worked for the last decade to study wildlife use, historic sites, automobile, bicycle, and pedestrian use, and other factors to develop a new management plan for the corridor. Their goal is to protect these world-class resources while allowing visitors to continue to use and enjoy the roadway.

The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor have been released and we need your voice! Please join NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;

Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;

Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;

Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Take Action: Tell Grand Teton Superintendent David Vela that you support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

Here's how to submit your comments to the Park Service.

Step 1: Go to <https://parkplanning.nps.gov/commentForm.cfm?documentID=68894> and carefully follow the form instructions.

Step 2: Submit your comments by copying and pasting the sample message below into the web form. Please add any personal observations or stories that support your comments.

Step 3: Once you have completed all of the required fields on the form, click the gray "submit" button at the bottom of the page.

Sample message/comments

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Amy Roberts

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1635	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Gurtler, Barbara				
<b>Address:</b>	Peoria, IL 61614 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Sierra Club Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	Member				
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 20:48:57				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Gurtler

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1636	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	Madison, WI 53705 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec.07,2015 20:51:26				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1637	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Cordero, David and Ann K				
<b>Address:</b>	Longview, WA 98632 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec.07,2015 20:51:34				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. As former park rangers at Yellowstone, we truly appreciate the wildlife of the Grand Tetons. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
David and Ann Cordero

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1638	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Lee, Chimey				

**Address:** Berkeley, CA 94703  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** What ever it takes-we need do all we can to preserve all of our national and regional parks for our future.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1639    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Livingston, Elaine D  
**Address:** Vestal, NY 13850  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 20:54:03  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Elaine Livingston

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1640    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Eckerline, McKenna  
**Address:** Wayzata, MN 55391  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 20:54:09  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
McKenna Eckerline

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1641    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Platter-Rieger, Mary F  
**Address:** San Diego, CA 92105-5130  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, Alternative C, best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. I request that you choose Alternative C as your

approach to future management.

Thank you for considering my comment.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1642    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Toledo, OH 43608  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Save, protect, treasure and visit are natural wonders!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1643    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Irvin, Robert  
**Address:** Racine, WI 53406  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:56:14  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a strong supporter of the National Park System, and Grand Teton Park itself, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Robert Patrick Irvin

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1644    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** keaney, joe  
**Address:** northridge, CA 91325  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The Grand Tetons are not only a national treasure they are an international spectacle. It is critically important to protect this area for now and forever. Please don't allow the grandeur and beauty to be decimated.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1645    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hurley, Gaylene  
**Address:** Medford, OR 97501  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 20:58:15  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** ample message/comments

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1646    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Loves Park, IL 61111  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:00:24  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Tina Brenza

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1647    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Youngblood, Clay  
**Address:** Plano, TX 75023  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** This is needed to protect precious wildlife ...

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1648    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Youngblood, Clay  
**Address:** Plano, TX 75023  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** This is needed to protect precious wildlife ...

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1649    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Long, Jennie  
**Address:** Bellevue, ID 83313  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the

Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. As a former resident of Wyoming, the Grand Tetons and Moose -Wilson road were one of the first treasures my family and I enjoyed 31 years ago when we first moved West. I am grateful that now my children and grandchildren still share the love of this beautiful area as we meet in the Tetons for family celebrations, since it is halfway between our home in Idaho and theirs in Casper,WY. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place. I want my grandchildren to be able to bring their children and grandchildren to Grand Teton and the Moose -Wilson road corridor, too. Thank you!

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1650	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Cannon, John R				
<b>Address:</b>	Front Royal, VA 22630 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 21:01:39				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
John Cannon

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1651	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Smaluk-Nix, Kathleen A				
<b>Address:</b>	Louisville, KY 40214-1647 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 21:02:02				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
Kathleen Smaluk-Nix

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1652	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Cannon, Maxine G				

**Address:** Front Royal, VA 22630  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:02:19  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Maxine Cannon

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1653    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Fisher, Sharon G  
**Address:** Front Royal, VA 22630  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:03:07  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Sharon G. Fisher

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1654    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** BOWERS, MARGARITA V  
**Address:** AKRON, OH 44312  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I have visited this Park. It is a most beautiful place. Please protect ALL the animals in that area, and beyond. I prefer "Alternative C," the preferred plan.  
Please. and Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1655    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Johannesssen, Kathryn  
**Address:** Stamford, CT 06906  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:04:30  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I

believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1656    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sheffer, Jeanne M  
**Address:** Stafford, NY 14143-9552  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:04:41  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Jeanne Sheffer

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1657    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Law, Suzanne C  
**Address:** Ijamsville, MD 21754  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:05:14  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Suzanne C Law

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1658    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Linder, Nancy  
**Address:** Port Charlotte, FL 33952  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form



**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. I visited Grand Teton National park in 2009, and I was wowed by it's natural beauty! As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor. The visitors come to see the wildlife, but the visitors will not have anything to enjoy if the wildlife disappears to do interruption in their lifestyle. Visitors don't come to "rush", they came to meander, so waiting 15 minutes to enter a passageway would be just fine. There's beauty to enjoy around you no matter where you go.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1659    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Joos, Sandra  
**Address:** Portland, OR 97239  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing to express my strong preference for alternative C.  
I want to also encourage the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1660    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Potts, Ruth L  
**Address:** Edgewater, MD 21037  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:08:19  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Ruth L. Potts

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1661    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Lisbon, UN 00000  
Portugal  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Preserve!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1662    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Maxell, Diana J  
**Address:** Kamas, UT 84036  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:****Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00**Correspondence Type:** Web Form**Correspondence:** I have had many wonderful times while driving the Moose-Wilson, WY Road and while hiking in the area. Obviously, in the interests of the land, the wildlife, and for people using all types of locomotion, it is time to make improvements and changes. I support option C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1663 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Poindexter, Scott  
**Address:** Denver, CO 80110  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Was there this last summer. Please limit slow down the use of this road for Animals sake!

Thank You

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1664 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Scottsdale, AZ 85262  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:09:26  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1665 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Fox Point, WI 53217-3506  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Having visited the Grand Teton Park 50 years ago (when Jackson Hole was a two eatery town) I know it is now swamped with visitors (2 & 4 footed) in the summertime. Please protect the beauty and wildlife of the Tetons by approving "Altenative C", which protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Our National Parks deserve this commitment - and more generous funding from Congress.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1666 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Falkville, AL 35622  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** You should not allow Arch Coal to reap profits while adding huge amounts of climate pollution to our atmosphere, all at the expense of wild, roadless forest! KEEP ARCH COAL OUT OF OUR FORESTS!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1667 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kawszan, Karen  
**Address:** Spring, TX 77379  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:10:19  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela:

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1668    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wright, Trigg  
**Address:** Klein, TX 77379  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:11:17  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1669    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bradley, Shari  
**Address:** Arvada, CO 80003  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Plan C, please

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1670    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Hollidaysburg, PA 16648  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please approve option C. Thank you!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1671    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Herbruck, Janet E  
**Address:** San Diego , CA 92110  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** IT IS UNBELIEVABLE WHY ANYONE SHOULD QUESTION PRESERVING THIS AREA- -- -IT IS PRICELESS BEYOND WORDS.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1672    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Popoff, dave  
**Address:** Colville, WA 99114  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:13:13  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Dave Popoff

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1673    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Barnes , Sharon L  
**Address:** Encino , CA 91436  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:15:06  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Sharon Barnes

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1674    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** BLAKE, DARLENE  
**Address:** LONGMONT, CO 80501  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I think OPTION C WOULD BE THE BEST SCENARIO FOR THE WILDLIFE OF THE AREA.

NATURE DOESN'T NEED HUMANS. HUMANS NEED NATURE.

WE SHOULD GIVE PREFERENCE TO THE WELL BEING OF THE ENVIRONMENT AND THE WILDLIFE.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1675    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Robison, Cheryl  
**Address:** Fort Worth, TX 76107  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Plan C seems to address most of the problem, short of making an overpass or underpass for traffic. It is imperative, though, that we protect the wildlife and territory.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1676    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** masar, jacki  
**Address:** knoxville, TN 37932  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** The historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas.

The popularity of the narrow roadway with both visitors and animals can create traffic jams and wildlife management challenges, particularly during the busy summer months.

To address congestion, park staff and outside experts have worked for the last decade to study wildlife use, historic sites, automobile, bicycle, and pedestrian use, and other factors to develop a new management plan for the corridor. Their goal is to protect these world-class resources while allowing visitors to continue to use and enjoy the roadway.

The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor have been released and we need your voice! Please join NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

- Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
- Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;
- Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
- Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;
- Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;
- Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;
- Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1677    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Bockino, Alida  
**Address:** Moscow, ID 83843  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I urge you to support "Alternative C" for the Moose-Wilson Road in Grand Teton National Park. This alternative will better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road.

Alternative C will also omit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

Extending the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replacing damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area is a very logical option.

You should continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing.

Maintainng the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes is a good plan.

I also think you should reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident.

Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

As a person who has been to GTNP 17 times in the last 14 years, hiked hundreds of miles, climbed many of its spectacular peaks and had my breath taken away by the beauty and majesty of this special place, I feel very close to this park and very invested in its preservation. Please support Alternative C for the Moose-Wilson Road.

Thank you.

Alida Bockino

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1678    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Freeman, Dave k  
**Address:** Camp Verde, AZ 86322  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** DAD's Rod Shop Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:17:39  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Dave Freeman

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1679    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gage, Kathleen  
**Address:** Hamilton, NJ 08610  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** 1965 Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:19:11  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kathie Gage

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1680    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Brown, Robert M  
**Address:** Fircrest, WA 98466  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Primerica Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Here are my comments:

The historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas. Of course, visitors are interested in seeing this diverse wildlife.

The popularity of the narrow roadway with both visitors and animals can create traffic jams and wildlife management challenges, particularly during the busy summer months.

To address congestion, park staff and outside experts have worked for the last decade to study wildlife use, historic sites, automobile, bicycle, and

pedestrian use, and other factors to develop a new management plan for the corridor. Their goal is to protect these world-class resources while allowing visitors to continue to use and enjoy the roadway.

The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor have been released and we need your voice! I support "Alternative C".

This plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;

Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;

Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;

Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

Thanks.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1681	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Polifroni, Josephine				
<b>Address:</b>	Danville, CA 94526				
	United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	To Whom It May Concern;				

Regarding consideration to address problems for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor of Grand Teton National Park, I am writing to express firm support for Option C. I believe it will provide the best protection for the park, and wild life, while allowing visitors to still fully enjoy the park.

I believe it is critical to make sure that visitors have access to see how beautiful our parks are to enlist their continuing support but, at the same time, I realize that visitors can have a negative impact on the ecosystem so it must be protected.

To this end, I believe Plan C offers the best advantage to address all aspects.

Thank you for your time considering these comments.

Sincerely,

Josephine Polifroni

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1682	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Yerena Jr, Julian				
<b>Address:</b>	Parlier, CA 93648				
	United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 21:20:13				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Julian Yerena Jr

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1683	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Benge, Laura A				

**Address:** Batavia, OH 45103  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:20:24  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Just limit the traffic a little in the summer when the wildlife is busier. Monitor closely for the safety of both the people & the wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1684    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Banks, Wesley D  
**Address:** Vancouver, WA 98682-0067  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:21:17  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Wesley D. Banks

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1685    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Seely, Clover  
**Address:** Grass Valley, CA 95945  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:22:39  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1686    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Arnold, Ben  
**Address:** Tamarac, FL 33321  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park:

The Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. I request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.



Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations.

Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1687    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Melrose, Janine J  
**Address:** Madison, WI 53707  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:24:13  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1688    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Andrews, Rosalind  
**Address:** Knoxville, TN 37919  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

We must do all that we can to protect the magnificent wildlife that inhabit our national parks. If we don't, they will be gone. Gone. Gone. Gone.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1689    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** belcastro, frank  
**Address:** dubuque, IA 52001-6327  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:25:50  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

frank belcastro

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1690    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Whitson, Helene  
**Address:** Berkeley, CA 94709  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

We wouldn't have created any of our National Parks, Monuments, Seashores, etc., if they weren't special places. It is our DUTY to protect them and to keep them in their natural states as much as possible. With the burgeoning number of humans on this earth and the resultant disastrous climate change, we need to protect our wild spaces and the flora, fauna, air, water, and land within. We also need to teach humans to respect these places and to tread lightly. Alternative C is a good start to leaving SOME of the earth the way it might have been, while allowing humans to interact with our precious wild land, flora, and fauna.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Helene Whitson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1691    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Nunez, William A  
**Address:** Las Vegas, NV 89108  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Keep working hard on this matter due to the fact that we as citizens have to a legacy for the next generation!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1692    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Vance, Kevan  
**Address:** Melbourne, FL 32904  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Our national park service is a treasure and never to be diminished; we need it to feed our bodies and souls.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1693    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Simmons, Andrea  
**Address:** Friday Harbor, WA 98250  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Our National Parks are a vital source of both beauty and a responsibility to protect the animals that live there, that they may remain healthy and strong for all to appreciate and enjoy while protecting our heritage for the future.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1694    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** R., Jennifer  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:32:07  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** A VEIW FROM THE DRIVER'S SEAT, 2017.

Look at this line of cars 20 deep, engines idling, tempers flaring. How long have we been waiting? Seems like forever. The LSR lot is full, so is Granite Canyon, cars parked there all day or more, maybe camping. Should we pull a u-turn? More miles, more gas, more traffic through town, and I just can't deal with that Y intersection. No good options. Wouldn't it have been a great idea to have a shuttle available for those who want to move through this glorious winding road to Moose or any trailhead in the park? They could use energy-efficient, low-emission vehicles for the shuttles, maybe open-air, like golf carts or jeeps, or like the tour busses in Europe, or cities like Seattle or Pittsburgh. Too bad nobody thought of it. Seems like such a nice idea. Hey, our bikes are on the car, let's park and enjoy a ride to Moose, see things more slowly, get some exercise, no carbon footprint whatsoever. But wait, no shoulder, no pathway, no safe place to ride, let alone walk. I heard a young girl was killed here several years ago on her bike. You'd think park officials, town officials, the public, someone would have demanded a pathway through here by now. But I heard the wildlife folks didn't like that idea. Can't imagine a moose is any more threatened by a bicycle than the abhorrent behavior of folks stopped in a moose jam. Seems they'd just rather have us in our idling cars. They even paved the mile stretch from Granite north, which encourages driving all the more. Strange priorities, I'd say. This makes me angry. And the locals, I'll bet they are beyond angry. They must be fed up. After all, this is their home, we are just visitors. We can put up with a poorly managed stretch of road for a few days, but the locals have to live with this every day. No eco-friendly option for these miles, no way to complete the loop around the valley, in a town like this where conservation is supposedly valued. GTNP really dropped the ball. How could they let this happen? Seems they could have taken a creative and innovative approach to manage the increased demand, with all the recourses and brain power in this fancy town. Seems they've missed an opportunity to view this road from somewhere other than the drivers' seat. What a shame. Let's go to Zion next summer, I hear they have shuttles.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1695    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Blidar, Ronald C  
**Address:** Parker, CO 80134  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

I have been a frequent visitor to the Park and always travel on this road and have seen grizzly bear and moose. However, I have experienced the traffic jams that can cause harm to the wildlife  
Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1696    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** ozkan, dogan  
**Address:** Fairbanks, AK 99701  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:35:07  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1697    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Teevan, John

**Address:** Red Bank, NJ 07701  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 21:35:20  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
John P Teevan III

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1698    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Arlington, WA 98223  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Alternative C sounds like a much better plan. Let's protect our remaining wild stuff!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1699    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Nevada, MO 64772  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 21:37:03  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Susan Thornton

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1700    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wade, Lauren R  
**Address:** Ironton, OH 45638-1116  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Miss Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec.07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I Support The Alternative C Plan To Help The Animals

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1701    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Smith, Moreland

**Address:** Talent, OR 97540  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:39:08  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Moreland Smith

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1702    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kern, Carol  
**Address:** Oberlin, OH 44074  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Alternative C would provide access to the park area without disrupting so much of the wildlife. After all, they were there long before we decided to keep it pristine for their live styles. We've damaged enough of our natural resources and wildlife areas. I am disheartened by the tendencies to destroy people and animals so that a few people can fatten their holdings. We used to be better caretakers of our lands...the only ones who truly care anymore are the Indigenous Native American Indians who we also continue to abuse and deny respect and protection.America is going to hell quickly.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1703    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Redway, CA 95560  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We must always, always protect the animals and the environment.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1704    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, Jeri  
**Address:** Philadelphia, PA 19128  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:42:02  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Jeri Lutman

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1705    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Vermeulen, Mary E  
**Address:** Waynesboro, VA 22980  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** LWVUS Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Decrease the vehicle traffic to better the visitor experience. Too much traffic has a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Protecting wildlife and natural resources should be your top priority.

Further, I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a beautiful natural area cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States. Everyone's responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work in protecting this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1706    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kelly, Wayne  
**Address:** Ashland, OR 97520  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Select... Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:43:40  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Wayne Kelly

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1707    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Speer, Cheryl  
**Address:** Camas, WA 98607  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:44:06  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Speer

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1708    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Minnetonka, MN 55305  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
David Stewart

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1709    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Shurtleff, Tina  
**Address:** Murphy, NC 28906  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Parks should always be protected so future generations can enjoy the wonders of nature.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1710    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Klock, William  
**Address:** Watauga, TX 76148  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I'm not going to waste your time here . Alternative C is the best option good job compiling this option. Thanks.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1711    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** M., Judy  
**Address:** Fullerton, CA 92833  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:49:12  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Judy M.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1712    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, vanessa  
**Address:** oakland, CA 94608  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:****Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I strongly urge your support for Alternative C of the Moose-Wilson corridor as it'll best protect Grizzlies and other wildlife, while decreasing the potential for dangerous conflict between people and wildlife through visitor education, especially in reducing traffic during the busiest summer months. Through park signage and outreach visitors outside the corridor will know the wait time for access which might well not exceed 15 minutes even during high season. Length of the so-called Death Canyon hiking trail will also be extended by one mile and damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs will be replaced by a new parking area. Alternative C will also continue to allow exciting winter recreational access to the road which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing and this by maintaining the current footprint of the road with minor changes.

Importantly Alternative C will also reduce speed limit 5 miles per hour adding important get-way time for slower-moving wildlife, along with Share the Road signage.

Bicycle-friendly pavement edges will also be created under this plan so cyclists might more easily leave the road without incident. These advantages and more will continued to be studied and managed.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1713    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** jobling, catherine  
**Address:** las cruces, NM 88011

United States of America

Unaffiliated Individual

**Outside Organization:****Affiliation:****Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00**Correspondence Type:** Web Form**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C, the preferred plan regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor in the Grand Teton National Park. This plan

best protects the Corridor and I request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1714    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Watson, Chris  
**Address:** Knoxville, TN 37931

United States of America

Unaffiliated Individual

**Outside Organization:****Affiliation:****Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:51:58**Correspondence Type:** Web Form**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1715    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Powell, Kathleen  
**Address:** Vallejo, CA 94590

United States of America

Ms. Unaffiliated Individual

**Outside Organization:****Affiliation:****Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:52:36**Correspondence Type:** Web Form**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.



Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kathleen Powell

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1716    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Camano Island, WA 98282  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:52:38  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Yonit Yögev

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1717    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Valentine, Karen J  
**Address:** Soquel, CA 95073  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:52:48  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Karen J. Valentine

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1718    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Perez, Luiz  
**Address:** East Hampton, NY 11937  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:53:12  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Luiz Perez

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1719    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Chati, Janet M  
**Address:** Henderson, NV 89074  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Let wildlife live an unfettered life in the park. Their needs come above the needs of tourists.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1720    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Erwin, Jeffrey  
**Address:** Reno, NV 89502  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:54:29  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1721    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Anderson, Sylvia I  
**Address:** Albuquerque, NM 87123-3827  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** New Mexico Wilderness Alliance Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1722    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Advocate, Arnold  
**Address:** Camp Meeker, CA 95419  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I prefer alternative C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1723    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Spain, Steve  
**Address:** Owings, MD 20736  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:56:29  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Steve Spain

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1724    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Rooks, Alyssa  
**Address:** Goshen, OH 45122  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:57:05  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Alyssa

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1725    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Womack, Kristin  
**Address:** San Anselmo, CA 94960-1639  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 21:57:10  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the

Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1726	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	Chicago, IL 60613 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 21:58:13				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1727	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Smith, Cristina				
<b>Address:</b>	Los Angeles, CA 90019 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,07,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Increasing traffic from increasing human population is a problem everywhere, and along with it is danger from collisions resulting in death and injury for animals and people. I live in a busy city and am well aware of the tragic consequences of what are sometimes called "steel coffins," as well as all the resulting pollution.

Thank you for protecting parks and wildlife from these destructive effects.

Sincerely,  
Cristina Smith

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1728	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	durbin, stephen				
<b>Address:</b>	coupeville, WA 98239 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					

**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** PLEASE SUPPORT ALTERNATIVE PLAN "C" ...!!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1729    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Klock, William  
**Address:** Watauga, TX 76148  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Alternative C is well thought out great job no doubter.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1730    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** P, Adam  
**Address:** Boulder, CO 80301  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 22:00:08  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Adam P

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1731    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Thornell, Nigel  
**Address:** Roseburg, OR 97470  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The Grand Teton's are one of America's Jewels, please protect it!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1732    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Williams, Catherine  
**Address:** Tucson, AZ 85719  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 22:01:54  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System and someone who has visited Grand Teton National Park, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Catherine Williams

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1733    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Conroy, Jasmine  
**Address:** El Paso, TX 79924  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 22:02:37  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.Sincerely,Jasmine Conroy

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1734    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Head, Kris  
**Address:** Garden Grove, CA 92843  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 22:03:12  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely, Kris Head

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1735    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cummins, Milla  
**Address:** Livingston, MT 59047  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 22:03:51  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic, including haphazard and obstructive roadside parking, has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy this special corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the

Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Milla Cummins

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1736    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Durbin, Eric L  
**Address:** Tenino, WA 98589  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please make this a priority!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1737    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hipp, James  
**Address:** Bellingham, WA 98226-1745  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 22:05:26  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
James Hipp

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1738    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hughes, Kevin  
**Address:** Newtown, CT 06470  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 22:05:57  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Kevin Hughes

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1739    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Covington, Diana  
**Address:** Tacoma, WA 98465  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 22:06:24  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Mrs. Diana Covington

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1740    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** West, Eric R  
**Address:** Port Orange, FL 32127-6515  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 22:07:41  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1741    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Glessing, Kathryn L  
**Address:** Minneapolis, MN 55405-2129  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please save our national treasures.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1742    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Koivisto, Ellen  
**Address:** San Francisco, CA 94122  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 22:08:13  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your



approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Ellen Koivisto

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1743    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** MCGILLIGAN, MARY E  
**Address:** TWO HARBORS, MN 55616  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 22:09:06  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1744    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Garrett, Laurie  
**Address:** Fairfax, CA 94930  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Thanks for all that you do.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1745    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Glass, Malcolm  
**Address:** Clarksville, TN 37040  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please support Alternative Plan C!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1746    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Fielder, Linda  
**Address:** Carrollton, TX 75006  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Dr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** PROTECT THE WILDLIFE IN OUR WILDLIFE RICH AREAS!!!!!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1747    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Claymore, Thomas H  
**Address:** Pound, WI 54161  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I live next to many National Parks, love them all for their beauty and landmarks, we need to protect them as they are or in some make improvements to camp sites.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1748    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mogg, Margaret L  
**Address:** Menahga, MN 56464-2005  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 22:12:06  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Margaret L. Mogg

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1749    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hagen, Matt J  
**Address:** Tuolumne, CA 95379  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Do it.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1750    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** San Francisco, CA 94114  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Save the wildlife in the Tetons!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1751    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Carp, Sandra N  
**Address:** San Marcos, CA 92078-3908  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 22:14:32  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and I request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Sandra Carp

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1752    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sleva, Cathy  
**Address:** Seal Beach, CA 90740  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 22:14:50  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Cath Sleva

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1753    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** nutley, NJ 07110  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 22:15:13  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Thank you!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1754    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Selbin, Susan  
**Address:** Albuquerque, NM 87107  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**

To address congestion, park staff and outside experts have worked for the last decade to study wildlife use, historic sites, automobile, bicycle, and pedestrian use, and other factors to develop a new management plan for the corridor. Their goal is to protect these world-class resources while allowing visitors to continue to use and enjoy the roadway.

The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor have been released. I support "Alternative C" as the preferred plan.

The plan would:

- Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
- Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;
- Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
- Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;
- Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;
- Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;
- Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

I also encourage the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1755      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Sacramento, CA 95821  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support alternative C, the preferred plan, which offers better protection to wildlife. Thank you for considering my comments.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1756      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Reynolds, Ronda  
**Address:** Waterboro, ME 04030  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 22:16:47  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Ronda Reynolds

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1757      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Green, Jamie  
**Address:** Ventura, CA 93004  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 22:19:04  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1758    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Harpe, Barbara J  
**Address:** Lomita, CA 90717  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1759    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Meslar, Gerald  
**Address:** Edgerton, WI 53534  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 22:21:08  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1760    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mauney, Ken  
**Address:** Durham, NC 27703  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support alternative C and you should too

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1761    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gaponoff, Sharma L  
**Address:** Grass Valley, CA 95949  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 22:24:05  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Sharma L Gaponoff

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1762    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Banks, Janice A  
**Address:** Center Barnstead, NH 03225  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please do everything, in every way, to protect, preserve our National Parks and all that lives on and in it.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1763    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** shapiro, ellene  
**Address:** highland park, IL 60035  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Keeping the planet safe - making it better if possible - for all of its inhabitants enhances the lives of all. No one species; plant, animal or human can deem its perceived needs to be paramount. It takes both education and common sense to understand such - and increasing will to implement. If we humans can not nor do not implement what is necessary, we betray our own species.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1764    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** lafond, david j.  
**Address:** Holyoke, MA 01040-3502  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendant Vela:

i am writing to encourage you to implement "Alternative C" as the new plan to address congestion & related problems. Alternative C would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.  
In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1765    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Alexander, Fran D  
**Address:** Fayetteville, AR 72701  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please support Alternative C of your plan options for traffic management in the Grand Tetons' National Park. Although I live in Arkansas, I was born in Wyoming during World War II when my parents were stationed at Ft. Warren near Cheyenne, so I feel a particular closeness and have great concern for this, one of the most beautiful states of the total 50. On a trip to Muir Woods north of San Francisco a year ago, we could never get into the woods because cars were parked on both sides of the road for at least a mile, it seemed. I have arthritis and am unable to walk the distances it would have taken to just get from the car to the woods. As we overwhelm our natural areas we destroy them. At least controlling automobile traffic and parking and limiting visitors might not only save the natural sites, but also give us a chance to enjoy these areas to some degree. Please reform this crowding situation. Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1766    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cardinal, Enid D  
**Address:** Baldwinsville, NY 13027  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 22:27:24  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please support option C. Interaction with the wildlife needs to be kept to a minimum. Road speed should be reduced for their protection. Recreational vehicles should not be allowed. They create noise pollution and add to the carbon pollution. Our country needs to reduce this as best we can. We should be leaders who the world can look to. Do as I do not as I say. We need to be environmentally friendly. Where better to show how this is done then with our national parks?

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1767    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wilson, Debra J  
**Address:** ashland, OR 97520  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
I support Alternative C - the preferred plan.

The plan would:

- â€¢ Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
- â€¢ Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;
- â€¢ Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
- â€¢ Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;
- â€¢ Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;
- â€¢ Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;
- â€¢ Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, I would like the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1768    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Anderson, Michelle  
**Address:** St Paul, MN 55125  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 22:28:03  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Michelle Anderson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1769    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** novato, CA 94945  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Protect Wild Areas, Bears, and other Wildlife!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1770    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tomlin, Curtis M  
**Address:** Chattanooga, TN 37421-2412  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please go beyond normal, and protect our beautiful land.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1771    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** St. Angelo, Richard M  
**Address:** Cloverdale, CA 95425  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Sincerely,  
Richard St. Angelo

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1772    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Thompson, Donald J  
**Address:** Cambridge, MA 02139  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please vote for Alternative C, the preferred plan. This is the best way to preserve the habitat of the wildlife that live in the beautiful Moose-Wilson Corridor. Thank You!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1773    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Madison, WI 53703  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing to give input into the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. The Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and is your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations.

Thank you for your good work protecting this special place!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1774    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Morales, Barbara  
**Address:** Belmont, NC 28012  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form



**Correspondence:** WHY CAN'T YOUR PEOPLE JUST LEAVE MOTHER NATURE ALONE!!!!!!! SHE DOES NOT NEED YOUR HELP!!!!!!!  
BESIDES ALL PARK LANDS BELONG TO ALL AMERICANS NOT JUST A FEW!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1775    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Thornburg, Merrie  
**Address:** Chicago, IL 60660  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 22:40:47  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1776    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jacobel, Richard  
**Address:** Oakhurst, CA 93644  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** We need to do everything we can to insure the survival of all other species with whom we share this tiny planet. That is a truth that is self evident to all who who walk this planet in an enlightened state.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1777    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Nelson, Donna M  
**Address:** Brooklyn Park, MN 55443  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 22:43:51  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Donna M Nelson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1778    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mackey, Frederick J  
**Address:** Denver, CO 80222-4012  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** As the Grand Teton National Park was the second one I ever visited and I still have fond memories I am writing today in support of Plan "C" for the Moose-Wilson Road corridor.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

Thank you for your thoughtful consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1779    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Carlson, Karen  
**Address:** Madison, WI 53715  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I was lucky to spend a month in Wilson earlier this year and was deeply impressed with what I observed about care and management of wild areas and the animals within and especially, how humans can best share this world. From what I read, Alternative C is the best plan offered. While more needs to be done, this plan is certainly aimed in the right direction.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1780    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Peranio-Paz, Giana  
**Address:** Hendersonville, NC 28792  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 22:47:11  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Giana Peranio-Paz

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1781    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** MacKenzie, Michelle  
**Address:** San Carlos, CA 94070  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I write as someone who fell in love with Grand Teton National Park when I visited it four years ago. My family and I are planning a return trip, in hopes of enjoying its beautiful scenery and abundant wildlife.

I write today regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1782    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** White, Scott  
**Address:** Southington, OH 44470-9514  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 22:47:14  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Scott White

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1783    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Trotwood, OH 45426  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 22:47:52  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1784    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wiesner, Joseph  
**Address:** Milwaukee, WI 53212  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I've learned the National Park Service is considering options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. I hope the Service will choose Alternative "C".

"C" better protects wildlife, keeps traffic to reasonable levels while allowing sufficient access, and allows for a better hiking option (Death Canyon Trail). "C" also offers safer passage for cyclists.

I appreciate you reading my comments.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1785    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Rooney-Katsma, Lynne  
**Address:** Oswego, IL 60543  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** These recommendations need to be taken seriously to keep wildlife and humans safe!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1786    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Fischman, Lawrence  
**Address:** Yarmouth, ME 04096  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 22:50:44  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** As a three time visitor to Grand Teton National Park, I support the alternative plan "C", which would better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road; limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer; Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area; Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing; Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes; Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident; Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.  
Thanks for your consideration.  
Lawrence Fischman

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1787    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Pacifica, CA 94044  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 22:51:53  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Mark Golembiewski

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1788    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Fuessel, Chere C  
**Address:** Tennessee Ridge, TN 37178  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please do the RIGHT thing, not the most politically expedient thing.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1789    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hobbs, Joan

**Address:** Mountain Home, ID 83647  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 23:00:23  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

RE: Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. The Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. Please complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Joan Hobbs

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1790    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Claypool, Duane  
**Address:** Miles City, MT 59301  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 23:00:59  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Duane Claypool

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1791    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lewis, Sherman L  
**Address:** Hayward, CA 94542  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1792    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Rumiantseva, Elena A  
**Address:** Seattle, WA 98103  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 23:03:17  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your

approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1793    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Twickler, Carrie  
**Address:** Memphis, TN 38120  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please keep our beautiful public lands free from pollution and big business.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1794    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Greenwald, Ken  
**Address:** Santa Monica, CA 90404  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I will keep it simple:

I am aware The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor have been released. I am asking you to support "Alternative C," the preferred plan. Thank you for your time.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1795    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Osnes, Linda M  
**Address:** Modesto, CA 95354  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I would like you to seriously consider this Alternative to protect the wild animals in the park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1796    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Fiore, Janet  
**Address:** St. Anne, IL 60964  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

Comment about the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning at OUR Grand Teton National Park. The Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C" best serves to protect the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

We request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having multiple and increasing negative effects on wildlife that rely on this corridor. Protection of ecosystems, of wildlife, and natural resources should be your top priority while adopting a plan that educates and, only then, allows visitors to use the corridor. Education of the public is important because U.S. children and adults have little ecosystem education.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. We encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States. It is our responsibility to protect these precious resources. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Janet Fiore

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1797    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Chu, Jonathan  
**Address:** Fremont, CA 94539  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 23:11:40  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Jonathan Chu

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1798    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Griffin-Lewin, Anne L  
**Address:** Minneapolis, MN 55418-1663  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1799    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Heyneman, Amy  
**Address:** Bainbridge Island, WA 98110  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 23:20:46  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Amy Heyneman

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1800    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Castroville, CA 95012  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 23:21:53  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Harper

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1801    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Saxon, Diana  
**Address:** Salem, OR 97301  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 23:23:37  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Diana Saxon

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1802    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Nahill, Brad  
**Address:** Beaverton, OR 97007  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

I visited the area a couple of years ago and was disappointed to see the amount of traffic around the park and how poorly it was managed. Increased vehicle traffic has dramatically diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.



The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1803    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Winter, Jennie N  
**Address:** Belmont, CA 94002  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 23:29:58  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Jennie N. Winter

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1804    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Doane, Anne  
**Address:** Camas, WA 98607  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 23:30:49  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Anne Doane

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1805    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Younger , Lyn M  
**Address:** San Jose , CA 95111  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please choose Alternative C which makes the best for this magnificent park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1806    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Petro, Pat A

**Address:** Arlington, VA 22205  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 23:33:08  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Pat Petro

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1807    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Schaechtel, David A  
**Address:** San Luis Obispo, CA 93401  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 23:39:51  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
David Schaechtel

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1808    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** provost, sheila s  
**Address:** tucson, AZ 85745  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** All Our Children Together President Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** A most important place that needs care and human protection. Please step up and do it.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1809    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Evans, Michael W  
**Address:** Los Angeles, CA 90034  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 23:43:16  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Michael W Evans

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1810    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Los Gatos, CA 95032  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 23:43:45  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
S Feiven-Alleston

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1811    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, Ray A  
**Address:** Houston, TX 77070  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We have to do better.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1812    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Almond, Wayne N  
**Address:** Morrisville, PA 19067  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please select alternative "C"

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1813    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Smalley, Brian  
**Address:** Oakland, CA 94605-4404  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 23:54:49  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Brian Smalley

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1814    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** vlsiadis, andreas  
**Address:** athens, UN 17778  
Greece  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 23:56:15  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Andreas Vlsiadis

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1815    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Volker, Virginia S  
**Address:** Birmingham, AL 35222-4020  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Crestwood South Neighborhood Association Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please do not destroy the beauty of this National Natural Treasure!  
The Grant Tetons cannot be replace!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1816    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Volker, Virginia S  
**Address:** Birmingham, AL 35222-4020  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Crestwood South Neighborhood Association Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please do not destroy the beauty of this National Natural Treasure!  
The Grand Tetons cannot be replace!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1817    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Fish, Jason  
**Address:** Modesto, CA 95355  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Please join NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1818    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Chang, Patricia L  
**Address:** West Lafayette, IN 47906-5354  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 00:06:40  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Patricia L. Chang

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1819    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Marcus, Martin  
**Address:** San Diego, CA 92120  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 00:08:57  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Martin Marcus

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1820    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Holland, Brett

**Address:** Los Angeles, CA 90026  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:09:50  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Brett

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1821    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Feuille, Leslie M  
**Address:** Washington, DC 20009-1830  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:11:17  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1822    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Simrell, Louise W  
**Address:** Waiialua, HI 96791  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Our national parks, including all fauna and flora are to be treasured and protected. It is up to us as they are vulnerable without our protection.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1823    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Grotzke, Mark J  
**Address:** Tinley Park, IL 60477  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:14:36  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Mark Grotzke

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1824    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sederquest, Evan  
**Address:** Chester, NH 03036  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:17:58  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Evan Sederquest

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1825    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lowe, David  
**Address:** New York, NY 10111  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** please protect the national park from all attempts at development, especially fracking

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1826    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mendez, Javier  
**Address:** Honolulu, HI 96817-1200  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C", the preferred plan.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1827    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** San Francisco, CA 94123-3118  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:23:57  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your

approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1828    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Burke, Bonnie M  
**Address:** San Diego, CA 92160  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:26:27  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Margay Burke

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1829    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McGaughey, Mary F  
**Address:** Gresham, OR 97030  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support the Moose-Wilson Draft offording greater protection for the area while still allowing continued controlled use. A good alternative. Going forward the new plan must continue to be monitored for achievement of its protections and goals. Our mandate, as citizens, must be to protect, maintain, sustain our Nature Treasures. We CAN NOT sacrifice them to the greedy grab for more dirty energy.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1830    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** LaVonne, Nadine  
**Address:** Seattle, WA 98107  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am definitely in favor of Alternative C for the Grand Teton National Park. Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1831    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Azuh , Bernadette C  
**Address:** Calumet city , IL 60409  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** All lif matters.

---



**Correspondence ID:** 1832    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Brown, Shelley  
**Address:** Los Angeles, CA 90016  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** To Whom It May Concern,

This is a majestic and precious wildlife zone. It is so important that we protect the wildlife in this corridor and Alternative C is the best way to ensure that outcome. If we continue to disturb these habitats there will be nothing much left to see.  
Thanks for your consideration of my comment.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1833    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lindquist, Erin  
**Address:** Carlsbad, CA 92008  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C. Please keep our wildlife and lands safe. Help them flourish.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1834    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Delaney, Janet  
**Address:** Austin, TX 78731-4824  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:53:52  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C," best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Janet Delaney

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1835    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Barclay, Patricia A  
**Address:** Blountstown, FL 32424  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:57:25  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1836    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** becker, bobbie  
**Address:** Makawao, HI 96768-7213  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Open spaces, wild spaces, spaces where wild animals are still free. These are the things that matter to me. These are the things that I expect the National Park Service to provide for Americans. For that reason I am supporting Alternative C. It offers the most improvements in access and safety for both visitors and Yosemite's wildlife. Alternative C would provide

- â€¢ Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
- â€¢ Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;
- â€¢ Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
- â€¢ Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;
- â€¢ Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;
- â€¢ Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;
- â€¢ Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1837    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Huff, Mr & Mrs Richard R  
**Address:** Fort Wayne, IN 46815-7335  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Teton grizzly bear

[Click Here to Send Your Comments to the National Park Service!](#)

(This link will take you to the National Park Service's Planning, Environment, & Public Commenting website.)

Take Action  
Dear Mr & Mrs Richard,

The historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas.

The popularity of the narrow roadway with both visitors and animals can create traffic jams and wildlife management challenges, particularly during the busy summer months.

To address congestion, park staff and outside experts have worked for the last decade to study wildlife use, historic sites, automobile, bicycle, and pedestrian use, and other factors to develop a new management plan for the corridor. Their goal is to protect these world-class resources while allowing visitors to continue to use and enjoy the roadway.

The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor have been released and we need your voice! Please join NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

- Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
- Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;
- Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
- Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;
- Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;
- Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;
- Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Take Action: Tell Grand Teton Superintendent David Vela that you support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

Here's how to submit your comments to the Park Service.

Step 1: Go to <https://parkplanning.nps.gov/commentForm.cfm?documentID=68894> and carefully follow the form instructions.  
 Step 2: Submit your comments by copying and pasting the sample message below into the web form. Please add any personal observations or stories that support your comments.  
 Step 3: Once you have completed all of the required fields on the form, click the gray "submit" button at the bottom of the page.

Sample message/comments

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

Richard and Nancy Huff

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1838    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stonier, Polly  
**Address:** Phoenix, OR 97535  
 United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support plan c.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1839    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, Robin  
**Address:** Greenwood, MO 64034  
 United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 01:13:03  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Dr. Robin Rysavy

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1840    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Seltzer, Elizabeth E  
**Address:** Parkside, PA 19015  
 United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Please protect & increase our parks & wilderness areas.  
This is responsible & sustainable behavior which we all need to practice.  
Stop destroying our Planet!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1841    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Avant, Laura  
**Address:** Denver, CO 80207  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** The national parks are our legacy. They must be protected in every conceivable way if they are to survive the abuses of use, the shocks of weather disorganization, automobile pollution and man made pollutants of every kind. They are simply too beautiful for us to ruin by greed.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1842    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Vesely, Kara J  
**Address:** Martinez, CA 94553  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please do all you can to protect the environment.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1843    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** French, James  
**Address:** Seattle, WA 98103  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 01:48:43  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

James French

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1844    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Nixon, Daniel S  
**Address:** Philomath, OR 97370  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 01:50:28  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious

resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Dan Nixon

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1845    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Pinque, Meryl  
**Address:** Bangor, ME 04401  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 01:54:02  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Meryl Pinque

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1846    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Sarasota, FL 34234  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We need to save this park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1847    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Perruccio, Frank J  
**Address:** Chantilly, VA 20151-1325  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 02:02:52  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Frank J Perruccio

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1848    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Keller, Robert  
**Address:** Parsippany, NJ 07054  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 02:03:26  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
Robert Keller

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1849    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Allen , Elizabeth  
**Address:** Cornelius , NC 28031  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 02:05:02  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth Allen

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1850    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Davis, Cynthia  
**Address:** Longview, WA 98632  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I have reviewed the "Alternative C" plan. It appears to be a reasonable and sound plan that caters more to nature than to tourist, while perhaps allowing a richer experience for tourists.

Please choose this plan!

Thank you for your time!

Cynthia Davis

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1851    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Valencia, CA 91355  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 02:21:14  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Anita Wisch

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1852    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Nelson, Steven P  
**Address:** Atlanta, GA 30329  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 02:21:30  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Steven Nelson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1853    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Frasier, Forest L  
**Address:** Benicia, CA 94510  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 02:22:52  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious

resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely, enicia

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1854    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kelly & Family, Lisa Ann  
**Address:** Santa Barbara, CA 93101  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 02:28:10  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

My family and I are writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As supporters of the National Park System, we believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. We encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

The Kelly Family (five of us):

Lisa Ann  
Chad  
Geoffrey  
George and  
Tristan

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1855    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Billey, Catharine M  
**Address:** Davidsonville, MD 21035  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We need to preserve our heritage, for our children , for the land itself and for the wildlife. We need to have a soul and consciousness alive in the world for all of us to survive

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1856    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Devine, Sarah  
**Address:** Morton, IL 61550  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 02:44:22  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.





---

**Correspondence ID:** 1862    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hensgen, Eric  
**Address:** Tampa, FL 33629  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 03:23:56  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Eric M. Hensgen

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1863    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Garnerville, NY 10923  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 03:27:52  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth Agostinho

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1864    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hartenstine, Dennis  
**Address:** Birdsboro, PA 19508-8036  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I support "Alternative C" plan for the Grand Teton National Park.

Thank You,  
Dennis Hartenstine

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1865    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Bennett, CO 80102  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 03:52:53  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Mary Levant

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1866    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mora, Lauren  
**Address:** Rectortown, VA 20140  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 03:53:51  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1867    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kramer, Gavin J  
**Address:** Ewing , NJ 08638  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 03:54:31  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Gavin Kramer

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1868    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kostis, Steven L  
**Address:** New York, NY 10001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** KKI Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 04:09:47  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1869    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Woodlawn, TN 37191  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 04:13:47  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1870    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Revilla, Oscar  
**Address:** San Sebastian de los Reyes, UN 28700  
Spain  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 04:16:56  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1871    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Kerwell, Cherrie  
**Address:** Appleton, WI 54911  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 04:18:43  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Cherrie Kerwell

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1872    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Greenhalgh, Diana  
**Address:** New Milton, WV 26411  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 04:23:04  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Diana Greenhalgh

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1873    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Smith, Sharon J  
**Address:** Evansville, IN 47710  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 04:24:05  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Sharon Smith

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1874    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** furlong, john j  
**Address:** trevose, PA 19053-6502  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** citizen Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The research has been done follow the science and do what is right for these beautiful creatures. Alternative C is the way to go.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1875    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Lutherville, MD 21093  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C the preferred plan. Thank you for all your hard work in studying what needs to be done.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1876    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hernandez, Steven  
**Address:** Long Beach, CA 90802  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 04:31:52  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Steven Hernandez Jr.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1877    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Brooks, Sandy  
**Address:** Buffalo City, WI 54622  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 04:33:06  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sandy Brooks

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1878    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gootee, Mattie  
**Address:** Nanjemoy, MD 20662  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 04:35:23  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Mattie Gootee

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1879    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** schneebeli, christiane  
**Address:** Geneva, UN 12241  
Switzerland  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**

The historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas.

The popularity of the narrow roadway with both visitors and animals can create traffic jams and wildlife management challenges, particularly during the busy summer months.

To address congestion, park staff and outside experts have worked for the last decade to study wildlife use, historic sites, automobile, bicycle, and pedestrian use, and other factors to develop a new management plan for the corridor. Their goal is to protect these world-class resources while allowing visitors to continue to use and enjoy the roadway.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1880    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** inscoe, debra j  
**Address:** wilmington, NC 28403  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** saving wolves Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Our wolves need saving, if not, the world will be off balance and it will end!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1881    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Rhodes, III, Robert W.  
**Address:** Mercersburg, PA 17236  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Protection is paramount!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1882    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, Heather  
**Address:** Trumansburg, NY 14886  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 04:52:40  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Heather

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1883    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hirshorn, Robert  
**Address:** Groveland, FL 34736  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** what a beautiful place

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1884    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lange, Marlena  
**Address:** Middletown, NY 10940-4708  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 04:57:44  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1885    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Villa Rica, GA 30180  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We just came back from a vacation in Grand Teton area and it is great. Anything that can improve this area must be done.

---



**Correspondence ID:** 1886    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Howard, Kristen L  
**Address:** Boyne City, MI 49712  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 05:01:44  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Kristen L. Howard

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1887    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Evergreen, CO 80439  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 05:02:51  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Martha Izzo

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1888    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McCarthy, Casey  
**Address:** Kimberton, PA 19442-9912  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 05:04:22  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
The Reverend Casey McCarthy

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1889    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Pynn, Doug  
**Address:** Athens, GA 30606  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 05:08:13  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1890    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hazlitt, Meghan  
**Address:** Hanahan, SC 29410  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I grew up in Cody, Wy and spent three weeks every summer camping, hiking and playing in Grand Teton National Park. My family drove the Moose Wilson road while it was still a one lane dirt road. I took the road last time I was back home and was slightly horrified by the number of cars and bikes now utilizing that road. I am writing today to ask you to please approve Plan C for the maintenance and management of a wonderful and scenic drive. Thank you.

Meghan Hazlitt

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1891    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Zola, Yvonne  
**Address:** Tallahassee, FL 32301  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support the Alternative C Plan for the Teton National Park. Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1892    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Henningsen, Linda  
**Address:** Sayville, NY 11782  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1893    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Richardson, Leslie  
**Address:** Kyle, TX 78640  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 05:15:32  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Leslie J Richcardson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1894    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Knack, Joseph V  
**Address:** Memphis, TN 38177  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 05:16:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Joe Knack

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1895    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** D'Onofrio, Adam R  
**Address:** North Dinwiddie, VA 23803  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 05:19:13  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Adam D'Onofrio

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1896    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tarallo, Mary  
**Address:** DeMotte, IN 46310-9492  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 05:19:37  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1897    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Osburn, N/A  
**Address:** Bothell, WA 98021  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Why don't we just quit having babies - reduce the population?

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1898    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ellis , Irene  
**Address:** Dallas , TX 75208  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

Twenty six years ago we took our family to Grand Teton National Park and spent a few wonderful days. It was a life enhancing experience and we loved seeing all the wildlife and beautiful scenery. We hiked, boated, horseback rode. Our children Participated in children's programming. The naturalists and park rangers were wonderful.

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1899    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hutchins, Colleen  
**Address:** Townsend, MA 01469  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The lack of open spaces for wildlife is already contributing to unwanted human-animal interactions but the solution is not taking away more land to use for humans. the only thing we aren't manufacturing is more land.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1900    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kop, Jonelle  
**Address:** Tavernier, FL 33070  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 05:33:29  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1901    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** wyse, margo d  
**Address:** hanover, NM 88041  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Billions of people on this planet & yet we continue to selfishly destroy the last of nature/wildlife. Let's not continue on this souless path....less people , more nature!!!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1902    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Waltasti, Marilyn  
**Address:** Maricopa, AZ 85138  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 05:34:09  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Waltasti

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1903    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Holman, Clarisse B

**Address:** silver spring, MD 20903  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 05:36:35  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Clarisse B Holman

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1904    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Haskell, Michael R  
**Address:** Scarborough, ME 04074  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Seemore Inc Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road.

Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer.

Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1905    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Davis, Ronald L  
**Address:** Towson, MD 21286  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 05:38:27  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Ronald L. Davis

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1906    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Meinerding, Tony H  
**Address:** Greenville, OH 45331  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Help save the United States and the World. Do your part.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1907	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	East Providence, RI 02914 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,08,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Teton grizzly bear				

[Click Here to Send Your Comments to the National Park Service!](#)

(This link will take you to the National Park Service's Planning, Environment, & Public Commenting website.)

Take Action

Dear Linda,

The historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas.

The popularity of the narrow roadway with both visitors and animals can create traffic jams and wildlife management challenges, particularly during the busy summer months.

To address congestion, park staff and outside experts have worked for the last decade to study wildlife use, historic sites, automobile, bicycle, and pedestrian use, and other factors to develop a new management plan for the corridor. Their goal is to protect these world-class resources while allowing visitors to continue to use and enjoy the roadway.

The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor have been released and we need your voice! Please join NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

- Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
- Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;
- Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
- Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;
- Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;
- Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;
- Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Take Action: Tell Grand Teton Superintendent David Vela that you support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

Here's how to submit your comments to the Park Service.

Step 1: Go to <https://parkplanning.nps.gov/commentForm.cfm?documentID=68894> and carefully follow the form instructions.

Step 2: Submit your comments by copying and pasting the sample message below into the web form. Please add any personal observations or stories that support your comments.

Step 3: Once you have completed all of the required fields on the form, click the gray "submit" button at the bottom of the page.

Sample message/comments

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1908    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Konig, Judith  
**Address:** Baltimore, MD 21214  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor. In addition to the preferred plan, I encourage the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Judith Konig

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1909    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Bloomfield, CT 06002  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect the Grand Teton National Park!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1910    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Brintzenhofe, Bret K  
**Address:** New York, NY 10022  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 05:45:36  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Bret Brintzenhofe  
917 275 3524

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1911    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Mount Vernon, OH 43050  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 05:46:12  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form



**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Sarah Goslee Reed

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1912    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Barnard, Michele  
**Address:** Lafayette, CO 80026  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Alternative C sounds like a wise choice!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1913    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Wake Forest , NC 27587  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please choose alternative C for the Moose-Wilson Rd Corridor!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1914    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hill, Teresa  
**Address:** Nahant, MA 01908  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 05:56:09  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Teresa Hill

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1915    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Vogl, Julie  
**Address:** Geneva, IL 60134  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 05:56:32  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I support the adoption of plan C, with one caveat - find a way to constantly improve the visitor experience. While implementing road closures and limits will provide a quick fix for the animals, which is important, it is more important to keep people interested and supportive of national parks. That is the key to long-term survival of the parks in my opinion. I like the transit idea! Re-routing or bridges with wildlife underpasses or overpasses might also work, though I understand that is a much bigger project. Keep up the good works and keep our parks strong!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1916    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Mason, NH 03048  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please go forward with the plan to make viewing wildlife safer for ALL involved. So many of us can't afford expensive vacations so this is our best bet. Our own beautiful parks.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1917    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gates, Nancy J  
**Address:** Haverhill, MA 01830  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I think that Alternative C is the correct way to go.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1918    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sargent, Robert A  
**Address:** Salem, NH 03079-2498  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** N/A Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Thank you for your efforts to improve access & safety for wildlife. I believe Option C is the best proposal.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1919    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** King, Danny J  
**Address:** west palm beach, FL 33406  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** King Enterprises Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We have to save our natural resources for our grandchildren and future generations. This beauty has been there and needs to be there forever.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1920    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Borie, Edith  
**Address:** New Paltz, NY 12561  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 06:02:15  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Edith Borie

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1921    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** grguric, jackie  
**Address:** tallahassee, FL 32303  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We would like to support "Alternative C," plan. thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1922      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Slote, Karen  
**Address:** North Tonawanda, NY 14120  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 06:04:52  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Karen Slote

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1923      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Babbitt, Susan M  
**Address:** Philadelphia, PA 19107  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I think the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please choose Alternative C for future management.

Sincerely,  
Susan Babbitt

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1924      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Choi, Kelly  
**Address:** Madison, NJ 07940  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 06:06:40  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kelly Choi

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1925    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Noble, Adrian  
**Address:** Warrington, PA 18976  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 06:08:03  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park.

I am very concerned about increasing traffic in National parks, and I hope to visit Grand Teton in the very near future.

I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Adrian Noble

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1926    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Long, Deborah  
**Address:** Ocala, FL 34473  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please save parks

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1927    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Manner, Mary A  
**Address:** Baltimore, MD 21214  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I wish that you would highly consider protecting these wonderful creatures. They aren't doing anything wrong. What they are doing is living, that's all. Why should they be "punished" for this? We need to protect God's creatures. They should not be destroyed just because they exist. Their world is the outdoors where they can be free and live and do what God intended for them to do. Please have a heart and think about what you're doing.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1928    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Robinson, Donald W  
**Address:** Chester Springs, PA 19425-2420  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** First of all, let me thank the National Park Service (NPS) for their valuable service to our country that is delivered on a daily basis in places such as the Grand Teton. My family cherishes the memories of this magnificent place within the NPS systems and the cabin we stayed in while there. We pine to return.

In that spirit, I urge you to support "Alternative C," which would:

\* Do a better job at protecting grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife by decreasing the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road. This iconic Western wildlife, along with the stunningly beautiful scenery,

was our main reason for putting the Grand Teton on our "must do" list when we toured the High Plains.

\* Reduce traffic by constraining the access to the Limit Moose-Wilson corridor to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer. In my view, car touring should be given a low priority with respect to access services.

\* Expand the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area and thus prevent terrain destruction.

\* Allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing.

\* Maintain the current "footprint" of the road but drop the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour while adding "share the road" signs along with bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can safely leave the road.

\* Maintain corridor research over time and manage it based on new peer-reviewed science.

\* Investigate the feasibility of "wildlife overpasses" to minimize wildlife-based car accidents

Once again, thank you for your contributions to the NPS in general and Grand Teton in particular.

Best wishes,

Don

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1929    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Costion, Steven M  
**Address:** Burnt Hills, NY 12027  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Take action to protect wildlife

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1930    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Califon, NJ 07830  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 06:12:13  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Susan Clark

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1931    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Chapman, Misty  
**Address:** Pickens, SC 29671  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 06:13:16  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Misty Chapman

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1932    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bowden, Joan K  
**Address:** Riverside, RI 02915  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 06:13:29  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Joan K. Bowden

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1933    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McJunkin, Diane  
**Address:** Monroe, OH 45050  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1934    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Jacksonville, TX 75766  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support alternative C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1935    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Pilgrim, Karyn  
**Address:** Brooklyn, DC 11233  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 06:15:56  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I

believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Karyn Pilgrim

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1936	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Waldron, Nicole				
<b>Address:</b>	Hamden, OH 45634 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec.08,2015 06:16:35				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Nicole Waldron

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1937	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Hatch, Dorothy				
<b>Address:</b>	St Charles, MO 63303 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	NPCA Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	Member				
<b>Received:</b>	Dec.08,2015 06:17:43				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Hatch

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1938	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Haugen, Michelle				
<b>Address:</b>	St. Charles, IL 60175 United States of America				

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 06:20:45  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Michelle Haugen

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1939    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lanus, Howard W  
**Address:** Tempe, AZ 85283  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I love the national parks, both as a place to visit and as a refuge for animals and natural beauty. However, whether national parks prosper or fail is dependent on how we manage and treat them. Please choose a plan to maximize the benefits while minimizing the downfalls. Please choose Plan C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1940    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Pittsburgh, PA 15213  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 06:24:04  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
C Chu

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1941    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Johnston, Casper  
**Address:** Rocky River, OH 44116  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Save the Grand Tetons!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1942    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894



**Name:** Gray, Tony  
**Address:** Chicago, IL 60601  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** You should be preserving this land and its wildlife. Do the right thing and do not destroy our world and cave into those who will ruin this world for everyone!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1943    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** New Haven, CT 06511-2113  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** National Parks need more money and more support. They are a national treasure and need to be protected and supported.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1944    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Pesicka, Dawn L  
**Address:** sioux falls, SD 57106  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 06:25:06  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Dawn Pesicka

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1945    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wood, Kelly  
**Address:** Lebanon, CT 06249  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 06:27:55  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kelly Wood

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1946    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stith, Kevin  
**Address:** Delaware, OH 43015  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I urge you to choose alternative C plan in order to better protect all the park stands for.  
Thank you, Kevin Stith

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1947    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Asher, Meredith  
**Address:** Chicago, IL 60647  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please support Alternative C!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1948    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hyder, Marie  
**Address:** Alexandria, VA 22310  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 06:29:56  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** As a visitor to the Grand Teton National Park on more than one occasion, I implore you to implement Plan C. This park is one of America's treasures. both because of its natural splendor and because of the wildlife that can be enjoyed there. This wildlife relies on the Moose-Wilson Corridor and the increased traffic is dangerous. While I know you want to encourage visitors to the area, perhaps cutting back on areas where personal vehicles can be used would be a good recommendation - the use of shuttles would be safer for the animals and cut down on congestion in the park. I hope to go back to the Grand Teton Park as often as I can and I implore you to protect this wonderful resource for future generations.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1949    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Byrne, Barbara  
**Address:** Sayville, NY 11782  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support alternative C for this project.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1950    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Malone, Margaret A  
**Address:** Philadelphia, PA 19104  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Sisters of the Blessed Sacrament Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

---

Thank you for all the hours spent studying this problem and keeping in mind the needs of the animals as well as those of visitors to the precious area.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1951    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Vatousiou, Mark  
**Address:** Feedinghills, MA 01030  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Protect our wild spaces.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1952    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Moscowitz, MD, Joyce A  
**Address:** North Salem, NY 10560  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** To Whom it May Concern,

I am writing to request that you continue to preserved and maintain Grand Teton National Park. It is a vital part of the ecosystem and refuge for wildlife. There is no way to undo the damage that would result in changing its' status.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Joyce A. Moscowitz,MD

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1953    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** O'Donnell, Deanne  
**Address:** Derry, PA 15627  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 06:39:07  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Deanne O'Donell

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1954    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Anderson, Catherine  
**Address:** Coral Gables, FL 33146  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

Having visited this area, it is personally very important to me and my family to PROTECT WILDLIFE in the area above all else. Too often decisions are made by park officials that cave to local interests at the expense of the wilderness and wildlife. Your main responsibility is to a healthy ecosystem and wildlife, not pandering to local business owners you may be friendly with.

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1955    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** HUBER, KURT  
**Address:** BLOOMINGTON, MN 55420  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 06:41:19  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely, I am  
Kurt Huber

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1956    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Smith, Nancy L  
**Address:** Knoxville, MD 21758  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 06:41:41  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1957    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wahrman, Mathew  
**Address:** Tarawa terrace, NC 28543  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Protect this national treasure!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1958    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Warren, DonnaLynn  
**Address:** Egg Harbor Township, NJ 08234  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 06:42:08  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:**

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place. It is very important to keep both Wildlife and visitors safe while enjoying the National Park.

Sincerely,

DonnaLynn Warren

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1959    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, Carol  
**Address:** Indianapolis, IN 46203  
 United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 06:44:44  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place!

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1960    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kuhn, Gerald K  
**Address:** Roanoke, VA 24014  
 United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1961    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Tampa, FL 34638  
 United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 06:46:45  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Shana Gerwens

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1962    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** DelSavio, Gail  
**Address:** Hamden, NY 13782  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We can't continue chiseling away at what we have left, and ignore the original promise of conservation

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1963    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Abrahamson, Dennis  
**Address:** Fredonia, NY 14063  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please take action now

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1964    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Soltis, M  
**Address:** arlington, VA 22205  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Preserve the Grand Tetons - it is a rare jewel

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1965    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Gainesville, FL 32601-5480  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect the Grand Teton and support Alternative C!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1966    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Piazza, Randall  
**Address:** Trumbull, CT 06611  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect the Grand Tetons.  
Thank you

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1967    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Deerhake, Patsy  
**Address:** Columbus, OH 43214  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I agree with option C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1968    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lowry, Kristen  
**Address:** Sacramento, CA 90211  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The wildlife is in peril, we need to protect they're delicate habitat

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1969    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tomasello, Pela  
**Address:** Santa Cruz , CA 95062  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 06:57:40  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Pela Tomasello

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1970    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Schneider, Caitlin  
**Address:** Seaford, NY 11783  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 06:58:13  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Caitlin Schneider

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1971    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** COOK, CHARLOTTE  
**Address:** CINCINNATI, OH 45239  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We all DESERVE a Forest to visit, a Park to play in. We are ALL RESPONSIBLE for making sure our next generations have the same privilege.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1972    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Herbert, Annabelle  
**Address:** Tucson, AZ 85719  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** To whom it may concern:

I strongly support option C as the best way to solve the problems of traffic and wildlife interaction.

Thank you, Annabelle Herbert

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1973    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Konzen, Susan M  
**Address:** Dyersville, IA 52040  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 07:01:31  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
Susan Konzen

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1974    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Twaddell, Cheryl  
**Address:** Woodland Park, CO 80863  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** My number one concern is protecting wildlife within our country. Alternative C for for Grand Tetons appears to best achieve this goal. Reducing vehicle traffic and creating a friendlier environment for people on bicycles and on foot would improve the quality of the experience for all visitors.

Thank you for considering my purposely brief comments.

Cheryl Twaddell

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1975    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wilson, Joni  
**Address:** Houston, TX 77070  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** SPAA IStudentt Protecting America's Animals) Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 07:04:04  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you



choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1976    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Smith, Brooker  
**Address:** Brewster, NY 10509  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Thanks for taking the time to consider the issues of this great area for both animals and the people. I can only hope that the decision will be better for all concerned

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1977    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Proffitt, Sterling C  
**Address:** Keswick, VA 22947-9361  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Alternative "C" is a more measured plan, and should be implemented.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1978    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Basile, C  
**Address:** Cuyahoga Falls, OH 44223  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am in support of Alternative C that reduces automobile traffic in the Grand Teton National Park. Thank you for your consideration of my views.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1979    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hunter, Ashley J  
**Address:** Ruston, LA 71270  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. I have always wanted to come and enjoy the Park, and I believe that this plan for preservation and controlled beneficial growth will ensure that it is there for future generations to come visit. I look forward to my future visit someday, and I hope that this plan will be chosen and enacted for the Park's longevity. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place!

Sincerely,

Ashley J. Hunter

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1980    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Herlihy, Peg  
**Address:** Newburyport, MA 01950  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 07:06:44  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Peg Herlihy

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1981    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jurgensen, Catherine M  
**Address:** Montgomery, IL 60538  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 07:07:20  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Catherine Jurgensen

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1982    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Knapp, Virginia  
**Address:** Inver Grove Heights, MN 55076  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am supporting Option C as the best way to both help keep wildlife and cars apart and to also let humans enjoy this beautiful drive. Please enact Option C. Thank you, Virginia Knapp,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1983    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** gitto, ruth  
**Address:** bayside, NY 11361  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support the NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1984    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lachs, Sandra  
**Address:** Temple Terrace, FL 33637  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please keep the wildlife of this country, especially endangered species, safe in their current habitats.  
Thank you!  
Cordially,  
Sandra Lachs

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1985    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Oldfield, Barbara J  
**Address:** Diamond Bar, CA 91765  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** As a long time visitor of Grand Teton, I encourage you to adopt the changes for the Moose-Wilson road.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1986    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Martin, Patti L  
**Address:** Spring Hill, FL 34610  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The destruction of every thing on Earth will not improve our means to exist. The pollution of big corporations who buy off our politicians with their bribery/lobbying money helps the destruction right along and instead of having the integrity to know better, politicians continue to fill their greedy pockets. In the end, do you really think life is sustainable on Mars? My guess would be NO. So there is this one gorgeous planet that can sustain a lot of life if MAN allows it to happen. Thus far they have not. Destruction of all living beings continues in wars, research, population control, hunting, mega pollution or what have you.. As if we ourselves are God and can just ignore the destruction because magically it will fix itself. Why don't someone in your department grow some and stand up to the one who continues to just destroy our future generations planet. Which ever decision maker it might be, stand up to their pocket hoarding and point out the idiocy of further destruction and what they will leave to their future family members.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1987    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hasapidis, George  
**Address:** Cumberland, RI 02864  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
George Hasapidis

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1988    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wright, Sandra H  
**Address:** Westminster, MD 21158  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** USA very concerned citizen Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** As a citizen, mother, and grandmother, both I and my husband, Wilbur, want the national treasures that our national parks are, to be carefully cared for protected, and preserved for posterity.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1989    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Murray, Carol  
**Address:** Bowie, MD 20715  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** National Parks and all they contribute to the beauty of our country are so important. Therefore, it is inherent that we do all we can to protect the scenic nature of the parks and the wildlife that inhabit these parks.

Please pledge your support for the Preferred Plan of the National Park Service for all of our parks, especially Grand Teton.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1990    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lowry, Lorraine m  
**Address:** Sacramento, CA 90211  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** If we don't take a stand now to protect wildlife, it might be to late for anybody to save them

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1991    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** ERICKSON, JOANN  
**Address:** WALLED LAKE, MI 48390  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** HELP PROTECT THE TETONS AND ALL SURROUNDING LAND!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1992    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Llinas, Stephanie  
**Address:** Denver, CO 80220  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 07:16:37  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1993    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Bloomington, IN 47404  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 07:18:56  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your

approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Abby Miller

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1994	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Lawson, Carol E				
<b>Address:</b>	Beach Lake, PA 18405 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,08,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I am writing to request that "Plan C" be implemented to secure and enhance the habitat and wilderness quality necessary to provide the next 7 generations the opportunity to learn, study and participate in our environmental heritage. As is the title of the photographer, Porter's book, taken from a famous poem, which documents the beauty and pristine wonder of a wild place before its mindless destruction by damming, "In Wildness Lies the Preservation of the Earth."				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1995	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Anderson, Virginia C				
<b>Address:</b>	Coconut Creek, FL 33066 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,08,2015 07:24:05				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Virginia Anderson

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1996	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Ramo, Carol				
<b>Address:</b>	West Babylon, NY 1704 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,08,2015 07:24:37				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Carol Ramo

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1997    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ashley, Tamara  
**Address:** Mishawaka, IN 46546  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 07:26:29  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1998    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tudor, John M  
**Address:** falmouth (formerly Salt Lake City), MA 02536  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Sierra, NPRA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We have always loved the quietness and undergrowth on the Moose cutoff. We have seen moose, bear, and deer in those woods. Considering the planned improvements, I support Option C to be approved.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1999    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Franzmann, Paul  
**Address:** Walla Walla, WA 99362  
United Kingdom  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I first visited Grand Teton in 1972 on a college field trip out of Wisconsin. Jackson Hole was little beyond a quaint little town at the time.

Today I write regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Paul Franzmann

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2000    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Davenport, Patricia B.  
**Address:** Knoxville, TN 37924  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Those parks were started for a reason. Please keep them the way they were.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2001    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** samartano, jen m  
**Address:** naperville, IL 60563  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Protect all animals and nature for future generations!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2002    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Cambridge, MN 55008  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 07:30:32  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2003    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Soloway, Deborah  
**Address:** Maineville, OH 45039  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** please protect our parks. have to see them in the next few years, and my son will want to take his children when he has them

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2004    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Franklin, MI 48025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** As a frequent visitor to the park and a former Jackson resident, I support plan C. Thank you. Jennifer Reame

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2005    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Richland, MI 49083  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States such as myself, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations.

I have had such wonderful experiences visiting Wyoming and the Grand Teton as well as Yellowstone National Parks, part of what makes that experience so special is the ability to view wildlife in their natural habitat. In my first trip to the park I was able to view a black bear foraging for berries. This was an experience I will forever be grateful for and never forgot. And can't wait for the day I get to visit Grand Teton again and see all the wonderful things I didn't get to see in my first visit.

Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2006	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	d'andrea, tara				
<b>Address:</b>	ann arbor, MI 48105 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,08,2015 07:33:38				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2007	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	New York, NE 10011 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,08,2015 07:34:46				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Concerned Citizen



---

**Correspondence ID:** 2008    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Steinhaus, Joanie  
**Address:** Galveston, TX 77550  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 07:35:26  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** "Alternative C," is the preferred plan.

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2009    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stauffer, Ellen P  
**Address:** Bloomington, IN 47401  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 07:36:48  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Ellen Stauffer

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2010    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gindele, Abigail  
**Address:** Portsmouth, NH 03801  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 07:36:55  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Abigail Gindele

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2011    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Walker, Lindsey P  
**Address:** petoskey, MI 49770  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dearest National Forest Service,  
You really should not allow Arch Coal to reap profits while adding huge amounts of climate pollution to our atmosphere, all at the expense of wild, roadless forest! I'm writing today to stop Arch Coal from bulldozing thousands of acres of pristine roadless forest in Colorado in order to mine more than 170 million tons of coal. These are public lands not mines for private coal companies. Preserve and Protect dont' pillage and pollute!  
Thank-you, Lindsey P. Walker Petoskey Michigan 49770

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2012    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Reichard, Robert J  
**Address:** Harrisburg, PA 17110  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Susquehanna Township Parks & Recreation Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 07:41:30  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Robert J Reichard, CPSI, APRP  
Director of Parks and Recreation  
Susquehanna Township

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2013    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Schmotzer, Mary  
**Address:** Williamsburg, VA 23185  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 07:41:40  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Mary Schmotzer

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2014    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Blombach, Ann K  
**Address:** Columbus, OH 43214  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 07:41:50  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Ann K. Blombach

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2015    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tomeucci, Roberta  
**Address:** Rocca di Papa (Rome), UN 00040  
Italy  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 07:42:26  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Roberta Tomeucci

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2016    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Fletcher, Carol E  
**Address:** Ann Arbor, MI 48103  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 07:42:36  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Carol E Fletcher

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2017      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** austin, TX 78751  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 07:43:02  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2018      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** espugq, richard a  
**Address:** roselle park, NJ 07204  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** we need to

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2019      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Meyers, Sarah  
**Address:** Howell, MI 44843  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 07:44:31  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2020      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Moore, Lorraine S  
**Address:** San Antonio, TX 78210  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 07:45:18  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]  
Lorraine Shirkus Moore

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2021	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Vincent, Mary D				
<b>Address:</b>	Greensboro, NC 27403 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,08,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I remember with fondness my own trip out to the Tetons, hiking with a geology group and camping out along the way. It was a truly spectacular place, we did not run in to anyone else there, and we saw lots of wildlife. We need to preserve this paradise, not only for ourselves but for the wildlife as well.				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2022	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Last, Daniel				
<b>Address:</b>	Alexandria, VA 22305 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,08,2015 07:45:58				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2023	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Stuart-Jennings, Erin				
<b>Address:</b>	San Francisco, CA 94112-1604 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,08,2015 07:48:27				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2024    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Pettengill, Mary  
**Address:** Salisbury, MA 01952  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 07:48:29  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Mary Pettengill

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2025    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Klausling, Michael  
**Address:** Nitro, WV 25143  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 07:48:41  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2026    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** levitt, michael  
**Address:** CONCORD, CA 94518  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the

Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Thank you,  
Michael Levitt

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2027    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** DiRenzo, Jennifer S  
**Address:** Elk Creek, MO 65464  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 07:51:50  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2028    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Toole, Patricia A  
**Address:** Lusby, MD 20657  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 07:52:51  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Patricia Toole

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2029    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Craryville, NY 12521  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 07:53:39  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2030    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mize, Robert  
**Address:** Inyokern, CA 935270021  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** LEAVE OUR STATE AND FEDERAL PARKS ALONE... Stop using them for personal gains, foreign companies, and companies gain.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2031    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Santiago Jr., Raymond  
**Address:** East Elmhurst, NY 11369  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please maintain and protect our national parks and not give in to private concerns,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2032    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Vazquez, Flornece  
**Address:** MiAMI, FL 33181  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 07:58:08  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Florence Vazquez

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2033    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cohn, Kenneth M  
**Address:** Dayton, OH 45415  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 07:59:29  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.



The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2034    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tagesen, Peggy A  
**Address:** La Place, LA 70068  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** This is regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I truly believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is have a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your TOP priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and employ the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small scale transit options that could be utilized in the Corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious and most beautiful resources for all of us and for future generations.

Thank you for your good work to protect the wildlife and this very SPECIAL place.

Peggy A. Tagesen

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2035    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** hoffman, steven  
**Address:** baltimore, MD 21208  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 08:00:36  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2036    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Rodriguez, Sylvia  
**Address:** New York, NY 10003  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 08:01:56  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Sylvia Rodriguez

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2037    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Martin, Gerry W  
**Address:** University Place, WA 98466  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Protect this area at all costs.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2038    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** haverkamp, kathy I  
**Address:** geneva, NY 14456  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C, as currently the vehicle traffic is negatively effecting the wildlife at the park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2039    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Zelus, Marsha M  
**Address:** Carmel, CA 93923  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 08:04:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Marsha McMahan Zelus

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2040    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Florio, Kathryn  
**Address:** Sioux Falls, SD 57103  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 08:04:38  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Dr. Kathryn Florio

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2041    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Yourke, Oliver  
**Address:** Brooklyn, NY 11215  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please select Alternative C for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2042    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bradshaw, Emma  
**Address:** Lisle, IL 60532  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We must protect our national parks.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2043    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Boughan, Thomas R  
**Address:** Decherd, TN 37324  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We need to preserve the parks.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2044    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Casaday, Garth  
**Address:** El Sobrante, CA 94803  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 08:15:13  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Garth Casaday

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2045    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Miller, Marion  
**Address:** Auburn, NH 03032  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 08:15:37  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Marion Miller

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2046    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cline, Lindsey  
**Address:** Salem, MA 01970  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 08:17:06  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2047    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Donovan, Robert  
**Address:** Lynn, MA 01902  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 08:17:59  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Robert Donovan

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2048    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Alden, Cynthia J

**Address:** Fryeburg, ME 04037  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I feel strongly that multiple years of observation and recommendations by experienced employees of the National Park Services throughout our country should be given respected attention before any decisions for changes of any type are made to the legislation governing the administration or services that provide our citizens with regulated access to the wonders of the wilderness.

Please give reasonable/adequate consideration to the suggestions being extended.

CJM Alden

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2049    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Norden, Michael J

**Address:** Defiance, OH 43512

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:** Member

**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I support Alternate C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2050    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** prokop, joe

**Address:** East Aurora, NY 14052-3034

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:** Member

**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** To many of our wild animals are being killed (poaching,legal hunting, cars,etc.) need to protect them better. Would like my grand & greatgrand kids to be able to see them in the wild.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2051    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Smith, Janell

**Address:** New Douglas, IL 62074

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,08,2015 08:19:41

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
Janell Smith

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2052    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Brenner, Jared

**Address:** New York, NY 10003

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,08,2015 08:19:43

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Jared Brenner

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2053    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Berg, Carol J

**Address:** St. Joseph, MN 56374-0202

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** College of St. Benedict Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:** Member

**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I think Option C is very sensible, a win-win for humans and for the animals.

It seems to well thought out. thank you for the care and concern you show for both sides (human and wildlife).

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2054    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** N/A, N/A

**Address:** Port St. Lucie, FL 34953

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** So much wilderness has been decimated and plundered. Please protect what little is left to us.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2055    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Clark, Carolyn

**Address:** Salt Lake City, UT 84103

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Please support Alternative C. It brings the greatest benefit toward preserving a little more of the natural beauty of the Tetons. After all, that is why we visit the Tetons.

I highly encourage you to study and implement a shuttle system for visitors.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2056    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Fluet, Christine H

**Address:** Columbia, CT 06237

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** We need to spend money saving our wild places. This should be a priority.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2057    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Radford, Nancy E

**Address:** Reno, NV 89511

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I support the "Alternative C," the preferred plan and hope you will too. My husband and I are annual members of our National Parks and hope that proper care and action will be taken to preserve our national treasures. We feel this is the best option of those recommended. Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2058    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Lubbock, TX 79414  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 08:24:34  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Chris Coats

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2059    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Loberg, Mary  
**Address:** Plymouth, MN 55447  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I wholeheartedly support

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2060    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ticotsky, Alan  
**Address:** Lexington, MA 02421  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 08:25:29  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Alan Ticotsky

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2061 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Alexander, Charles  
**Address:** Lutherville, MD 21093  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

We can love our parks so much that volume disturbs what we cherish. Rationing is sometimes better than the damage that occurs.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2062 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Rabon, Joyce  
**Address:** Ocala, FL 34476  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 08:27:23

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Joyce Rabon]

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2063 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Veiby, Gail C  
**Address:** Westborough, MA 01581  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C because the plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife;

Decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;

Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;

Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;

Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, I encourage the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.



Sincerely,  
Gail Veiby

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2064    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Key, Laurence W  
**Address:** Stuart, FL 34997  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and I respectfully request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to the future management of the park.

Increased vehicular traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please adopt a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor but retains as your top priority the protection of wildlife and natural resources.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I would encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for current visitors and for future generations. Thank you for all you do to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Laurence W. Key  
in Stuart, Florida

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2065    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gorsetman, Mark S  
**Address:** Whitestone, NY 11357  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 08:30:39  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations.

Thank you for your good work to protect this special place and your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2066    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kern, Alicia  
**Address:** Palos Verdes Peninsula, CA 90274  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 08:32:16  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Alicia Kern

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2067    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Boynton Beach, FL 33436  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 08:32:58  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kimberley Vought

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2068    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Patton, Todd  
**Address:** Durham, NC 27705  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 08:34:50  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2069    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Turner, Christy M  
**Address:** Columbus, OH 43221  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Preserving these lands for the wildlife that inhabit them is crucial.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2070    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McElheney, Marilyn

**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Letter  
**Correspondence:** I support the park's preferred alternative plan regarding the Moose-Wilson corridor. This plan is backed by scientific and environmental studies.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2071    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, Ellen  
**Address:** Scottsdale, AZ 85250  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 08:39:42  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
Ellen

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2072    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Campbell, Ph.D., P.T, Joyce  
**Address:** Torrance, CA 90505  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Dr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We must do everything in our power to preserve the Grand Tetons and all the species that contribute to the delicately balanced ecosystem that we know. Every animal and plant species contributes to that balance. Although we may have identified some Keystone Species, our lack of knowledge is overwhelming. What happens in the Grand Tetons has, and will have, an impact on the survival of our planet and our species.

Sincerely,

Joyce Campbell, Ph.D.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2073    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Chesire, Chris  
**Address:** Aliquippa, PA 15001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Hello,

We need to do everything we can to better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife.

I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2074    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jacobs, Josh  
**Address:** Dunwoody, GA 30338  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** please save grand teton

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2075    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gliva, Stephen  
**Address:** Evanston, IL 60202  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please join NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;

Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;

Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;

Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2076    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Samp, Cecelia  
**Address:** Schiller Park, IL 60176  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am asking that you support Alternative "C" when making a decision regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. This plan offers the best solution for protecting our wildlife and for enhancing park visitors' experience in the park while maintain the natural aspects of this park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2077    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kercher, John  
**Address:** Superior, CO 80027  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please adopt option C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2078    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Robinson, Sami  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014-9690  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,07,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Letter

**Correspondence:** I am writing as a 39 year resident of Moose-Wilson Road. My husband and I would respectfully as that Moose-Wilson road be kept pristine for the abundant wildlife. Please no bike path or widening road way. We are avid bikers - but wildlife must come before our selfish wants. I think it would be a terrible mistake to take away this critical vegetation and peacefulness. I believe the park service chose wisely on their preferred plan - please do not let the Village or Shooting Star executives "bully" our park service leaders.

Respectfully submitted -

Sami Robinson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2079    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Musielski, Gina N  
**Address:** Morton Grove, IL 60053-2365  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Our national parks are a precious commodity for all generations. We must strike a balance between visitors and wildlife. I love the Grand Teton National Park. It is one of the most beautiful places on earth. Please protect it by choosing "Alternative C" in the preferred plan for the park.

I ask that in addition to implementing preferred plan C, the Park Service complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor, to better protect this beautiful gift.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people like myself, who choose to travel thousands of miles from the middle of the US to visit because of its beauty and tranquility, wildlife and grandeur. It is our responsibility as American citizens to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place!

Sincerely,  
Gina N. Musielski

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2080    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Johnston, Allan J  
**Address:** Evanston, IL 60202  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 08:48:48  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I support alternative C, with greater support for animal protection. It would better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road; limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer; extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area; continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing; maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes; reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident; and continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2081    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Turner, Kathleen M  
**Address:** Saint Louis, MO 63125  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 08:50:15  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2082    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McFarland, Brian  
**Address:** Chicago, IL 60614-2854  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 08:50:23

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Brian McFarland

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2083	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Eckler, John R				
<b>Address:</b>	Lakewood, CO 80226-1261 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	NPCA Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	Member				
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,08,2015 08:51:24				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely, John Eckler

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2084	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Fouche, David				
<b>Address:</b>	Winston-Salem, NC 27106-4530 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,08,2015 08:53:33				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
David Fouche

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2085    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Shekell, Margaret D  
**Address:** Los Angeles, CA 90034  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Margaret D. Sheell

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2086    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Crow, Gena  
**Address:** Victoria, TX 77901  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 08:57:19  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2087    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McGill, Ann C.  
**Address:** Brunswick, OH 44212-4071  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please use Alternative C which will do the least amount of damage to this wonderful area. Thanks in advance.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2088    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Hudson, OH 44236  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 08:59:08  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Marsita MacDonald

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2089    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Torrance, CA 90510  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please adopt alternative C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2090    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Corwin, Kevin  
**Address:** Centennial, CO 80121  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 08:59:55  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2091    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Barrett, David  
**Address:** Highlands Ranch, CO 80129  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 09:00:21  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.



Sincerely,  
David Barrett

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2092    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lowery, Joanne  
**Address:** Battle Creek, MI 49017  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I urge you to support "Alternative C" for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor to protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road.

Thank you for protecting our wonderful wild places.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2093    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McEwan, Diane H  
**Address:** Cumming, GA 30040  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 09:06:28  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2094    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** St. Louis, MO 63130  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 09:06:34  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Sandy Lynn

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2095    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lugo, Luis A  
**Address:** Monticello, FL 32344  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 09:07:11  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Luis A Lugo

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2096    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mabry, Toni A  
**Address:** Griffin, GA 30223  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 09:08:31  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Toni Mabry

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2097    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mandeville, Helen  
**Address:** San Francisco, CA 94109  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The Tetons are beautiful and should be saved.....for future generations. PLEASE let future generations enjoy them....

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2098    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Brown, Alan R  
**Address:** New York, NY 10024-6414  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 09:17:28  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Alan R. Brown

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2099    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Smith, Breanna M  
**Address:** Choctaw, OK 73020  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect this area.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2100    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Van Pelt, Jason R  
**Address:** Madison, WI 53704  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** National Parks Conservation Association Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** 2015/12/08  
USA INTERFACE: The Lions' Share

National Hello! National Park Service NPS,

Please Bear with me Superintendent Vela,

The NPCA & the NPS are impart The Lions' Share which is the public domain of The United States of America. I, Jason Robert Van Pelt The Lionheart, support "Alternative C" outlined by the National Parks Conservation Association. Wait times for entry are like waiting in line for a ride. Any U.S.A. National Park is worth the wait!

However, some name changes can preserve, conserve, and restore some of our natural, national heritages. For instance, "Death Canyon" can be renamed as "Life Canyon". Park enthusiasts are out there living their lives to the fullest. "Death" or "Devil" is sort of a turn-off for park enthusiasts while considering their ventures. So, that goes for all of our National Parks, State Parks, Conservation Parks, County Parks, and City Parks. 'Tis time to stay to the lighter side of life instead of the opposite. I suppose rededicating our National Parks will take the Antiquities Act of 1906...It will take a President...For all.

Thanks for Bearing with me!

Lionhearted,  
NPCA Advocate,  
Lions' Share Nationalist,  
Mr. Jason Robert Van Pelt  
Team USA

Post Script: Renaming some of U.S.A. National Parks will fill more park enthusiasts with wonderment.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2101    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** LYCZYNSKI, BRIAN  
**Address:** Hot Springs, AR 71913  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** PLEASE SUPPORT THIS

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2102    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wicht, Dan  
**Address:** Fridley, MN 55432-4541  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 09:19:57  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2103    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Crumpacker, Barb J  
**Address:** Coeur D'Alene, ID 83814  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I believe that the Teton grizzly population needs to be protected. They are a necessary part of the healthy ecosystem.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2104    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** rosa, sam  
**Address:** hialeah, FL 33018  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Leave nature alone; the only thing man has to do is protect animals and land.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2105    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lamons, Kristina  
**Address:** Houston, TX 77008-3428  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 09:20:46  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Kristina Lamons

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2106    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Boyer, David J  
**Address:** Palo Alto, CA 94304-2418  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 09:20:56  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

David J. Boyer

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2107    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hogan, Michael  
**Address:** Del Mar, CA 92014-4230  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please limit access at Moose-Welison. Protect our vanishing wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2108    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cook, Gordon  
**Address:** Rough and Ready, CA 95976  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Protect our wildlife

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2109    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Scoville, P  
**Address:** Hewitt, NJ 07421  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 09:27:53  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Pam

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2110    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Luzier, Maresa J

**Address:** Bushnell, FL 33513  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** This is a beautiful part of the country that we enjoy visiting. Please go with Alternative C for your Plan. Thank you so much for taking care of this special area.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2111    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Perkins, Karen A  
**Address:** Elizabethton, TN 37643  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Sir or Ma'am  
Regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor, I support Plan C alternative. I feel that it would better benefit the natural citizens of the park (the wildlife)while still allowing the public access to the park.  
Thanks, Karen

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2112    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Durrer, Mary A  
**Address:** Eastpoint, FL 32328  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect this national treasure.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2113    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** augusta, GA 30809  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 09:31:10  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

We NEED to protect our wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2114    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sanford, Timothy R  
**Address:** Durham, NC 27707  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Regarding the plans for the narrow corridor in Grand Teton National Park, I support Plan C. I applaud your attempts to limit traffic on this narrow roadway which offers so much in the way of wildlife observation.  
My wife and I visited Grand Teton a few years ago when our daughter worked at Yellowstone, and it was a marvelous experience. Please preserve it for other.  
Thanks.  
Tim Sanford

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2115    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Lewis, Sherry  
**Address:** Santa Fe, NM 87594  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Though I have not visited all the National Parks, I've been to the Grand Teton park. My hopes are that it will remain as "untouched" as when I was there.

Therefore, I feel that the plan "Alternative C" would be the best for the visitors and the wildlife. After all, I think of the parks for wildlife and not for people. We are visitors and observers and I hope you'll choose this plan to keep the park as "untouched" as possible.

Thank you for letting us comment on this plan.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2116    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Woolsey, David A.  
**Address:** Ellsworth, ME 04605  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 09:34:51  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.  
Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor. The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor. Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2117    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Vancouver, UN V2B6H7  
Canada  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 09:35:42  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Ella Reeves

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2118    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Calgary, UN T3A3S4  
Canada  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 09:36:17  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Danielle Tran

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2119    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ferrari, Angela G  
**Address:** Anchorage, AK 99517  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Park User Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Alternative C is sound and sustainable measure for the wildlife and the park users as well. Wildlife, as we all know, is losing the mass extinction battle, in order to sustain humans. We must act now and promote forward thinking on human and wildlife's ability to coexist.

Please consider Alternative plan C as an option.

Sincerely,

Angela Ferrari

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2120    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dale, Byron  
**Address:** Rockford, IL 61108  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am no longer able to hike the Teton trails; but my wife and I cherished every minute spent in the park. Of the open options I believe Alternative C is the best for the park, people and wildlife we so enjoyed. In our minds this park is the crown jewel of the National Park system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2121    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Weisz, Russell  
**Address:** Santa Cruz, CA 95060  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** "Alternative C" is my preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.  
In addition to the preferred plan, please study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2122    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** keegan, helen  
**Address:** Seymour, CT 06483  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Do the right thing!



---

**Correspondence ID:** 2123    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Souza, Robert S  
**Address:** St. Louis, MO 63130  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C. Thank You.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2124    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Moore, Robert E  
**Address:** Decatur, GA 30030  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor. And particularly more attention should be given to promoting the use of bicycles in the park as a recreational and transportation alternative. This would likely require better secure bicycle parking at trailheads and other destinations and stops along the road. Each person on a bicycle is someone not in a car. Any NPS provided transit or shuttle service should include the capacity to transport bicycles as well.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Robert E. Moore

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2125    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Colburn, Sean  
**Address:** Marlborough, NH 03455  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** KWW Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 09:43:14  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Sean Colburn of NH

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2126    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** vestias, eusebio manuel vestias pecurto  
**Address:** Borba, OK 71501  
Portugal  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Welcome the new world Sustainability

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2127    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Schneider, Cathie M  
**Address:** Gainesville, MO 65655-7438  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 09:45:42  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2128    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Melius, Bruce  
**Address:** Wilton Manors, FL 33311  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** It is your duty to protect these parks for the sake of future generations of park users!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2129    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** maughan, margith  
**Address:** salt lake city, UT 84103  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** . Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 09:46:40  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Margith Maughan

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2130    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bowling, Scott M  
**Address:** Hendersonville, NC 28791  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** National Parks Conservation Association Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member

**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please choose Alternative C for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2131    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Porter, Betsey  
**Address:** Bloomington, MN 55431  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** National Parks Conservation Association Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 09:50:08  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Betsey Porter

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2132    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Eames, Mary L  
**Address:** Long Beach, CA 90815  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. Yellowstone Park is very close to my heart. I grew up in Idaho and would go to Yellowstone on Sunday drives with my family. I am concerned that it retains it's incredible beauty, while still being accessible to visitors.

As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for considering the best solution for saving this treasured place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2133    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wemer, Kim S  
**Address:** Grinnell, IA 50112  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor have been released. I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;

Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.  
I also support encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2134    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Brown, Mary E  
**Address:** Morrow, OH 45152  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 09:56:23  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. I brought my young niece there last spring to show her how beautiful Grand Teton is. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Mary Brown, DVM, MPH

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2135    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mendes, Ruth M  
**Address:** Pound Ridge, NY 10576  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, Alternative C, best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Many years ago, I was privileged to go with 3 friends, on horseback, for 2 weeks in the Bob Marshall Wilderness of Montana. The absolute joy of not hearing a single motor for that time is an experience that I would want for many more "just plain folk."

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2136    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** G, Shane  
**Address:** Brooklyn, NY 11216  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 09:57:59  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2137    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** loftus, dennis  
**Address:** venice, FL 34285  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** retired Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** give this your immediate attention

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2138    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Man bch, CA 90266  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** This is important

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2139    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lestitian, Jeff  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 10:06:48  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I work as a guide in the park during the summer, so I drive the Moose-Wilson road anytime I have a pick up at Teton Village. So I will, on average, drive that stretch 2 to 3 times a week, mornings and evenings. I also drive the START bus in the winter, so I see plenty of traffic on 22 and 390. Recognizing that many people use the Moose-Wilson corridor in the summers for "back door" access to the park, and shortcuts around 390/22, I wanted to bring back an idea that for a long time seemed a non-starter. Put in a 'north bridge.' By creating a road from the Gros Ventre junction across to Teton Village we can alleviate traffic on the Moose-Wilson corridor (airport bound traffic and park bound traffic) during the summers, and cut in half the amount of cars leaving Teton Village for town during the winters. It's a common sense idea that will only have a handful of outraged detractors - the land owners between the GV and the Village. Having said that, this solution will benefit the entire valley.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2140    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Grandeck, S M  
**Address:** Avon Park, FL 33825  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's "Alternative C" plan optimally protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. I request you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction but still more could be done. I strongly encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be used in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by the entire United States. It is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for present and future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2141    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Schmedlin, Stephen J

**Address:** Cranberry Twp., PA 16066  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 10:08:24  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
S.J.Schmiedlin

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2142    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tomasello, Patti  
**Address:** Waxhaw, NC 28173  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 10:09:02  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Patti Tomasello

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2143    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Modarelli, David  
**Address:** Akron, OH 44333  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 10:13:29  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I

believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Dave Modarelli

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2144	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Vincent, Judith				
<b>Address:</b>	Salem, OR 97301 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Ms. Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	Member				
<b>Received:</b>	Dec.08,2015 10:13:49				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Judith Vincent

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2145	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	OFallon, MO 63366 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec.08,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	In regards to the Moose Wilson Draft EIOS, please give extra consideration to plan C. It is environmentally sounds, gives access to visitors, and protects the wildlife. This is the mandate of the Park Service. Thank you.				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2146	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	Merritt Island, FL 32952 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec.08,2015 10:18:39				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious

resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
David Thompson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2147    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** gardner, CO 81040  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** i am writing regarding the moose-wilson road corridor. i believe that alternative c is best. it allows vehicle traffic but still protects wildlife and natural resources. small scale public transport would also decrease car traffic and seems worth considering. thank-you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2148    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ecker, Christopher  
**Address:** Rockville, MD 20850-3812  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect the Grand Canyon and surrounding areas to the best of your abilities for all generations to come. Please don't let the canyon become something akin to the Niagara Falls area.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2149    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Batchelder, Loralyn  
**Address:** Nashua, NH 03062  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 10:20:27  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Loralyn Batchelder

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2150    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Seyfried, William M  
**Address:** Boulder City, NV 89005  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 10:23:48  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form



**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

William M Seyfried Jr

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2151	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Watson, Crystal				
<b>Address:</b>	Carmichael, CA 95608-4760 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,08,2015 10:23:51				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Crystal Watson

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2152	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	King, Jean				
<b>Address:</b>	Livermore, CA 94550 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,08,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

I have visited Grand Teton many times and it is one of the most beautiful places on earth. Though I live in California, my sister lives in Bozeman and we always visit the Tetons when we come to visit her.

Thank you for your good work.

Jean King

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2153    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bauer, David W  
**Address:** Portage, MI 49002  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. I support plan Alternative C as your approach to future management.

We are looking forward to visiting Grand Teton National Park in the near future.

Sincerely,

David W. Bauer

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2154    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Willett, Troy  
**Address:** Sterling, VA 20165  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 10:27:09  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Troy Willett

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2155    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Iverson, Steve  
**Address:** Corona Del Mar, CA 92625  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 10:28:14  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Steve Iverson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2156    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ostrem, Emita  
**Address:** Joliet, IL 60436  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** --National Parks Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Let's keep our wilderness wild.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2157    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bortoletto, Federico  
**Address:** Albignasego(PD), UN 35020  
Italy  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** .

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2158    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Johnson, Jerry D  
**Address:** Chicago, IL 60610  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

My wife and I have visited the Grand Teton National Park several times and just love its naturalness. It is as if you are going back centuries in time to what it must have been like. This is one of our nation's precious treasures.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by not just the residents of Wyoming but by people across the United States and the world, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Jerry D Johnson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2159    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Anderson, William  
**Address:** Philadelphia, PA 19121  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 10:34:49  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

William Anderson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2160    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** G, Nancy  
**Address:** Marietta, GA 30067  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 10:34:50  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Nancy G

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2161    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kingsley, Joanne  
**Address:** Westbrook, ME 04092  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 10:37:56  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Joann Kingsley

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2162    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Weaver, Andrea  
**Address:** Carrollton, TX 75006  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We should do all we can to protect our wildlife. It is disappearing so quickly, our children/grandchildren may never see some of this wonderful creatures.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2163    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Fitzgibbon, Christina

**Address:** Fresno, CA 93740  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please help preserve and protect animals whose territories are ever so decreasing.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2164    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** owatonna, MN 55060  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** save our national parks now.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2165    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Garber, Peter  
**Address:** Warwick, RI 02886  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Our nation should do anything we can to ensure the health, integrity, and dignity of our parks. We are only as strong as the nature that supports us.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2166    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Milberg, Frances  
**Address:** NY, NY 10022  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Environmentally bad. Makes no sense.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2167    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Coss, Shelley A  
**Address:** Arlington, VA 22204  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 10:42:59  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2168    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Milberg, Frances  
**Address:** NY, NY 10022  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2169    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mancini, Kathleen  
**Address:** Palm Coast, FL 32137-7324  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** retired Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** It is our duty as citizens to establish measures/laws to protect our wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2170    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Levin, Jon  
**Address:** Macungie, PA 18062  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 10:45:14  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2171    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Neville, Paula  
**Address:** Rochester, NY 14626-1345  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We must preserve before it is too late.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2172    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Port Neches, TX 77651-3911  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 10:47:44  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Ted Loftin

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2173    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Aromas, CA 95004  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 10:48:39  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Sherry Pennell

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2174    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Saxe, Anne  
**Address:** Spring Hill, TN 37174  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please preserve Grand Teton National Park!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2175    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dorer, Michael  
**Address:** Fremont, CA 94538  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 10:51:22  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Michael Dorer

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2176    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Day, C  
**Address:** Vero, FL 32960  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2177    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** east, PA 18020  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 10:58:37  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** "I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, 'Alternative C', best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management."

Please choose Alternative C.

"Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

"The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

"Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place."

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2178    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Glynn, John  
**Address:** Ridgewood, NJ 07450  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 11:00:44  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
John Glynn

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2179    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Turner, Scott  
**Address:** wilmette, IL 60091  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 11:02:39  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.



The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Scott Turner

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2180    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Havassy, Nancy  
**Address:** Oakland, CA 94611  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 11:02:52  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Nancy Havassy

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2181    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Richmond, VA 23227  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

Regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park, I agree that the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic, I understand, has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting Alternative C as a plan that also allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The National Park Service's preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

One memorable summer, I worked with the Park Service in GTNP, providing visitor services, hiking, and learning. It was there that I had the great pleasure of visiting with Margaret Murie, and meeting not only NPS staff but also the Laubins, and the Craigheads. Several times I have returned to hike, fish, and introduce friends and family to this area. Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States. I believe that it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your efforts to protect this very special place.

Sincerely,  
Catharine W. Tucker

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2182    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tart, Lisa  
**Address:** Homosassa, FL 34448  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** anything and everything we can do to aid wildlife is a must because WE are the problem

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2183    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Earnshaw, Shinann  
**Address:** Bend, OR 97703  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I would urge you to carefully examine all ways of protecting wildlife in this area in the Teton National Park. I believe that Plan C is the best; plus providing a shuttle service for park visitors, to minimize the impact of tourists who have traditionally blocked traffic or stopped illegally to annoy wild animals with picture taking (eg: the incident this past summer in which a foolish woman tried to take a "selfie" with a bear. I traveled as a child with my parents, and as an adult with my own children in many national parks, in the Southwest and West of the US, in Canada, and more recently in Central and South America. I saw the terrible damage done in a park like Yosemite with almost unlimited visiting and camping, before some measures were taken to stop the unthinking pollution of too many people! As a young- -and middle age- -adult, I hiked and backpacked in the backcountry of several national parks, where even there I saw tremendous damage done by unthinking people (such as bushes strewn with toilet paper; and we used to joke that you could tell bear scat from the bits of aluminum foil in it.).

Wildlife, especially Grizzly bears, have long been treated by the human species as "inferior" or "dangerous" and therefore worthy of killing without limits. In fact, these wildlife species, including wolves, bears and other predators, are vital and necessary to preserving a healthy and balanced environment. However, education is very necessary for people to understand that they are not like stuffed animals, like "Paddington Bear"; they are wild- -and do not exist just so that tourists can take pictures of them- -or shoot them at will.

With extreme overpopulation and the horrendous increase in tourism and other forms of outdoor recreation, the huge increase in vehicle traffic, measures must be taken to decrease the impact. I strongly believe that snowmobile and other motorized traffic should be banned, due not only to pollution (noise and emissions), but the stress on animals who are already stressed by winter conditions and difficulty of finding food. I am a life-long cross-country skier who has often had unpleasant contact with snowmobiles that are either illegally in an area or just downright discourteous. Wilderness should mean a place of quiet and tranquility and our society is heavily impacted with noise everywhere, even to music in elevators, supermarkets, even a thrift store I was in a few days ago.

Thank you for the hard work and effort you are putting into these plans; I know you are under a lot of pressure with lack of funding and an unawareness of the danger and difficulties you face. As much as I hate to say it, being a 78 year old woman, who is one of the middle class semi-dependent upon social security, and who has not visited a number of national parks recently because I can no longer afford it (although I did purchase a pass many years ago), I firmly believe that visiting a park should cost enough to maintain it, and more parks (besides Yosemite) should be using in-park public transportation and leaving private vehicles outside. This is a difficult decision, but is the only one that will save the parks.

Another part of the plan of which I approve, is having bicycle lanes and educating vehicle drivers that bicyclists do have a right to the road. Perhaps having bicycles that people can rent for a small charge or even free (point to point or to a point and return, for a small deposit). Many cities are encouraging and providing free or small-fee public bicycles for people (Santiago, Chile is one I know of). The issue of discapacitated people could be solved by small golf-cart type vehicles that would shuttle people around.

I lived for the past 12 years in Chile, in South America, and visited a number of their national parks, including Torres del Paine in the south. Good information could be obtained from the Chilean park service which I think is in many ways doing a better job at preserving their environment and yet allowing visitors to the national parks.

The other stressor is of course, climate change, which has already caused many changes in the behavior and the situation for many species. This is going to get worse before is gets better; it may be that human impact has already come to the tipping point and I feel very pessimistic about it changing before it goes too far and destroys the global environment.

Although I am not an indigenous person, nor a Native American, I am a shamanic healer and I feel very strongly that the earth is a sacred place and we are destroying that sacred place. She is a living being and is animate and she is very distressed.

Thank you, and blessings.

Thank you and blessings

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2184    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Morello, Bu  
**Address:** White Pine, TN 37890  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Always protect our wilderness/wildlife areas! Wilderness & wildlife FIRST. Stop protecting ranchers.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2185    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Rozniecki, Sherrie L  
**Address:** Delaware, OH 43015  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Our National Parks need to be protected for all time as a legacy to all generations to come.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2186    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Pons, Scott  
**Address:** Buena Park, CA 90620  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 11:12:13  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Scott Pons

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2187    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** VanWinkle, Jean M  
**Address:** Hardy, VA 24101-4300  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 11:12:52  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Jean Marie VanWinkle

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2188    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dale, Heather  
**Address:** Wilsonville, OR 97070  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 11:12:56  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear All,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Heather Dale

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2189    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** faupel, matt  
**Address:** jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** The important thing to consider when deciding on a plan for the travel corridor is how the executed plan will actually be used. With the pathway system in the valley as well as what has been put in GTNP, there will be significant use by the biking community of the road, no matter how it is built. That use has increased since connecting Town to Moose and Moose to Jenny Lake. Paving the south section of the road will make it easier for bikes to use it and this piece connects a loop around the valley. Aside from an opinion on an option (although I hate the idea of a car limit and don't think it can be counted well considering the parking areas and LSR center), I do hope you make sure to put at least a wide shoulder on the road for the bicycles that will be travelling the road as unless you prohibit them, they will increase their use of the road.

thank you

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2190    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kuppler, Curtis  
**Address:** Germantown, IL 62245  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 11:13:54  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Curtis K.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2191    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Laplante, Dianne  
**Address:** Newport, VT 05874  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please help conserve this valuable piece of property.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2192    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Vieira, Barbara  
**Address:** Staten Island, NY 10312  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 11:19:22  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Vieira

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2193    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** BRYANT, ELIZABETH  
**Address:** MERIDIAN, ID 83642  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.  
In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2194    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Sorento, IL 62086  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Hi I support alternative C

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2195    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Giese, Mark M  
**Address:** Racine, WI 53403  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 11:21:44  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2196    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Schafer, Therese  
**Address:** Batavia, IL 60510  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 11:23:54  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Therese Schafer

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2197    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sweet, Justin  
**Address:** Albuquerque, NM 87106  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 11:25:12  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Justin Sweet

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2198    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Macedo, Thomas F  
**Address:** Walsenburg, CO 81089  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support the Alternative C plan for the Moose-Wilson Corridor.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2199    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, Anne  
**Address:** Los Gatos, CA 95032  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 11:33:40  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C," best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and that you should choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that relies on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Anne Huber

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2200    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ericson, Del  
**Address:** North Collins, NY 14111  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Mr Davis Vela,

I lived in Bozeman, MT for four years and greatly enjoyed Yellowstone Park even when it was burning, Great Falls, Missoula, the Grand Tetons and Glacier National Parks. Hiking and trail riding with horses and skiing and snowmobiling in the winter, with three generations of my family. Even though I do not live in Bozeman anymore, my family still visits the National Parks. We want them protected for future generations. Europe and the rest of the world has way too little public lands. Please protect the Public Park system from fracking, logging, air pollution and other side effects of development. I realize everyone at the USDA hates working with the jumping crazy car flipping bison, but see if you can work with a private agency to cull the brucellosis carriers from the Yellowstone herd and move some uninfected ones to local Reservations for tribes who want them. Our National Park System in a National Treasure and needs to be protected for future generations. I support the Alternative C plan for the Moose-Wilson Rd Corridor at Grand Teton Park. Happy Holidays.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2201    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stokes, Bill  
**Address:** Saint Petersburg, FL 33701  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:**  
Please institute Alternative 3 or C  
The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the Death Canyon hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current footprint of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2202    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** dragif, ella  
**Address:** hollywood, FL 33021  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** you have to protect the animals and environment

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2203    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wilkins, Robert  
**Address:** Berrien Springs, MI 49103  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I have personally hiked and camped in the Grand Teton region many times. This area of the US is so beautiful that we need to protect it from all development. It needs to remain untouched.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2204    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gersh, Kate  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83002  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 11:42:43  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kate Gersh

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2205    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Shields, Michele S  
**Address:** Gladstone, MO 64118  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 11:44:46  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Michele Shields

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2206    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wirth, Mark R  
**Address:** Seattle, WA 98102  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect the park and its wildlife over human incursions. Thanks.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2207    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Patton, David W  
**Address:** Angel Fire, NM 87710  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form



**Correspondence:** Please choose Alternative C for the Moose-Wilson Draft EIS. We should strive to protect the park's animals and ecosystems over our own access, convenience or even enjoyment. Please make the ethic of protection the guiding principle when choosing a management plan.

Thank you, David Patton

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2208    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Phillips, George F  
**Address:** Carrboro, NC 27510  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 11:49:34  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

George Phillips

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2209    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lorenz, Sharon  
**Address:** Warrenville, IL 60555  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Need you help & support.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2210    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Santa Clarita, CA 91387  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 11:50:59  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Pettis

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2211    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Jacobs, John  
**Address:** Milton, DE 19968  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing about the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As one who appreciates the great gift to the American people of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Visiting Grand Teton National Park in the summer of 2014 I agree that all the cars, pickups and RV traffic diminishes the visitor experience and has a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor. Back in the late 70's I managed a tram system at Sabino Canyon located in Tucson's Coronado National Forest. It was implemented to reduce traffic in the beautiful desert oasis. Initially, it was met with resistance by the locals, but now it is appreciated by all the visitors. And no one would ever want to go back to allowing private vehicles back in the canyon.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2212    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Santa Rosa, CA 95405  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Protect wildlife!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2213    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McCorry, Eileen  
**Address:** New York, NY 10024  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I have had the privilege of visiting several national parks and have spent time on several occasions in Grand Teton. It is my favorite of all the parks and I still remember my awe when I first saw the mountains rising up from the valley floor.

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Eileen McCorry

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2214    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Blanchester, OH 45107  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** This plan seems to make sense to me.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2215    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Schmittauer, John  
**Address:** Chauncey, OH 45719  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please save our national parks for future generations.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2216    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Johnston, Susan  
**Address:** East Hartford, CT 06118  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 12:02:15  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Susan Johnston

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2217    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Weber, Daniel  
**Address:** Milwaukee, WI 53209  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We visited Grand Tetons National Park 2 years ago and used the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor to travel to and from the park. The wealth of wildlife was amazing and we spent hours viewing moose, bear, beaver, a plethora of bird species, and more. We fully appreciate the need to protect this passage and support all efforts to do so.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2218    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** bertrams, michael  
**Address:** Sacramento, CA 95817-3707  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect the grand teton

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2219    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** England II, Roy  
**Address:** Saint Petersburg, FL 33713  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 12:07:37  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your

approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2220    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Barstow, CA 92311  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor. Nobody goes to a National Park so that they can experience city traffic or worst of all see animals killed on the road.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor. It might be appropriate to consider shuttle buses.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kyri Freeman

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2221    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, Joanne  
**Address:** Saylorsburg, PA 18353  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I believe the Alternative Plan C will best protect the Moose-Wilson road.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2222    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Palmquist, Wendy J  
**Address:** Plymouth, NH 03264  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am a person with a major attachment to our National Parks. One of the best months of my life was the month we visited 10 National Parks in one trip, from Shenandoah to the Grand Canyon to Yosemite to Yellowstone and more. We camp at Acadia every Memorial Day weekend.

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious

resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Wendy Palmquist

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2223    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Perez, Aldora M  
**Address:** Seattle, WA 98109  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please leave some (nature alone) for our WILDLIFE!! It's time we give something back.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2224    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tanaka, LJ J  
**Address:** SB, CA 92075  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Ghandi noted that U can judge a civilization by it's treatment of it's animals.  
If we will not/can not provide for the survival of the powerless amongst us, mayhaps we are undeserving of our own survival as well.

LJTanaka

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2225    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** ganMoryn, Croitiene n  
**Address:** Ocala, FL 34480  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 12:20:44  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
C. n. ganMoryn

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2226    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Brown, Gregory  
**Address:** Miami Beach, FL 33140  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 12:21:28  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the

Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Greg

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2227    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Keiser, Robert  
**Address:** S. Miami, FL 33143  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 12:25:51  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Robert Keiser

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2228    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hoffmann, Susan  
**Address:** Margate, FL 33063  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative Plan C to Protect the Grand Tetons and all the wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2229    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Morgan, Kathleen L  
**Address:** Chula Vista, CA 91911  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Preservation as a priority please!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2230    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Strailey, Faith L  
**Address:** Quincy, CA 95971  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Tourism in our national parks has increased tremendously. Visitors to national parks enjoy their visit more when they observe wildlife and natural beauty in a stress free environment. I am writing in response to the Moose-Wilson draft EIS which attempts to address the problem of too many visitors on a 7 mile stretch of road. Please support Alternative C to help address this problem. It has the potential to protect wildlife and enhance the personal experience of visitors who travel this stretch of road.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2231    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dean, Sue E  
**Address:** Scottsdale, AZ 85266  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 12:33:25  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2232    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Lake Forest, IL 60045  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 12:34:20  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Frederick Schmidt

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2233    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mendieta, Vince  
**Address:** Austin, TX 78745-3421  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 12:34:33  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Vince Mendieta

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2234    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** New York, NY 10065  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 12:37:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2235    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Schweickart, J. M  
**Address:** Beavercreek, OH 45430  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2236    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Balfour, Michele  
**Address:** Edgewater, FL 32132  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2237    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ainsley, Brian  
**Address:** Altamonte Springs, FL 32714-1283  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 12:38:05  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.



Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Brian Ainsley

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2238	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Friend, Deborah B				
<b>Address:</b>	Newton, NH 03858 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,08,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.				

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;

Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, I encourage the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Most Sincerely,  
Deborah B. Friend  
Newton, NH

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2239	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Solum, Mary				
<b>Address:</b>	Bellingham, WA 98229 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,08,2015 12:41:01				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Mary Solum

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2240	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Masell, June				
<b>Address:</b>	New Haven, CT 06512 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,08,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:** The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor have been released and we need your voice! Please join NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;

Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;

Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;

Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2241	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Nagel, Clinton D				
<b>Address:</b>	Bozeman, MT 59718-1972				
	United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,08,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear NPS:				

It is obvious that Grant Teton National Park has become one of America's favorite parks. And it is so because of the magnificent beauty and wildness that it presents to the millions of visitors over the years. So it is not surprising that continued maintenance and policy decisions need to be upgraded over time to address concerns that arises from over use. Based upon that need, the historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor has come under increased use and popularity. And again, it is so because it is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park. It is home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas for people want to see these iconic creatures. In looking over the options in which the National Park Service has presented, I believe that Option C is the best alternative. Option C does the following.

â€¢ Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

â€¢ Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

â€¢ Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;

â€¢ Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

â€¢ Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;

â€¢ Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;

â€¢ Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

These are all issues and actions that I am in favor of and am in support of. Therefore Option C can better protect the iconic resource of our natural heritage. We need to let science and common sense direct our decision making process. I believe this option does just that.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment and I hope a favorable consideration will be given to my comments.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2242	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Anderson, Robert A				
<b>Address:</b>	Chicago, IL 60605				
	United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,08,2015 12:43:17				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Robert Anderson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2243    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Portland, ME 04102  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 12:43:17  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Brewer

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2244    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** MIRAMAR, FL 33023  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. I support the National Park System, and believe that the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and strongly encourage you to choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Obviously, the heavy volume of vehicle traffic has adversely impacted the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on this corridor for their ability to thrive. I trust that you will make the best decision to protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is, in my opinion, a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. Thus, I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Although I have not yet visited Grand Teton and the Moose-Wilson Corridor (it is definitely on my to do list), Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Patrick E. Kerr

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2245    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** heffron, joshua  
**Address:** NYC, NY 10028  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** mr Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Save Grand Teton Park!!!! Save our National Treasures!!!!!! No Deveoplment!!!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2246    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bartleman, Mark  
**Address:** Laguna Beach, CA 92651  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** With regards to the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park, I support the National Park Service's preferred plan "Alternative C." This alternative appears to best protect the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

My understanding is increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but I also encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Thank you.

Sincerely,  
Mark Bartleman

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2247    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Garey, JG  
**Address:** Two Rivers, WI 54241  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management. We need to ensure protection for the wildlife and ensure visitors have a chance to spend time enjoying the park.

The timing of how many vehicles and the speed limit are important. Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. We need to control off-road vehicles and parking for all vehicles. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

The park is beautiful and I cherished my time there. Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2248    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stober, Paula  
**Address:** Greensboro, NC 27410  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Please vote for option C as recommended by the National Park Service. Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2249    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Houghtaling, Michael  
**Address:** Tucson, AZ 85750  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

We am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As supporters of the National Park System, we believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. We encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Whenever we have the opportunity to enjoy a summer vacation, we usually try to include a visit to one of our National Parks and we have been quite fortunate in the past to have experienced wonderful trips to most of our parks in the West. The Grand Teton park is among our very favorite and we fervently wish that it can retain its appeal for all current and future visitors.

Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Michael and Kathleen Houghtaling  
Tucson, Az.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2250    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Pt. Pleasant, NJ 08742  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please vote for Alternative plan C. We have to protect our national parks and all the wildlife that live in these parks. Thank you

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2251    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Portland, OR 97219  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 12:55:13  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2252    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bishop, Cori

**Address:** Egg Harbor City, NJ 08215  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 13:00:33  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Cori Bishop

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2253    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Grisez, Bernard J  
**Address:** Shoreview, MN 55126-1404  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 13:07:02  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2254    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kuciej, Walter A  
**Address:** Seattle, WA 98199  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Regarding this, I support Alternative C, which would:

- â€¢ Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
- â€¢ Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;
- â€¢ Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
- â€¢ Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;
- â€¢ Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;
- â€¢ Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;
- â€¢ Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, I encourage the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Thank you for considering my input. Walter Kuciej, Seattle, WA

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2255    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Serra, Ruth  
**Address:** Clearwater, FL 33764  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Animals have the right to live too!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2256    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Miller, D R  
**Address:** Boone, NC 28607  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support alternative C as the preferable management plan for the Moose-Wilson Road corridor in Grand Teton National Park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2257    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wilks, Debra H  
**Address:** Pell City, AL 35128-4552  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C as the least invasive to the wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2258    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Titilah, Jennifer  
**Address:** Snoqualmie, WA 98065  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 13:25:10  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2259    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Landskron, David  
**Address:** Winter Haven, FL 33884  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please keep this area Eco friendly

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2260    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** reynolds, lloyd  
**Address:** fountain valley, CA 92708  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 13:29:45  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2261    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** White, John  
**Address:** Mosca, CO 81146  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support alternative C

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2262    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stewart, Betty  
**Address:** Albia, IA 52531  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please go with Option C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2263    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bayer, Judith  
**Address:** San Diego, CA 92126  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 13:34:52  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and as well as people like me from across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Judith Bayer  
11536 Alkaid Dr  
San Diego CA 92126

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2264    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Zachow, Lynn  
**Address:** Auburn, WA 98001  
United States of America



**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 13:35:28  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Lynn Zachow

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2265    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** russo, melissa  
**Address:** Austin, TX 78757  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Pope John Paul II proclaimed that "the animals possess a soul and men must love and feel solidarity with our smaller brethren." He went on to say that all animals are "fruit of the creative action of the Holy Spirit and merit respect" and that they are "as near to God as men are."

The Holy Father reminded people that all living beings, including animals, came into being because of the "breath" of God. Animals possess the divine spark of life-the living quality that is the soul-and they are not inferior beings, as factory farmers, fur farmers, and others who exploit animals for profit would have us believe.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2266    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** arapoudis, sandra  
**Address:** rhodos, AL 85133  
Greece  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
all nature is precious and need to be protected before it is gone forever  
nature means health and future, which we need both very much

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2267    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hinton, Colleen M  
**Address:** Burien, WA 98166  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 13:40:29  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
colleen Hinton

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2268    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Green, Arden  
**Address:** Glen Allen, VA 23060  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 13:46:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.  
Sincerely,  
Arden Green

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2269    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hoppe, Dolores  
**Address:** Saint Helena, CA 94574  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2270    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** greer, amy  
**Address:** Brooklyn, NY 11238  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 13:47:34  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Amy Greer

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2271    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Meyerpeter, Kurt W

**Address:** Saint Perters, MO 63376  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Concerning the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor alternatives being considered, I prefer "Alternative C."

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2272    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Paddock, Susan S  
**Address:** Gettysburg, PA 17325  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 14:00:58  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Susan and Jim Paddock

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2273    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kerr, Heather  
**Address:** San Jose, CA 95120  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 14:01:46  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Heather Kerr

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2274    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jasper, Alan  
**Address:** Merrick, NY 11566-5025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 14:02:53  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I

believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Alan Jasper

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2275	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	O'Rourke, Laurie				
<b>Address:</b>	Friday Harbor, WA 98250 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec.08.2015 14:03:59				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Laurie G. O'Rourke, DVM

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2276	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Bishop, Norman A				
<b>Address:</b>	Bozeman, MT 59715 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	NPCA, Sierra Club, Wilderness Society, & 30 others Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	Member				
<b>Received:</b>	Dec.08.2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:** As a retired park ranger who worked the last 17 of 36 years in the NPS as an interpreter at Yellowstone from 1980-1997, I have driven the Moose-Wilson Road numerous times over the last 35 years, and appreciate its country character and recall stands of aspen under grown by blue flax.

That's why I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process. I believe your preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor, and hope that you will select preferred Alternative C as your approach to the future management of this road. In the years since I first drove the road, increased vehicular traffic has diminished my pleasure, and must be having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. I support protecting the wildlife and natural character of the road as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

Thank you.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2277	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Buttles, Kathryn M				
<b>Address:</b>	Sedona, AZ 86340-3055 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec.08.2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please stop forcing us to write constant emails to keep public corporations from destroying what the American citizens cherish.				

If you must, use the C plan.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2278      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Papia, Cyndi  
**Address:** Millbury, MA 01527  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 14:10:02  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** ear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Cyndi Papia

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2279      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bleicher, Michael N  
**Address:** Pensacola, FL 32513  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** .Nature conservancy Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Top predators are essential for healthy environments which, min turn, are needed for the physical and mental health of humans.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2280      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wergles, Susan  
**Address:** Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 14:15:51  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2281      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wergles, Susan  
**Address:** Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 14:16:19  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Susan Wergles

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2282    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kish, Betty  
**Address:** Bloomfield, NJ 07003  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 14:18:30  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Betty Kish

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2283    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Williams, Debbie  
**Address:** Welch, WV 24801-0176  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Put the safety and welfare of wildlife first!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2284    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kenyon, Debbie  
**Address:** Apex, NC 27502  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 14:24:07  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your

approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Debbie Kenyon

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2285	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	martens, linda				
<b>Address:</b>	San Diego, CA 92121-1706 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,08,2015 14:24:46				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>					

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

I support PLAN C!

Sincerely,  
Linda Martens

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2286	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Munger, Dennis B				
<b>Address:</b>	Westerville, OH 43081 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Grand Teton Lover Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	OfficialRep				
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,08,2015 14:30:07				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor. This works well in Zion.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Dennis B. Munger

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2287    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Huttinger, Roberta  
**Address:** Fort Wayne, IN 46845  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** thank you for the work and effort you and your staff have put into making a plan that is good for both the animals and visitors. I support Plan C!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2288    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tendler, Marlene  
**Address:** Bethel, CT 06801  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Support "Alternative C".

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2289    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Frankel, myrna  
**Address:** Schaumburg, IL 60195  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 14:35:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Myrna Frankel

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2290    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Lenox, MA 01240  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 14:46:13  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.



Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kathleen Medina

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2291    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Paxton, G. S  
**Address:** New York, NY 10009  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 14:48:33  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Mr. Vela,

I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.  
The plan would:  
Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

Thank you.

Greg Paxton

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2292    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Caldwell, Carmen  
**Address:** Eureka Springs, AR 72632  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C" for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2293    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** stucker, melinda k  
**Address:** norwich, VT 05055  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 14:57:10  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I have had the wonderful good fortune of experiencing the Moose-Wilson Road! What an experience!

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2294    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Chicago, IL 60634  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please support the Alternative C plan! The wildlife depends on us!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2295    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Helm, Janet M  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83002  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Team,

Thank you for your hard work outlining a plan for the Moose-Wilson Road. I am in favor of the GTNP preferred plan and look forward to it being implemented.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2296    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gardner-Bates, Alice  
**Address:** Harrisburg, PA 17101  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Option c!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2297    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Smith, Betty L  
**Address:** Walnut Springs, TX 76690-4545  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I would hope that You agree we need to save The Grand Tetons Park for future generations.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2298    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bross, C T  
**Address:** Walnut Creek, CA 94597  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 15:04:01  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
CT Bross

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2299    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wacaser, Carolyn  
**Address:** Lakewood, CO 80227  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I've been to the Tetons and we need to preserve that beauty and all the animals who live there.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2300    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** de la Rosa, Marco  
**Address:** Kirkland, WA 98034  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Leave the Bears alone !

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2301    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Minturn, Todd  
**Address:** Fresno, CA 93727  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 15:09:12  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Todd Minturn

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2302    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stevens, Paula D  
**Address:** Orlando, FL 32818  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** No more dead bison Cut it out

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2303    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McClure, Kim  
**Address:** Lancaster, PA 17601  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 15:11:01  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kim McCoure

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2304    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** burghat, Barbara  
**Address:** Bridgeport, CT 06610  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** u

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2305    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hodik, Barbara J  
**Address:** Flat Rock, NC 28731  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C as the best use plan for the park's heavily used road.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2306    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Des Plaines, IL 60016  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Save our parks and animals for future generations.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2307    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Singapore, UN 530501  
Singapore  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 15:17:28  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2308    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Katz, Cheryl H  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Teton County Historic Preservation Board Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** To Whom it May Concern,

The Teton County Preservation Board is in support of maintaining the historic character and protecting the cultural resources that exist on the Moose Wilson Road. We believe that the proposed plan is a strong option for maintaining the road's integrity, beauty and simplicity.

With this said, we do not endorse the construction of a parking lot in the view of White Grass Dude Ranch. This is an historic property and, as it sits, highlights the general feeling of being at a dude ranch from another time. The visual of a modern day parking lot would destroy the integrity of this space.

Please consider this as you move forward with your final plan.

Thank you for your solicitation of comments and thought about this matter.

Sincerely,  
Cheryl Katz  
Teton County Historic Preservation Board

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2309    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Eggleston, Patrick M  
**Address:** Amherst, NH 03031  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

I want the plant and animal species protected in the park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2310    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sklar, Dana  
**Address:** Cherry Hill, NJ 08034  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** It is important to protect our national parks for people to keep enjoying them in years to come.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2311    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gutta, C.  
**Address:** Cleveland, OH 44111  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support these measures to preserve nature and wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2312    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** West, Derek R  
**Address:** Mentor on the Lake, OH 44060  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

I believe this plan will best protect wildlife and natural resources while continues to give visitors use and enjoyment of the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2313    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Green, Amanda J  
**Address:** Powder Springs, GA 30127  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 15:47:19

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Amanda Green

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2314    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** deLima, Carol  
**Address:** Milwaukie, OR 97222  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 15:48:04

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2315    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Lawson, Douglas A  
**Address:** Dallas, TX 75231-6768  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 15:51:08

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Doug Lawson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2316    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Orlinski, Patricia  
**Address:** Sun City, AZ 85351-2246  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** West Valley Neighborhoods Coalition Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 15:51:28  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I'm writing about the plan for Grand Teton. It should include:  
Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.  
Thank you for your attention.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2317    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Altman-Ezzard, Sarah R  
**Address:** New York, NY 10128  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect Grand Teton National Park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2318    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** brandt, pamela  
**Address:** Brooklyn, NY 11215  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 15:56:31  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

Thank you for the opportunity to share my opinion on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.  
Regards,  
Pamela Brandt

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2319    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Boone, James L  
**Address:** Portland, OR 97229  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

Regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please choose Alternative C for future management.

Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority. Adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor is also advisable but only if it fits in with the previous commitment.

The preferred plan is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. Please complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by all Americans, and it is our responsibility to protect it for present and future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely, James L Boone

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2320    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hodovan, Francine  
**Address:** Ashford, CT 06278  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Keep the Grand Teton National Park safe for all of its inhabitants!!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2321    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Saint Augustine, FL 32092  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 16:06:41  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Donald Dybel

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2322    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Staats, Jean  
**Address:** Sunnyvale, CA 94086  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 16:06:54  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

I cherish my sightings of wildlife when I visit National Parks and wish very much to protect the wildlife of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

Sincerely, Jean Staats

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2323    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Roegner, Debby



**Address:** West Bend, WI 53095  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** This plan be good, as it would benefit both wildlife and people who want to enjoy the wilderness and see the wildlife as well.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2324    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Roegner, Debby  
**Address:** West Bend, WI 53095  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** This plan would be good, as it would benefit both wildlife and people who want to enjoy the wilderness and see the wildlife as well.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2325    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bleifeld, Neil  
**Address:** NEW YORK, NY 10036-1295  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 16:14:15  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2326    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Victor, ID 83455  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The corridor's wildlife, scenery and visitor experience quality must be protected about special interests of Teton Village industrial tourism and pathway groups. I urge you to select the option with the least amount of vehicular traffic and intrusion into the area, preferably closing the corridor to private vehicles and keep it open for bikes and peds only. If that is not realistic, make it one way North in the morning and south in the evening with maximum limits.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2327    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Scott, Raeann K  
**Address:** Sumas, WA 98295  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 16:18:53  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2328    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Spenger, Constance E  
**Address:** Big Pine, CA 93513  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please choose Alternative C as the best one for protecting Grand Teton National Park wildlife. In fact, a shuttle bus program would be even better.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2329    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Canton, OH 44708  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 16:24:09  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Nathan Wilson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2330    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mande, Jace  
**Address:** Las Vegas, NV 89102  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Nothing is more important than showing respect to our home and all the other inhabitants that we share this home with. If you do not, then sadly, you have no connection to any God that may have given birth to any of us.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2331    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Vargas, Christopher  
**Address:** COSTA MESA, CA 92626  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 16:28:03  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Vargas

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2332    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hilgenberg, Robert G  
**Address:** Everett, WA 98203  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Self Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** After visiting Teton National Park, I found it one of our most unique and stunning. The views from around the park are spiritually lifting and notreplacible. Save this gem of National investments.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2333    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Garvey, Marge  
**Address:** Metairie, LA 70001-3020  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** It is really important to save our parks.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2334    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** State College, PA 16803  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 16:34:51  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, Alternative C, best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Susan Gottfried

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2335    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Moore, Dave  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** First, your preferred option of allowing a maximum of 200 vehicles (1 car out, 1 car in) is ridiculous. After presenting 694 pages of gobbledegook in the EIS, you clearly failed to address the real problem.

The problem, in a nutshell, is the impact humans have on wildlife. If you want to reduce the traffic on the Moose-Wilson road, you should first eliminate reasons for people to use the road in the first place.

1) Shut down the Laurance S. Rockefeller Preserve Center. This visitor facility draws a huge number of people daily and has, quite frankly, very lame exhibits at best. Remove any reference to the center from all park literature, signs and maps. That location should serve as a hiking trailhead only w/ picnic tables and outhouses, nothing more.

2) Eliminate the use of the Moose-Wilson road as a route between Teton Village and the Airport. I'm quite sure Jerry Blann's involvement in these discussions is not in the best interest of the park. With today's technology, I'm sure you could monitor all license plates that pass through the MW Kiosk. If any of these plates appear at the airport within, say, the next hour, slap a significant fine on the owner of the vehicle. If Teton Village businesses can no longer use the route to shuttle guests, maybe they will start talking about a North Bridge (which would help Jackson's transportation problems immensely).

Regarding the bike path: It's really hard to believe that bikers are a significant problem when compared to the thousands of cars that travel the route. The massive traffic jams that occur when any wildlife is spotted from the road has to be a far greater impact to wildlife than the occasional biker. Not including a bike path or biking lane only creates safety issues and really does not serve the visiting public well.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2336    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ketcherside, Sharon  
**Address:** Lincoln, CA 95648  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 16:46:53  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Sharon Ketcherside

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2337    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Boyer Rode, Alyson  
**Address:** Durham, NC 27713  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 16:49:07  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Alyson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2338    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bello, D M  
**Address:** Washington, DC 20009-4323  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park

visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

And the National Park Service should continue to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2339    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kjorstad, Randy J  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83002  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am a Teton County resident and have reviewed the Moose Wilson corridor draft comprehensive management plan/environmental impact statement. I was disappointed to see that a foot and bicycle pathway was left out. I personally avoid the corridor during peak tourist season because of dust from vehicle traffic and the fact I prefer to bike through the corridor. A pathway similar to the one going to Jenny Lake would be an asset to the park to decrease the number of vehicles on the road and in the Valley by improving bicycle friendly connectivity. I would prefer to see Alternative D pursued that would limit vehicles more and open the corridor more to foot and bicycle traffic. Options such as making vehicle traffic one direction would limit people using the corridor as a commuter bypass between Teton Village and Moose would still allow visitors vehicle access to wildlife viewing. This would allow for the pathway to be in place without increasing the footprint further into wildlife habitat which seems to be the main opposition to increased foot and bicycle traffic. I think any method that keeps bicyclists on the same road with motor vehicles, especially when the drivers are distracted looking at landscape and wildlife is putting our visitors and residents at unnecessary risk. The comments about historic low use of corridor undoubtedly has something to do with number of vehicles, dust, and lack of safety for bicyclist. During the summer there is a steady flow of bicycles between Jenny Lake and Moose would likely be the same if there was safe infrastructure between Teton Village and Moose. This would also tie the Park to the Jackson Hole Valley vision of bike path connectivity and it's further implications on health, global warming, etc... I urge you to reconsider into backing an Alternative that would include a multiuse pathway instead increasing the ease of vehicle access which would then need to be limited with increased resource utilization to enforce speed restrictions, reservation systems, and timed sequence techniques.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2340    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Maier, Patricia A  
**Address:** Glen Carbon, IL 62034  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please take care of the park for other people in the future

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2341    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hamm, Bill  
**Address:** Theodore, AL 36582  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 16:55:52  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Bill Hamm

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2342    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Washington , DC 20002  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I like plan c, the "preferred plan", also consider having a shuttle tour with narration for tourists so they don't all drive their cars up and back

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2343    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Clark , Stephanie M  
**Address:** Brookfield , MA 01506  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 17:11:11  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[stephanie Clark

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2344    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** van Arnold, John  
**Address:** Chapel Hill, NC 27516  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 17:13:27  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
John van Arnold

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2345    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wetherby, Lisa  
**Address:** Secane, PA 19018  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 17:20:51  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I

believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Lisa Wetherby  
Secane, PA

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2346    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Vermeulen, Martha/Eric  
**Address:** Grandville, MI 49418  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 17:23:24  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2347    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Zevian, Shannin  
**Address:** Pittsburgh, PA 15217  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I'm a huge supporter of the National Park System & I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but more can be done. I'm excited to see the end result of the Park Service's analysis of small-scale park transit options.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2348    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tutihasi, R-Laurraine  
**Address:** Oracle, AZ 85623-5323  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 17:25:09  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2349    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Harpers Ferry, WV 25425  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 17:27:56  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Donna Marks

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2350    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Barron, Tiobe  
**Address:** Oak View, CA 93022  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** n/a Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 17:35:03  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Respectfully,  
Tiobe Barron

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2351    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** White, Nancy P  
**Address:** Spokane Valley, WA 99216  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 17:38:57  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.



Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Nancy P. White

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2352    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hendry, Dawn  
**Address:** Littleton, CO 80127-2635  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Sierra Club Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Grand Teton Superintendent David Vela: I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan regarding Grand Teton. Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2353    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Coon, John  
**Address:** Seguin, TX 78155  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 18:00:22  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
John Coon

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2354    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hendrix, Wanda D  
**Address:** Morro Bay, CA 93442  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Love the Area, try to make it there every two years, keep up the Good Work and I will keep spending my Money in your Area. Great Job by all of You.  
Please Show this to the World, everyone should Go an Enjoy this Beautiful Area of the Country.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2355    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kieffer, Ramsay  
**Address:** Milford, DE 19963  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 18:27:01  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Ramsay Kieffer

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2356    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Lancaster, PA 17601  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 18:28:43  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Best Regards,

Nathan Van Velson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2357    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Vancleave, MS 39565  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 18:32:42  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Erline Tait

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2358    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** O'Reilly, Patricia I  
**Address:** La Mesa, CA 91942  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** To ensure delivery to your inbox, please add us to your address book: [takeaction@npca.org](mailto:takeaction@npca.org)

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

I am glad that my experiences along the corridor happened more than a decade ago when the road was a pretty well kept secret. The road was mostly unpaved, very uneven, and even 20 mph would have been foolhardy. It was beautiful and quiet and the very slow-passing vehicles did not disturb the animals. Traffic was very light and stopping in the road to take a picture inconvenienced no one. I was shocked to learn that it had become so well known that 200 can be considered a conservative number.

It is a quiet and private place that is better kept from mass consumption but I appreciate the fact that many would love to see it at least once and it is hard to justify placing sterner restrictions. But great care must be taken to assure that we do not destroy the places we love.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Patricia I. O'Reilly

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2359	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Pakins, Janet C				
<b>Address:</b>	Oakland, CA 94611-5115 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,08,2015 18:42:15				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Janet Parkins

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2360	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Smith, Brian W				
<b>Address:</b>	Jackson, WY 83002 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Exum Mountain Guides Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	Member				
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,08,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:** I am concerned about the proposed new trailhead locations for the Death Canyon Trailhead because they significantly affect the users' access into Death Canyon for recreational purposes. With proposed new trailheads at .4 miles further away and 1 mile further away than the current configuration, one of the most accessible canyons in Grand Teton National Park would become less accessible for the public to enjoy. When the public is able to easily access and enjoy their public lands, they are then more likely to protect the resource as well.

Hikers, skiers, snowshoers, rock climbers, ice climbers, mountaineers, and backpackers would all experience a longer, more challenging day in order to enjoy what Death Canyon has to offer. With hikes and climbs that are already long and strenuous, these users might be deterred to other areas.

I am also a little confused by the newly proposed parking spaces for the Death Canyon trailhead. Is there really a need for 80-90 parking spaces? Creating a large parking lot at the end of a paved road could increase use significantly, potentially impacting the resource negatively over time.

I am in favor of leaving the trailhead where it currently exists, because it exemplifies the character of Jackson Hole and Wyoming, with a rugged four-

wheel drive road accessing spectacular mountainous terrain. If the trailhead must be relocated, the best compromise might be the alternative with the trailhead .4 miles from where it currently exists.

Thank you for taking the time to review my comment.

Sincerely,

Brian Smith  
Exum Mountain Guide  
AMGA Certified Rock and Alpine Guide

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2361    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stickel, Ann E  
**Address:** South Haven, MI 49090  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I stand with NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," as the the preferred plan.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2362    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Waltman, Martha E  
**Address:** Newberry, FL 32669  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 18:57:50  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing in regard of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Martha Waltman

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2363    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Reise, Elaine  
**Address:** Cocoa Beach, FL 32931  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 19:04:42  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
Elaine Reise

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2364    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** mcallister, helen  
**Address:** hidden valley lake, CA 95467  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please support option C

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2365    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Meyer, Roger A  
**Address:** Chattanooga, TN 37421  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I have been a devote outdoor lover for over fifty years, having done a lot of sightseeing, hiking, canoeing/kayaking, camping and when younger, hunting and fishing. I have visited many of our national parks and recreation areas as well as many state and local wilderness areas. I will not be able to continue to be as active as I have been, but my son has introduced his two children and my daughter her one girl to the outdoors. I am very committed to seeing these wonderful parts of our heritage to continue to be available to generations to come.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2366    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wilkinson, Dorothy G  
**Address:** Hollywood, CA 90027-5722  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Will ALL you powers that be, of whatever agency, PLEASE just start protecting our air, our water and our earth? Who said you could sell the mineral rights on public lands to private or public corporations? What a HORRIBLE idea! STOP THE PLUNDERERS AND DESTROYERS OF OUR HABITAT!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2367    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Buzzeo, Angela  
**Address:** Greenwich, CT 06831  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Kindness and Compassion now, not destruction!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2368    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lakowski, Deborah A  
**Address:** Crystal Lake, IL 60014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I have visited the park yearly for the past twenty years. It is my favorite in the 48. I would stand behind Alternative C, the NPS recommendation. It has the best compromise for the animal and people activity.

Please make a decision and move on to the next step.

Thank you Deborah Lakowski

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2369    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Souza, Edward M  
**Address:** Dracut, MA 01826  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Please protect wildlife from all the changes that are taking place along the Moose-Wilson Corridor at the Tetons by choosing Alternative C. After the time and research that went into it, the findings suggest that this would be the most feasible choice to make. Protect wildlife and the habitat as well as the eco-system. Having been to Yellowstone National Park and the Grand Tetons, I would like to know that our children and their children will have the same opportunities as us to enjoy these special places.

I feel so strongly about these same values that I became a Volunteer for the National Park Service. Please take this seriously and do the right thing. You have the power to make a difference. I know in your heart that you know it's the best course of action to take.

I am a (VIP-Lowell National Historic Park, Lowell, Ma.), and a lover of nature, wildlife, climate change, our National parks and and historic places. I have been to 11 National Parks. I belong to over 30 non-profit organizations. Some of which are the National Park Foundation, Wilderness Society, Sierra Club, Friend of Yellowstone, Save the Redwoods League, Nature Conservancy, Environmental Defense Fund, Defenders of Wildlife, Earthjustice, League of Conservation Voters, National Wildlife Federation, World Wildlife Fund, Yosemite Conservancy, National Park Conservation Association, Natural Resources Defense Council, Ocean Conservancy, Student Conservation Association, Sierra Club and others.

In closing, please consider what the outcome could be if we don't react now to the issues at hand. Thank you in advance. Sincerely, Edward Souza

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2370    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Gover, Pat and Gary E

**Address:** Fairhope, AL 36532  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

We're writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. We recommend that the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and is your best approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

We also encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Pat and Gary Gover

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2371    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Bull, Dr. Mike

**Address:** West Suffield, CT 06093-2922  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,08,2015 19:29:54

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Dr. Mike Bull

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2372    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Spicer, Camilla

**Address:** Port Charlotte, FL 33952  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,08,2015 19:31:31

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Camilla Spicer

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2373	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	sebastian, FL 32958 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,08,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	myself and others have gone to the tetons several times and love the area just as it is. it is refreshing.				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2374	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Rhum, Madeline				
<b>Address:</b>	Brooklyn, NY 11215 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,08,2015 19:43:47				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Madeline Rhum

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2375	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Stevens-Briody, Susie P				
<b>Address:</b>	Ashland, OR 97520 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,08,2015 19:49:58				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the

Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Susie

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2376    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stuckey, Richard  
**Address:** Chicago, IL 60614  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;

Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;

Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;

Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, I encourage the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely

Richard J Stuckey

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2377    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Graffagnino, Mary Ann and Frank A  
**Address:** Tucson, AZ 85747  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** The historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas.

The popularity of the narrow roadway with both visitors and animals can create traffic jams and wildlife management challenges, particularly during the busy summer months.

Therefore, to address this problem and still let us enjoy the Grand Teton National park, my husband and I join NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the



preferred plan.

The plan would:

- 1) Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
- 2) Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;
- 3) Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
- 4) Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;
- 5) Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;
- 6) Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;
- 7) Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

In summary, Superintendent David Vela, MY HUSBAND AND I support "Alternative C," the preferred plan. "ALTERNATIVE C" IS THE RIGHT, FAIR, JUST, HUMANE AND HEALTHY ACTION TO TAKE.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2378	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Lanfranchi, L J				
<b>Address:</b>	New Braintree, MA 01531 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,08,2015 19:55:34				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
L. J. Lanfranchi

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2379	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Adler, Barry				
<b>Address:</b>	Darien, IL 60561 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,08,2015 19:57:29				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious

resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Barry Adler

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2380    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** ARNOLD, SUZANNE J  
**Address:** Golden Valley, AZ 86413  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** save the park so that, it will be there for the people of U.S.A. CAN GOAND SEE IT!!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2381    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** marrone, corinne s  
**Address:** centereach, NY 11720  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please support Alternative C to protect the wildlife and patrons of Grand Teton National Park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2382    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wright, Steven D  
**Address:** Auburn, WA 98002  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 20:23:47  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Steven Wright

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2383    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, Dennis  
**Address:** Fort Pierce, FL 34949  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 20:29:10  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Dennis Hall

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2384    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Oliver, Reed  
**Address:** Cincinnati, OH 45243  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2385    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** rescue, CA 95672  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 20:38:04  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

J Angell

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2386    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** norman, gregg  
**Address:** santa monica, CA 90405  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Sir,

I prefer Alternative C as the preferred plan to protect the Moose-Wilson Corridor, and also ask you to choose Alternative C as your own approach to future management.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2387    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Smith, David A  
**Address:** Irvine, CA 92617  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 20:54:02  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2388    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Shivers, Timothy  
**Address:** Dallas, TX 75212-1681  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am demanding the GOP end its attacks on Planned Parenthood and women's health.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2389    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jones, Ingrid  
**Address:** Moberly, MO 65270-2526  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please adopt Plan "C".

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2390    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** D'Antonio, Kitty  
**Address:** Hayden Lake, ID 83835  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 21:08:33  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,  
I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Kitty D'Antonio

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2391    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Saretsky, Richard  
**Address:** Walnut, CA 91789  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

Reference is made to the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park.

I am writing to express my support for the preferred plan, Alternative C. This alternative best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor, and should be chosen as best for future park management.

Automobile traffic negatively impacts on visitor experience as well as wildlife. Protecting the park natural resources must be the primary goal while also permitting visitors to use and enjoy the park.

As such, I urge that the park public transportation/transit options be seriously considered. This reduces automobile traffic, air pollution while enhancing visitor enjoyment in a less congested park.

Sincerely,

Richard Saretsky

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2392    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tichman, Nadya  
**Address:** Oakland, CA 94602  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 21:17:18  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2393    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Hollywood, FL 33021  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 21:21:01  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Eileen Snitzer

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2394    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** van schijndel, amy m  
**Address:** san diego, CA 92122  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** yay

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2395    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Pasqua, John

**Address:** ESCONDIDO, CA 92025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Frau Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** MAKE ALTERNATIVE C THE PREFERRED PLAN .

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2396    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** San Francisco, CA 94115  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 21:38:24  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Please opt for alternative C. Thank you, John Oda. Alternative C will better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road; Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer; Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area; Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing; Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes; Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident; Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2397    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Fonda, Tom  
**Address:** State College, PA 16803  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 21:44:52  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, Alternative C, best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States. It is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations.

Please continue your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Tom Fonda

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2398    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hunrichs, Paul G  
**Address:** Santee, CA 92071-2206  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 22:04:10  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Paul G. Hunrichs

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2399    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** magazinier, sally  
**Address:** malvern, PA 19355  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 22:12:14  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,  
Alternative C sounds like a good plan - a win for the park, and a win for visitors, and a win for wildlife!

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2400    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dee, Laurice  
**Address:** mesa, AZ 85204  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please do everything in your power to ensure all wildlife are protected and the environment as pristine as possible, even if it means keeping many park visitors from entering sensitive areas.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2401    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Judd, David  
**Address:** Eureka, MO 63025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 22:25:24  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

My grandparents visited this location frequently, and I have not yet been there. Please protect our National Parks.

Sincerely,  
David Judd

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2402    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mittelstaedt, Thomas  
**Address:** Chaska, MN 55318  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please keep wild places wild. There are so few left, and once they are gone, they won't come back until we are all gone. Please protect this great legacy.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2403    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Swank, Jacquelyn D  
**Address:** Westby, WI 54667-1209  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** n/a Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please do what is right to protect the wildlife, and humans by voting for 'Alternative C'. Thank you!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2404    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dunn, Leslene P  
**Address:** Cape Town, UN 7405  
South Africa  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Each and every animal on this earth has a right to be where it is - we as humans were never given the right to decide who lives or dies, but we were given the right to care for and protect them, WHICH IS WHAT EACH OF US SHOULD BE DOING!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2405    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Abreu, Melissa  
**Address:** Palmetto Bay, FL 33157  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 23:04:25  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2406    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Walsh, Gerry  
**Address:** Brewster, NY 10509  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 23:07:06  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.



Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Gerry Walsh

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2407    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stabler, Jessica  
**Address:** Herriman, UT 84096  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 23:10:30  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Jessica Stabler, Esq.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2408    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Cambridge, MA 02139  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We have to protect the nature not destroy...

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2409    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tyree II, James  
**Address:** Portland, OR 97225  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Oregon Field & Brush Mowing Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 23:19:28  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Mr. James L Tyree II

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2410    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, Virginia  
**Address:** Woodland, CA 95695  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I have been a volunteer camp host at National & State Parks in the east & a private camp in the Sierra near Donner Pass. I so appreciate the work of the professional Rangers. Both National & the Forest Service. My parents took me on a trip across the US in 1946. We stopped at many Parks. I can't imagine doing anything to this precious land that would hurt the land and animals. We must preserve these lands for future generations & NOT allow industry to use any part of it that would harm the land or animals. It would be shameful to allow that to happen.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2411    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** glasser, mark  
**Address:** los Angeles, CA 90066  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C, the preferred choice. Thanks

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2412    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dean, Nancy H.  
**Address:** Norwich, VT 05055  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Your proposal for safeguarding the Grand Teton road sounds like a good one.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2413    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** San Diego, CA 92122  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:12:48  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Diane Krell-Bates

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2414    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Ardmore, OK 73401  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I want parks like the Grand Canyon preserved because, for one selfish reason, I want to see it with my own eyes.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2415    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Alexandria, VA 22314  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:19:55  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2416    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kotowicz, Susan T  
**Address:** Cheyenne, WY 82001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:27:53  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2417    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Laieski, Caleb M  
**Address:** Alexandria, VA 22305  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:29:28  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Thank you very much for your time and consideration,

Caleb Laieski

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2418    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Williams , Weldon  
**Address:** Owasso , OK 74055-7102  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:43:13  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Weldon Williams

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2419    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Schoene, William  
**Address:** Santa Monica, CA 90405  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 02:04:49  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I write regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a strong supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2420    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ferri, Sandra  
**Address:** BÄretswil, UN 8344  
Switzerland  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The protecting of Moose, Wolves and Grizzly's is really important and therefore the Corridor has to be protected.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2421    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Browning, Henry  
**Address:** Scottsville, VA 24590  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 03:27:11  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Henry Browning

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2422	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	Deltaville, VA 23043 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec.09,2015 03:40:56				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I support and join - the NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.				

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2423	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Escobar, Victor				
<b>Address:</b>	Midlothian, VA 23113-2366 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec.09,2015 04:10:29				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Victor Escobar

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2424	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Netzel, Forrest				
<b>Address:</b>	New Berlin, WI 53151 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					

**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2425    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Marshall, Sandra  
**Address:** Kannapolis , NC 28081  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 04:16:34  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Sandra Marshall

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2426    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** CHENG, NINA  
**Address:** STAFFORD, SC ST17 9RE  
United Kingdom  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** STOP STOP STOP STOP STOP CRUELTY VIOLENCE AND ABUSE ENOUGH IS ENOUGH.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2427    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cornetta, Eric E  
**Address:** Hingham, MA 02043  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park.

As a supporter & avid user of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Sincerely,  
Eric E Cornetta

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2428    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Lynbrook , NY 11563  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** PROTECT PEOPLE AND ANIMALS!  
IN A NEW AND BETTER WAY!!! :)

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2429    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McBride, Margaret D  
**Address:** Greenfield, MA 01301  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** As a member of the NPCA, I write to you urging Alternative C in your plans for Grand Teton.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2430    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Dryden, MI 48428  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 06:22:38  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2431    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Osborne, Deborah  
**Address:** Monroe, NC 28110  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 06:34:18  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. I believe this is a sound and reasonable approach that will accomplish these goals. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Deborah Osborne

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2432    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Straka, Anthony T  
**Address:** Wappingers Falls, NY 12590  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 06:54:21  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. While restricting the number of vehicles using the road at one time is certainly a good first step, I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Anthony T.Straka

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2433    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Coe, Michael R  
**Address:** Crete, NE 68333  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Adopt Plan C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2434    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Moore, Christopher  
**Address:** Austin, TX 78757  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 06:57:52  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Moore  
Austin, Texas

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2435    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Harris, Joseph S  
**Address:** Cincinnati, OH 45213  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 07:13:47  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.



Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Joseph S. Harris

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2436    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Reading, PA 19609  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 07:17:56  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Kristie

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2437    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** JOHNSON, DEBBI R  
**Address:** STANTON, IA 51573  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Go forward with Plan C for the Moose-Wilson Corridor - both animals and people will benefit from it.

Thank you,  
DEBBI

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2438    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Buck, Mary Lou S  
**Address:** Charlotte, NC 28209  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Sierra Club Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor- -"Alternative C," the preferred plan.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2439    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dangler, Sandra M  
**Address:** Altoona, PA 16601  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Our National Parks is a legacy I want to leave for all future generations. I still have many on my bucket list. These are the true jewels of our great country.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2440    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Maurer, Denise  
**Address:** Hamilton, NJ 08620  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas.

Please protect the park!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2441    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Strawn, M.  
**Address:** Warren, MI 48093  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park:

I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, Alternative C<sup>A</sup>, best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and recommend that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2442    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stark, Rodney  
**Address:** Springfield, PA 19064  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 08:22:17  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Rodney T. Stark

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2443    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** romine, janet  
**Address:** Des Moines, IA 50315  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Re: Moose-Wilson road Corridor plan. I believe that Alternative C is the best option

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2444    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Green, Miriam  
**Address:** Chicago, IL 60604  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C plan for the Grand Teton National Park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2445    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Humble, Susan J  
**Address:** Knoxville, TN 37920-4734  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 09:18:47  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Susan J. Humble

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2446    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Platt, David S  
**Address:** Halifax, PA 17032  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

The sound and smell of motorized vehicles pollute the wilderness experience of being in a national park. People should view the park on foot or on bicycles. I would prefer motorized traffic be limited to buses.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2447    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Hasselt, UN 3510  
Belgium  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 09:21:33  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your

approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Chantal Buslot

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2448    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** ROOT, ELIZABETH E  
**Address:** TRUMANSBURG, NY 14886  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Automobiles are lethal to wildlife. At this time when species are vanishing, we must do all we can to protect them. I believe Alternative C is the best solution for Grand Teton National Park. Please implement it.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2449    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ringe, Axel C  
**Address:** New Market, TN 37820  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 09:31:43  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

These comments address the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a visitor and fan of Grand Teton National Park, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Axel C. Ringe

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2450    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** carlyle, IL 62231  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 09:44:37  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please take action on this important development.

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Terry S

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2451    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Uecker, Robert  
**Address:** Fort Wayne, IN 46808  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 09:58:52  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
R. Uecker

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2452    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Chester, CT 06412  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 10:07:24  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Sara

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2453    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Roden, Karen L  
**Address:** Belmont, MI 49306  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** First of all, I don't understand why, we, human beings, the supposed most intelligent creatures, are so stupid. Why do humans feel the need to destroy this magnificent gift given to all of us, this place called Earth. Unbelievable wonders and breathtaking beauty are found in so many locations around this world. We need to treasure all of this land, every last inch. Humans were not the first creatures in this world, as a matter of fact, we are a new species in terms of Earth years. But we have done a great job of destroying so much of her, and we are obviously going to destroy more. I, sadly a human, am ashamed to be here to witness what we have done here. But, I am thankful, I was born in time to witness and stand admiringly in the beautiful parks, which were set aside and protected. How can anyone look upon these parks.... Acadia, Isle Royale, Yellowstone, Zion, Bryce, Saguaro, Rocky

Mountain, Yosemite, Arches, Grand Canyon...just to mention a few, and not feel overwhelmed, blessed, and breathless. No matter how many times I see any of these sites, I am speechless. I can barely look away, I do not want to leave. These are the things we should honor and hold sacred, not cars, homes.. money !!

Please keep these places safe, we need them, more than I think any of us know !!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2454    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Klikunas, Len  
**Address:** Boise, ID 83702  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

This concerns the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across Idaho, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Len and Alberta Klikunas

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2455    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Jasper, AR 72641  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Support Alternative C

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2456    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Eureka, CA 95503  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 10:39:07  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2457    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** graves, michelle  
**Address:** farmington, MO 63640  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** u need 2 help the environment and the animals as much as possible. we have a lot of problems with the ecosystem u need 2 help keep the the balance in order as much as possible please b4 its 2 late. we have 2 many animals near extinction as it is we need 2 save them as much as possible.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2458    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Holtzman, Dorothy  
**Address:** Lakewood, NJ 08701  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,09,2015 10:40:14

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2459    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Hylton, Steve  
**Address:** Lake Isabella, CA 93240-9005  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:** Member

**Received:** Dec,09,2015 10:42:34

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Steve

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2460    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Little Silver, NJ 07739  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I urge you to adopt Alternative C which protects animal life and reduces human impact on the ecosystem of the park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2461 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wilson , Sarah E  
**Address:** Pullman , WA 99163  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Protect the earth at all costs.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2462 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Allen, E. Augusta A  
**Address:** Milford, CT 06460  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** National Parks Conservation Association Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 11:05:49  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
E. Augusta Allen

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2463 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Springer, Steven  
**Address:** Parma Heights, OH 44130  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 11:08:11  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Steven Springer

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2464 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hiestand, Nancy  
**Address:** Davis, CA 95616  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,



I have visited and hiked in Grand Teton National Park several times over the past 60 years. It is my late father's favorite of all the parks we have visited.

This letter is regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Nancy Hiestand

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2465    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cowin, Caryn L  
**Address:** South Pasadena, CA 91030-3517  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 11:12:32  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Caryn L. Cowin

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2466    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hegedus, Barbara  
**Address:** Parkesburg, PA 19365-9198  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Grand Teton superintendent should accept Alternate C as the plan best for the park and it's wildlife. IT'S TIME TO START THINKING ABOUT WHAT'S BEST FOR THE WILDLIFE WE HAVEN'T YET DESTROYED OR SLAUGHTERED - BEFORE THEY'RE GONE TOO!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2467    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Schonfeld, M  
**Address:** Cinnaminson, NJ 08077  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please support Alternatine C.

This best protects the diversity of our parks.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2468    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Laysen, Earle F

**Address:** Alta, WY 83414  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 11:22:44  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Re. Moose-Wilson Corridor Plan December 10, 2015

I am a long time Jackson Hole-Teton Valley resident and GTNP user and supporter. I believe GTNP is a National Treasure. As such, I feel its management/planning should follow the NPS Directives, wherein: "Conservation will be predominant when there is a conflict between protection of resources and their use," and, "Prevent impairment of park resources and their use."

In addition, the Directive for the NPS to "Maintain responsibility for making decisions..." in this case, is particularly important given the history of GTNP's establishment, and the State of Wyoming and Wyoming Congressional representatives bent toward "resource use," animosity toward large carnivore protection and wilderness, and continued attempts to gain control the management of Federal lands to the detriment of our national wildland resources. If it had been up to State and local government there would be no GTNP as we know it today. My point is, the NPS will need the political will to resist State and local government's inappropriate, biased and 19th century out-of-touch calls for maximizing resource development and use. Please stand strong with implementing your guiding NPS Directives cited above.

I believe your preferred Alternative C is well thought out. Thank you. I can generally support it.

You have left it open for eventual shuttle services. I commented earlier that I believed implementing scheduled shuttle services from the Craig Thomas Visitor Center to the LSR Preserve Center could contribute greatly to reducing conflict and the number of vehicles on the Moose-Wilson Road, while still providing adequate access for most visitors. Some may even prefer it over driving themselves and having to queue up.

I'm not so sure that there won't be problems/conflicts/safety issues with bicycles sharing the road with tourists who have never shared the road with cyclists and may not be paying attention while looking around, etc. I'm not so sure the queuing up plan won't be an operational challenge, too? Will it be set up electronically with stop-go lights? All that said, you have wisely left it open to being revisited and adapted as needed in the future based on monitoring, which I think is the management empowerment you need to have. And I like your idea of managing bicycle access similar or equal to other vehicles. In fact, a bicycle on the Moose-Wilson road should equal one vehicle.

I think the Death Canyon Trailhead parking area should be conservatively developed on an as needed basis with the maximum parking at less than 90-vehicles. That seems like a lot to me? That's like Albertson's parking lot. And that is a lot of clearing and paving or site hardening. How about doing a realistically reduced parking area initially to accommodate maybe 50 vehicles to begin with (no RVs beyond a certain size). Parking permits could/should be required and issued at Entrance Stations. When the permits available are filled, that's it until someone comes back out. The Park cannot continue to accommodate every growing user demand and still meet the NPS Directives.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Earle and Pattie Layser  
500 Targhee Towne  
Alta, WY 83414

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2469      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Blatt, Adam  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 11:29:13  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I was very glad to hear that the park's preferred plan does not include a bike pathway, but I am concerned that the park will ultimately give into pressure from local pathway advocates.

Having lived in Grand Teton National Park for several summers as a concessionaire employee, I recognize the convenience of Moose-Wilson road as a transportation corridor, but I also realize that it was a terrible place to build a road from an ecological perspective.

Adding a pathway will introduce heavy machinery into an ecologically important area for an extended period of time, disrupting soils and removing trees. This area is more sensitive than the other areas where pathways have been constructed.

A pathway does not benefit the vast majority of GTNP visitors. It benefits local residents who enjoy cycling, as well as a tiny percentage of park visitors who come here to bike. These cyclists' desire to access this area by bike is not sufficient reason to risk ecological damage to a highly sensitive area.

The pathway will not reduce emissions or vehicle trips; people who currently drive this road will not switch to using bikes. A pathway would be purely for recreational purposes. It would be a playground for those who have the time and inclination to bike, rather than hiking or sightseeing by car.

The park spent a great deal of money and consideration to study the Moose-Wilson alternatives and I believe the preferred plan of action is reasonable. Do not give into pressure to build a pathway for the amusement of a limited group of locals.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2470      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tuman, Susan B

**Address:** North Topsail Beach, NC 28460-9558  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 11:29:22  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Susan B. Tuman

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2471    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, Dale  
**Address:** Box Elder, SD 57719  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 11:30:06  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Dale

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2472    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Pekin, IL 61554  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

My Dad is 60 years old and his parents took him and his brother and sister to the Grand Tetons several times during their childhood. And even after my Dad and his siblings had grown my grandparents went there as often as they could. My Grandma called them "Don's mountains" because my Grandfather loved them so much. My Grandfather passed away in 2006, and when my Grandmother passed away this year, my Dad and his siblings decided to take a family trip to the Grand Tetons in their honor. The area has changed a lot over the years but their love of the park has not.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

A concerned United States citizen

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2473    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Chandler, Vickie  
**Address:** San Jose, CA 95124  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 11:41:52  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Vickie Chandler

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2474    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kessler, Susan  
**Address:** Grantham, NH 03753  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 11:53:48  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Susan Kessler

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2475    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Timberlake, Ralph  
**Address:** Huntsville, AL 35810  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Criminal Investigation manager:

Property owner Ralph Timberlake files this formal complaint of mail fraud against the USPS and its officials. None but the unscrupulous officials is

returning mail addressed to 2117 Atkins Drive, Huntsville, Alabama 35810 to senders.

Because USPS' officials surreptitiously returned property owner Ralph Timberlake's mail to its senders, he submits this letter of complaint. Some ignoble USPS officials are unjustly and without a hearing repudiating Homeowner Ralph Timberlake's rights and privileges to receive USPS' property and home mail delivery service. In light of the alleged egregious banning of Property owner Ralph Timberlake' rights and privileges to receive USPS property and home mail delivery service; he requests a criminal and a civil investigation into its lawfulness. Why are all First-class mail addressed to 2117 Atkins Drive, Huntsville, Alabama 35810 and Ralph Timberlake subjected to the USPS personnel's whim? It's a blatant illustration and deed of kakistocracy, the USPS officials ordered a perpetual banning of all First-class mail delivered service to 2117 Atkins Drive, Huntsville, Alabama 35810 while denying requested evidence and hearing. However, the USPS officials' unceasing abridgment of property owner Ralph Timberlake's right to receive USPS' property and home mail delivery service is a crime of fraud. Numerous USPS officials legitimately rebuffed this adverse affected party's official application for a copy the legal reasons and the legal justification for denial of service. Yes, their unilateral decided to return Ralph Timberlake's mail to its senders is a gross wrong and a travesty of justice.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2476      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Plummer, Van L  
**Address:** Solomons, MD 20688  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 11:58:40  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Van Plummer

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2477      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Marks, PhD, Luan F  
**Address:** Niles , MI 49120-4157  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

The Grand Tetons are sacred places to Native Americans. Protections should be established permanently for these places.

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, it is a sacred place for Native Americans, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special and sacred place.

Sincerely,

Luan Fauteck Makes Marks, PhD

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2478      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Martinez, CA 94553  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 12:11:53  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Janet K

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2479    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Schettino, Chris  
**Address:** Miami, FL 33137  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 12:26:58  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2480    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Johnston, Robert E  
**Address:** Davis, CA 95616  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** npca Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Alternative C provides the best protection for Grand Teton National Park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2481    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Love, Sarah J  
**Address:** Riverside, IL 60546  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 12:30:53  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the

Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Sarah Love

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2482    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** eardley, christine  
**Address:** hendersonville, TN 37075  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 12:32:21  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place. I have been there many times, and will cherish each visit forever.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2483    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Akrami, Jason A  
**Address:** Naples, FL 34113  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 12:39:09  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
Jason Akrami

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2484    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** rowe, erin  
**Address:** arcata, CA 95521  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Protect the Tetons.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2485    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kite, Richard  
**Address:** New York, NY 12345  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 12:52:51  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Richard Kite

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2486    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hobbs, Pat  
**Address:** Boulder, CO 80304  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**

I support "Alternative C with regards to the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

Do not lose what cannot be replaced. We only have one chance. Let's use it wisely. Protect wildlife before there it's gone.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2487    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Heinly, Bridgett  
**Address:** San Diego, CA 92107  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 13:18:23  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.



Sincerely,  
Bridgett Heinly

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2488    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tivera, Emma  
**Address:** United States, MN 55359  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Rights for All Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We have to protect the mountains, parks, animals, water, air, soil, and everything because If we do not ...We could be in a real danger of extinction!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2489    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** massa pk, NY 11762  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 13:29:14  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
j valentine ny

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2490    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Corry, Ronit  
**Address:** Santa Barbara, CA 93101  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C".

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2491    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McCarthy, Debbie  
**Address:** Phillips, ME 04966  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 13:34:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,  
I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.  
Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.  
The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.  
Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.  
Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2492    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Pakaln, Laura  
**Address:** Nyack, NY 10960  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 13:40:48  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:**  
I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2493    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kirschling, Karen  
**Address:** San Francisco, CA 94117  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 13:41:43  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Karen Kirschling

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2494    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Schultz, William  
**Address:** whitefish, MT 59937  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 14:03:23  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely, Wm Schultz

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2495    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** FARAMIN, Anne-Françoise  
**Address:** BEAUNE, UN 21200  
France  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Il est urgent de sauvegarder le Grand Teton Canyon ! Pour la faune, dont c'est le territoire et pour préserver cet endroit encore sauvage.  
Il va falloir que l'humain cesse de tout vouloir détruire, pour son seul bénéfice, et toujours dans une vision à court terme.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2496    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Frankenfield, Miriam J  
**Address:** Ventura, , CA 93001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Sisters of the Holy Cross Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please Alternate "C" would be best at this time.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2497    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lyons, Kathi J  
**Address:** San Antonio,, TX 78257  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I strongly support Alternative C. With our wild places and wildlife seemingly under attack from every possible direction; we need to put the needs of nature in the forefront of any and all plans of action. Thank you - from nature and from the animals.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2498    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bey, Lisa  
**Address:** Medicine Hat, UN T1A 5P8  
Canada  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 14:51:48  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[Lisa Bey]

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2499    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ray, Richard A  
**Address:** Troutdale, OR 97060  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 15:04:55  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your

approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Richard A Ray

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2500    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stanton, Liana  
**Address:** Miami, FL 33155  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.09,2015 15:10:19  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,\  
Liana Stanton

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2501    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Salt Lake City, UT 84108  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I spend nearly 100 days a year in GTNP as a guide for Exum Mountain Guides and for my own skiing and climbing. MOVING ESTABLISHED TRAILHEADS FARTHER AWAY FROM THE MOUNTAINS MIGHT BE THE DUMBEST "IMPROVEMENT PLAN" I HAVE EVER HEARD OF. I bet this came from the same people responsible for the recent "improvements" at Huckleberry Hot Springs. Backwards thinking seems to be the motto of the park service.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2502    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Davis, Jacqueline A  
**Address:** San Antonio, TX 78231  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.09,2015 15:28:46  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Close the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor permanently to people and let the animals have their land.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2503    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Maghakian, Michael  
**Address:** Hercules, CA 94547  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,09,2015 15:58:09

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2504    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Flores, Regina  
**Address:** Lake Elsinore, CA 92532  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 16:15:37  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
Regina Flores

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2505    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wyman, Elizabeth  
**Address:** Chicago, IL 60615  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 16:16:17  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Set up an alternative plan that would:

â€¢ Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

â€¢ Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

â€¢ Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;

â€¢ Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

â€¢ Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;

â€¢ Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;

â€¢ Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2506    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McGratty, Jessica  
**Address:** Charlotte, NC 28205  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support alternative C - the preferred plan. Do the right thing.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2507    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** horn, scott  
**Address:** teton village, WY 83025  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 16:30:48  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I continue to believe that Alternative D with the improved modification of mass transit is the best choice. People are coming from all over the country and all over the world to visit our parks. The current thinking continues to view the visitor experience from an automobile perspective. We should be incorporating mass transit and safe cycling as ways to visit our park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2508    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Kelly, John P  
**Address:** Sedona, AZ 86336  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I support option c

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2509    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** sangster, carol  
**Address:** ojai, CA 93024  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 16:50:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Thank you  
Carol Sangster

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2510    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Liebrecht, Ed  
**Address:** jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 16:50:53  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Thank you for your work on this initiative. Your preferred alternative addresses key issues. As a biker, I like bike paths but having a path in this area would seem to present an unnecessary risk to the public. Specifically, as it is today, serious bikers will ride on the road and with this alternative, they will continue to have the option to do so. If there was a path in place we know we would see children riding on the path and with all the wildlife encounters on that road and in the area that could be very dangerous.

Safety should be a priority and having a bike path would introduce a potentially unsafe condition for its users...both children and adults. In addition, construction of and the presence of a bike path would be disruptive to the scenic nature of the Moose Wilson road and likely would have a negative effect on the wildlife in the area.

The changes that C includes all seem logical and that alternative is very similar to D other than the bike path and traffic control. I support your preferred alternative choice.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2511    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dorman, Reade W  
**Address:** Jackson, TX 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Hands down, I am in favor of keeping the Moose-Wilson corridor as close to present condition as possible. I don't want a bike path, ungodly congestion, or keeping the road open in winter. I do like the idea of limiting the number of cars moving through the corridor at any given time. And I'm not opposed to paving the south section from Poker Flats to the old Rockefeller gate, although if the Park did nothing to upgrade the road, that's fine with me too, because it slows traffic way down. In short, Plan C as suggested by Superintendent David Vela seems by far the best of the options. Please do all you can to preserve the road's natural beauty with the least amount of impact.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2512    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Conger, Valerie  
**Address:** Moran, WY 83013  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I have submitted my comments before, but in light of the pressures by the JHMR and Friends of Pathways, and in light of the extended public comment period, I felt I should once more clarify my position. I have lived in the valley for about 20 years. Moose-Wilson road has always been a very special place to me and I have seen how much use and abuse it has gotten over the years. The Park's mandate is to protect the natural resources for future generations. Because of this, I support the Park's preferred alternative.

I recently moved to Moran and I work at Teton Pines. In the summer, driving through Moose-Wilson would be a beautiful short cut. HOWEVER, I'd much rather see the road CLOSED entirely than to see it expand.

I further support re-routing the road away from the sensitive wetland areas and moved to a route that does not traverse such wildlife sensitive areas.

Please do not succumb to the political and economical self interests of the JHMR and other special interest groups. I support Friends of Pathways and pathways in general. But not when they threaten the very wildlife and wilderness that I moved here to enjoy. The wildlife and natural resources are the FIRST priority.

While closing the road seems highly unlikely at this time, I would most definitely support this option. Short of that, I'll support the Park's preferred alternative.

Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2513    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lotshaw, John  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** We agree with the proposal submitted by the Park (alternative C). This is almost identical to what we originally submitted via online survey comments. Bike paths are not needed, and increased animal presence would not mix well with bicyclists. Also agree with limiting the number of vehicles during the heavy traffic season. THis area has been wonderful, and relatively unspoiled, which is its charm.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2514    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dorman, David  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Retired Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I support alternative C because it will create the less amount of damage to the Moose-Wilson Road. I feel very strongly that there should be no pathway trail, and that bicycles should be banned from the road. The first priority should be to protect the natural habitat and the wild animals. Reduced usage is the best way to achieve the goal of preservation of the environment and protection of the wildlife. My first choice for the road was to have a two-way road from Moose to the Rocketfellow Preserve and a two-way road from Teton Village to the Granite Canyon parking area, but no road between the two place. I do not like Teton Village people to use this road to access the airport.

Alternative C is a compromise that offers some access, but not unlimited access. It is also important to have a 20 mile a hour speed limit and no road side parking. i also think paving the one and half mile section is acceptable.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2515    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Polk, Nora  
**Address:** Portland, OR 97206  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 17:14:24  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Nora Polk

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2516    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Whiteford, Andrew  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Amangani Resort/ Friends of Pathways Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 17:17:40  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Thank you for extending the comment period, as well as providing ample opportunities for the public to review and give feedback on your decision process.

As a naturalist guide for Amangani, I have provided interpretive tours through GTNP and YNP for the last 5 years. It is a wonderful position to provide guests from around the world with the glimpse of the history and ecology of our unique region. As we are attempting to provide an exemplary experience for our guests to remember, we know the highlights that are easily accessed in these car based tours. Our general route is from GV Junction to Antelope Flats to Jackson Lake and back along the interior road. Our use of Moose-Wilson is generally only if guests need to be dropped off in Teton Village or if there has been good wildlife viewing opportunities (read: bears) in that zone. During our outings in that section, the experience ranges the full spectrum, depending on the amount of traffic- which really comes back to the presence of bears. On that note, the volunteer wildlife brigade should be commended.

I want our visitors to get a deep appreciation for the sounds, smells, sights, and gut feelings of being awestruck by their surroundings. Due to congestion near Jenny Lake, I often recommend visiting LSR Preserve and hiking to Phelps, or at least exploring that zone. I feel we would be remiss to not provide for alternative transportation options for this area, and to focus on the safety of wildlife and users together. In this line, a pathway- separate or along the road- would be an excellent opportunity for guests that wish to, to avoid potential traffic, queues, and the rush from some other car in their rear view mirrors. The distance between Moose and Teton Village makes for a manageable outing with an abundance of activity opportunities, and further reduces vehicular traffic.

In no way would I encourage most people to travel this road on foot or on bicycle when it's busy. When it is busy, traveling this corridor outside of a car and not competing with cars would allow for a greater guest experience. When people slow down and FEEL this area, their connection becomes stronger, deeper, and more likely to stay with them- and in turn, have love for our national parks.

Neglecting to provide for alternative transportation is only putting a well thought out band aid on the bigger issue- our impact on the environment we all want to experience but protect. Reducing emissions, improving visitor experience, and providing safety for the wildlife (by adjusting alignment of the road away from the berry bushes) are all critical elements of this area.

Thank you for your open house meeting tonight, 12/9/15. All representatives here have been quite helpful. Best of luck with working through this decision process.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2517    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Thomas, Shirley & Dan  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** JH Conservation Alliance Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We prefer alternative C. It is the least disruptive & yet allows for access for all types of recreation. This alternative makes improvements where they are needed, ie road paving, parking, etc.



The B alternative would encroach on the newly discovered archeological sites & wet lands.

D would be too disruptive & we do not need another bike path when there are so many paths already in existence. The expense would be extreme for a small portion of recreationalists.

In thinking of the C, a shuttle would be a nice way to access the trailheads & other areas. Think of Zion NP. The shuttle system works beautifully. The road is not dissimilar. This would help to alliviate the traffic & congestion that we are trying to address.

Alternative A cannot be considered, since it does nothing to address any of the problems that we are encountering.

My husband & I are full time residents of Jackson & use the trails off MW road in all seasons. Yet, we must also think we must preserve the wildlife & scenic character of this beautiful area. I am a member of the Conservation Alliance Board & feel that the Park Setvice has chosen wisely.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2518    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Greiner, Tony  
**Address:** Albuquerque, NM 87110-1439  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 17:56:53  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Tony Greiner

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2519    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Farnsworth, Stu R  
**Address:** Eagan, MN 55121  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 17:57:07  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Stu Farnsworth

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2520    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** DeMars, Matthew  
**Address:** Madison, WI 53711  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,09,2015 17:59:36  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor. The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Matthew DeMars

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2521      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Smith, Megan A  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,09,2015 18:11:36

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am concerned about the lack of traffic calming and wildlife/ bike/ pedestrian/ horse safety measures incorporated into the proposed, preferred alternative. If the unpaved section of the M-W road is paved, traffic speeds are going to increase, regardless of a lower speed limit. Speed limits need enforcement and this road is extremely difficult to enforce. An increase in vehicle speeds is going to be detrimental to wildlife and to non-motorized traffic (wildlife, pedestrians, bicycles and horses). I believe that many people envision a separated pathway as being located far away from the road. In reality, this does not need to be the alignment for a pathway. A section of the road dedicated to bikes and pedestrians would also greatly increase the safety of these users and likely have limited or no negative impacts on wildlife. Enforcement will need to include only parking in designated areas.

With the paving of the entire corridor, I would also like to see the addition of traffic calming and safety measures. My suggestions include striping of the road to incorporate not only vehicle lanes but also a shoulder/ bike lane on both sides. This will lessen the perceived width of the road and do much more to slow vehicle traffic than a lower speed limit. Additionally, it will give an area for bikes and peds to belong in this corridor rather than an additional user that has not been adequately planned for. The number of bike/ ped users in this corridor is going to increase as a result of the infrastructure surrounding and being incorporated into this corridor. Other options could also include a slightly raised cycletrack on one side of the road. A cycletrack would add perceived safety as well as some actual safety measures for bikes/ peds. There are of course a number of other traffic calming options in addition to these two.

I do not think that a lower speed limit and the natural layout of the road is enough to slow people in vehicles down. As is, people in vehicles drive too fast for this corridor, pavement will only increase these speeds. Higher vehicle speeds are guaranteed to increase wildlife-vehicle collisions.

Please implement a plan that will provide safety for all users: wildlife, bicycles, pedestrians and then vehicles - in that order.

Thank you for all your hard work.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2522      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,09,2015 18:16:57

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I STRONGLY oppose option C as the preferred alternative. This option fails to address so many issues, including bicycle and pedestrian safety, road re-alignment issues surrounding the Sawmill Ponds area, and safe travel through the currently unpaved portion of the road. By paving that section, you are inviting cars to drive faster, which will cause more vehicle-animal issues and vehicle-vehicle issues. That section of the road should remain a slow, unpaved, rural road. It is part of the character of that section.

Another issue that I have with this plan is that it fails to re-route the road through critical bear habitat around the Sawmill Ponds. Wasn't that one of the reasons for this discussion in the first place? Plan D adequately moves the road out of that critical habitat, thereby protecting wildlife.

A third issue I have with alternative C is the extensive work that will be done to the Death Canyon trailhead. Alternative D handles this section much better... clean up the road a little, add a little more parking, but don't plan for a huge overhaul of a part of the park that isn't a big issue.

My biggest grievance with option C is that it doesn't include a SAFE AND SEPARATE PATHWAY for pedestrians and cyclists. Visitors are going to ride their bikes on that road, whether they are experienced road bikers or families trying to get out and enjoy their national park. Why not make the experience more enjoyable and, more importantly, safer? How many people have to die on the roads of Grand Teton National Park before someone takes notice? Hasn't there been enough tragedy? And don't we want to encourage people to get out of their polluting cars and have the best experience possible in their

national park? Don't we want kids to put down their iPads in the backseat of the car and get out in nature in a healthy and safe manner? We NEED a pathway along the Moose Wilson road. For us, and for future generations of park visitors.

As a sidenote, it is December and it is currently raining in Jackson Hole. There is no denying that climate change is real and it is having a REAL effect on our environment. Why not set a good example and encourage visitors of our park to use alternative forms of transportation to access the Tetons, whether that is a shuttle bus system, bikes, skis, or on foot?

Please, PLEASE listen to us! The people want and NEED a separate and safe pathway!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2523    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Terry, Jim  
**Address:** Teton Village, WY 83025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 18:19:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Alternative C sounds like it has a lot going for it with paving the south section. Currently dust and often pot holes distracts from a good Park experience. Even though that should help bicyclists it isn't as good as a separate pathway outlined in the C alternative. None of the alternatives speak much about the main reason my friends and family enjoy this part of the Park. I don't think I am alone when I say the possibility of observing wildlife in this part of the Park is the primary reason most people want to spend time one this road along with the Rockefeller preserve. Why isn't that addressed more allowing for turn outs and wooden observation areas to allow more safe observation yet maintain separation of wildlife and people?

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2524    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wagner, Norman  
**Address:** Culver, IN 46511  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Alternative C seems the best choice.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2525    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dillman, Michael  
**Address:** West Haven, CT 06516  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 18:22:32  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Michael F Dillman

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2526    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Inglis, Robert D  
**Address:** Portland, OR 97209  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We agree that Alternative C is the preferred alternative.  
It meets the USNPS requirements  
It has the lightest impact on the land  
It excludes a separate bike path which we strongly approve

It provides for inholder access which is appreciated  
It appears to be the most cost effective

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2527    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Henderson, Mae A  
**Address:** Valparaiso, IN 46385  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Please keep the park as pristine as possible. It is a treasure many want to enjoy, however, many may need a little direction in their enjoyment.

In the past my grandfather drove through in the 1930's and enjoyed snapping pictures of bears up close. He had been a soldier at Yellowstone in the really old days and had a respect for the animals. He also enjoyed the outdoors. My husband and young son and I passed through the Park in the late 1950's, saw bears and enjoyed the wildlife sightings as well as the majesty of the scenery. A few years ago a friend and I drove through the park in late fall, enjoyed the views and natural wonders, but did not see wild animals. The hotels were crowded with all types of visitors, waits were long or non-existent for simple breakfasts or a satisfying dinner. We shared our waited for dinner table with a couple who had been hiking in the interior. He enjoyed what my friend could not finish of her dinner - his dinner never came. We got the feeling the park was just too full of tour buses and everyone else. The next day we did stop at the old yellow hotel building and found it much more enjoyable for lunch. The park holds so many memories and is so beautiful; hopefully, a way can be found to keep its special meaning to all visitors.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2528    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Siebke, Melissa  
**Address:** Minneapolis, MN 55431  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 18:45:04  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Hello!

I would like to respectfully ask that you follow option C.

This option would consider the following:

- Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
- Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;
- Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
- Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;
- Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;
- Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;
- Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

Thank you!  
Melissa

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2529    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** weenig, andy  
**Address:** jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Pathways Taskforce Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 18:45:36  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** good transit is needed- buses like Zion & Grand Canyon. there will be increased air pollution & other bad impacts by limiting the vehicular use.

by paving the road, cars will be driven faster. so design it w/ traffic calming features.

most folks won't pedal on road w/ motorized vehicles. so a PROTECTED pathway would increase the safety & invite more folks to experience the park thru non-motorized travel.

if you reduce motorized vehicles, more cyclists will be attracted to pedal there- so plan for that.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2530    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Elder, Dave  
**Address:** Vestal, NY 13850  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 18:46:57

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I'd like to submit a comment related to the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning procedure at Grand Teton National Park, basically in support of the National Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternate C", because I think this approach will most effectively help to protect wildlife and natural resources while allowing visitors to use and appreciate the corridor. I also think the Park Service might do well to consider the feasibility of a small-scale shuttle system for visitor transportation. It's been nearly three decades since I visited Grand Teton, but I still cherish my previous experiences there, and I'm pleased to read that grizzly bears and wolves have thrived there in recent years.

Thank you for your time and consideration, and for all you do in managing this very special place.

Sincerely,

Dave Elder

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2531 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jones, Dean  
**Address:** Portland, OR 97210  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support plan c to protect this corridor.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2532 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** skokie, IL 60076  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 19:04:31  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Janice Figman

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2533 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Alsip, IL 60803  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Protect the National Park - Protect the Planet. Save the animals for mankind. Clean up the environment and save the population.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2534 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Davie, Michael C  
**Address:** Odenton, MD 21113-2240  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2535 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894

**Name:** byers, sharon  
**Address:** downey, CA 90242  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** please save the grand tetons

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2536    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bray, Linda s  
**Address:** Orlando, FL 32827  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please help enact option C !

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2537    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, Tim  
**Address:** Long Beach, CA 90806  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

First, I would like to preface this email with a note that I have visited Grand Teton NP in the past and hope to do so again in the future.

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Tim Lawnicki

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2538    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Valensi, Jim  
**Address:** San Pedro, CA 90731-6703  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I'm writing to try and convince you to adopt alternative C in the grand teton nation park. Having been there many times ,I think this is the best option for wild life, for the park and for visitors. Thank you for considering this option. Keep up the good work.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2539    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Woodman, Renee  
**Address:** Colorado Springs, CO 80951  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** N/A Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Make some under roadway tunnels? I don't know how expensive or intrusive they may be to the surrounding territory. It's an idea though. Thank You for Your time in reading this.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2540    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Romito, Alexandra E

**Address:** STATEN ISLAND, NY 10301  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Romito Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 20:37:16  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Alexandra Romito

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2541    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Willett, Greg  
**Address:** Wauna, WA 98395  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 20:43:28  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Like many protected areas, once we allow too many people and overdevelopment to occur the damage cannot be undone. Please consider this plan.

Sincerely,  
Greg Willett

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2542    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Middleton, Margie  
**Address:** Fallbrook, CA 92028  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 21:12:03  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious

resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Margie Middleton

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2543    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Godbey, Stella J  
**Address:** Brandenburg, KY 40108  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Where are the good stewards of God's creation?

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2544    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Schellin, Steven A  
**Address:** Sussex, WI 53089  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 21:34:45  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Steven and Margaret Schellin

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2545    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Two Harbors, MN 55616  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I love Yellowstone and The Tetons

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2546    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Malone, Thomas S  
**Address:** Two Harbors, MN 55616  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I have driven, road my bike, hitchhiked, and skied the Moose- Wilson Road from 1968 to 2015 as I lived in Wilson from 1973 to 2001 and I have seen the changes 1st hand over the years and I do say it's gotten a bit overwhelming. I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.



Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely, Thomas S. Malone

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2547    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Davis, Donna  
**Address:** Norman, OK 73071  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** These animals have no where else to go. You need to restrict people and cars. Cars and animals make bad road companions. Bicycles make fast snacks for grizzly bears. Traffic jams are recipes for disastrous encounters with habituated animals that want something to eat. I would love to see as many animals as possible too but I don't want to endanger them or myself to do it.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2548    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hutchinson, Terrance A  
**Address:** California City, CA 93505  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect our wildlife, I support alternative C. Thanks for your time and patience. T

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2549    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Monson, Todd  
**Address:** Albuquerque, NM 87112  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 23:24:12  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Todd Monson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2550    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Linden, CA 95236  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Teton grizzly bear

[Click Here to Send Your Comments to the National Park Service!](#)

(This link will take you to the National Park Service's Planning, Environment, & Public Commenting website.)

Take Action

Dear Friend of the National Parks,

The historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas.

The popularity of the narrow roadway with both visitors and animals can create traffic jams and wildlife management challenges, particularly during the busy summer months.

To address congestion, park staff and outside experts have worked for the last decade to study wildlife use, historic sites, automobile, bicycle, and pedestrian use, and other factors to develop a new management plan for the corridor. Their goal is to protect these world-class resources while allowing visitors to continue to use and enjoy the roadway.

The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor have been released and we need your voice! Please join NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;

Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;

Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;

Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Take Action: Tell Grand Teton Superintendent David Vela that you support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

Here's how to submit your comments to the Park Service.

Step 1: Go to <https://parkplanning.nps.gov/commentForm.cfm?documentID=68894> and carefully follow the form instructions.

Step 2: Submit your comments by copying and pasting the sample message below into the web form. Please add any personal observations or stories that support your comments.

Step 3: Once you have completed all of the required fields on the form, click the gray "submit" button at the bottom of the page.

Sample message/comments

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Mari Doming

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2551    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** San Diego, CA 92116  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.10,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support alternative "C" for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2552    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hawkins, Patricia

**Address:** St. Ignatius, MT 59865  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**

The historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas.

The popularity of the narrow roadway with both visitors and animals can create traffic jams and wildlife management challenges, particularly during the busy summer months.

To address congestion, park staff and outside experts have worked for the last decade to study wildlife use, historic sites, automobile, bicycle, and pedestrian use, and other factors to develop a new management plan for the corridor. Their goal is to protect these world-class resources while allowing visitors to continue to use and enjoy the roadway.

The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor have been released and we need your voice! Please join NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.  
In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2553    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** springfield, MO 65810  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 00:41:21  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

b. hinrichs

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2554    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Fosburgh, Eric  
**Address:** Seattle, WA 98112  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 01:09:29  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your

approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Eric Fosburgh

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2555    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Harris, Candice  
**Address:** Feasterville, PA 19053  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 01:19:07  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2556    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Lake Ariel, PA 18436  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We must work together to preserve wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2557    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Spann, Rosemary  
**Address:** Cherry Hill, NJ 08003  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I support Alternative C to protect our precious wildlife. We must share the road with the animals, this is their home and people must be educated to understand that. Animals are not entertainment, we must respect their right to roam and we have an obligation to protect them. Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2558    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cox, Daeleen  
**Address:** sellersburg, IN 47172  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Alternative B -

Above all the wildlife must be protected.

Ensure the protection of the nationally significant resources.

Decrease the traffic volumes for the long term.

The Moose-Wilson Road has no wildlife fatalities because it is wonderfully slow, narrow and rural - and should be kept that way with fewer cars.

!!!!!!! - Smart visitor transit.

People must obey the rules and laws - hefty fines for those who break them.

We want no incidences like the Yellowstone bear kill, People need to be responsible, the hiker lived there for years and caused the mull, she knew better then to hike in the manner she did, She caused the death of the mama bear and her cubs.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2559    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Neumann, Nancy L  
**Address:** Zornheim, UN 55270  
Germany  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 08:08:26  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Nancy Neumann

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2560    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Clinton, WA 98236  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support the hard work that has been done on this issue and support the recommendations that have been made.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2561    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mendoza, Antonio J  
**Address:** El Paso, TX 79932  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 08:55:10  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. It is also one of my most favorite national parks which I always love to visit. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Antonio Javier Mendoza

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2562    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A

**Address:** Muskegon, MI 49445  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 08:57:43  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. I have visited the park many times and my daughter lived in Jackson for a few years, we truly know how special it is! Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
L. Kidd

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2563    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** HODIE, JAKE  
**Address:** Aspen, CO 81611  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

So much of our wilderness has already been ruined by mining, drilling, development, and man.

Enough is enough!

The wilderness is supposed to be a place of peace and quiet for us, and the wildlife which live in it!

The animals are running out of places to live and be safe. Our wildlife are under threat from so many angles. They desperately need to be protected, mainly from humans.

Life is hard enough for people, let alone the animals.

Can't we please offer them some much needed help?!

PLEASE save the wilderness for all future generations before it is permanently ruined. Some damage cannot be undone!

The world has been up and down in terms of protecting animals. Some great things have been done recently for them, but plenty of threats still remain. So many of our waters, public lands, forests, and open spaces have already been ruined by development, drilling, OTV/ATV use, and roads. The animals are running out of places to live and be safe. Our wildlife are under threat from so many angles. They desperately need to be protected, mainly from humans.

Life is hard enough for people, let alone the animals.

Can't we please offer them some much needed help?!

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Thank you for your time.

Respectfully,  
Jake Hodie

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2564    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** urphy, Lucinda R  
**Address:** Baltimore, MD 21214  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 09:14:37  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Lucinda R Murphy

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2565    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Woodbury, Ellen R  
**Address:** Loveland, CO 80537-8010  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C because it will:

- better protect wildlife
- limit Moose-Wilson corridor to 200 cars at a time
- extend Death Canyon hiking trail and provide new parking
- continue to allow winter recreation
- maintain the current footprint of the road
- reduce speed limits to 20 mph
- allow for management adjustment over time

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2566    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Vasily, Karen  
**Address:** Norristown, PA 19403  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 09:31:24  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place and taking the time to read my letter.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2567    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Costigan, Cheryl R  
**Address:** Spirit Lake, ID 83869  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

Although I live in north Idaho now, I was a frequent visitor to Grand Teton National Park in the early 1990's and even then was aware of traffic problems in the park. As a lifelong supporter of the National Park System - and someone who cares deeply about Grand Teton - I am writing today to share my thoughts regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

I am writing to ask you to choose "Alternative C" as the favored approach as I believe it will best protect the wildlife on the wildlife-rich Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Spectacular views are inviting, but people also want to have the wildlife "experience." Vehicular traffic significantly decreased that experience even when I visited and I understand it has only grown worse and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

While "Alternative C," the preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor, is a step in the right direction, more can be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

It is our shared responsibility to protect this cherished park and its wildlife for future generations. I thank you for the good work you do to protect this incredible park and the wildlife within - and for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Costigan

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2568	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Fante, Linda				
<b>Address:</b>	Ventnor City, NJ 08406 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,10,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please support "Alternative C," the preferred plan as it would:				

â€¢ Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

â€¢ Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

â€¢ Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;

â€¢ Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

â€¢ Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;

â€¢ Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;

â€¢ Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2569	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Mazur, Marilyn				
<b>Address:</b>	West Palm Beach, FL 33401 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,10,2015 10:22:40				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Mazur



---

**Correspondence ID:** 2570    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Grace, George  
**Address:** Los Angeles, CA 90027  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 10:46:29  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
George Grace

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2571    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Neihart, Joanne H  
**Address:** Cottage Grove, MN 55016-1019  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 11:20:33  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Joanne Neihart]

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2572    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Holmes, Lolita S  
**Address:** Oxford, OH 45056  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 11:45:59  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Lolita S. Holmes

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2573    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Walden, Deborah L  
**Address:** Diamond Bar, CA 91765  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 11:50:02  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
Deborah Walden

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2574    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wilson, David  
**Address:** Lancaster, KY 40444  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2575    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hoffman, Jane  
**Address:** New York, NY 10011  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please implement Alternative C to protect the historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor, one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas, particularly during the summer months. Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2576    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dean, June  
**Address:** Diamond Springs, CA 95619  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I support Proposition C and encourage you to pass it to protect Grand Teton National Park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2577    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** POOLE, DIANE  
**Address:** MARION, IN 46952

United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Please go with Alternate C to keep this area best protected for all the wonderful wildlife that thrives here & that I want to continue to thrive!!!

Thank you,  
Diane Poole  
Marion, IN

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2578    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Chianis, Antonia & Andrew  
**Address:** Blue Jay, CA 92317

United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** We are writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor Planning Process @ Grand Teton Nat'l. Park. As a supporter of the Nat'l Park System, we believe the Park Services preferred plan "Alternative C" best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alt. C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan C before mentioned of the Moose Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but much more could and should be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of the small scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a national treasure and should be protected for all generations to come. Thank you for protecting this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2579    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Negri, Angela  
**Address:** Henderson, NV 89002

United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 14:22:10

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;

Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2580    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Martinez, Brittany  
**Address:** Lutz, FL 33549

United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 14:43:50

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Brittany Martinez

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2581	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	Durham, NC 27707 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,10,2015 14:54:50				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Martha Brimm

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2582	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Schmotzer, Michael S				
<b>Address:</b>	York, PA 17403 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,10,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

As a former NPS employee at GTNP and a frequent visitor, I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process. I have driven the road and hiked the canyons for many years. I ask you to follow "Alternative C" for future use of the road and its surrounding environment. In past years traffic has been a major obstacle to both people and wildlife. We both need the road. I think that Alternative C, will best let us share it. For further consideration, other parks in the western region have started to use park provided transportation in areas of overuse or restricted traffic patterns. GTNP should consider that option as well. It will generate a great deal of vocal opposition; but this is the 21st century. Jackson has changed with the times: I can remember when Jackson has only one paved road in town. The Park must adapt as well.

I also remember when Superintendent Chapman reminded the Park staff that the Park Service is required to protect the park for all of us and "for future generations."

Thank you for your hard work and great responsibility in one of the most beautiful places on earth.

Sincerely,  
Michael S Schmotzer

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2583	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Schwartz, Robert K				
<b>Address:</b>	White Plains, NY 10607 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,10,2015 15:08:34				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Robert K Schwartz

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2584    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** little, christina  
**Address:** mt.laurel, NJ 08054  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Thank you for taking the time to read this. We have to protect all parks. Once there gone there gone forever.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2585    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Snyder, Tiffany A  
**Address:** Boulder, CO 80305  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing to you today regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a big supporter of the National Park System in general, Grand Teton National Park more specifically and of the wildlife and wild places that exist within it's boundaries, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and I therefore request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has greatly diminished the visitor experience - indeed making the long waits not worth the effort for many - and this is having negative effects on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor to the betterment of visitors' experience, as well as decreasing pressure on the wildlife in its only home.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people like my family across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2586    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gregory, Paul D  
**Address:** Minneapolis, MN 55407  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please save our national parks

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2587    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Packer, Patti  
**Address:** Scotia, NY 12302  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing in support of Alternative C, the preferred plan for options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor at Grand Teton NP.

This plan would better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife and decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road.

Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2588    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, Charles  
**Address:** Rialto, CA 92376  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Owner at Jackson Hole Racquet Club Timeshare Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 16:31:01  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2589    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Haag, James M  
**Address:** Panama City, FL 32405  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 16:48:06  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
James M. Haag

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2590    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Britton, Melissa  
**Address:** Mill Creek, WA 98012  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 16:49:35  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I adamantly support plan C which would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2591    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** ogden, mike c  
**Address:** everett, WA 98203  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

thanks,  
Mike Ogden

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2592    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mendelsohn, Alex M  
**Address:** Kennebunk, ME 04043  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** MKRA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Go with Alternative C!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2593    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** schindler, maury  
**Address:** amelia, OH 45102  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 18:01:52  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
maury schindler

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2594    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Clark, Todd

**Address:** Indianapolis, IN 46220  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,10,2015 18:16:31

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2595    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Leiseroff, Miriam F

**Address:** San Jose, CA 95125  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,10,2015 18:25:06

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Miriam Leiseroff

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2596    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Sullivan, Gail

**Address:** New York, NY 10040  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,10,2015 19:02:32

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely, Gail Sullivan

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2597    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Hogue, Kelly D



**Address:** Greenwood, IN 46142  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 19:04:28  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kelly Hogue

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2598    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Oates, Judy A  
**Address:** Great Barrington, MA 01230  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect the wildlife as a number one priority. Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2599    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Quakertown, PA 18951  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Stop trying to further the devastation of our home.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2600    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kritzman, Philip  
**Address:** Chicago, IL 60646-5917  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Private citizen Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 19:53:23  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Philip Kritzman

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2601    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Emmanuele, kurt

**Address:** chattanooga, TN 37405  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I prefer Alternative C because it is the best for the area's wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2602    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** weinstein, elyette  
**Address:** Olympia, WA 98501  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** ms Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 20:33:27  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2603    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Graube, Davids V  
**Address:** Kalamazoo, MI 49008  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The changes to access frequency and parking make sense.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2604    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hirsch, Catherine  
**Address:** Redway, CA 95560  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 22:49:54  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely, Catherine Hirsch

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2605    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Muri, Gerda  
**Address:** Chicago, IL 60657-5006  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,10,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We all need to respect the wilderness areas and and the wildlife who inhabit these lands.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2606    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Poborsky, Mike  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83002  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,11,2015 07:10:07  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support continued safe access to the park for visitors who would like to see, experience and enjoy GTNP in a manner that intimately connects them to their surroundings. Walking and biking are a quiet, non-polluting, low impact method for visiting the park. But visitors must be able to travel safely, without the risk of being hurt or killed by a distracted driver. Therefore I would like to see a separate and continuous bike path that connects Moose and the south entrance to GTNP, and the road closed to personal vehicles. I do however support the road being open to public transit, like Zion National Park, for those who prefer not to use the bike path, and for access to the Death Canyon trailhead in the summer and winter. If GTNP truly cares about protecting the precious resources of GTNP, then the only real solution is to eliminate the mass amount of vehicles that travel the Moose-Wilson road on a daily basis in the summer. It's offensive. Please stand up to JHMR and make a decision that is not influenced by money and/or politics and protect our lands. Thank you!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2607    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Biere, Debbie  
**Address:** Barnhart, MO 63012  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,11,2015 07:54:53  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Debbie Biere

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2608    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A m  
**Address:** commerce, MI 48382  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,11,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** My preferred plan is to stop all human incursion into what few wilderness areas we have. Any and all incursions into our natural environment disturbs and indeed kills what nature we have left... In the end it will undoubtedly mean the end of mankind as well.

Replenish what has already been destroyed - - keep our wild areas safe by staying out of them and restore what has already been lost.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2609    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bonner, Tracey  
**Address:** Arlington, TX 76014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,11,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I

believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

We as humans need to take care of the gift of nature and all of the Wildlife, including Forests and plants that are indigenous to this area. We have to stop trashing our legacy for future generations.

Sincerely,

Tracey Bonner

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2610	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Heath, Jamie				
<b>Address:</b>	Greenville, NC 27834 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,11,2015 08:11:17				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Jamie Heath

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2611	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	San Diego, CA 92122 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,11,2015 08:51:52				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

A concerned citizen

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2612	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
---------------------------	------	-----------------	-------	------------------	-------

**Name:** Calambro, Leslie B  
**Address:** Henrico, VA 23229  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,11,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2613    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Wyant, Linda  
**Address:** Chicago, IL 60630  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,11,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2614    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Sherwood, Kate  
**Address:** Long Beach, NY 11561  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,11,2015 11:29:35

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kate Sherwood  
Long Beach, NY

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2615    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Leland, Miss L.  
**Address:** Portland, ME 04104  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,11,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor. "Alternative C" would:

- â€¢ Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;
- â€¢ Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;
- â€¢ Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
- â€¢ Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;
- â€¢ Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
- â€¢ Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;
- â€¢ Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2616    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** swann, karen  
**Address:** Sedgwick, ME 04676  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,11,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** A few years ago, we took a camping trip from our home in Maine to the West and visited many National Parks. Grand Teton was one of our favorites and we were lucky enough to visit in the fall when things were less congested. I am so glad that plan C would address congestion and wildlife in the park. We used park transportation in every park where it was available. One driver told us that American riders come in last place compared to other visitors- -shame on us. We loved the availability of an option that let us enjoy the scenery and help keep the air cleaner, instead of driving ourselves. I hope you can find a way to include transportation, especially in the more contested Moose-Wilson Corridor, in the plan for Grand Teton.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2617    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Halloran, Gigi  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,08,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Park Form

**Correspondence:** I am in favor of closing the road at Rockefeller Preserve on a permanent basis. I am worried that many people and taxis will be rushing to the airport for those many 7 am flights and they will chose the newly paved road and a much faster route to the airport. This will endanger the moose, bears, deer and beaver that inhabit this corridor to a bigger extent than exists now.

Please consider this - the Park Service mission is to protect wildlife and we don't want to come out of this process with more danger to wildlife than exists now. This is the time to make this change when you are examining the whole corridor and making other changes.

Please don't be intimidated by the Teton Village lobby - your job is protection of the park and its wildlife and scenic values

Gigi Halloran  
Jackson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2618    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Steele, Andy  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Park Form

**Correspondence:** I fully support alternative C, the preferred alternative. The values of the Moose Wilson corridor are priceless and I admire the NP team's analysis and willingness to do the right thing for the resource and protecting a quality experience for all visitors.

Andy Steele  
Wilson, WY and Tetonia, ID

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2619    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** not provided, not provided  
**Address:** not provided, UN 00000  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Park Form  
**Correspondence:** If you build the new Death Canyon parking area then it should be utilized in all seasons. This will allow for a concentration of use and a way to manage human waste in all seasons.

How will the Death Canyon parking change enhance or negatively impact the years of fuels treatments in this area?

Please consider relocating the White Grass Ranger Station along with the trailhead.

The timed sequencing at the south end of the MW Road cannot impact flow to the county or the Poker Flats residents.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2620    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hale, Elizabeth  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Park Form  
**Correspondence:** Thank you for Plan C -

I like the regulation of the traffic so the area isn't too congested -

I was at Laurence R. Preserve & thought Huckleberry Pt. was getting congested/overwhelmed with people when I saw about 10 people on the point/path to it at once.

Should there be less than 200 cars in the area at once?

Thanks for having so many educated & talented Park Service people helping us this evening & with this process as a whole.

Elizabeth Hale - Jackson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2621    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Campbell, Jacqueline  
**Address:** Baton Rouge, LA 70895  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec.11,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect our national parks! They are our national treasures!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2622    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Abendroth, Diane  
**Address:** not provided, UN 00000  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.08,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Park Form  
**Correspondence:** I support the relocation of the north end to make a 4-way with the Chapel Road.

I support re-alignment of the road away from wetlands near the beaver excluders.

I like the occasional parking lots along the road with interpretive signs.

I would defer the pathway for a future decision. Should not be implemented now. But in 10 years perhaps it could be considered again.

Thanks, Diane Abendroth

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2623    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lotshaw, Dawn  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec.11,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I agree with your plan for the Moose-Wilson Road. This part of GT Park should remain as unspoiled as possible. The bike path idea would not be advisable for that stretch of road- -it disrupts the animals, the environment, and increased foot presence on that stretch of road would not bode

well for the animals, or for the bikers. In the end, Grand Teton National Park's responsibility should be for the animals it protects, and for people to enjoy them- -at a safe distance.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2624    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Griswold, Dave  
**Address:** Miami, FL 33179  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,11,2015 14:46:16  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

This letter is regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a treasure cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Dave Griswold

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2625    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Neave, Jane L  
**Address:** Wichita, KS 67203  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,11,2015 15:26:37  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

Our national parks are so precious to Americans. They are treasured for this generation and many more to come. I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Jane L Neave

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2626    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gersh, Iris  
**Address:** Albuquerque, NM 87113  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,11,2015 16:28:57  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.



The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely, Iris Gersh

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2627    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Boor, Carolyn  
**Address:** Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,11,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

I ask that you support this plan. Our national parks are a treasure that needs to be preserved.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2628    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, Greg  
**Address:** South Holland, IL 60473  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,11,2015 16:35:11  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**    **â€¢**Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
**â€¢**Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
**â€¢**Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
**â€¢**Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
**â€¢**Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
**â€¢**Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
**â€¢**Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2629    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mitchell, Michael B  
**Address:** Boulder, CO 80303  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,11,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

We were in the park this autumn and once again were overwhelmed by the beauty of the Park, whilst also discovering first hand the congestion of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Michael Mitchell

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2630    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McGuire, Margaret A  
**Address:** Chicago, IL 60657  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,11,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Help Us Protect Grand Teton Today!  
Sharon Mader, NPCA <takeaction@npca.org>  
To Maggie McGuire  
Dec 7 at 3:46 PM

To ensure delivery to your inbox, please add us to your address book: [takeaction@npca.org](mailto:takeaction@npca.org)  
Teton grizzly bear

[Click Here to Send Your Comments to the National Park Service!](#)

(This link will take you to the National Park Service's Planning, Environment, & Public Commenting website.)

Take Action  
Dear Maggie,

The historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas.

The popularity of the narrow roadway with both visitors and animals can create traffic jams and wildlife management challenges, particularly during the busy summer months.

To address congestion, park staff and outside experts have worked for the last decade to study wildlife use, historic sites, automobile, bicycle, and pedestrian use, and other factors to develop a new management plan for the corridor. Their goal is to protect these world-class resources while allowing visitors to continue to use and enjoy the roadway.

The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor have been released and we need your voice! Please join NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

- Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
- Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;
- Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
- Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;
- Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;
- Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;
- Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Take Action: Tell Grand Teton Superintendent David Vela that you support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

Here's how to submit your comments to the Park Service.

Step 1: Go to <https://parkplanning.nps.gov/commentForm.cfm?documentID=68894> and carefully follow the form instructions.  
Step 2: Submit your comments by copying and pasting the sample message below into the web form. Please add any personal observations or stories that support your comments.  
Step 3: Once you have completed all of the required fields on the form, click the gray "submit" button at the bottom of the page.

Sample message/comments

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Margaret McGuire

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2631    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Townsend, Carlos  
**Address:** Fountain Valley, CA 92708  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,11,2015 18:38:55  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Carlos Townsend

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2632    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dalal, Namita  
**Address:** los altos, CA 94022  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,11,2015 20:09:05  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
namita

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2633    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** REITER, DORIS  
**Address:** Dallas, TX 75231  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** retired Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,11,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** please protect the beautiful scenery of America

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2634    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A

**Address:** Peoria, IL 61615-2578  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,11,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** non-sustainers in an age of wisdom ratified needing my say to do what is correct.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2635    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Westmont, IL 60559  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,11,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Protect the Grand Teton

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2636    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** ventola, georgeann  
**Address:** montville, NJ 07045  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,11,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** <http://my.npca.org/site/R?i=ghFTkNbw64iERrx5unycUQ>

[Click Here to Send Your Comments to the National Park Service!](#)

(This link will take you to the National Park Service's Planning, Environment, & Public Commenting website.)

<http://my.npca.org/site/R?i=6sQvGR3nbq4dU9UYjhZg6A>  
Dear Gigi,

The historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas.

The popularity of the narrow roadway with both visitors and animals can create traffic jams and wildlife management challenges, particularly during the busy summer months.

To address congestion, park staff and outside experts have worked for the last decade to study wildlife use, historic sites, automobile, bicycle, and pedestrian use, and other factors to develop a new management plan for the corridor. Their goal is to protect these world-class resources while allowing visitors to continue to use and enjoy the roadway.

The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor have been released and we need your voice! Please join NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

- Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
- Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;
- Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
- Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;
- Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;
- Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;
- Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Take Action: Tell Grand Teton Superintendent David Vela that you support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

Here's how to submit your comments to the Park Service.

Step 1: Go to <https://parkplanning.nps.gov/commentForm.cfm?documentID=68894> and carefully follow the form instructions.

Step 2: Submit your comments by copying and pasting the sample message below into the web form. Please add any personal observations or stories that support your comments.

Step 3: Once you have completed all of the required fields on the form, click the gray "submit" button at the bottom of the page.

Sample message/comments

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]  
georgeann ventola

Sincerely,

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2637	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Grounds, Shari l				
<b>Address:</b>	Kailua, HI 96734 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,11,2015 23:01:50				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Shari Grounds

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2638	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Markowski, Margo				
<b>Address:</b>	Salt Lake City, UT 84103 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,12,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I grew up in Idaho. I live in Utah. National Parks are very important to me. I like my tax dollars and personal earnings to support them. Please support NPCA in preserving these jewels of the nation and of the West. Yellowstone and the Grand Tetons are too valuable not to preserve.				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2639	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Sloat, Jan				
<b>Address:</b>	Hopatcong, NJ 07843 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,12,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please selected Alternative C as the first choice.				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2640	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Sloat, Dale				

**Address:** Hopatcong, NJ 07843  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,12,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Alternative C needs to be the first choice. Please do it!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2641 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Elster, Evelyn  
**Address:** Ferndale, MI 48220  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,12,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please preserve the area as it is. This is one of our favorite places to visit and the environment is losing places like this at an alarming rate.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2642 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Chernow, Justin  
**Address:** Paso Robles, CA 93446  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,12,2015 09:47:29  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Justin Chernow, PhD

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2643 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Ft Lauderdale , FL 33315  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,12,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I did not personally write the following but I made myself familiar with the subject and Plan C and am in complete agreement with the following opinion. . . My husband and I have visited Grand Teton several times but always in the less crowded fall.

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely, Joan Holzer

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2644 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894

**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Los Angeles, CA 90066  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,12,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Alternative C."

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2645      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hanson, Bruce & Michelle  
**Address:** Plymouth, MN 55441  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA; Yell Asso; Gtr Yell Coalition; Wilderness Soc Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,12,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent,

Michelle and I have been made aware of the proposed road improvement between the ski corp and Wilson through our organizations' communications. After living and working in Jackson, WY from 1996-2000, me as Library Media Specialist at JHHS and she in the credit department of Life Link, we gained an intimate perspective of the issues faced by the staff at Grand Teton Nat Pk. We continued our relationship with the area by visiting friends in Jackson each summer. Our vacation plans every year include a visit to Yellowstone and Grand Teton National Parks. We understand the logic behind the various proposals for the Moose-Wilson road changes and must put our support behind Proposal C for we feel this one is in best alignment with the NPS' mission. Having driven that road well over a hundred times we have noticed a dramatic increase in traffic and a corresponding decline in wildlife sightings. When we were locals we took the road as a pleasure drive as do the visitors who come to our home-away-from-home. Far too many locals use the road as a commuting route and drive it at excessive speed. Therefore a minimalist improvement plan as proposed in Plan C will limit traffic and keep the speeds down. This will protect the flora and fauna along the route and help ensure a pleasurable experience for the many visitors, national and international, that visit one of our nation's most beautiful protected, natural areas. Michelle and I are committed to seeing the best possible solutions for GTNP. Keep up the good work. It is difficult, we know, to withstand the pressures that you do in protecting our beloved park. Most sincerely,  
Bruce & Michelle Hanson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2646      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Leithauser, David C  
**Address:** Deland, FL 32724  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,12,2015 11:59:33  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela:

I am writing about the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. I am a supporter of the National Park System, and I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2647      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mericle-Gray, Elissa A  
**Address:** Berwick, ME 03901  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,12,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** please adopt alternative C!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2648      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stratton, Jim

**Address:** Anchorage, AK 99516  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,12,2015 13:30:31  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Jim Stratton  
Anchorage, AK

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2649    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Nicolai, Nicola  
**Address:** Chester Springs, PA 19425  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,12,2015 13:36:52  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Nicola Nicolai

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2650    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gundersen, Bruce R  
**Address:** Poulsbo, WA 98370  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Kitsap Audubon Society Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,12,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Park Superintendent,

Regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor, I support the "Alternative C" plan. I believe this plan will provide a safer and richer park experience for visitors, which will include my wife and I this summer.

Thank you for your consideration.

Bruce Gundersen

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2651    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Couch, Sandra  
**Address:** Naperville, IL 60564  
United States of America



**Outside Organization:** Miss Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,12,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The 7 mile Moose- Wilson corridor needs to remain protected for the grizzly bear, moose, wolf, and all other wildlife. No more hiking trails, no more roads, no more vehicles, no more traffic of any sort, no more human interference than is already there, no commercial development or any additions of any kind

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2652    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Howard, Nancy M  
**Address:** Douglasville, GA 30135  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,12,2015 16:05:20  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Nancy M Howard

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2653    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lupori, Stacy  
**Address:** Dallas, TX 75228  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,12,2015 16:29:50  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely, Stacy lupori

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2654    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Forschner, Jillian  
**Address:** Hudson, MA 01749  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,12,2015 16:34:31  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I

believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Jillian Forschner

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2655    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Marszalek, Theodore  
**Address:** Chicago, IL 60656-1493  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,12,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** he historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Tetons National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas. It needs to be preserved and protected as a national treasure.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2656    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Buscemi, Donna  
**Address:** Street, MD 21154  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,12,2015 18:46:45  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Donna Buscemi

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2657    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Reimers, Rebecca  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,12,2015 18:47:16  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I have been a Jackson resident for over 20 years and have traveled the Moose-Wilson road via bike, foot, car and ski. I love the access it provides and have enjoyed the Rockefeller visitors center. However, I also admire the model of closing park roads to motor vehicles. I have seen this scenario work well in other parks and have always believe GTNP to be an ideal park for this type of transportation model. We have an opportunity to do just that in the Moose-Wilson corridor. The best plan for visitors, wildlife AND cyclist is to close the road to through traffic at the Rockefeller center. This scenario will: 1) provide access to trailheads and the visitors center, 2) protect wildlife in what is a very sensitive habitat and 3) provide for safe cycling on an already established roadway.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2658    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Smreck, Amy

**Address:** Camden, ME 04843  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,12,2015 19:37:14  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2659    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Garvey, Lydia  
**Address:** Clinton, OK 73601  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,12,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**

Alternative C!!! As it:

Better protects grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decreases the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

Limits Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

Extends the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;

Continues to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

Maintains the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;

Reduces the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident; I also urge you to:

Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

Your attention to this most urgent matter would be much appreciated by all present & future generations of all species.

Thank you

Lydia Garvey Public Health Nurse

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2660    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Honish, Robert  
**Address:** Denver, CO 80223  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,12,2015 20:43:11  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Robert Honish

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2661    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Pollock, Janelle  
**Address:** Des Moines, IA 50315  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,13,2015 02:45:52  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Janelle Pollock

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2662    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Alexandria, VA 22301  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,13,2015 10:07:31  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is one of the country's most beautiful parks and is a personal favorite of mine. I hope to make many visits in the future. The park is cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Frances McMillen

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2663    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** DeSoto, TX 75115  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,13,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear NPS Leadership Team,

I would like to voice support for "Alternative C" as the preferred plan.

The plan would:

- 1) Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
- 2) Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

- 3) Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
- 4) Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;
- 5) Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;
- 6) Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;
- 7) Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, I would like to encourage the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

Kind regards,  
KSGeorge

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2664    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Pianalto, Frederick  
**Address:** Tucson, AZ 85719  
 United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,13,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** support "Alternative C"

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2665    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Brummette, Carrie  
**Address:** Park City, UT 84098  
 United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,13,2015 11:00:14  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Carrie Brummette

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2666    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Seattle, WA 98121  
 United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,13,2015 11:02:09  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and I request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Warren Weissman

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2667    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Shalimar, FL 32579  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,13,2015 11:24:43  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Juges

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2668    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Snyder, Sheri D  
**Address:** Saint Louis, MO 63116  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** dreamcatcherco Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,13,2015 11:27:12  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Sheri Snyder

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2669    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Quinn, Patrick  
**Address:** Salt Lake City, UT 84101  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,13,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Keep up the good work!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2670    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tippens, Rebecca A  
**Address:** Colrain, MA 01340  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** center for cultural Evolution Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,13,2015 12:22:50  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2671	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	st pete beach, FL 33706 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,13,2015 12:44:47				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2672	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	grewe, rhonda				
<b>Address:</b>	clinton twp., MI 48036 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,13,2015 12:46:35				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>					

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2673	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Cacciapuoti, Anthony F				
<b>Address:</b>	West Milford, NJ 07480 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,13,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

I drove the road with my family when we visited both Yellowstone and Grand Teton. To me the wildlife of the area is of primary interest and must be protected, so that visitors can have the opportunity to safely view wildlife along the road.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2674	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	Phoenix, AZ 85032 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,13,2015 14:27:51				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2675	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Noon, Gail M				
<b>Address:</b>	San Pedro, CA 90731 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,13,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I want "Alternative C" established as the NEW rule for our National Parks.				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2676	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Kroll, Myles H				
<b>Address:</b>	Bellingham, WA 98225 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,13,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Help Us Protect Grand Teton and to protect it for our next generations to come, so plz save the grand Tetons and it's National park as well.				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2677	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Teason, Christine				
<b>Address:</b>	orleans, MA 02653 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Mrs. Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	Member				
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,13,2015 17:22:26				



**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Christine Teason

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2678    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Martinez, Mariah  
**Address:** Louisville, KY 40229  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,13,2015 17:25:07  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Mariah Martinez

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2679    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Martinez, Janie  
**Address:** Cypress, TX 77429  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,13,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Leave this area to wildlife and do not allow more motor traffic.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2680    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hottenstein, Tara  
**Address:** Gulfport, FL 33707  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,13,2015 18:04:12  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela:

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Tara Hottenstein

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2681	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Humphrey, Brian J				
<b>Address:</b>	Pleasant Hill, IA 50327 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,13,2015 18:52:47				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Brian Humphrey

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2682	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Spray, Eric				
<b>Address:</b>	Mountain Lakes, NJ 07046 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,13,2015 19:56:46				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing to participate in the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Eric Spray

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2683	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Crupi, Kevin M				
<b>Address:</b>	Negaunee, MI 49866 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,13,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela:

As a supporter of the National Park System, I'm writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people like me who live elsewhere in the U.S. I believe we have a responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2684    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Powell, Sara R  
**Address:** New York, NY 10003  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,13,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Making roadways safe for both wildlife and people is the highest priority as well as preserving the the space

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2685    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Denver, CO 80229  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,13,2015 21:03:46  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Alene Schlereth

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2686    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lauderdale, Richard R  
**Address:** Oakes, ND 58474  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,13,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** It seems like you have been making some sensible improvements with the Moose-Wilson Road proposals. Keep up the good work.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2687    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Burns, Robert G  
**Address:** Orem, UT 84057  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,13,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I

believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative D", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative D as your approach to future management. I particularly like to multi use trail/path listed in Alternarive D.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Robert G Burns

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2688	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	petrick, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	Rochester, MI 48306 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,13,2015 23:11:36				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
c petrick

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2689	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Hansen, Luke B				
<b>Address:</b>	Hood River, OR 97031-1947 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Mr. Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	Member				
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,14,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela Yes I support alternate C the preferred plan. I strongly believe that the wildlife and natural resources in the Moose-Wilson Rd corridor,will benifit in the long run for future generations to come.				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2690	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Griffen, Sharon L				
<b>Address:</b>	San Jose, CA 95127 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,14,2015 00:09:04				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Sharon Griffen

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2691    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jones, Kyle  
**Address:** Rochester, NY 14608  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,14,2015 05:15:47  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kyle Jones

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2692    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Matsui, Vicky  
**Address:** Seattle, WA 98122  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,14,2015 07:51:33  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Vicky Matsui

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2693    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kunz, James & Leslea  
**Address:** New Bern, NC 28560  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,14,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Some wild areas we should just leave alone--this is one of them.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2694    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Shipman, VA 22971  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,14,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Roadless means no roads access is limited, no coal mining!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2695    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Aron, Sissy  
**Address:** New Milford, CT 06776  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Sierra Club Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,14,2015 13:34:45  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

We are writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As strong supporters of our wonderful National Park System, We strongly believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. We encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a beautiful gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Sissy and Peter Aron

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2696    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Romesburg, Denise  
**Address:** Phoenix, AZ 85021  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,14,2015 13:35:07  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2697    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** mccarthy, shirley  
**Address:** branford, CT 06405  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Dr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,14,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management at Grand Teton National Park.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Shirley McCarthy, MD, PhD

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2698    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Swearingen, Jean  
**Address:** Estes Park , CO 80517-7534  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,14,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I understand that the traffic problem on the Moose-Wilson Road in GTNP must be addressed. There are just too many of us. We get in each others way, and make life difficult for the other animals. What a shame. It's such a delightful place.

My attachment to that road began 60 years ago, when my husband was a seasonal ranger there. I delighted in taking our visitors down that beautiful, peaceful little gravel road. I babysat for a seasonal ranger family at "the Death Valley cabin," picked huckleberries on the trail, hiked out from Alaska Basin. So lucky to have known that place then.

Of the options being considered, option C seems most likely to be able to help prevent further overkill (sort of overlove), and let the natives enjoy their home.  
We all need your help.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2699    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** not legible, not legible  
**Address:** not provided, UN 00000  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,09,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Park Form  
**Correspondence:** I generally support Plan C. I am opposed to a separate bike path.

I would be interested in hearing about shuttles (with bike racks?).

I wonder about how to control "wildlife safari" type organizations. Those vehicles and professional photographers seem to be responsible for some of the bear/moose/owl/beaver jams.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2700    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Parfitt, Todd  
**Address:** Cheyenne, WY 82002  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality State Government  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,14,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Letter  
**Correspondence:** December 8, 2015

Grand Teton National Park  
ATTN: Moose/Wilson Planning Team  
PG Drawer 170  
Moose, WY 83012-0170

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Moose-Wilson Corridor Draft Comprehensive Management Plan / Environmental Impact Statement.

The proposed management plan includes alternatives with construction activities in or adjacent to sensitive riparian and wetland habitat. The Draft plan includes a comprehensive suite of appropriate mitigation measures would be applied to avoid or minimize potential adverse impacts from construction and use activities.

Construction activities that result in surface disturbance greater than one acre require a Construction General Permit for storm water discharge. The project may also require a WYPDES discharge permit for ground water discharge if construction groundwater control or dewatering is required.  
We look forward to working with you as the project moves forward.

Sincerely,

Todd Parfitt  
Director

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2701    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Galbraith, Mark  
**Address:** West Linn, OR 97068  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mark Galbraith Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,14,2015 14:30:32  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Mark Galbraith

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2702    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Savich, Sophia K  
**Address:** The Sea Ranch, CA 95497  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,14,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** It is vital to protect and save our national parks!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2703    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bland, Jill  
**Address:** Windsor, CO 80550  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,14,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C for the Moose Wilson Corridor Plan and wholehearted agree with Superintendent Vela that Alternative C "provides for the greatest protection of fundamental park resources and values."

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2704    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Meadowlands, MN 55765  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,14,2015 17:54:31  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect the wildlife in Grand Teton and perhaps put up fences on the sides of the roads to keep them safe and to avoid having them killed by traffic.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2705    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Depue, David M  
**Address:** Henderson, NV 89044  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,14,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Alternative c

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2706    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A



**Address:** McAllen, TX 78501  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,14,2015 21:17:13  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Ronald R Wozniak

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2707    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hengesbaugh, Matt D  
**Address:** Branchburg, NJ 08876  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,14,2015 22:30:41  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Matt Hengesbaugh

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2708    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sproles, Suzanne L  
**Address:** Wayne, WV 25570  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,14,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Leave the parks alone leave the beauty alone for  
People and animals stop destroying everything God has made for people to enjoy and  
Animals to live .

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2709    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Recht, Barbara  
**Address:** Ramsey, NJ 07446  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,15,2015 05:54:27  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Recht

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2710	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, Mary				
<b>Address:</b>	Worthington, OH 43085 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,15,2015 06:49:15				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
ML Zack

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2711	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Lyshak, William P				
<b>Address:</b>	Southfield, MI 48033 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,15,2015 08:35:39				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Bill,

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2712	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Ewing, Peter D				

**Address:** Lake View, NY 14085  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,15,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

I look forward to visiting the park within the next few years. Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor. Either hiking or driving, it is true that the slower you go, the more you see.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Peter Ewing

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2713    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Brotze, Wayne A  
**Address:** Carlinville, IL 62626  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,15,2015 11:00:28  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2714    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ham, Donna S  
**Address:** North Wilkesboro, NC 28659  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,15,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** All animals deserve to live and their parks kept safe.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2715    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Piker, Meagan  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83002  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,15,2015 13:12:57  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support alternative D for the management of the Moose Wilson Road. This is the option that best supports the goals of visitor enjoyment and protecting the park resources. Providing a pathway where park visitors can walk and cycle safely while enjoying the park at a human pace, will enhance visitor appreciation and promote healthy, non-polluting means of travel through the park. When people are out of their cars, it is safer for wildlife with less chance of collisions and people get a completely different and better perspective on the park's natural beauty and resources.

This option also offers the opportunity to provide public transportation - perhaps electric buses - to better manage traffic. It encourages a safe and healthy way to experience the park, mitigate traffic concerns and enhance the overall user experience. People should be able to experience the park along the road in a safe manner on foot or on a bike. As the park sees ever greater visitation numbers and air and noise pollution become bigger problems, we need to do everything we can to encourage people to enjoy the park in healthy human powered ways that are safe and responsible for the visitors, the wildlife and the natural surroundings.

Please reconsider the benefits of a multiuser pathway alongside the road, coupled with opportunities to have visitors experience the highlights along the Moose Wilson corridor through public transportation. The park needs to be forward looking in protecting our natural resources. By allowing people to experience the park safely, in a non-polluting fashion, through a pathway that connects visitors to the greater area, the park will be enhancing visitor experience an acting as a true steward of our precious and spectaclar resource.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2716    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cunningham, Seamus V  
**Address:** Chicago, IL 60643  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** National Parks Conservation Association Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,15,2015 14:16:09  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please emphasize the protection of wildlife and the preservation of natural resources as the top priorities as you consider any plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States. It is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for our posterity.

Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Siochain  
("Peace" in Irish),

Seamus R. V. Cunningham  
Chicago, Illinois

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2717    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** devos, kathy  
**Address:** whitestone, NY 11357  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,15,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Let's protect this beautiful National Park for all the generations that will want to visit this beautiful treasure.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2718    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lane, Frank W  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,15,2015 14:37:57  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Thank you for your time and efforts with this proposal. I agree that Alternate C, with the below recommendations, is the best way to improve the Moose Wilson road. I would like you to re-consider your vehicles only plan, and also support transit and safe walking and biking options.

Please keep the approved separate pathway to the LSR Preserve. A pathway on the southern section of the Moose Wilson Road, previously approved in 2007, would provide a safe, enjoyable, and green alternative to visitors and locals alike.

Allowing for a pathway segment from Granite to the LSR Preserve, that utilizes the already-constructed levy access road, is one cost conscious solution for encouraging environmentally friendly, safe, non-motorized use, without impairment to Park resources. This option offers walkers, those with mobility challenges (like my father and sister!), and bikers a low-impact travel corridor without risking death or injury from two-way traffic for at least 50% o the way through the corridor.

Statistics show that visitors die in National Parks from vehicles more than any other cause. Safety is vital in a park that has already seen two tragic deaths

and other biker injuries from vehicle-cyclist collisions.

As a member of the START Bus Advisory Board I have to implore you to add green transit options - small shuttle vans with bike racks can facilitate short trips without the impacts of idling and speeding cars, and will help reduce traffic congestion throughout the Park and Jackson.

Alternate C is the best plan - and with these added elements - would present the best option for all to enjoy the special place that is the Moose Wilson Road.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Frank Lane  
Jackson, WY

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2719    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Brain, Roslynn G  
**Address:** Moab, UT 84532  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,15,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Ros Brain

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2720    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dozier, Stephanie L  
**Address:** Alpharetta, GA 30005  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,15,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** we visited the park in July 2015, everything that can be done to protect the habitat should be done!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2721    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sorrells, James L  
**Address:** Groveland, FL 34736  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,15,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Considering the global environmental crisis our nation faces, these protected lands provide an example for what should be our goal of ultimate protection. "The national park idea has been nurtured by each succeeding generation of Americans. Today, across our land, the National Park System represents America at its best. Each park contributes to a deeper understanding of the history of the United States and our way of life; of the natural processes which have given form to our land, and to the enrichment of the environment in which we live."  
--George B. Hartzog, Jr., NPS Director, 1964-1972

Please don't ever deviate from these words of wisdom and stay the course to protect the solitude of these wild places!

Thank you for your time!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2722    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Estel, Karen  
**Address:** Land O Lakes, FL 34639  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,15,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** .

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2723    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Collins, Teresa  
**Address:** Louisville, CO 80027  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,15,2015 20:20:02  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Teresa Collins

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2724    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hayes, Deborah  
**Address:** Missoula, MT 59803  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,16,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing as a neighbor and friend of Yellowstone. My family and I come to the park several times a year and often bring visitors with us. As someone who has a vested interest in the park I felt compelled to write concerning the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Dr. Deborah Hayes

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2725    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kerasote, Theodore J  
**Address:** Kelly, WY 83011  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,16,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I really like Alternative C, the NPS Preferred Alternative! I don't have any outstanding critiques of it, and I think the NPS did an admirable job of putting this together. As an aside, I bike on the existing Moose-Wilson Road very often, and I DO NOT want an additional bike path made. The road as it currently is is scenic and the traffic is slow enough to permit enjoyable biking.

Ted Kerasote

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2726    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Paden, Donald

**Address:** Loveland, CO 80537-6051  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,16,2015 10:23:31  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Donald Paden

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2727    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Brooklyn, NY 11231  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,16,2015 12:49:40  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Carey

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2728    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Batavia, I  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,16,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I fully support the NPS plans for the Moose-Wilson Road, and would encourage it to stand firm on the decision to NOT build a separate bike path, despite pressure from local government and special interest groups to do so. The intent of the Organic Act of 1916, and in fact the establishment of the very first national park, was to preserve natural spaces - first and foremost - for the enjoyment of future generations. In other words, the act was written to protect us from ourselves. As more and more opportunities to play and recreate become available, it behooves the NPS to protect natural spaces and the wildlife that lives there from these ever expanding opportunities. The Moose-Wilson Road provides a rare opportunity for people to experience nature, biodiversity, and what a healthy environment actually looks without human intervention in a world of expanding pavement and development. It is up to the NPS to speak for the wildlife that live within the corridor. Somehow I can't see any of those individuals supporting a bike path right down the middle of their neighborhood, anymore than I would support a new highway going right down the middle of my backyard!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2729    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Liesche, Kenneth A  
**Address:** Kansas City, KS 66106  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,16,2015 14:41:05  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Ken Liesche

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2730    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Harty, Florence  
**Address:** White Salmon, WA 98672  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,16,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor for the Grand Tetons.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2731    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Murphy, MaryAnn  
**Address:** Shelton, WA 98584  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Wolf Haven International Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,16,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

As a supporter of the National Park Service I like "Alternative C" which best plan for the protection of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose this plan for future management.

Limiting car traffic and controlling speed during the busiest months reduces damage to the environment as well as protecting animals from being hit by motor vehicles.

Please complete the analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be used in the corridor.

Thank you for considering this option. The Grand Teton Park is one of my favorite treasures in the National Park system.

Sincerely,

MaryAnn Murphy

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2732    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hays, Helen Logan  
**Address:** Oregon City, OR 97045  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** (retired) Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,16,2015 17:18:14  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.



The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Helen Logan Hays

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2733    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hammond, Robert L  
**Address:** Lewisburg, WV 24901-5556  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,16,2015 19:26:43  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Bob

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2734    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** I, v  
**Address:** oviedo, FL 32765  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,16,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor have been released and we need your voice! Please join NPCA in supporting "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

-Vince

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2735    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Moon, Lauri  
**Address:** Williamsport, PA 17701  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,16,2015 20:30:59

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Lauri Moon

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2736	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Hoffman, Nancy				
<b>Address:</b>	Sparks, NV 89434 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,16,2015 20:45:46				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, and visitor to the Grand Tetons, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2737	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Garcia, Susan				
<b>Address:</b>	Phoenix, AZ 85053 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,16,2015 21:35:55				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:** Regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park: As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2738	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Atnip, Dana				

**Address:** Ferndale, MI 48220  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,17,2015 07:39:34  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
Dana Atnip

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2739    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jacks, Rayn  
**Address:** Portland, OR 97293  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,17,2015 09:24:47  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Rayn Jacks

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2740    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wise, Lindsey  
**Address:** Tigard, OR 97223  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,17,2015 09:36:54  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Thank you,  
Lindsey Wise

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2741    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** F, FL 33332  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,17,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** -

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2742    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Roberts, Michele L  
**Address:** Springfield, VA 22015-3928  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,17,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please consider comments of the voting public over corporate interests. America needs pristine land in its heritage and history. There is not much left. If our government is meant for the people and you are the public servants, who do you think this means?

Stop disappointing people who already think federal government is useless. Prove them wrong and step up to the plate. Please do the right thing here.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2743    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Martini, Denise A  
**Address:** Las Vegas, NV 89183  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,17,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please support Alternative C for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor in Grand Teton National Park.

This plan would better protect wildlife and limit wildlife/people conflicts, limit the number of vehicles during busy summer months, reduce the road "footprint," and continue to allow winter recreational access to the road.

As a visitor to Grand Teton and a supporter of its wildlife, I encourage you to adopt the least invasive management plan for this area.

Your response is welcome.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2744    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Crane, Marcella  
**Address:** Phoenix, AZ 85053  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,17,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** To: Interior Department of the United States, National Park Service, and Grand Teton Superintendent David Vela:

As an avid supporter of the national parks, wilderness and our beautiful wild lands and wildlife, I hope you would consider supporting Alternative C for the Grand Teton National Park, Moose-Wilson Road Corridor, as the preferred option.

Alternative C will help to address congestion within the park as has been highlighted by park staff and outside experts. They have been trying to come up with a workable solution for the last decade to study wildlife use, historic sites, automobile, bicycle, and pedestrian use, and other factors to develop a new management plan for the corridor. Their goal is to protect these world-class resources while allowing visitors to continue to use and enjoy the roadway.

The Alternative C Plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, I am hopeful that the National Park Service will study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system. It works wonderfully well at the Grand Canyon National Park.

Sincerely,  
MCrane, Phoenix, AZ

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2745	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Holmdahl, K				
<b>Address:</b>	Durango, CO 81301-3999 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Ms. Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	Member				
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,17,2015 14:16:18				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

K Holmdahl

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2746	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Weiss, Stuart A				
<b>Address:</b>	Denver, CO 80220 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,17,2015 14:37:06				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

It is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2747	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Ford, George W				
<b>Address:</b>	Moose, WY 83012 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,17,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:** The Moose Wilson Road is remarkable for its scenery and its wildlife. These are exactly the qualities that, in my view, are the duty of the Park to preserve. The proposed NPS plan C seems to me to be the best to do so.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2748    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Clark, Ruth E  
**Address:** Lebanon, PA 17042  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,17,2015 15:20:22  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Ruth E. Clark

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2749    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Low, Sammy  
**Address:** Stanwood, WA 98292  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,17,2015 18:55:31  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Sammy Low

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2750    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Flagler Beach, FL 32136  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,17,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Being lucky enough to come to and spend time in the beautiful Grand Teton National Park, I have reviewed your three alternatives and feel that the balanced approach of Alternative Plan C would benefit all concerned Wildlife and people. This Plan would provide more protections for all concerned and allow for future reviews to rectify any problems that need to be adjusted.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2751    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Yates, Ann  
**Address:** Anchorage, AK 99508  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:****Received:** Dec,17,2015 00:00:00**Correspondence Type:** Web Form**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor because I believe it is important to protect and nourish the flora and fauna that bring many nature lovers to this beautiful park. If we don't take care of the wild things, we lose not only them, but the beauty of this park and the economy that comes with it.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2752 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894**Name:** Estel, Karen**Address:** Land O Lakes, FL 34639

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual**Affiliation:****Received:** Dec,17,2015 21:57:35**Correspondence Type:** Web Form**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Karen Estel

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2753 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894**Name:** Kohler, William Lee**Address:** Eugene, OR 97401

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual**Affiliation:****Received:** Dec,18,2015 00:00:00**Correspondence Type:** Web Form**Correspondence:** Our National Parks all need to be protected.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2754 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894**Name:** Bergen, Jaye D**Address:** Palo alto, CA 94303

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual**Affiliation:****Received:** Dec,18,2015 00:00:00**Correspondence Type:** Web Form**Correspondence:** Lease protect the Sage Grouse

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2755 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894**Name:** Lyon, Kelly**Address:** Boca Raton, FL 33431

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual**Affiliation:****Received:** Dec,18,2015 08:39:53**Correspondence Type:** Web Form**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kelly Lyon

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2756    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Conley , Chris  
**Address:** Raleigh , NC 27604  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,18,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Parks like the grand Tetons are sacred spaces. They should not be soiled with anything that could take away from the beauty of those space. I love our national parks! I have a special place in my heart for the grand Tetons and I don't want to see anything disturb or mar of their beauty

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2757    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Columbia, SC 29205  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,18,2015 11:52:18  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Saskia Santos

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2758    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sheahan, Richard C  
**Address:** Teton Village, WY 83025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Granite Canyon Ranch Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,18,2015 12:04:30  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** My family members and I are inholders in the southern part of GTNP. Our property, Granite Canyon Ranch, is bisected by the Moose-Wilson Road on its eastern edge to the north and south approximately 1500 feet where the road crosses the irrigation ditch just south of Granite Canyon parking lot.

A few general comments on the EIS:

1. It would be a huge mistake to make the MW road a one-way route. The additional travel time and pollution created by making residents, commuters and tourists drive through town to get to the park would be disastrous for the environment and will add to Jackson's already insufficient road infrastructure.
2. A 200-car limit on the road is also ill-advised. What happens when visitors stop to view wildlife, go for a hike, take their time enjoying the scenery? Do visitors stuck at the gate just wait for hours? Not mentioning the pollution created by cars idling and running their air conditioners waiting for the road to clear.
3. The idea of limiting traffic is a nasty inconvenience to inholder families such as ourselves who commute to work in Jackson or go to town for groceries. Do we have to wait in line for the 200-car limit to abate?
4. Its my opinion that the lack of a parallel bike path is a grievous mistake for the long-term health of Jackson Hole and only adds to the car-friendly bias of the National Park Service. Without a separate bike path, there will be more collisions between cars and bikes on park roads and enforcing a 20mph speed limit on paved surfaces is a pipe dream, not to mention, impossible. I understand that trees will have to be cut down to accommodate a bike path, which is unfortunate, but cars hurtling down a paved road promise a much greater threat to wildlife and the cyclists who will continue to use this route to make their way to the park from the south or complete the bike path loop that is now mostly complete.

Finally, the current park service policy towards horse and boat trailers on the MW needs to be revisited. It is my understanding that horse trailers can be taken down the MW road from the south to the Poker Flats parking lot and from the north to Death Canyon trailhead. My family owns small drift boats and rafts and frequently drive them down the MW road both to the north and south from Granite Canyon Ranch. We respectfully respect a clear revision of the



policy or a letter from superintendent Vela allowing inholders such as ourselves to use the MW road to transport our boats both north and south without park ranger harassment as we have done since 1960.

Thanks for your consideration to these comments, Casey Sheahan

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2759    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Arko Hargrove, Barbara A  
**Address:** Hammond, IN 46324  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,18,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please always remember the National Parks are for the all of us today, and tomorrow. There should be no greed involved.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2760    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Baker, Daniel R  
**Address:** Teton Village, WY 83025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,18,2015 12:46:21  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** It appears to me that transportation is not the issue on the table. The primary issue is limiting the number of people that can access the Moose-Wilson corridor portion of GTNP to a maximum number at any given time and what method is best to provide for this control. The use of the corridor as part of a larger transportation network between Teton Village and Moose is clearly a secondary consideration. It is the park's prerogative to set these priorities.  
My concerns are for the impact the Park's methods have on the public outside of the GTNP boundary and particularly at the southern entrance. GTNP should not be allowed to cause traffic backups that impede public access to Highway 390 from Ranch Road as has evolved over the past three years. The plan to add a second lane to queue waiting vehicles and a third lane as a bypass for local residents to access inholdings is weak and I have little faith that in practice it will function efficiently. The outdated 2013 traffic study underestimates the traffic that will be queued up at peak times in my opinion and the current plan has insufficient capacity to accommodate the queued vehicles within the GTNP boundaries. The management and flow of traffic into these three neat lanes of traffic will be a challenge and will require additional GTNP personnel at the entrance kiosk to manage. Has this been identified and budgeted for?  
The movement of horse trailers into GTNP and to the designated parking area within the Park must also be considered. Over the last two years we have experienced an increasing number of horse trailers being parked on Ranch Road and riders then entering the Park, bypassing the entrance altogether. This results in congestion, unsanitary accumulations of manure and a safety hazard on Ranch Road, not to mention illegal entrance to the GTNP by the riders in question. I am a Teton County resident and access my property via Ranch Road. I ask that GTNP be a good neighbor and resolve the entrance issues in a manner that will provide us access to Ranch Road and our homes in a safe and timely way.

Respectfully submitted,  
Dan Baker  
Lake Creek Ranch

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2761    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hawtin, Bruce  
**Address:** Jackson Wy 83001, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Hawtin Jorgensen Architects Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,18,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support alternative C which is the park's preference. I do wish there was a way to include a bike/walking pathway adjacent to the roadway but I accept the fact that the impact primarily to the vegetation is unacceptable. I appreciate the thorough opportunities the public has been given to have input into the final solution.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2762    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** ramsay, william j  
**Address:** jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,18,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** My wife and I support a bike/hike/etc paved pathway along mw road in park that would min impact by having only 1 for both directions and 6-8 ft wide . This should go from existing end of pathway to existing pathway in park at Moose. thanks for allowing input bill and Glenda ramsay

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2763    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** st louis, MO 63124  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:****Received:** Dec,18,2015 00:00:00**Correspondence Type:** Web Form**Correspondence:** Keep the road exactly the way it is. The dirt road portion does a great job of deterring those who want to speed thru the parks 60 mph. The moose wilson road offers a unique experience for all tourists. No pathway bike system is needed!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2764 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894**Name:** Bamford, Robert W  
**Address:** Seattle, WA 98112-2611  
United States of America**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual**Affiliation:****Received:** Dec,18,2015 22:06:37**Correspondence Type:** Web Form**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Robert Bamford

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2765 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894**Name:** Ferguson, Richard C  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual**Affiliation:****Received:** Dec,19,2015 09:36:23**Correspondence Type:** Web Form**Correspondence:** I approve of the Park Service plan C and appreciate your attempt to preserve our parks from special interest groups.

I suggest you hire three rangers with portable radar who gave citations for a summer. The word would get out and the citations would pay for the Rangers. Post signs that citations must be paid in person and the inconvenience would be more effective than the money.

Please don't pave a separate bike path. Don't cave to special interests in biking or the village. Setting limits on cars would help to not make this a cut through to the airport. Enforce this lower speed limit vigorously.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2766 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894**Name:** N/A, N/A R  
**Address:** Carlsbad, CA 92009  
United States of America**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual**Affiliation:****Received:** Dec,19,2015 10:48:33**Correspondence Type:** Web Form**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Rose Johnson

**Correspondence ID:** 2767    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** purkis, leonard  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83002  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,19,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support the National Parks preferred alternative

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2768    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Rousseau, Claudia J  
**Address:** Silver Spring, MD 20904  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Montgomery College Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,19,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support option C for the Grand Teton park. The least invasive choice is the best choice for the parks.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2769    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Smith, Dea  
**Address:** Loveland, CO 80538  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,19,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is one of the most wonderful places on earth. If we lose it, we deprive our kids and fail in our responsibility to protect these precious resources. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2770    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McIntosh, James  
**Address:** Ann Arbor, MI 48105  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,20,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I have read about your analysis and the alternatives developed for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. I am writing today in support of Alternative C. From what I have this alternative would:

1. Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;
2. Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;
3. Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;
4. Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing; Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;
5. Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident; and
6. Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

In addition to the preferred plan, I am encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

It is my hope that one day to see the park that you safeguard having already been struck by its wondrous beauty, as immortalized by the great Ansel Adams.

Sincerely,

James A. McIntosh

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2771    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Schoenfield, Rick  
**Address:** Westchester , IL 60154  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,20,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I agree with the NPS and urge you to adopt alternative C for the Moose-Wilson Road. I am planning to visit Grand Teton and Yellowstone in the Spring.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2772    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Moose, WY 83012  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** National Park Service Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,20,2015 09:23:45  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** There are employees that work at Granite Entrance station located on the south end of Moose-Wilson Rd. who live in Moose. We will not appreciate waiting in line to get to and from work and don't want to be forced to take HWY 89 to and from work. The idea of limiting vehicles is going to anger lots of visitors and the employees. The comments the visitors will have to say to their friends and family when arriving back home from their vacation will be of disappointment. That plan is not one of the best plans to come up with as I feel it will take away visitor satisfaction of their trip down that road. As for us employees working at Granite entrance station, we just want to get to work on time and of course home on time. The wildlife brigade did an excellent job in keeping traffic moving when needed.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2773    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Malatesta, Joseph P  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,20,2015 10:51:40  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I think that the National Park Service should close the Moose-Wilson road to all motorized vehicles from the Laurance S. Rockefeller Preserve south to the Granite Canyon Parking Lot. Use the Granite Canyon parking lot as a turn around point and parking area for people entering the park from the Teton Village road. Let the gravel section of the road-from Granite Canyon parking lot to the Rockefeller Preserve revert back to a natural setting. Walking and hiking would be OK, but keep BIKES and all MOTORIZED VEHICLES OFF of this gravel section of road.

Thanks,

Joe Malatesta

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2774    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** lazor, john d  
**Address:** Clinton, MI 49236  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,20,2015 13:55:44  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
J. D. Lazor

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2775    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Downer, John F  
**Address:** Alexandria, VA 22309  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,20,2015 15:09:05  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2776    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Zirasri, Ran  
**Address:** Bismarck, ND 58502  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,20,2015 16:31:56  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Ran Zirasri

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2777    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Miller, Travis  
**Address:** Seattle, WA 98122  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,20,2015 17:00:33  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Travis J Miller

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2778      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** French, Bill  
**Address:** Teton Village, WY 83025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,20,2015 17:20:39  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** As a property owner in Teton Village for 14 years our family commonly uses Moose Wilson Road (MWR) when headed to Yellowstone, Jenny Lake, or Moose when frequenting Dorman's. We also use MWR when headed out to hike, bike or view nature.

We strongly support implementation of Alternative "A" (No-Action) for a host of reasons.

A. With many turns and portions of MWR unpaved we are commonly traveling at less than 20mph. This is part of the beauty of portions being unpaved. Speed is reduced and many drivers steer clear intimidated by a gravel road.

B. If access was limited and we were to go to Dorman's for lunch or dinner, instead of driving 8.9 miles, we would drive over 25 miles on-way through Jackson to Dorman's. A total trip of 50 miles instead of less than 19. How would this impact the environment not to mention traffic flow through Jackson?

C. How will this impact businesses in Moose like Dorman's or revenue generated at the Granite Canyon entrance into Grand Teton National Park?

D. If you pave MWR, do you have the reserves to repair and replace in the future?

We are commonly advocates for change and for development however believe the current arrangement works extremely well.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2779      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hepburn, Chet  
**Address:** Arlington, VA 22205  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,20,2015 20:20:25  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Chet Hepburn

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2780      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** san francisco, CA 94105  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,20,2015 20:29:57

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

D S

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2781	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Vyatchanina, Evgeniya				
<b>Address:</b>	Gainesville, FL 32607 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,20,2015 23:30:16				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,

Evgeniya

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2782	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	nicholas, anthea				
<b>Address:</b>	Fairmont, WV 26554 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,21,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	It is very important. Thanks for reading this letter.				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2783	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	san diego, CA 92131 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					

**Received:** Dec,21,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** GRTE Moose-Wilson Draft EIS

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2784    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Sterling, IL 61081  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,21,2015 00:18:56  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

We are writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As supporters of the National Park System, we believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. We encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2785    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Beschler, Ellen  
**Address:** New York, NY 10022  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,21,2015 01:30:38  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Ellen Beschler

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2786    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Haynes, Michelle  
**Address:** Gallatin, TN 37066  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,21,2015 10:30:50  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.



The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
michelle haynes

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2787    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, Tom  
**Address:** Green Bay, WI 54301  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,21,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Paving the unpaved section of this road would help with the flow of traffic. People are scared to drive on this section due to all the potholes, so they inch along at 5 mph. Pave it, widen it, keep it open year round.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2788    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Lakewood, CO 80226  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,21,2015 12:21:22  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The DEIS clearly reflects that this is a project that has been - and will be - the subject of a lot of attention and investment, but it appears that the approach to evaluating the impacts of the roadway alternatives is very different from the multi-use pathway proposal.

Any infrastructure for visitors will have negative resource impacts and (hopefully) contribute to visitor enjoyment and other benefits. The DEIS appears to include both quantified and speculative negative impacts for the multiuse Pathway to a degree not considered for the road related development, and there is no serious attempt to identify/evaluate the positive impacts of the Pathway (reduced vehicle use, economic benefits, visitor experience, etc...) or come up with an objective assessment of costs vs. benefits. Given the high use levels of recently developed multiuse pathways in the park and region, a more serious evaluation of the potential benefits associated with use of the Moose Wilson pathway is warranted. The current analysis appears to be set up to lead to a determination that relatively low level environmental impacts of the Pathway are unacceptable regardless of benefits.

While the DEIS identifies disruption of existing car traffic and impacts to the "historic" driving experience as negative impacts to be avoided, disruption of potential bike/ped use due to not enhancing this segment of the regional Pathway network is not seriously evaluated. It also appears that the most significant impacts of the Pathway are associated with a relatively small segment of the Pathway that is significantly separated from the roadway. Other than a passing reference to sharrows, there is no consideration of alternatives to better support bike/ped activity through improvements (part separated pathway/part on road?) entirely within the proposed road corridor while minimizing resource impacts. It is also not clear why the potential for providing transit (and/or significantly limiting car use?) is deferred and not evaluated as part of this plan. Reduction of traffic would have benefits, and arguably should be a goal of this and other projects, to the degree it helps to reduce local environmental impacts and overall carbon footprint? (Carbon Footprint impact is "eliminated from detailed analysis" of a transportation corridor?)

For the Moose Wilson corridor, the impacts of the pathway may not be justified by the projected benefits, and that there are not reasonable alternatives to mitigate those impacts, but not evaluating the Pathway proposal in the same way as the roadway alternatives seems like a poor precedent to establish if NPS is serious about supporting active transportation.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2789    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wiley, Kimberly A  
**Address:** Rochester, NY 14612  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,21,2015 14:48:48  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on the environment and the wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please make protecting the wildlife and the natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

This preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place and for your consideration.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2790    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dill, Dallas  
**Address:** Cleburne, TX 76033  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,21,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative A", is suitable for protecting the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative A as your approach to future management.

Alternative A is much less expensive than any of the other plans and would be suitable for good stewardship of this corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Dr Dallas Dill

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2791    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Evans, Bronwen d  
**Address:** VANCOUVER, WA 98101  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,21,2015 19:44:09  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

B. Evans

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2792    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Baxter, Lou  
**Address:** Melbourne, UN 3068  
Australia  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,22,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

Both as a biologist and as a family member concerned about future biodiversity, I am writing about the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park.

I strongly support America's National Park System. Consequently I also strongly support "Alternative C", which is the Park Service's preferred plan as well, as I consider that this alternative best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Hence I request that Alternative C becomes your future approach to management.

Increased vehicle traffic has not only diminished the visitor experiences obtainable but is also having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. I consider that it is both your duty and your responsibility to firstly protect American wildlife and natural resources, and Alternative C best does this whilst still remaining a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

However, although my preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is Alternative C, yet more could still be done. Therefore I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States (as well as tourists from elsewhere). It is the responsibility of the current generation to protect these precious resources for not just ourselves but for future generations.

Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
[your name here]

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2793    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Harris, Donna L  
**Address:** Douglasville, GA 30134  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,22,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We must protect The Earth from those who would otherwise destroy it.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2794    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Pettus, Marjorie E  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,22,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I fully support the GTNP preferred alternative. It is imperative that the park preserve the Moose Wilson corridor - - the wildlife habitats, cultural assets and rustic qualities that make the area so unique and special. I believe in the mission of the National Park Service and would hate to see compromise of any kind when it comes to the Moose Wilson road.

NO non-motorized pathway; NO transit.

Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2795    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kelly, Diane O  
**Address:** THOMPSONS STATION, TN 37179  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,22,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C for Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2796    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Armonk, NY 10504  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,22,2015 19:16:51  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Linda Catlin

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2797    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Dishman, Patricia  
**Address:** Nashville, TN 37221  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,22,2015 22:10:39  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Patricia B. Dishman

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2798    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** page, michele  
**Address:** denver, CO 80209  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,23,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** please keep the park safe for the animals it is a national treasure

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2799    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** MacAlpine, Barbara  
**Address:** Estes Park, CO 80517  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,23,2015 09:07:27  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System and someone who marveled at the beauty of the Tetons many years ago, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Barbara MacAlpine

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2800    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Frumkin, Judith S  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,23,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I firmly believe that the proposed plan for the Moose-Wilson Road is in the best interest of the Grand Teton National Park, the wildlife that is found within the park, and, ultimately, the people who have access to the park. My primary interest is the preservation of the wildlife corridors that encompass the park. Certainly this past summer, having witnessed the abundance and diversity of the animals there, and the numbers of people who were

enthralled and amazed by their sightings, gives more credible indication that we must preserve the nature of that corridor. The park service did an outstanding job in trying to manage the numbers of people/visitors who were witnesses to foraging bears, munching moose, and other wildlife. Clearly, to me, the ability for the wildlife to survive without undue stress is one of the tenets of the park that we must preserve. Increase your fees, if you must, to help support the personnel needed to protect the wildlife and the environment in the Tetons. (As a senior, who has free access, I would be willing to pay a small fee if that would benefit the cause!)

Yes, it would be more convenient to have a walking/biking path and a more vehicle friendly road. But that should be secondary to the GTNP's vision, mission, and purpose. Do not back down to self-interest groups that do not want to be accountable to the national park service.  
Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2801    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Yarrow, Shannon K  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,23,2015 15:36:44  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I would like to comment on the proposed solution for the Moose-Wilson road into GTNP. The solution does not seem to be environmentally friendly nor visitor friendly, nor give the necessary separate pathway for the bicyclists and walkers who would like to use this road in the future. First, it requires much more gas to drive around to the town to access GTNP if the cars are limited on this entrance. That will only create more congestion in the town of Jackson and on Teton Village road for park visitors. Second, this is not visitor friendly because it would limit the access to the Lawrence Rockefeller center even more so than it currently is. This is a special place that our visitors should be able to experience without additional barriers. Bicyclists and walkers are not protected from the vehicle traffic under the proposal. We really need a separate pathway for people who would like to enjoy the park without having to be in a car.

The pathway would add to the experience of park visitors and allow for an environmentally friendly way to visit.

Please enhance this plan for the moose wilson road before you enact the limitations.

Thanks, Shannon Yarrow, concerned resident and national park user

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2802    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Pick, Austin  
**Address:** Lafayette, CO 80026  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,23,2015 16:21:25  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:**  
ear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

My wife and I hiked the Teton Crest Trail this summer, and were happy to see wildlife thriving in the Park. The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Austin Pick

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2803    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Delaine, Sheri  
**Address:** Eau Claire, WI 54701  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,23,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management. It is one of the more intelligent plans that any government service has ever proposed.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States as well as world travelers, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations not to mention for the non-human animals who live there.

Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Sheri Delaine

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2804    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jones, Robin E  
**Address:** Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,24,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Mr. Axelrad speaks eloquently on this matter. I am in agreement with him. Please listen to his words carefully, this is a wonderful plan.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2805    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Guenther, Scott  
**Address:** Moose, WY 83012  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,24,2015 12:02:59  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** In the preferred alternative, parking pressure at the LSR Preserve may increase when a mile of trail is added to the current Death Canyon Trailhead. The hike to jump rock will become shorter via the LSR Preserve (approx. 2.6 miles) vs. from the preferred alternative trailhead (approx. 3.04 miles) and without the Phelps Lake switchbacks climb out. The hike to Death Canyon from the LSR will be only a few tenths longer (approx. .3 miles) than from the preferred alternative trailhead and would not include the strenuous switchback climb out. This could mean many more early morning vehicles parked at the LSR Preserve lot that remain in that parking lot for the majority of the day.

The preferred alternative calls for using the current road alignment for the new Death Canyon Trail. The park may consider realigning the trail to a more direct route to the current trailhead. This may alleviate the short-cutting that is likely to occur otherwise.

Did the park consider moving the White Grass Ranger Station to the new trailhead? Leaving this historic structure in its current location and moving the trailhead will lead to the cabin's demise as it would no longer serve any functional purpose. It would be too close to the trailhead to be used as a backcountry cabin and it would be too far from the trailhead to be used as a seasonal residence. Moving the cabin to the new trailhead would maintain its current context as a trailhead ranger station and ensure its continued maintenance. It would also help to maintain a ranger presence at this very busy trailhead.

The alternative D Death Canyon conceptual plan is a viable option and has many attractive elements. Road maintenance issues through most of the worst section of the Death Canyon access road are alleviated by moving traffic to the more easily maintained White Grass Road. Hiking distances to Phelps Lake and Death Canyon remain the same as they are currently, likely alleviating additional parking pressure on the LSR Preserve. The historic nature of this trailhead is preserved. The White Grass Ranger Station continues to be a functional historic ranger station and the park service maintains a ranger presence at this very busy trailhead.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2806    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Levin, Heather M  
**Address:** Roseville, CA 95678  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,24,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please protect our wild land and animals. In this quickly changing world, there will soon not be much left without vigilance.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2807    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bishop, Shirley  
**Address:** Leesburg, FL 34748  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,25,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

This historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Thank you for taking the time to speak up for Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
Shirley Bishop

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2808    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kelley, Ruth  
**Address:** Murphysboro, IL 62966  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,26,2015 13:15:02  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2809    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Beach, Craig R  
**Address:** Reisterstown, MD 21135-5111  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,26,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The recommendations sound well thought out and go for all concerns!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2810    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Leske, Jeanne E  
**Address:** Casper, WY 82609  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,26,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support "Plan C" to protect the wildlife in Grand Teton National Park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2811    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lawrence, Rhett  
**Address:** Portland, UN 97217-2024  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,27,2015 08:29:43  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Rhett Lawrence

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2812	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	Clyde, NY 14433 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec.27,2015 09:12:41				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Samantha Wright

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2813	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Osada, Susan				
<b>Address:</b>	Buffalo Grove, IL 60089-2179 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec.27,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

In Summary, The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;



Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;

Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;

Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;

Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2814    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Gainesville, FL 32653-1950  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,27,2015 14:22:08  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela;

Please be advised that I support "Alternative C," as the preferred plan.

The plan would:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;

Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;

Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;

Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;

Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;

Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

Best regards,

G. Dennis

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2815    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Marbach, Terry  
**Address:** Columbus, IN 47201  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,27,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please choose option # 3.

Have visited these parks many times. To see the wildlife.!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2816    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** jennings, joanna  
**Address:** Durango, CO 81301  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,28,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** DO WHAT WVWE IT TAKES TO PROTECT MY TETON NATL. PARK

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2817    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Hamilton, Wesley F  
**Address:** Harmony, PA 16037  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,28,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support alternative C. By educating the visitors, closing roads when safety of the visitors is an issue is the most balanced approach. It also provides for limiting traffic and reducing the speed limit, which benefits the wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2818    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Cheyenne, WY 82009  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Wyoming Game and Fish Department Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,28,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** December 28, 2015

WER 13319.00b  
National Park Service  
Moose-Wilson Corridor  
Comprehensive Management Plan  
Draft Environmental Impact Statement  
Grand Teton National Park, Wyoming

Grand Teton National Park  
ATTN: Moose-Wilson Planning Team  
P.O. Drawer 170  
Moose, WY 83012-0170

Dear Sir/Madam:

The staff of the Wyoming Game and Fish Department has reviewed the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Moose-Wilson Corridor Comprehensive Management Plan in Grand Teton National Park, Wyoming. We offer the following comments for your consideration.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement has addressed our terrestrial and aquatic concerns outlined in the Department's scoping comments. We have no additional aquatic concerns.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. If you have any questions or concerns, please contact Mary Flanderka, Habitat Protection Coordinator, 307-777-4587.

Sincerely,

John Kennedy  
Deputy Director

JK/mf/ns

cc: USFWS  
Chris Wichmann, Wyoming Department of Agriculture, Cheyenne  
Rob Gipson, WGFD, Jackson Region  
Doug Brimeyer, WGFD, Jackson Region  
Aly Courtemanch, WGFD, Jackson Region  
Jessica Crowder, Governor's Policy Office  
Jerimiah Rieman, Governor's Policy Office

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2819    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Duncan, Pat  
**Address:** Los Lunas, NM 87031  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,28,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Sirs and Madams,.  
I support the Alternate C for CRTE Moose - Wilson EIS.  
Sincerely,  
Pat Duncan

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2820    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Seattle, WA 98122  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,28,2015 23:17:36  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Jennifer Lockett

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2821    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Scena, Marian R  
**Address:** Chicago, IL 60629-3602  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,29,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** This Corridor in the Grand Tetons is very rich in flora and especially fauna. It needs to be protected with the least disturbance to the environment.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2822    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Adams, Cindy L  
**Address:** Walnut Bottom, PA 17266  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,29,2015 08:11:51  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

I visited this area in the past and was impressed with the mountains, nature and wildlife. Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Cindy Adams

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2823    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** pyle, owen  
**Address:** jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,29,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I have reviewed the Moose- Wilson road draft. The NPS preferred solution makes total sense. It limits traffic in this sensitive area without making it a shortcut from the airport to the Resort and Wilson.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2824    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bennett, LeeAnn  
**Address:** Lawrence, KS 66049-2029  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,29,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** December 29, 2015

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that depend on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor for their everyday survival. Please make the protection of wildlife and natural resources your top priority while deciding on a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a great step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor. Anything that can be done to minimize impacts on wildlife by humans, will only benefit the park by intensifying the visitor experience.

Grand Teton is a spectacular natural wonder cherished by the residents of Wyoming, people across the United States, and international visitors. It is our responsibility and obligation to protect these precious resources for us and for future generations. Thank you for all your hard work and your continuing commitment to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

LeeAnn Bennett

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2825    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Strickwerda, Chris D  
**Address:** Chelan, WA 98816  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** AARP Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,29,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Your Attention Please:

All animals have their place in the world. Bears, and even human beings should not be hunted and killed for sport. So get with saving the endangered bears!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2826    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** schwartz, angela C  
**Address:** sacramento, CA 95816  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,29,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** The planet is under siege and we are losing our access to wild spaces. The NPS MUST do all it can to preserve, maintain, and increase our natural resources whenever possible.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2827    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Stanwood, WA 98292  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,29,2015 16:48:49  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your

approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely, Adina Parsley

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2828	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	Stanwood, WA 98292 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,29,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I have visited the Grand Teton National Park several times over the past few decades and treasure the memories of its magnificence.

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely, Sammy Low

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2829	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	van Ee, Jeff				
<b>Address:</b>	Wilson, WY 83014 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,29,2015 20:48:43				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	In my previous comments on proposed changes to the Moose-Wilson road, I recommended the route be classified as a scenic byway, numbers be restricted, and speeds be reduced. The proposed "preferred alternative" recommends paving the unpaved portion, realigning the northern portion, reducing speeds, and restricting numbers of vehicles within the corridor to a maximum of 200. While I like certain aspects of the preferred alternative, I have concerns.				

Grand Teton National Park, like many parks, has experienced dramatic increases in visitation in the past few years. On the eve of the 100th anniversary of the National Park Service, 2016 and future years look to be record-setting years. While the natural environment and infrastructure of the parks have been stressed for many years, there is nothing in the future to lead me to believe that things will be appreciably better. The Park Service needs to focus more on maintaining and improving the quality of the visitor experiences rather than accommodating an increasing number of visitors.

The quality of the experience on the Moose-Wilson road has degraded substantially from when I began to use the road in 1995. It was a back-door route into the park where one could take a leisurely drive and observe natural areas and wildlife unique to that area. Today, the road is often a congested thoroughfare. The Park needs to consider limits to visitation to preserve the environment and the user experience. While I have some questions on exactly how the 200 vehicle limit would work, I commend the park for realizing that the Moose-Wilson road corridor has limits today on the amount of traffic the environment and wildlife can bear.

I still believe that labeling the Moose-Wilson road as a scenic byway would better educate the public on the type of experience they should expect from the road. It would help reduce expectations that the road would be a fast way into the main portion of the park and a fast way to such places as the airport and Highway 89.

Paving the unpaved portion of the roadway will lead to increased speeds on the roadway. Posted speed limits, with or without enforcement, will not be enough to keep the speeds down. Higher speeds reduce the quality of the experience for those wanting to observe wildlife and the unique environments within the corridor, and the increased speeds will increase the impacts to wildlife.

From my experiences as a board member of a homeowners association, speed bumps can be useful in reducing speeding. They are annoying.

Unfortunately, while they are better than nothing in reducing speeding, they are not completely effective. Some drivers and some vehicle types aren't bothered by them, and speeding will continue. While I did not support in my earlier comments paving the unpaved portion of the road (because I thought the unpaved portion deterred people from taking the road and speeding), I recommend speed bumps be considered.

There have to be limits. As unpopular as it may be to some people for the park to limit vehicles in the corridor to a maximum of 200 at any one time, without self-imposed limits there will be limits at some point. Some can say at peak times during the year, the Moose-Wilson road is already at limits when there is congestion. Of course, the road can be paved, straightened and widened to allow even more traffic. We could, as some suggest, place a path next to the road to accommodate more people for a more urban, refined experience. We could have buses? Where will it end? If one takes recent, past growth rates and project future usage, there are virtually no limits to growth in the Moose-Wilson corridor and the park unless there are some self-imposed limits. The 200 vehicle maximum suggested by the Park is a good starting point. It may not be the best. It may not be perfect, but it is better than nothing at all - which is what we have at present.

I understand the outside pressures the park is facing, particularly from local interests. The park is a national park; consequently, all viewpoints should be considered - no matter from where they originate. It is the duty, however, for the park to preserve and protect those values and resources that were in existence at the very beginning. We must preserve for present and future generations what we were provided at the beginning - as best as we can.

The Moose-Wilson road will probably never be what it was when I first traveled it in 1995. I trust, though, it will not be worse than it is today. I actually hope it can be better.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2830    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Carson, Andrew and Nancy  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,30,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Greetings, NPS -- we write today to express our support for Alternative C as we move through the process of 'fixing' the Moose Wilson Road. In addition we'd like to say that the Park's presentation and analysis was thorough and in depth. We appreciated the efforts which the Park took prior to making any decisions. We find our concerns for Park values and for minimizing the overall environmental impact of the project to be well met, and we hope that Alternative C will be selected as we move forward. Thank you. Andrew and Nancy Carson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2831    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Blaney, Carol L  
**Address:** Redlands, CA 92373  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,30,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. I believe the National Park Service's preferred plan, Alternative C, best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and its resources. I ask that you select Alternative C.

Grand Teton is one of the jewels of the national park system, with its amazing wildlife, views, and connection to the greater Yellowstone ecosystem. I have driven the corridor and have been struck by its beauty and wildness, especially so close to a populated area.

As with so many parks, increasing vehicle traffic has impacted the corridor's resources, and visitors' experience along with it. Please continue to hold wildlife and other natural resources among your top priorities, for the health of the park and the enjoyment of this and future generations.

In addition to choosing Alternative C, I encourage the park to complete an analysis of small-scale transit options that could be used in the corridor. This could contribute to wildlife protection and set a model for carbon emissions reduction as well.

Thank you so much for your public service, and for the work you and your staff do to protect this special place for all of us.

Sincerely,

Carol Blaney

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2832    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hayes, Christine  
**Address:** Upland, CA 91786  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Dec,30,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The National Park Service options for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor have been released. Please support "Alternative C," the preferred plan.

Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2833    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Blue, Tracy  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,31,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I do not support any plan that does not include a non-motorized transportation pathway for the Moose Wilson corridor. Favoring motorized transport and limiting access to the area is already not working. A pathway is the only way to protect public access without turning the area into a highway.  
Thank you ,  
Tracy Blue

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2834    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** mcready, tamara  
**Address:** simi valley, CA 93063  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,31,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** In support of the NPS.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2835    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Blair, Dan and Janet W  
**Address:** Joseph, OR 97846-0330  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,31,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

We submit this letter regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As strong supporters of the National Park System, and former residents of western Wyoming (our home was just an hour from the entrance to Grand Teton National Park), we support the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C." Because it best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor, we urge you to choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. We believe the protection of wildlife and natural resources must be your top priority while still adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is surely a step in the right direction, but there is more that should and can be done. We encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is an unparalleled treasure, beloved both of residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. We trust you will give our recommendations in this letter your most serious and thoughtful consideration. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2836    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Hennessy, Nick  
**Address:** Bowling Green, OH 43402  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,01,2016 17:01:02  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Dr. Nicholas Hennessy

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2837    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hedge, Belinda  
**Address:** Knoxville, TN 37771  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,02,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Alternative C Put wildlife first  
Pretty soon here in the Smoky Mtns where I reside, we will be planning similar actions.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2838    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** South Burlington, VT 05403  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,03,2016 05:29:54  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Charles D. Grammer

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2839    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lasky, Eleanor  
**Address:** Roanoke, VA 24018  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Jan,03,2016 07:54:39  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Eleanor Lasky

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2840    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894



**Name:** Wemple, Robert  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,03,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I support the Preferred Alternative for the Moose Wilson corridor (Alt.C). The alternative is respectful of wildlife while still allowing appropriate access. A separated pathway would be too much impact on the environment and wildlife. Slower speeds are safer and will discourage travel by those who may want to use the corridor only as a connecting route (or shortcut) to the airport or main road north. I support the ban on commercial traffic (taxi). Low impact winter use is appropriate, I oppose grooming and use by fat tire bikes. Guided wildlife tours are appropriate and I also support reducing the developed footprint. With all the development proposed at Teton Village it will be difficult to resist the pressure to turn the corridor into a faster more developed road with more traffic, but the Preferred Alternative is better for preserving Park values.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2841    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jorgenson, Larry  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,03,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support the preferred alternative.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2842    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Axelrad, David M  
**Address:** Studio City, CA 91604  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,03,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** On July 24, 1999, our 13-year old daughter Gabriella died while bicycling in Grand Teton National Park. She died because there was no pathway separating cyclists and pedestrians from motor vehicle traffic. Since that time we have supported construction of pathways in Grand Teton as a means of ensuring the safety of park visitors who want to experience the park without having to rely upon a motor vehicle. No one should have to endure the catastrophe that befell our family simply because we chose to ride bikes rather than drive a car in the Park. Wherever there are motor vehicle roadways in the Park, a separate alternative pathway must be made available to cyclists and pedestrians to ensure human safety and provide an alternative means of accessing the park.

In the 16 years since we lost Gabri, many good things have been accomplished. Through the leadership of federal, state and local officials, the Park has completed over 20 miles of beautiful, highly praised and well-used pathways. Surrounding local communities have added their own pathway system that compliments and connects with the Park pathways. Each mile of pathway has increased the safety and enjoyment of visitors who want to get out of their cars and enjoy the Park and surrounding area on a bicycle or on foot.

But more needs to be done. It is not enough to say that some pathways have been constructed along some of the roadways in the Park. There must be a separated pathway wherever there is a roadway to protect human safety and reduce the carbon footprint of visitors to the Park.

Which brings us to the question of what to do in the Moose-Wilson corridor, where the Parks Preferred Alternative C builds no pathway at all and requires cyclists and pedestrians to share the road with motor vehicles. No half measures such as road enhancements, no speed limit reductions will change the fact that this alternative places cyclists and pedestrians in a deadly contest with motor vehicles. If this alternative is adopted, there is no question that lives will be at risk.

And yet we understand that for a variety of reasons (sensitivity of wildlife habitat, the possible presence of Native American artifacts, etc.) portions of the Moose-Wilson corridor may at the present time be unsuitable for construction of a pathway. The Moose-Wilson corridor is not a backcountry wilderness area, but a front country tourist area with educational centers, trailheads and a well-traveled road. which in our opinion requires transportation planning that prioritizes human safety and alternative access. Anything less effectively favors motor vehicles over people which is not and should not be Park policy. Is there a compromise between no pathway at all (the Parks preferred alternative) and what should be the ultimate goal of building a pathway along the entire Moose-Wilson corridor? We believe there is.

The 2007 Transportation Plan for Grand Teton included a pathway along the southern portion of the Moose-Wilson corridor, from the southern Park entrance to the LSR Preserve. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Agency evaluated this partial pathway and found that its construction would not permanently impair grizzly bears or other wildlife. Construction of this pathway would enhance public safety and alternative access to the Park without compromising what may be more environmentally sensitive portions of the corridor between LSR and Moose. Serious and hopefully favorable consideration should be given to this modest, compromise alternative that would transform Preferred Alternative C into a much better Alternative C.

For our family, the construction of alternative pathways along motor vehicle routes in the Park is a matter of life and death. The Park should do no less than build the southern portion of the Moose-Wilson pathway approved as part of the 2007 Transportation Plan.

David Axelrad and Liza Bercovici  
Parents of Gabriella Axelrad

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2843    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** May, C  
**Address:** Post Falls, ID 83854  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,03,2016 21:18:35  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
C May

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2844	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Clark, Frances H				
<b>Address:</b>	Wilson, WY 83014 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,15,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Letter				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	December 9, 2015				

Dear Moose-Wilson Planning Team,

Thank you for your comprehensive study of the Moose Wilson Road corridor project I spent several days reading through the document and found it fascinating and cogent There is a wealth of information useful for not only this decision, but also for understanding many aspects about the park, the surrounding community, and the national context I also spent time visiting again some of the locations to understand the terrain and to envision the impacts of alternatives. I attended the most recent public forum, as well. The report has been an important topic of discussion among friends.

Thank you for the open and transparent public process. You have provided us all with ample opportunity to gather information, express our concerns, and make suggestions. I appreciate that both regional NPS and GTNP staff, along with many cooperating agencies, conducted a thorough review of the many complex issues. You have addressed our points with clarity and thoroughness. Given the high quality of the report, I am pleased to be able to respond with relative brevity. My intention is to emphasize my support for your preferred Alternative C and express some minor concerns.

1. I support the priority of Alternative C: preserving the natural resources and providing compatible visitor experience, exemplifying conservation legacies. You describe the resource values and delineate the reasons for this preferred option clearly and strongly within the mandate of the NPS and GTNP.

2. Moose-Wilson Road is a national park road, not a county or state road:

a. The park is not responsible for providing an alternative transportation route for those not intentionally enjoying or directly working in the park. The county and Teton Village need to address their own growth and transportation issues. Ideally, there is opportunity for cooperation even given different goals and motivations.

b. Managing the considerable amount of through traffic (56%) ver remains a challenge, particularly the 30% who did not have any intention of using the park at all.

c. A longer term integrated plan of shuttles for NPS and concession employees going to/from the park as well as visitors to the park (vs. commercial and local commuters) needs to be developed sooner instead of later. This is a park-wide, and valley-wide planning process.

3. Best management of the unique natural resources and compatible visitor experiences in Grand Teton National Park-including Moose-Wilson road corridor-will best serve the national public. We will all benefit. the economy of the surrounding community, including Jackson and Teton Village, is dependent on the Park's remarkable and unique natural resources. U S taxpayers and visitors pay for the care of the National Park. NPS should not, need not, bow to either local or state political pressures in order to further foster local economy and private profits.

4. Alternative D with its emphasis on recreational opportunities is inappropriate and incompatible within an ecosystem known for its global significance.

a. Ecological values damaged: As well documented in the report, the pathway causes extensive and irreparable fragmentation and destruction of habitat and increases disturbance to wildlife. Both rare and popular grizzly bears and sage grouse would be affected negatively, and the risk to visitor safety increased significantly. The recent construction of Route 22 pathway exemplifies the unacceptable amount of landform changes, hydrological disruption, soil disturbance, vegetation removal, and underground and above ground infrastructure required for a safe pathway. Furthermore, the threat for invasion by exotic species into this freshly disturbed corridor, regardless of BMP, is also great. Bicyclists have miles of new pathways elsewhere to enjoy.

b. Significantly more expensive both to construct (77% and maintain yearly (\$248,000 compared to Alternative C. Supporting bicycling, which is essentially a recreational activity, with such an investment is inappropriate in context of Grand Teton National Park.

5. Budget: Both capital and ongoing operating expenses are substantial. Alternative C at \$24.4 M is the most feasible option compared to the others.

a. Most of the costs are related to road construction and, therefore, are eligible for Federal Highway Association funds. These are national public funds for a national public resource.

b. No private donor, or group of private sources, should influence the decision process, in particular the pathway. Any private donations should go through Grand Teton National Park Foundation with full transparency and this generosity should only enable, not influence, the implementation of this rationally devised plan.

c. BMP, monitoring, on-going research, and staffing are essential to the success of this plan. Make sure there is sufficient funding for each construction stage and new operations.

#### 6. Road paving and speed limits:

a. Once the road is paved, I am very concerned about the parks ability to keep speeds down to proposed 20 MPH limit.

i. Enforcement will be essential.

ii. Add removable speed bumps that are bicycle safe for straight ways and other trouble spots.

iii. Striping around curves such as the road segment above the LSR visitor center may be necessary (but not elsewhere).

b. The reduction of dust and will increase vegetation health and add to aesthetic appeal. Narrowing and adding curves, as well as keeping vegetation close to the road (vs. cutting it back), are natural "traffic calming elements.

#### 7. Bicycle use:

a. Numbers are likely to rise considerably once the road is fully paved and the route is inevitably promoted. On-going monitoring as indicated in the plan is essential as is the calibration of numbers if they climb too high. Bicyclists should be counted as part of the traffic management restrictions. I agree with prohibiting any group events/rides.

b. Restrict bicyclists time of access:

i. Do not allow bikes through when the road is closed for bears.

ii. When the road is closed to vehicles but passable to bicycles, limit hours to well before dusk or after dawn to prevent interference with wildlife particularly elk, bears, mule deer, even owls.

iii. Prohibit bicyclists at night.... I have already seen headlamps on the Rte. 22 bikeway...unsafe for all.

8. Closing the road when bears or other wildlife are present is essential and is relatively quick, easy and efficient to put into place. Timely communications announcing these closures need to be part of the plan to prevent visitor frustration. Additional ranger/volunteer presence is essential, as you indicate.

9. While I support the staff's professional judgment to keep the road alignment between Sawmill Ponds and Death Canyon Road, some more information would be helpful. This appears to be a difficult decision: balancing the ecological benefits between the two very different habitats, the opportunities for safe wildlife viewing experiences, and respect and protection of archaeological treasures. Are the benefits of staying the course truly sufficient compared to the benefits outlined for alternate route through the sagebrush (without bike path)?

a. A more complete analysis of the road upgrade along the wetlands, particularly the engineering details, needs to be done before confirming this option: I am concerned that in fact the changes would entail building up and widening the road bed thereby necessitating cuts into and reinforcement of the hillsides. These changes would affect the connectivity to the surrounding area: limiting access for beaver (or other small wildlife) to the aspens and altering routes for elk and moose. Also, you discuss removal of vegetation (I assume adjacent willow, hawthorns and other berry trees) to improve sight lines. Does this reduce prime habitat of food and cover for moose and bear?

b. This extensive work introduces new opportunities for invasive exotics, regardless of BMP. Invasive exotics should be monitored for 5 not just 3 years on this and all major projects. Soils contaminated with exotic seeds should not be reused.

c. Furthermore, the upgrade will increase opportunities to speed.

d. If the road reroute through the sage habitat is indeed better ecologically than upgrading the road in its current location, is there a way to respectfully and conscientiously excavate the archeological site, thereby revealing its full cultural value and preserving the artifacts in a safe place? If so, what would be involved and what would be the time frame?

e. The area just south of the current Sawmill Ponds Overlook has some significant vegetation to consider for any reconfiguration.

i. A mixed stand of very mature evergreens blend into the more common lodgepole pine and appears to serve as habitat and a connection between the wetland across to the hillside to the west.

ii. I have also relatively frequently observed moose and bear moving through this nexus.

iii. The vernal pools slightly to the southwest are valuable for amphibians,

iv. A few stems of a plant species of concern-Trite'lia grandijiora, as well as a large stand of Perideridia bolanderi are in close proximity.

10. Traffic management by limiting numbers is one of the most difficult aspects of the management proposal, but necessary for wildlife and visitor experience:

- a. The two alternatives of queuing or reservation are cumbersome and will require additional staff and technology to administer. And in some ways it may attract more visitors as this special management emphasizes the uniqueness and desirability of the corridor. It would be helpful to indicate some model systems to help the public understand this option. (Research model state and county park systems, beaches, as well as national parks.) If you can maintain a high quality, unique experience once within the corridor, people won't mind the wait or inconvenience e.g. as in major museum exhibits, restaurants etc.
- b. Thank you for using visitor numbers vs. the number of vehicles, as the visitation index to monitor regarding potential disturbance to wildlife and to maintain high quality visitor experience on the trails and roadway.
- c. Setting the number at 200 cars per hour is already being questioned. Thank you for including the rationale in Appendix A.
- d. The conceptual plans show queuing lanes require significant pavement. Can plans for the footprint be refined to show more precisely what it might entail, and be extended only as needed? Could the extra lanes be gravel or some pervious material vs. macadam?
- e. The potential shift in use to the mornings and evenings when crepuscular animals are about, and in particular the straight-through traffic is a concern. Monitoring should be part of the adaptive management planning. It will be essential to maintain whatever traffic management system is selected throughout the seasons and day. For instance, fall evenings are now becoming heavily trafficked as visitors seek wildlife.
- f. Dealing with the considerable amount of through traffic (5-6%) is a challenge-A longer term integrated plan of (small) shuttles for NPS and concession employees going to/from the park, as well as for visitors to the park (vs. commercial and local commuters needs to be developed sooner instead of later. A service to drop off backcountry hikers may help keep some parking spaces open.

#### 11. Visitor experience enhanced:

- a. I appreciate the quiet, contemplative nature of the area (sense of discovery) and support the stated goal of Alternative C to provide this experience for visitors to this portion of the park, in the ethic of Mr. Rockefeller. Solitude is a rare experience anywhere these days,
- b. To this end, prohibit boating, rafting and floating of the waterways in this area e.g. Phelps Lake. I am seeing and hearing more of this activity, which is inappropriate to the contemplative nature of the visitor experience. Sound carries far across the water, boats interfere with the wilderness view, and disturb wildlife on the lake and shores. Also, there is a real possibility of introducing invasive aquatics with these watercrafts.
- c. Added interpreters:
  - i. Human interpreters are still the most effective way of connecting visitors to nature, especially if the visitors are new to nature and wilderness.
  - ii. Well managed jams also provide educational opportunities. Your proposal of more staff is vital, but make sure they have extra training in interpretation as well.
  - iii. Handouts, signs, etc. are ok, but not nearly as valuable. People don't know what they don't know. (Most survey respondents said they had enough information).
- d. Minimize signage-while this is addressed in the plan, I want to encourage minimal signage in the same vein as at LSR,
- e. Add extra node or two for wildlife viewing? Sawmill Ponds overlook is an example where people can gather and observe wildlife safely. Seeing a moose, bear, owl, especially with a shared telescope, elicits true delight. It often becomes a communal experience, with people sharing with others. It would be great to develop one or two other such nodes with relatively predictable wildlife, ideally with an interpretive ranger or volunteers present. If so, I think you could satisfy many visitors, and reduce the problems down the road (literally). A spot near the wetlands along the existing road (Alternative C), or one location near the rim of the riparian wetland if this alternative route is chosen could serve this purpose.
- f. Permitted guided tours by both private tour operators, and in future perhaps the park service, offers important interpretive opportunities. These quality opportunities should continue to be allowed. Ideally, the park would provide more inexpensive options as well.

#### 12. Entry points, parking, and facilities:

- a. Agree with the entry for all visitors going both N and S entrance stations, and rerouting the .06-mile northern section to enhance the wildlife corridor to the east. However, I am concerned about the amount of paving and visual impacts for queuing the vehicles in the open sagebrush habitat.
- b. I support your limits on commercial activities, including taxis.
- c. I support pulling back Death Canyon Road to White Grass Ranch. The number of 80 parking spaces seems like a lot, but I understand that the number of parked cars is already 80 on peak days. I hope a truly rustic design, including gravel, will preserve some wildlife and aesthetic values. Monitor visitation in the evenings during the elk rut, when bugling can often be heard from this location.
- d. Winter skiing - As a local but not particularly strong skier, I appreciate northern section of Moose Wilson being plowed to the junction of Death Canyon Road. However, I would be satisfied with plowing to Sawmill ponds, if it would help diminish backcountry disturbance to wildlife. I would like to see it end at the Sawmill overlook, vs. Murie intersection because of the long grade up to the river bench.... a bit tricky skiing down, I also prefer that M-W and Death Canyon Road not be groomed.
- e. Pullouts - The number proposed to accommodate 120 cars (40-60 pull outs) seems excessive. Be very strategic in choosing locations to limit the number. The damage to vegetation along the side of the road is due to wildlife viewing opportunities: If an animal is right there, drivers will stop or pull-off regardless.

#### 13. Constructing safety edges along the full extent of the road seems unnecessary and obstructive.

- a. This may seem like a minor concern; however, right now much of the road is more or less flush with the adjacent ground. This condition enables very small creatures to navigate across the road without steep, smooth, surfaces which will also flush water in storms.

b. This treatment is an additional bit of construction expense and added materials, and may increase incentives for cars and bikes to pull off the road outside of pullout. Other improvements of the road are already significantly increasing bicycle safety.

14. Vault Toilets: Strategically placed toilets help maintain sanitation and reduce trampling off trails, while providing necessary comfort.

a. Granite Canyon: Instead of placing one at Granite Canyon trail head which is a relatively small parking area surrounded by aspens and sage, consider placing it south near the entrance station (and horse trailers)- -especially if cars will be queuing there and as the area is already relatively disturbed.

b. Death Canyon Road trailhead Certainly will need two or more.

c. In alternative D, a vault toilet is proposed at the Sawmill Ponds overlook. In any case this is unnecessary, as people will have opportunities at the main visitor center, Menors Ferry or LSR. Also it will be difficult to situate one unobtrusively as the area near the large trees serve as habitat and corridor for wildlife and vernal pools and wetlands are nearby. It will also attract unnecessary visitation to this sensitive wildlife area.

Again, I want to thank all those who have worked so diligently on this plan with full public input I look forward to seeing the final recommendation with details.

Sincerely,

Frances H. Clark  
Wilson, WY

CC: Teton County Commissioners  
Mayor and Town Council, Jackson

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2845	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Leddie, Colleen				
<b>Address:</b>	Missoula, MT 59801 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Dec,15,2015 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Letter				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Attn Moose-Wilson Planning Team PO Drawer 170 Moose, WY 83012-0170 Moose-Wilson Corridor Comprehensive Management Plan Analysis				

Cc: David Vela, Superintendent  
Grand Teton National Park, Moose, Wyoming  
and/or  
Daniel Noon, Chief of Planning and Environmental Compliance

5 December 2015

Dear Moose-Wilson Planning Team,

Increased human traffic in Grand Teton National Park and the greater Yellowstone area has induced controversy over how to manage and reduce traffic along the Moose-Wilson Corridor. I think it wise of the National Park Service to put as much planning as possible into this environmental impact process to determine how to best protect park resources and values into perpetuity.

This rural, narrow seven-mile stretch connecting Moose to Wilson provided access to numerous desired areas within Grand Teton National Park while also providing a shortcut route for residents and visitors reaching destinations within and beyond the parks and most importantly serving as a prime wildlife habitat for moose, deer, and bears. The small corridor in debate is creating issues as wildlife and human traffic once again cross paths. These issues require management strategies that are in the process of being solved, hopefully without having to further alter or fragment already existing roads.

As an avid Grand Teton National Park and Yellowstone lover and enthusiast of all things wild, I am supportive of a draft plan that considers future use as well as present appropriate opportunities for visitor use, experience, and enjoyment of the Moose-Wilson corridor, granted that all listed management strategies are met as wisely as possible and do not take away or degrade from the rustic qualities that Grand Teton National Park fosters to visitors seeking exceptional scenic and wildlife viewing opportunities.

As Grand Teton National Park issues its Preliminary Alternatives A through D, discussed in this letter for the Moose-Wilson Corridor Plan from the Draft Environmental Impact Statement, the parks current controversy is sparked from the conflicts between people's interests and that of the wildlife that occupy the Moose-Wilson corridor.

Whatever the debate, protecting this area from increased development needs to be the priority. Whatever the decision, it is paramount not to damage the park's unique rustic and rural aspects.

Best Regards,  
Colleen Leddie  
University of Montana  
Wilderness and Civilization Program

Project Title: Envision of the future of the Moose-Wilson Corridor: Comprehensive Management Plan and Management Alternatives

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2846    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jones, Richard A  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,04,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Letter  
**Correspondence:** December 11, 2015

Grand Teton National Park  
ATTN: Moose-Wilson Planning Team  
PO Drawer 170  
Moose, WY 83012-0170

Ladies and Gentlemen:

In the interests of those who must correlate all of the comments you receive, I will try to be concise.

General: As the number of park visitors continues to grow significantly, preservation of the important natural features of the park becomes an ever more serious challenge to its administration and should be the paramount objective. Accordingly, please record my comments as (i) opposing pavement of the road's unpaved section, adding a bike path, or permitting any commercial vehicular use, (ii) but supporting a one-way travel restriction to the Rockefeller Preserve from the south entrance. Moving the north end of the road inside the entrance station will be a very worthwhile improvement.

Fen Restoration: A definite disappointment- -the preferred alternative would not move the road away from its present course that encroaches on the wetlands west of the Murie Ranch. This section, where natural springs flow over and under the existing pavement, is one of the most beautiful natural features of the park. Rerouting the pavement east onto the less sensitive alluvial plain would enable the fen to be completely restored to its natural beauty.

Phelps Lake Overlook: The view of Phelps Lake from the overlook on the Death Canyon trail is a favorite, currently accessible to the elderly, moderately handicapped and visitors who come from lower elevations. Adding about a mile or so to the trail's length will effectively bar many of us from accessing this beautiful viewpoint. Please reconsider closing the west section of the road to the Death Canyon trailhead.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard A. Jones

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2847    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Culver, Cathi  
**Address:** Leicester, NC 28748  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** National Parks Conservation Association Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Jan,04,2016 17:12:26  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Cathi Culver

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2848    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** gonzalez, david a  
**Address:** brandon, FL 33510  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,04,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** i vacation in national parks. they should not be developed. these parks are islands of nature.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2849    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Strum, Cathy A  
**Address:** Olympia, WA 98502  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,04,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please come up with the best option to allow access to Teton while maintaining protective corridors for the wildlife. We are approaching the teetering point in many parks, where the number of visitors is close to making it extremely difficult to do what the parks were created to do- protect these beautiful places for future generations to enjoy. Plan C looked the best to me, so I'll voice my support for that one.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2850    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, Robin  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,04,2016 21:45:38  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am for ALTERNATIVE C !

Please consider the following additions to make Alternative C better for the environment and park visitors:

Human Safety: Our community values lives. With two tragic deaths where cyclists were killed by inattentive, distracted drivers on road shoulders in GTNP, we must discuss human safety so we can avoid more deaths or injuries. What price is a life lost to a preventable accident?

Transit: If the number of vehicles are the problem, then let's solve it with proven transit and human power. Investigate eco, green solutions like smart shuttles with bike racks.

Air Quality: Long lines of idling cars in a no-idle community all summer will cause air quality impacts over time. This was a big issue in Yellowstone with snowmobiles. We want to encourage GTNP to rethink idling lines of cars at either end of the corridor waiting to get in.

Please enhance safety and protect the environment by including:

- 1) A separate pathway for safe public access outside of the car, and
- 2) Forward-thinking transportation solutions, like transit.

The preferred alternative does not adequately address human safety or environmental concerns in the Moose-Wilson Corridor, particularly with regard to the safety of young children and vulnerable inexperienced cyclists.

I support continued safe access to the park for visitors who would like to see, experience and enjoy GTNP in a manner that intimately connects them to their surroundings. Walking and biking are a quiet, non-polluting, low impact method for visiting the park. But visitors must be able to travel safely, without the risk of being hurt or killed by a distracted driver.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2851    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Clark, Diane M  
**Address:** Woolwine, VA 24185-3843  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,05,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** As a person who is financially and emotionally invested in Grand Teton National Park, I wish to comment on the Moose-Wilson Road.

I visit the park at least once a year, and I have visited it since 1957. As a young person, I worked for a time in the valley. I am concerned about the increase of human encroachment, and the increased pressures on the wildlife.

This park belongs to the nation, and it is disturbing to see that individual and self-serving interests, particularly from the geographical area close to the park seem to think that they should be allowed to dictate the direction that the park should take.

There are already miles of pathways, roads, trails, and other amenities geared to human pleasures. I maintain that we don't need more, nor do I personally want to see more. I wouldn't mind if the Moose-Wilson Road was closed permanently to protect wildlife, but since that isn't part of any plan, (sadly)I believe that plan C comes the closest to what I think would be suitable.

So . . . I vote for plan C.

Thank you.

Diane M. Clark

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2852    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wilder, Cynthia  
**Address:** Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275-3039  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Jan,05,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** For us and Posterity, keep up grading all lands in Preserve!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2853    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Olney, Dawn G  
**Address:** Prairie Village, KS 66207  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,05,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

My husband and I very much enjoy our national parks, and visit them often. We also value the environmental support these parks give wildlife.

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2854    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wyoming Field Office, Field Supervisor  
**Address:** Cheyenne, WY 82009  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Federal Government  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Dec,30,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Letter  
**Correspondence:** In Reply Refer To:  
06E 13000-20 16-CPA-0027

Memorandum

To: Superintendent, National Park Service, Grand Teton National Park, Moose, Wyoming

From: Field Supervisor, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Wyoming Field Office, Cheyenne, Wyoming

Subject: Moose-Wilson Corridor raft Comprehensive Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement Project

Thank you for your email dated September 14, 2015, providing the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) the opportunity to comment on the Moose-Wilson Corridor Draft Comprehensive Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The Service is providing comments on the DEIS pursuant to section 7(a)(2) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (ESA), as amended, 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq. We are also providing recommendations concerning migratory birds in accordance with the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA), 16 U.S.C. 703, and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (Eagle Act), 16 U.S.C. 668. The

DEIS analyzes four alternatives that consider realignment of the Moose-Wilson Road, reconstruction and paving of portions of the Moose-Wilson Road, and changes along the Moose-Wilson road to address traffic congestion (Project). This Project is located within the Grand Teton National Park (Park) in Moose, Wyoming. The DEIS analyzes the following:

â€¢ Realignment of the northernmost 0.6-mile section of the Moose-Wilson Road to address wildlife habitat connectivity and operational issues. The segment between the Sawmill Ponds Overlook and the Death Canyon Road junction would be retained in its existing alignment. The portion of the road adjacent to wetlands would be reconstructed to correct drainage issue and improve road conditions.



â€¢ Reconstruct and pave the existing, unpaved portion of the Moose-Wilson Road, retaining the approximate current alignment of the road. The width of this newly paved road segment would be narrowed for consistency with the existing paved portions of the road.

â€¢ Increases in traffic and volume-related congestion will be addressed by limiting the number of vehicles entering the corridor at any one time during peak use periods through timed sequencing techniques. Queuing lanes will be constructed on the north and south ends of the corridor.

â€¢ The speed limit will be reduced to 20 miles per hour to improve bicyclist safety.

â€¢ The Death Canyon Trailhead will be relocated to the current end of the pavement on the existing access road (i.e., near the junction with White Grass Road). A parking area would be constructed to accommodate for approximately 80 to 90 vehicles (based on the current parking demand). The existing 1.0-mile unpaved portion of the trailhead access road would be converted to a trail.

The Service provides the following comments on the DEIS:

Page 24--The western distinct population segment (DPS) of the yellow-billed cuckoo (*Coccyzus americanus*) was an impact topic considered but dismissed from detailed analysis in the DEIS. The Project area lies within the boundary of the DPS, and a confirmed observation of the yellow-billed cuckoo occurred along the eastern boundary of the Park in 2000. Most yellow-billed cuckoo breeding sites occur below 6,000 feet, but some cuckoos may nest at or above 7,000 feet; therefore, yellow-billed cuckoos could occur in the riparian habitats of the Project area. Avian surveys have occurred along the eastern boundary of the Park, but the Service recommends the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) describe any avian surveys that have occurred in or near the Project area. In addition, because the Project area occurs within the DPS boundary, we recommend the EIS provide more information concerning the effects this Project may have on potential yellow-billed cuckoo habitat. Also, we recommend continuing survey efforts for the yellow-billed cuckoo to determine whether the yellow-billed cuckoo may be found within the Park for this and future projects.

Page 50--In the Park Preferred Alternative, Alternative C, the speed limit along Moose-Wilson Road will be reduced to 20 mph to improve safety for motor vehicles, bicyclists, and wildlife. This would be achieved through management actions such as proactive education at entrances, signage, and enforcement techniques. The Service supports reducing the speed limit along this corridor. Page 329 of the DEIS indicates that an interpretive wayside exhibit is maintained, but the DEIS did not describe the information included in this exhibit or where the signage will be placed. If not part of the signage, the Service recommends the Park provide "bear aware" information on recreating safely in black and grizzly bear habitat to reinforce messaging the Park provides at entrances to the Park. In addition, staffed interpretation at the Laurence S. Rockefeller Preserve could include bear identification and safety information in interpretive talks to visitors if this is not already being done.

Page 114--There will be periodic brushing or thinning of adjacent roadside ground vegetation, especially fruit-bearing shrubs, to minimize human-bear interactions. The Service agrees that this measure will minimize black bear and grizzly bear surprise encounters and should keep visitors from approaching grizzly bears and black bears at unsafe distances from roadway sightings. The Service understands that there are several areas with fruit-bearing shrubs within the Project area; however, food sources are not consistent year to year for production of berries for the bears. Because it would be unfortunate to lose this potentially abundant resource, the Service recommends the Park include fruit-bearing shrubs in their vegetation reclamation plans to minimize the loss of this important food source for the bears. The Service supports the Park's practice of closing portions of the Moose-Wilson Corridor during periods of high-berry production (DEIS, p. 293) to prevent human/bear conflicts.

In addition, the Service encourages the Park to conduct these brush and thinning activities outside of the migratory bird nesting and brood rearing time periods, otherwise these activities could kill adults, nestlings, and eggs. The impacts from these activities could be prevented with careful planning and limiting these activities to time periods outside of the migratory bird nesting and brood rearing seasons.

Page 197--The Project area is within the home range of the Lower Gros Ventre wolf pack. The DEIS indicates that the pack has a den and rendezvous site within the Project area and uses the Moose-Wilson Corridor for hunting and as a travel corridor. Page 382 states, "If a wolf den or rendezvous site were established in the Project area, the implementation of a seasonal 1-mile closure around the site, as stated in the mitigation measures section, would be expected to avoid impacts." The statement on page 382 is unclear whether a seasonal closure will be implemented even though page 197 clearly states the Lower Gros Ventre wolf pack hunts, dens, and moves within the Project area. This information should be reconciled to provide an accurate assessment of impacts of Project implementation for each of the alternatives in Chapter 4.

Page 293--Big game, particularly elk, are struck by vehicles along the Moose-Wilson Corridor. Because road kill is a potential source of food for grizzly bears and gray wolves that puts scavenging bears and wolves at risk of vehicle collisions, the Service recommends the Park move the carcasses to a safe distance from the roadway. This will allow a potential food source to still be available and possibly prevent human/grizzly bear conflicts. The Park may already be doing this practice; however, this practice was not mentioned in the DEIS.

Page 297--Signage along the roadway is often obscured by vegetation or has inconsistent or unclear language that may not be understood by visitors. Also, pedestrian crossing, Lake Creek Bridge, and some curves are also signed, but there are generally few advanced warning signs. Minimal signage contributes to a more rustic nature of the corridor; however, the Service believes signage concerning wildlife crossing or warning visitors of predator occurrences should maintain visual acuity, helping to remind bicyclists and motorists that surprise encounters may occur, thus preventing unnecessary conflicts.

We appreciate your efforts to ensure the conservation of endangered, threatened, and candidate species and migratory birds. If you have questions regarding this letter or your responsibilities under the ESA or other authorities or resources described above, please contact our office at the letterhead address or phone Lisa Solberg Schwab at (307) 367-5340.

cc: NPS, Grand Teton National Park, Wildlife Biologist, Moose, WY (J. Stephenson) ([John\\_a\\_stephenson@nps.gov](mailto:John_a_stephenson@nps.gov))  
WGFD, Statewide Non-Game Bird and Mammal Program Supervisor, Lander, WY (Z. Walker) ([zack.walker@wyo.gov](mailto:zack.walker@wyo.gov))  
WGFD, Statewide Habitat Protection Coordinator, Cheyenne, WY (M. Flanderka) ([mary.flanderka@wyo.gov](mailto:mary.flanderka@wyo.gov))  
WGFD, Habitat Protection Secretary, Cheyenne, WY (N. Stange) ([nancy.stange@wyo.gov](mailto:nancy.stange@wyo.gov))

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2855	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Flinch, Hans				
<b>Address:</b>	Jackson, WY 83001 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				

**Affiliation:****Received:** Jan,06,2016 10:06:00**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Thank you for the transparent evaluation process. I would encourage the park to eliminate the "cap" on number of vehicles that can enter the Moose Wilson road area and instead limit vehicle through providing incentives for using alternative transportation ie expand the pathways so that bikes and pedestrians are more likely to use the corridor. Also, please explore setting up a bus system that takes people from the town of Jackson to the Moose Wilson corridor and back. Alternative transit has been proven to reduce traffic congestion and this would be a simple way to reduce the number of cars on the road.  
Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2856 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894**Name:** Kennedy, John**Address:** Cheyenne, WY 82006

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Wyoming Game and Fish Department State Government**Affiliation:** OfficialRep**Received:** Jan,06,2016 00:00:00**Correspondence Type:** Letter**Correspondence:** December 28, 2015

WER 13319.00b  
National Park Service  
Moose-Wilson Corridor  
Comprehensive Management Plan  
Draft Environmental Impact Statement  
Grand Teton National Park, Wyoming

Grand Teton National Park  
ATTN: Moose-Wilson Planning Team  
P.O. Drawer 170  
Moose, WY 83012-0170

Dear Sir/Madam:

The staff of the Wyoming Game and Fish Department has reviewed the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Moose-Wilson Corridor Comprehensive Management Plan in Grand Teton National Park, Wyoming. We offer the following comments for your consideration.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement has addressed our terrestrial and aquatic concerns outlined in the Department's scoping comments. We have no additional aquatic concerns.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. If you have any questions or concerns, please contact Mary Flanderka, Habitat Protection Coordinator, 307-777-4587.

Sincerely,

John Kennedy  
Deputy Director

JK/mf/ns

cc: USFWS  
Chris Wichmann, Wyoming Department of Agriculture, Cheyenne  
Rob Gipson, WGFD, Jackson Region  
Doug Brimeyer, WGFD, Jackson Region  
Aly Courtemanch, WGFD, Jackson Region  
Jessica Crowder, Governor's Policy Office  
Jeremiah Rieman, Governor's Policy Office

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2857 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894**Name:** Compton, Keith**Address:** Rock Springs, WY 82902

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Wyoming Department of Transportation State Government**Affiliation:** OfficialRep**Received:** Jan,06,2016 00:00:00**Correspondence Type:** Letter**Correspondence:** December 31,2015

Grand Teton National Park  
ATIN: Moose-Wilson Planning Team  
PO Drawer 170  
Moose, WY 83012-0170

RE: Comments on Moose-Wilson Corridor Draft Comprehensive Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement

Dear Planning Team:

WYDOT appreciates being a cooperating partner in this project and respect the NPS's goals and mission in managing its resources. The following comments are from a traffic and transportation planning perspective providing guidance and constructive recommendations regarding the assertions and statements presented in the plan. These recommendations below should be considered as necessary to support the requirements and criteria in the evaluation and subsequent selection of the preferred alternative and be considered for further development of the project.

General Initial Thoughts

Parking Strategies/Queuing/Traffic Operations

â€¢ Utilize existing and/or create new parking lots. May need to consider sharing parking with private facilities such as grocery stores, restaurants, and recreational facilities outside the park. As an example: "Cell phone" lots- with wait times displayed on digital sign for next park visitor at Teton Village.

â€¢ Anticipate improvements will extend outside the park boundaries, for queuing at the Granite Station. Queuing is a function of the service rate and arrival rate at the Granite Station. A right turn lane, extended beyond the traffic queue, at Range Road should be included in the mitigation measures. Current and future vehicle queues are expected to extend beyond the park boundaries for each alternative. May need to consider performing a vehicle queuing analysis of existing conditions and each alternative at each horizon year and built-out design year.

â€¢ May need to consider performing traffic growth projections internal and external to the park. It is anticipated that traffic volumes will continue to grow from outside the park, regardless of the Moose-Wilson management plan strategies. As necessary, for each horizon year and for each alternative, may need to project traffic volumes along the corridor to establish AM and PM peak hour, turning movements, and average daily traffic volumes, vehicle classification data, peak hour factor, and other project specific data.

â€¢ May need to consider performing traffic operational analyses using the latest Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) for each horizon year (existing, 20 years and beyond ... etc.) and each alternative. Traffic patterns of the entire corridor, entrance points to the park, and major intersections along the route, and verify the distribution of vehicle movements. Or provide justification that an operational analysis is not applicable for this type of route.

â€¢ User created parking just outside the Granite Station currently occurs on Range Road. Visitors are observed unloading; preparing to enter the park via bicycle and horseback. May need to analyze and mitigate this activity.

â€¢ Assume NPS is required to follow the USDOT, FHWA, MUTCD, and state code. According to each, speed limits cannot be arbitrarily changed; an engineering study is required to make adjustments for enforceable posted speed limits. Reduced speeds may increase incidents and increase speed variations between motorists who are reasonably adjusting to the roadway conditions and with others who will drive with the artificially set posted speed limit. Drivers at slower speeds are not as alert or as sharp as compared to motorists who are driving at the operational speeds the geometries and the roadside environment of the roadway would allow. Reduced speeds do not necessarily improve safety; studies have found no direct correlation with vehicle, bicycle, or wildlife. See recent study performed by the State of Colorado, <https://www.codot.gov/news/2014-news-releases/12-2014/cdot-removing-wildlife-zones-signslowered-nighttime-speed-limits>. Instead of reducing posted speeds, may consider traffic calming measures contained in the following link. [http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/speedmgmt/traffic\\_calm.cfm](http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/speedmgmt/traffic_calm.cfm)

â€¢ May want to consider providing a decision matrix to weigh the pros, cons, and relative overall benefits between each alternative.

â€¢ Please provide potential locations of alert (ITS) devices located outside of the park's boundaries. These device locations along WYO 390 and/or WYO 22 will need to get into an agreement with WYDOT and all costs to provide, install, and maintain the devices will be the responsibility of the NPS.

Chapter 1: Purpose and Need

â€¢ Page 22: Regional Transportation - Disagree with statement "Issues and Concerns Considered but not Addressed". Due to limited regional transportation options, the Moose-Wilson corridor planning process will directly impact the regional transportation grid. Plans regionally need to be addressed in this study. Issues and Actions taken inside the park will directly affect regional transportation leading up to the park.

Chapter 2: Alternatives (A, B, C, D)

â€¢ Page 37: Management Topics - May need to consider adding Pedestrian/Hiking Use and Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). May need to reorganize between motorized and nonmotorized uses.

â€¢ Pages 39, 55, 63: Horse Use - Horse trailer parking currently occurs outside the park boundaries south of the Granite Station on Range Road. May need to identify, analyze, and mitigate this activity.

â€¢ Pages 43, 51, 59: Traffic Management along Moose-Wilson Road - Consider providing more specific details on location(s) and types of alerts (ITS devices).

â€¢ Conceptual Plans - South Entrance - In addition to partnering with the county include WYDOT on pathway, parking/maintenance issues on Range Road, and traffic queue operational analysis. May need to consider providing turnout for snow plows near Granite Station. Check spelling of "questing" in Alternative D. May need to consider maintaining the pathway on west side of Moose-Wilson Road to minimize conflicts with crossing points with vehicles. May need to consider adding dual ranger stations at each northern and southern entrance to handle the dual queue-lanes. It is unclear in the study and conceptual plans that the Granite Station will be relocated north to minimize the queuing outside park boundaries.

Chapter 3: Affected Environment, Traffic and Transportation

â€¢ Page 294: Traffic and Transportation Topics - May need to consider adding Traffic Volume Projections and Traffic Operational Analysis internal and

external to the park. Or provide written justification in the report that this information and analysis are not applicable to this study.

â€¢ Page 300: Traffic Mix - May need to consider including discussion on other modes of transportation such as, Pedestrian/Hiker and Horse use.

â€¢ Page 301: Table 16. Average Daily Vehicle Use Level -The table shows annual increase in traffic volumes, whereas WYDOT's Vehicle Miles Book depicts a decrease in traffic volumes near the north end of WYO 390. Please explain.

â€¢ Pages 303, 304- Delete duplicate paragraph. "Moose-Wilson Road provides access to .... did not intend to visit the park at all. (Newman et al. 2015)."

â€¢ Page 305 - Traffic Safety Conditions - May want to consider analyzing crash rate based on number of crashes per year per 100 million vehicle-miles traveled. This will provide a comparative analysis of similar type routes. See link [http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/local\\_ruraltraining/fhwasal109/app\\_c.cfm](http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/local_ruraltraining/fhwasal109/app_c.cfm).

Crash Rate= Total# Crashes\* 100.000.000  
AADT \* 365 \*#Years\* Length in miles

Also may want to consider <http://www.highwaysafetymanual.org/Pages/default.aspx> for information on quantifying and evaluating highway safety performance using the Highway Safety Manual.

â€¢ Pages 306, 307 - May want to consider providing crash data distributed by year for wildlife, crash severity, and collision type.

â€¢ Page 307: Parking Conditions - May want to consider providing discussion on the user-created parking on Range Road south of the Granite Station.

#### Chapter 4: Environmental Consequences, Traffic and Transportation (A, B, C, D)

â€¢ Page 570 - May need to consider adding the following topics Traffic Volume Projections and Traffic Operational Analysis internal and external to the park, to support and confirm the assertions made in this chapter. Or provide written justification in the report that this information and analysis are not applicable to this study. Besides Level-of-service from the Highway Capacity Manual, other performance measures may include speed, volume-to-capacity ratios, travel time, percent time following, vehicle miles, or vehicle hours.

â€¢ Pages 570, 573, 577, 581 - Vehicular Access - May want to consider quantifying the corridor visitation and vehicular traffic volumes, and may want to consider performing traffic operational capacity analysis to address ripple affect congestion along Moose-Wilson Road, Highway 26/89/191, WYO 390, Teton Park Road, and other alternate adjacent roadways. May need to explain or describe in more detail the use of "congested" stated throughout the report. May want to consider performing vehicle queuing analyses at each horizon year and built-out design year at each entrance station to confirm the assumptions made on wait times and number of vehicles waiting, and to determine the length in feet, number of queuing lanes, and impacts to local roads and intersections.

â€¢ Pages 571, 573, 578, 582 -Traffic Mix- May need to consider quantifying traffic mix and traffic volume for each alternative at each horizon year and built-out design year along the route, at entrance points, at major intersections, and other adjacent roadways to support and confirm the assumptions made on traffic composition.

â€¢ Pages 571, 574, 578, 582 - Traffic Volumes - May need to consider quantifying the expected traffic increases and may need to consider performing traffic operational capacity analysis at each horizon year and at built-out design year to identify congestion issues along the route, at entrance points, at major intersections, and other adjacent roadways to support and confirm the assumptions made on traffic flow. May need to explain or describe in more detail the use of "congested" stated throughout the report.

â€¢ Pages 571, 574, 579, 583 - Traffic Safety Conditions - May need to consider quantifying the anticipated future traffic volumes for each horizon year and built-out design year along the route, at entrance points, at major intersections, and other adjacent roadways. Confirm statement and provide design dimensions and reference that a "safety edge" will minimize bicycle run-off-the road incidents. Please reference or explain statement that "the potential for interactions between cyclists and wildlife" would increase with a separated pathway.

â€¢ Pages 572, 574, 580, 584 - Parking Conditions - May need to consider quantifying the anticipated future traffic volumes and may need to consider performing traffic operational capacity analysis on impeded traffic flow and congestion along the corridor at parking lots and turnouts at each horizon year and at built-out design year to support and confirm the assumptions made on traffic flow. ITE's Parking Generation, 4th Edition may assist in the analysis of parking demands and to determine parking requirements. Explain in detail the impeded traffic flow and congestion stated in the study.

â€¢ Pages 572, 576, 580, 584 - Cumulative Impacts - May need to consider quantifying the traffic volumes and may need to consider performing traffic operational capacity analysis in the corridor for each horizon year and built-out design year along the route, at entrance points, at major intersections, other adjacent roadways, at parking lots, and turnouts to support and confirm the assumptions made on traffic flow and congestion.

â€¢ Pages 572, 576, 581, 584 - Conclusion - May need to consider quantifying the expected increases in visitation to the corridor and may need to consider performing traffic operational capacity analysis on congestion in the corridor for each horizon year and built-out design year along the route, at entrance points, at major intersections, at parking lots, turnouts, and adjacent roadways to determine the magnitude of the impact and identify mitigation measures as deemed appropriate for each alternative.

Thank you again for the opportunity to comment. If you have any questions or concerns please contact me or Darin Kaufman at 307-352-3000.

Sincerely,

Keith Compton, P.E.  
District Engineer

Cc: File  
Bill Panos, Interim Director, WYDOT, Cheyenne  
Gregg Fredrick, P.E. Chief Engineer, WYDOT, Cheyenne  
Bob Hammond, P.E., Resident Engineer, WYDOT, Jackson

Keith Compton, P.E., District Engineer, WYDOT, Rock Springs  
Darin D. Kaufman, P.E., PTOE, District Traffic Engineer, Rock Springs  
Jessica Crowder, Policy Advisor, Office of Governor Matt Mead, 2323 Carey Ave, Cheyenne, WY 82002

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2858    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Price, Brittany  
**Address:** Huntington Beach, CA 92647  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,06,2016 13:12:37  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2859    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Blomberg, JoAnn A  
**Address:** Teton Village, WY 83025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,06,2016 14:43:05  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** The most important thing the park needs to do is create a separate bike trail connecting the south entrance near Teton Village to Moose. Whether you decide to pave the dirt portion or realign the road, neither helps the safety of bikers using that section. Most bikers will not bike from Teton Village all the way south through Jackson and back north to Moose in order to access the park trails. Also a bike path would connect the large backward "C" that is already in existence. Both as a frequent biker and driver through this corridor I have had near accidents. We don't need another child (or adult) to lose a life because a few people don't want a separate bike trail. It seems there would be ample room to create one farther east of the current road.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2860    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bullinger, Brooke  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,30,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Letter  
**Correspondence:** Superintendent Vela -

Alternative C is a good one - please do not cave to special interests - No pathway.

Brooke Bullinger

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2861    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lurie, Sue  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Dec,30,2015 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Letter  
**Correspondence:** December 17, 2015

To the Board of County Commissioners:

1. Any new transit use in the corridor must be managed as a concession by the National Park Service, under National Park Service rules for concessionaires. The National Park Service will continue to monitor the corridor and must be allowed to make future decisions on use limits, as it does with the rest of its concessionaires. The National Park Service is the only appropriate authority to gauge and respond to corridor resource and management needs.

2. Acknowledge that conditions have changed since the 2007 Grand Teton National Park Transportation Plan, thereby requiring the National Park Service

to reevaluate what is allowable. Since 2007, more current studies have revealed that the corridor is being used more heavily by wildlife and has become more important habitat for those species. Just as the county has recognized the need to update its comprehensive land use plan due to changing conditions and new data, it is important to acknowledge the need for Grand Teton National Park to update what is allowable in the corridor-which precludes, among other things, a separated pathway consistent with changed conditions and new data in order to meet its statutory and administrative obligations. This is the essence of adaptive management which, as discussed during the December 16,2015 meeting, the commissioners requested that Grand Teton National Park use as a management strategy for the corridor.

3. Respect and support the National Park Service planning process, just as you would expect the National Park Service to respect your planning processes and authorities. The National Park Service has conducted a thorough, transparent, and well researched process to arrive at the draft decision to adopt Alternative C. In your capacity as a cooperating entity, I urge you to support the National Park Service and Grand Teton National Park for their process and decision. The National Park Service understands its own obligations and objectives better than any of its cooperating partners. Please keep in mind what you would want from the park as a cooperating entity in a planning process in which county commissioners would have the greatest amount of knowledge and authority for land under their jurisdiction. In that regard, act accordingly with respect to National Park Service recommendations for the corridor.

4. The commissioners need to speak for everyone in the county. Most of the unrelenting pressure for the National Park Service to alter its decision with respect to its choice of Alternative C comes from private and narrowly focused special interests. Beyond those special interests, residents throughout Teton County have expressed support for the National Park Service planning process and draft decision as it now stands. Please keep in mind the commissioners' obligations to represent all residents' interests.

Thank you,

Sue Lurie

cc: Jackson Town Council; Grand Teton National Park

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2862	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Hopkins, Mary				
<b>Address:</b>	Cheyenne, WY 82002 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Wyoming State Historic Preservation Office State Government				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	OfficialRep				
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,05,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Letter				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	December 15, 2015				

Grand Teton National Park  
ATTN: Moose-Wilson Planning Team  
P.O. Drawer 170  
Moose, WY 83012-0170

re: Moose-Wilson Corridor Draft Comprehensive Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement (SHPO File # 0214ECK006)

Dear Moose-Wilson Planning Team:

Thank you for consulting with the Wyoming State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) regarding the above referenced Draft Comprehensive Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement.

We agree that implementation of Alternatives B, C, and D will result in adverse effects to historic properties. We further agree that Alternative C would have the fewest adverse effects.

However, we do not agree with the statements made regarding the affects to criterion D sites under Alternatives B and C. In the conclusions sections in reference to archaeological sites for these alternatives it is stated, in part, that;

"The exceptional informational potential retained by these sites in their current conditions would be permanently lost."

If adverse effects are to occur to these resources, the data potential of these sites would not be lost permanently, but the data would be retrieved through archaeological methods and implementation of an approved data recovery plan. This data recovery effort would serve to resolve the adverse effects. The terms of this data recovery plan would need to be developed through consultation with consulting parties and formalized in a Memorandum of Agreement.

Please refer to SHPO project #0214ECK006 on any future correspondence regarding this undertaking. If you have any questions, please contact me at 307-777-6311.

Sincerely,

Mary Hopkins  
State Historic Preservation Officer

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2863	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Ferguson, Jean				
<b>Address:</b>	Jackson, WY 83001 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,04,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Letter  
**Correspondence:** To: Grand Teton National Park  
Att: Moose-Wilson Planning Team

We support the Plan C which you have proposed after much analysis and input from the public. We attended the open house and the presentation to the county commissioners which were both very informative. I was the person concerned about Map Quest sending visitors through Moose-Wilson as a connector from airport to hotels. Obviously the Mountain Resort is not bothering to contact map companies & GPS sites so the congestion will increase pressure to further widen and develop the road. Perhaps your office could work on that aspect. I think it is a large part of the summer madness.

Please stand firm against pressure & offers of money from special interests.

Your park and this road needs you to protect it from becoming a biking Disneyland. We can send bikers to other parts of the park and tourists to the real Disneyland in Calif.

Thanks for your hard work protecting this historic and natural little road and animals there.

Jean Ferguson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2864    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bullinger, Brooke  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,04,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Letter  
**Correspondence:** Re: Moose-Wilson Road Corridor -

Ecological Treasure

To quote "the richest, the most sensitive, and the most deserving of protection:"

Your duty is stewardship - for this outstanding natural area.

Preserve it for future generations; not cave into local wants and self-interest groups.

It is not a transportation corridor; must consider cumulative impacts - habitat fragmentation and radial disturbance. Disturbance of animals cause flight and a resulting energy recovery rate.

Maintenance Costs

Remember bike paths are not really green - there 56 bikes on cars for every 8.7 persons on a bike.

Disregard orchestrated lobbyists.

Sincerely,

Brooke Bullinger  
41 year resident

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2865    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wetterberg, Victor P  
**Address:** Grand Junction, CO 81501  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,04,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Letter  
**Correspondence:** December 14, 2015

Grand Teton National Park  
ATTN: Moose-Wilson Planning Team  
P.O. Drawer 170  
Moose, WY 83012-0170

RE: Moose-Wilson Draft Comprehensive Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement

To Whom It May Concern:

Below are my comments regarding the proposed comprehensive management plan/environmental impact statement.

I'm against alternative A due to the increase of tourism in the national park system. I don't know if this proposed environmental impact statement is due to increase tourism at Grand Teton National Park or every few years review by management at Grand Teton National Park.

In my opinion, alternatives B through D are very similar. However, my preference would be for alternative D. My only concern if alternative D is selected by Grand Teton National Park management is that people are not double charged for entrance fees when entering the national park at Granite Canyon entrance station and again at Moose entrance station.

Sincerely,

Victor P. Wetterberg

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2866	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Riddell, Lee				
<b>Address:</b>	Jackson, WY 83001 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,04,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Letter				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	29 DECEMBER 2015				

ATTN: MOOSE-WILSON PLANNING TEAM  
GRAND TETON NATIONAL PARK  
PO DRAWER 170  
MOOSE, WY 83012

Dear Moose-Wilson Planning Team,

I am writing in total support of your choice of Preferred Alternative C for the Moose-Wilson corridor in Grand Teton National Park.

I am in support of your idea to limit traffic to 200 vehicles at a time on the road. Like at the LSR Preserve I believe the quality of the experience of visitors is more important than the quality of people allowed wherever and whenever we want to go anywhere.

I am against any form of bike path in this corridor.

I am for wildlife.

Thank you for your consideration,

Lee Riddell

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2867	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Wolf, Jim				
<b>Address:</b>	Jackson, WY 83002 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Teton Back Country Horsemen Recreational Groups				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	OfficialRep				
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,04,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Letter				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	December 24, 2015				

To: Moose-Wilson Corridor Planning Team, GTNP

Third Input from a Recreational Equestrian Trail Riding Group, the Teton Back Country Horsemen (a chapter of the national Back Country Horsemen of America)

We were pleased that you did make "horse use" one of the ten management topics and that you recognized 1) the importance of the northern horse trail network, 2) the need for safe horse crossings and the need to reduce road speed, and 3) the need to maintain adequate horse trailer parking.

We feel that you paid attention to most of our previous comments, and we feel that your preferred Alternative C is the most appropriate of the three action plans.

We particularly feel that there should be no added multi-user trail.

We also feel strongly that the Sawmill Ponds lot should remain open for horse trailers and that the northern horse trail network from Sawmill Ponds to Sky Ranch and to White Grass should be continued.

We concur with several of the recurring elements in the options:

" Lowering the speed to 20 mph ... we do wish it were even lower through the LSRP

" Realigning the northernmost M-W Road segment



- " Re-vamping the Death Canyon Parking areas
- " Maintaining safe horse crossing points
- " Improving the unpaved southern road segment

We are disappointed that the Draft does not acknowledge the character of the horse user experience in the broader corridor area. Since we do not ride on the M-W Road, we do not overlap with the cyclists or vehicles. And with the hikers, we overlap only on the main Granite Canyon, Death Canyon, Valley and Phelps Lake Shoreline trails. However we, and the guest ranch riders, are the primary users of everywhere else natural in this corridor. In the Draft, horse use is considered almost solely in terms of the various trailer parking options.

#### Reaction to Each of the M-W Road Corridor Draft Plan's Individual Alternatives From the Perspective of the Recreational Equestrians

##### 1. Alternative A (No Action) would be acceptable to the recreational equestrians

Selfishly, the current status quo does work well for horses, since we do not use the M-W roadbed and we are not impacted by the peak traffic problems or the wildlife 'jams'. The likely lowering of the speed limit and the new horse crossing signs allay our crossing safety concerns.

Looking at the current recognized horse trails map (page 42), we were glad that the very important northern end trails were recognized:

- " From the old JY northwest back gate to White Grass and to the M-W Road
- " From the Sawmill Ponds Overlook to Sky Ranch and to White Grass

We also appreciate the recognition of the trails coming from the RLazyS access road into the main trail network.

We do note that two of our current road crossings were left off of the map:

- " The Bear Paw trail junction crossing is the only way to go from the north end of upper Poker Flats to the lower Poker Flats network. This crossing does now have the "Horse Crossing" warning sign.
- " The crossing at the LSR Preserve entrance is the access to the old JY service road that connects to Wister Draw and Phelps Lake as well as the JY back gate trails ... and this crossing is just north of a blind curve on the M-W Road.

If taken literally, Alternative A would also preclude any further reduction of the currently used horse trail network and road crossings, and this we would perceive as an important benefit.

##### 2. Alternative B (M-W Corridor as a Destination) would not be acceptable to the recreational equestrians

We support the underlying 'corridor as a destination' concept, but your key implementation elements are problematic for us:

- " Closing the Sawmill Pond parking area to horse trailers and removing the horse trails coming from Sawmill Pond to Sky Ranch and White Grass would be a major forfeiture for the equestrians
- " We feel that queuing the peak traffic at the outer ends is a much better approach than in a complicated middle blocking gate which would have to be ranger-managed.

We also disagree with realigning the short southern segment, not so much for the equestrians but for the visitors, since this is the primary stretch for viewing wildlife. Realigning to the stretch of meadows from the Bettys to Sawmill Ponds yields a much less rich experience, and we fear there will be significant user-originated trails from this new roadbed west back to the beaver ponds and east to the banks of the Snake. Basically this realignment seems to be a default traffic management approach.

We do support concentrating the Death Canyon Trail parking near the White Grass Ranch. This will promote more visitations to this restored historical site. It will keep the horse access and hiker access to White Grass separated. We would hope that the possible hiking loop on the horse trails to the JY and through Wister Draw to Phelps Lake and then back via the Valley Trail would not be publicized.

We do think that the visitors can be encouraged to think of the corridor as a 'destination' experience with tailored education, maps, and other handouts at the gates .... covering key interest natural and historical sites, viewsapes, trail options, wildlife notes and how to act in a possible wildlife jam. A pull-out area could be provided beyond the entry gate. This would improve the mindset and experience of the visitors at the outset and improve safety, traffic management, and an informed respect along the corridor. Today we see many confused tourists pulling into the Poker Flats trailer area to study the maps they have just received.

##### 3. Alternative C (Balanced Values) would be the preferred alternative to the recreational equestrians ... with some adjustments

We agree that this is the best of the three alternatives:

- 1 ) The lowered speed limit is the primary advantage to us. We wish it were even lower, say 15 mph, through the LSRP.
- 2) It maintains our existing trails and crossings, assuming that GTNP recognizes the importance of each of the northern area trails and crossings now listed.
  - " Unlike the case in Poker Flats, there are no redundancies in the northern trail network.
  - " Re-stating our earlier comments, the Bear Paw trail junction crossing and the LSR Preserve crossing both need to be recognized and with appropriate signage.
- 3) It maintains three trailer parking areas .... although we would prefer the general Death Canyon Trailhead parking be located nearer to White Grass. With the Barker inholding having shifted to the Park, there will be more horse travel coming from the old RLazyS along the telephone line ridge and then up the Barker driveway to both the White Grass and the Phelps Lake trails.
  - " The trailer parking need at the Death Canyon junction will be small; the current space should continue to work well, but this is an area where it would be beneficial to separate trailers from the general vehicle parking
  - " We are not particularly concerned about the loss of Granite Canyon lot parking if the Poker Flats lot is upgraded, possibly with short overflow shoulder areas.

4) It does not realign the short southern segment, which therefore protects the meadows between the river and the road. We do support the realignment of the northern road segment

5) While we would not be trailering on the currently unpaved segment, we think that its paving would benefit the general traffic flow and overall driver attention to peripheral scenery as well as to the two horse crossing points

6) For peak time traffic control, queuing traffic at the ends creates far fewer problems than queuing in the middle.

" Since horse trailers are not thru-traffic, we would hope to have some expedited status at the south gate.

" Approaching from the south, we would appear to have the continuing option to park on the shoulder of the RlasyS entry road.

Overall, except for the potential queuing delays at peak season, Alternative C will have little impact on current horse usage and potentially offers better road crossing safety.

4. Alternative D (Integrated with broader system plus an added multi-use trail) would not be acceptable to the recreational equestrians

The creation of the separate multi-user path as described is exceptionally unfavorable for the recreational horse users.

While we previously supported a narrow, safety-oriented path adjunct to the M-W Road north and south of the LSRP, the proposed major separated pathway no longer has our approval:

" The possible safety issue is satisfied by the lower speed limits and the very low incident rate of cyclist accidents

" This trail will both draw users from the existing GTNP path, creating a parallel traffic stream for the wildlife transit. We anticipate a much higher cyclist volume than the historical average.

" It also establishes a 30-mile designated loop from town for the cyclists wanting a 1% hour athletic training experience as opposed to a focus on the surroundings ... and this non-park oriented, concentrated focus adds to the danger for horse crossings as well as the crossing wildlife.

Equally important, a supplemental path is forced to loop around the LSRP which will impact the current horse trails and probably the mid-meadow wildlife.

" This is the primary trail segment that the horses now use to go through the hay meadows in the middle third of Poker Flats and also to get to the northern corridor trail networks. The cyclists and horses will be pinched together at the M-W Road junction near the LSRP entrance

" We already see frequent cyclist and motorcycle poaching coming off the M-W Road via the Cheney Highway going to and onto the Levee Road. The Cheney roadbed crosses almost all of the north/south trails in lower Poker Flats. Thus we feel that this added loop will facilitate increased cyclist trail-poaching throughout the lower Poker Flats trails network

" Whereas the elk, moose and black bears appear relatively unconcerned with the current recreational and guest ranch horse traffic in the lower Poker Flats trails, we feel that added a steady flow of cyclist and hikers will substantially change the grazing patterns of these animals, forcing their relocation.

Summary Recommendations From the Recreational Equestrian Viewpoint

1) Proceed with the overall approach of the GTNP-Preferred Alternative C, particularly lowering the speed limit and controlling traffic at the entry gates; consider lowering the speed limit further through the LSRP

2) Maintain all of the current listed horse trails and crossings; particularly those north of LSRP; Add back to the 'official' map the crossings at Bear Paw Trail junction and the LSRP entrance

3) Do not add a separate multi-user trail, either adjacent to the M-W Road or looping around the LSRP

4) Do not close the Sawmill Pond Lot to trailers or close the horse trails coming out of that lot

5) Offset the closure of the Granite Canyon lot to trailers with an upgraded Poker Flats trailer lot

6) Realign the northernmost M-W Road segment; Do not realign the segment from White Grass Junction to Sawmill Ponds

7) Repave the unpaved southern road segment to the LSRP boundary

8) Re-locate the Death Canyon parking to near the White Grass Ranch entrance but not to the M-W Road junction

9) At the peak traffic times; expedite the south end horse trailer traffic to the Poker Flats lot or facilitate trailer parking along the RlasyS access road

10) Create and distribute more materials at the entry gates which promote the M-W corridor as a destination and the behaviors appropriate for its full appreciation

Finally, we wish to thank GTNP for recognizing our earlier expressed concerns and maintaining an overall commitment to recreational and guided horse use in this sector. We are most willing to participate in any follow-on discussions or analyses as appropriate. Respectfully submitted for the Board of Directors,

Jim Wolf, President  
Teton Back Country Horsemen  
PO Box 11206  
Jackson, Wyoming 83002

(307) 733-5983  
marketwolf@wyoming.com

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2868      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Lyons, Fred W  
**Address:** Teton Village, WY 83025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,07,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Letter  
**Correspondence:** December 8, 2015

I am Fred Lyons... We live at 6935 North Granite Creek Rd in Lake Creek Ranch, north of Teton Village.

I am writing to comment on the draft C proposal for the M-W road. I commented last summer that with wild life In the narrow corridor It made no sense to Increase traffic and put In a pathway. We appreciate your response to our suggestion.

There are two Issues that need to be addressed:

During the summer months there are times when the traffic Is backed up on public highway 390 from the toll cabin south past the entrance to our ranch community. Those of us at Lake Creek Ranch, R Lazy S Ranch and Granite Ranch have experienced a number of times considerable wait to get In and out of our turnoff. Unfortunately the toll cabin Is too close to Rte 390. It perhaps could be moved north closer to the bridge away from the highway. While there may be other alternatives please address this.

Another problem with the toll cabin so close to Rte 390 Is the parking of horse trailers on our turnoff. This would also be addressed by moving the toll cabin north to the bridge so horse trailers could use the Poker Flats parking area and avoid going through the toll gate.

I am not sure of the best solutions to the problems but request that GTNP address them. Thank you.

Respectfully,

Fred W. Lyons, Jr.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2869    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Zolik, Mike  
**Address:** Teton Village, WY 83025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,08,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We applaud the hard work that went into the Park's thoughtful plan seeking a solution for the Moose-Wilson corridor. We believe the Park's plan, utilizing a Visitor Capacity System and paving the remainder of Moose-Wilson Road, addresses many of the documented issues in a manner that is fair to all who use and support Grand Teton National Park.

Mike and Sasha Zolik

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2870    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lestitian, Jeff  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,08,2016 08:15:47  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** It's time to acknowledge the development of Teton Village as the key factor in the degradation of the Moose-Wilson road. Nobody would be using it as a 'short-cut' without large (and growing) number of hotels being developed at the end of 390. Since this is something that won't be changing (JHMR is largely responsible for Jackson's winter economic activity), let's consider another change.

The idea has been floated before: A north bridge, somewhere between the airport and Gros Ventre junction, cutting straight across to Teton Village. I keep hearing that the reason this idea was nixed originally was to get arriving tourists to drive through town and spend money before going to the village. I can guarantee that our increasing number of tourists are making a beeline to the village right now. They do stop by town, but only after getting to their lodgings.

A north bridge would save the Moose-Wilson corridor as well alleviate the horrendous traffic building up on 390 and 22 during peak ski commuting hours. That added benefit might keep Hwy 22 from going to four lanes.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2871    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bourdeaux, Christina  
**Address:** Cape Elizabeth, ME 04107  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,08,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** This is a follow-up comment to a previous one.  
I do feel that the Park has done a terrific job with the research and presentation of that research to the public over the past 12 months.  
I am in support of the alternative chosen by the Park.  
I feel that the Park's choice will create the least disturbance to the wildlife and flora of this precious area.

This road is not a section of the Jackson Hole transportation and highways, it is a Park road that needs the attention and preservation that the Rockefeller family would agree to. I believe that the alternative chosen by the Park will be in the best interest of Grand Teton National Park.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2872	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	Chewelah, WA 99109 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,08,2016 10:41:01				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Yvette Goot

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2873	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Schlatter, Jeanne				
<b>Address:</b>	Coshocton, OH 43812 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,08,2016 18:20:48				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Jeanne Schlatter

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2874	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Schwender, C				
<b>Address:</b>	Wilson, WY 83014 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,09,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	1) The Moose-Wilson road was an important route of travel for residents well before there was a Grand Teton National Park. To use spurious justifications to close (or limit use of) the road to such continued use is poor governance, and extremely unfair to those citizens who use the road to access southern areas of the park.				

2) Wildlife is the hook on which all the "limit-use" arguments hang. Yet I have not read of nor heard about any vehicle/animal fatalities on this section of

road. There are, on the other hand, scores of animals killed on highway 89. A much stronger argument could support having that road closed. In fact, if the over-zealous wildlife advocates are allowed to prevail, all roads in the Park should be closed- - to protect wildlife.

3) The problems with the Moose-Wilson road are caused by speeding vehicles, over-sized (too heavy) vehicles, and tourists who stop in middle of the road to watch a deer graze. The only problem the Park has done anything about is the traffic jams (and thanks for that). Take a look at the stats on speeding tickets- - you will find a dearth of tickets issued on the M-W road (especially compared to the faster highway routes). In fact, I have NEVER seen a law enforcement ranger on the M-W road except at a traffic jam (and one seen giving a \$50 ticket to a mid-winter skier on the road whose dog was off lease). Without law enforcement, the speeders on the road are often seen driving way too fast.

4) It will do no good to lower the speed limit if it continues to be unenforced.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2875    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dugan, Pamela A  
**Address:** Naples, FL 34119  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,09,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** None

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2876    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Randall, Dorene J  
**Address:** Troy, MI 48085  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,10,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Humans and wildlife can live together. Use the common sense that God gave you the ability to use. Each of us needs to do our part to preserve the world with which we are blessed. Use alternative C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2877    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Cincinnati, OH 45212  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,10,2016 13:20:01  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Matt

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2878    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Rhodes, Janet  
**Address:** Cathedral City, CA 92234  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,10,2016 14:59:52  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Janet Rhodes

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2879    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Brown, Gordon H  
**Address:** Victor, ID 83455  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,10,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Thank you for the document list, and video presentation of the MW Corridor (MWC) and Teton National Park's (TNP) preferred proposal. They are very informative.

Having been enjoying the corridor by foot, bike, and vehicle for 35 years, I am well aware that due to the increase in traffic, there needs to be changes made that will provide a unique experience for visitors while simultaneously protecting the environment and its wildlife for this generation of visitors and those to come.

I am whole heartedly in support of reducing the number of privately owned vehicle on the Moose Wilson Road at any one time; however, I diverge from the Teton National Park's preferred proposal and suggest portions of it be changed to one that is similar, in some respects, to other National Park policies (ex. the South Rim of Grand Canyon and Zion). Accordingly, I recommend that the Park contract with a concessionaire that would provide round trip van transportation for the public during the peak visitation periods, the time(s) to be determined by the Park Service. The multitudes of people could then park their cars, SUVs, camper vans, and motorcycles either at Teton Village, or at a parking lot established for that purpose near the present toll booth, or at both.

This proposal would reduce congestion and noise while simultaneously providing the opportunity for visitors to literally sit back, relax and enjoy the show along the corridor. The van ride would provide multiple scheduled stops at Rockefeller, Moose Museum, Jenny Lake and String Lake that would be coordinated in such a way as to accommodate passengers both arriving and departing the various stops. In addition, an appropriate period of time could be included in the schedule to provide time for van stop at established turnouts and allow passengers to disembark to view and take pictures of the flora and fauna. This system would not be too unlike urban bus schedules, albeit less complicated. A van schedule would be made available via the local papers and park brochures so visitors could make a choice to either to drive the highway or leave transportation to a driver who could also be educated as a tour guide so to speak.

This alternative would also constructively address the parking problem at Jenny Lake and String Lake as well as reducing the possibilities of accidents due to drivers understandably distracted by the beauty of the Park or trying to spot and or view wildlife. Parenthetically, fewer cars on the MW road would make riding a bike much safer and coincide with the growth in the use bicycles as the preferred means of travel by an increasing number of people using bikes as a low-impact method of experiencing the park. Ultimately it would reflect the mandate of the National Park Service to protect the environment for future generations, thus, exemplifying the protective, creative, sensitive, and anticipatory nature of the National Park Service policies.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Gordon H. Brown

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2880    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Montanez, Marni  
**Address:** Hillsboro, OR 97123  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Ms. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Jan,10,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** It has been a process of hard work and awareness of all aspects. Plan C is well thought out and does not disrupt the natural rhythm of this place. It sounds like a harmonious and wise plan.  
Keep all animals and people safe.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2881    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mink, Daniel  
**Address:** HARRISBURG, PA 17110  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,10,2016 18:49:09  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Daniel G. Mink

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2882    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Richard, Ellis E  
**Address:** Washington, DC 20003  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Park Rangers For Our Lands Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Jan,10,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
info@parkrangers.org  
To: Grand Tetons National Park Moose-Wilson Road Planning Team  
From: Ellis Richard, Founder, Park Rangers For Our Lands  
Subject: Comments on Draft Plan for Moose/Wilson Road

Park Rangers For Our Lands, an advocacy organization composed of retired and former park rangers from the National Park Service and Bureau of Land Management, is pleased to offer comments relative to the recently published draft plan for the Moose/Wilson Road corridor.

We support the park's identified preferred alternative D and believe it strikes the right balance between providing opportunities for visitors to access an important resource while preserving important wildlife and cultural resources within the park. It is clear to us that the park made an extensive effort in collecting and analyzing a very wide range of data as well as incorporating the views and preferences of a wide range of stakeholders.

We also understand the challenges that lay ahead in the implementation of this alternative. Managing the increased traffic loads during the summer along a narrow and winding historic road will probably be a challenge no matter what changes are made in the management of this corridor. But we are especially pleased that the park opted not to recommend construction of a separate multi-use trail, which we also think is simply a euphemism for a separate paved bicycle path. We do not consider the Moose-Wilson Road as a legitimate part of the transportation network for the town of Jackson and Teton County. Arguing that a separate bicycle path provides alternatives to automobile use on the road is to us a very weak argument. We recognize the interest of the bicycle community in developing pathways throughout the county for use by bicyclists. We do not believe, however, that a separate bike path or even an added bike lane would provide any noticeable reduction in automobile traffic. And it would only provide recreational benefits to a very small number of people visiting the park. The amount of landscape and habitat required for a separate bike path, the potential for increasing disruption to park wildlife such as moose, elk and grizzly bears, the increased safety risks, and the relatively small number of users makes the inclusion of a bike path unsupportable along the Moose-Wilson Road.

The Moose-Wilson Road represents a disappearing resource in today's America. The narrow winding country road provides an opportunity to experience an America of a bygone era. Park Rangers For Our Lands appreciates the effort of the park in preserving the opportunity for that experience as well as the continued protection of the natural and cultural resources present along the corridor.

Ellis Richard  
Founder, Park Rangers For Our Lands

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2883    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Owen, Douglass  
**Address:** Arco, ID 83213  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,11,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I would prefer Alternative "B".

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2884    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wolf, Jim

**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,11,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Letter  
**Correspondence:** January 5th, 2016

To: Moose-Wilson Corridor Planning Team, GTNP

As long term local residents, we strongly support your Alternative C.

We have been high users of the Moose-Wilson Corridor area for the 20 years we have been in Jackson: horseback riding and hiking in the summer and cross country skiing in the meadows, to White Grass and to Phelps Lake in the winter. We almost always bring visiting friends through the M-W Road corridor to the Sawmill Ponds Overlook to view wildlife.

Overall, we think that adding a multi-use path, either along the roadside or through the northern or southern hay meadows, would degrade the intimate character of the road way. It would also disrupt the wildlife patterns between the Moose-Wilson Road and the river. We now see cyclist tracks and even motorcycle tracks on the current service road bed connecting M-W Road to the levee. We worry that the considered new multi-use path with increase the cyclist poaching on all the side trails between the M-W Road and the river.

We are quite supportive of lowering the speed limit to 20 mph and also greatly reducing commercial traffic. We object strongly to those currently using the road from the west bank as a shortcut to the airport or to northbound Highway 89.

We are not concerned about the starting corridor capacity limit We assume that you will streamline the process and evolve to an appropriate guideline over time.

We would prefer the unpaved road segment remain as is, both to slow the traffic flow further and also to discourage non-GTNP oriented traffic, whether vehicular or cyclist.

We think that the concept of re-framing the M-W Corridor as a destination has merit, but could be done with programmed handouts at the entry gates.

From our equestrian perspective, we are pleased that you recognized the important riding trails network north of the Preserve. We strongly oppose any preclusion of trailers in the Sawmill Ponds lot or the elimination of the important horse trail from the Sawmill Ponds lot to Sky Ranch.

We need the continued horse trailer parking at Poker Flats, at White Grass junction and at Sawmill Ponds Overlook If you re-vamp the Poker Flats trailer lot including a short length of berm-side overflow parking, we can get by without trailer parking in the Granite Canyon lot.

While we support Alternative C with the traffic control at the entry gates, we would appreciate that horse trailers could bypass the south-end peak period queue, either in the admin lane or by parking on the side of the RLazyS entry road.

Finally we want to applaud you for putting highest priority on the natural underpinnings of the GTNP experience in the face of those want to either create an easy extension of the resort, or want northern transit convenience, or desire an added redundant and very disruptive cyclist lane.

Sincerely,

Jim Wolf and Nan Neth  
25 East Hansen Avenue  
Jackson, Wyoming 83001

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2885    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** White, Paula  
**Address:** Berkeley, CA 94710  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,11,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support the NPS's preferred alternative C to manage the Moose-Wilson corridor. This alternative addresses the need to manage the number of visitors during peak periods using appropriate technology. I agree with those who commented on this strategy's point that informing visitors of peak use periods and anticipated wait times through the use of electronic signs and alerts posted on websites is wise. I also support the speed limit of 20 miles per hour to improve bicycle safety and reduce the likelihood of collisions with wildlife.

Alternative C leaves room for the implementation of more stringent congestion controls later on if warranted, such as providing a transit system or requiring reservations. I have visited the Yosemite Valley numerous times and the transit system used there effectively manages high visitor volumes. I am less inclined to support reservations, since this would tend to exclude visitors who may not be able to visit the Park except on the highest use days. If the primary management concern is to restrict vehicular access to the area, then implementing a transit system within the most sensitive areas or the park makes sense.

Thank you for considering my comments.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2886    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Draggon, Robert



**Address:** Bethesda, MD 20816  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Jan,11,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** My wife and I recently spent two weeks touring the Grand Teton and Yellowstone National Parks. While staying in Jackson Hole we toured the Grand Teton National Park including three visits to the area accessed by the Moose Wilson road. We were fortunate to observe Deer, Moose and owls during our travels on the Moose Wilson Highway.

We strongly support limiting any major changes to the Wilson to Moose Highway which we believe would have a detrimental impact on the wildlife in the region. We were very impressed with the National Park Center in Moose and work that the National Park Service is doing to maintain the National Park property in the area. We fully support the work that National Park Service in doing the preserve the natural habitat along the Wilson to Moose road.

Sincerely

Robert and Jeanne Draggon  
5911 Wiltshire Drive  
Bethesda, Maryland 20816

Tel:301-320-7060  
email: rdraggon@gmai.com

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2887    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Landowski, Jodi A  
**Address:** Mount Pleasant, WI 53406  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Jan,11,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Please support Alternative C to help better protect the wildlife and visitors.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2888    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Sibson, Barry  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Jan,12,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I strongly support the NPS Preferred Alternate C except for the changes at Death Canyon.

As a Teton County resident, I use the Moose-Wilson corridor frequently, summer and winter. I believe the corridor provides a unique experience that is not replicated elsewhere in GTNP or YNP. It provides visitors the opportunity to feel intimate with the natural environment while still remaining in a vehicle. The moose, beavers and bears seem to ignore the presence of the vehicles so that one can observe their activities from a closer perspective than anyone on foot would be able to do on a frequent basis. More turnouts in the wetland area, with a requirement that visitors remain in their vehicle, would provide the experience of seeing the wildlife up close without causing them to flee and could prevent the jam-ups that now are frequent.

It is my concern that the wildlife in the area would not tolerate bikers as they do vehicles. Additionally, it appears to me that bikers on pathways are more interested in the exercise and speed of biking and are not as interested in the environmental experience presented in the corridor. I would prefer that biking be discouraged and am strongly opposed to a bike pathway in the corridor.

In the Death Canyon area, I would prefer to have continued and improved vehicle access to the current parking area at the Valley Trail trailhead. Another alternative would be to place the end-of-road parking area close to the buildings at White Grass. This would provide access for more people to see the significant improvements that have been made there.

The parking area planned at the entry to Death Canyon Road should be cleared of snow in the winter. That area is heavily used by x-c skiers going up Death Canyon and going down the unplowed section of Moose-Wilson road. There is difficult congestion at that terminus during the winter.

An expansion of the parking area at Granite Canyon would also relieve some of the overcrowding in that area, summer and winter.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2889    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Cheyenne, WY 82007  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Wyoming Office of Tourism Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:** Member

**Received:** Jan,12,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:**

The Wyoming Office of Tourism is pleased to have the opportunity to provide comment on the Moose-Wilson Corridor Draft Plan/EIS. Grand Teton National Park is an incredible asset for Wyoming residents and non-resident visitors alike and the delicate balance between preservation and accessibility

ensures the grandeur of these significant resources for generations to come.

During the final planning of the Moose-Wilson Corridor it is important that all avenues of visitor experiences are carefully looked at to provide the best long term benefits for both resident and non-resident visitors as domestic and international visitation to the United States, Wyoming and to our National Parks is expected to continue to grow, year over year.

It is in the opinion of the Wyoming Office of Tourism that Alternative D provides the greatest potential to connect all visitors to the broader park experience. The incorporation of a separated multiuse pathway supports and promotes year-round multimodal transportation alternatives and expands winter recreational opportunities within the corridor. The commitment of a separated multiuse pathway also eliminates safety concerns that are presented with the other shared roadway alternatives. Finally, Alternative D maximizes the visitor experience and education/interpretation initiatives with the commitment to additional turnouts and viewing areas with the capacity for interpretive media, programs, and materials to enhance the visitor experience.

However, it is the recommendation of the Wyoming Office of Tourism to adopt the traffic management strategy presented in Alternative C rather than the reservation system proposed in Alternative D. Providing traveler alerts and queuing lanes on the north and south end of the corridor would allow for effective management of traffic volumes and self-selection of alternative routes without deterring visitors before they arrive at the park. A reservation system may ultimately discourage or hinder visitation.

While Alternative C is NPS's Preferred Alternative, the Wyoming Office of Tourism encourages consideration be given to creative solutions of adding additional elements into Alternative C to improve the visitor experience, by implementing a multiuse pathway system, even if implemented in phases.

Lastly, it is in the opinion of the Wyoming Office of Tourism that while it is important to consider the best alternative that provides the greatest potential to connect all visitors to the broader park experience, it is also important to engage with local partners so that their needs are considered and addressed as final corridor plans are made.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2890	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Scott, Mary G				
<b>Address:</b>	Moose, WY 83012 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,13,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	January 8, 2016				

Superintendent  
Grand Teton National Park  
PO Drawer 170  
Moose, WY 83012

Dear Superintendent Vela:

Subject: Moose Wilson Corridor Draft Comprehensive Management Plan/DEIS

The Moose Wilson Corridor (Corridor) is located at the southernmost location of the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem (GYE), which is one of the largest intact temperate ecosystems on earth, and which supports some of the highest levels of biodiversity in North America. Specifically, the Corridor possesses a diverse array of wildlife habitat, which results from the Snake River's extensive riparian habitats being closer to the Teton Range in the Moose-Wilson Corridor than at any other location in the park. The project area functions as a critical wildlife movement area - for moose, elk, wolves, and black and grizzly bears - particularly for north-south movement along and above the Snake River floodplain.

Further underscoring the significance of this area, one sixth of the Corridor is potential wilderness. Significantly, nearly 10 per cent of the planning area (1106 acres) was donated to the National Park Service by Laurance S. Rockefeller in 2007 - the most valuable gift likely ever given to the American public and held by the National Park Service (NPS) - with the explicit intent that it would achieve a "...delicate balance between conservation of nature and public access and use." Mr. Rockefeller went on to state on the announcement of his gift that "...in too many places in the national parks, overcrowding and overuse are progressively destroying the very values people seek in coming to our parks." According to the Laurance S. Rockefeller (LSR) Preserve's easement, which is legally binding on the NPS, the Preserve is "intended to inspire appreciation and reverence for the beauty and diversity of the natural world, to demonstrate the importance of protecting the land while providing public access and to foster individual responsibility for conservation stewardship."

In summary, the Moose-Wilson Corridor is an area of exceptional habitat within the GYE, is one of the most nationally significant portions of Grand Teton National Park, and is one of the most scenic roads within the National Park System, thus it is deserving of the highest level of protection and management by the National Park Service (NPS).

With the issuance of the DEIS, the NPS has identified a preferred alternative that is a conservation-minded, science-based approach to the management of this sensitive corridor. It represents a thoughtful, adaptive management strategy, that puts resource preservation - both cultural and natural - as a priority for future management. It confirms that this is a national park road, and adapts the visitor capacity system approach used at the LSR Preserve and expands it to the entire Corridor, a system that is focused on assuring both an exceptional visitor experience and long term resource preservation.

The preferred alternative is a reasonable approach to addressing the rapidly increasing use and congestion that is occurring within the Corridor. Rather than proposing to restrict through-traffic, as described in alternative B, the park is proposing to limit the number of vehicles entering the Corridor during peak use periods. In essence, the park's proposed visitor capacity for the Corridor would maintain visitation at current levels currently seen during peak visitation. Based on the park's 2013 data, the proposed queuing would occur 25 - 30 days in July and August, and wait times would peak at nine minutes. This is a wholly rational and reasonable approach to the Corridor's management into the future. Other improvements detailed in the preferred alternative include realigning the northern most 0.6 miles of the road out of sensitive riparian habitat, reducing speeds, paving the south end of the road to improve bicyclist safety and discontinue magnesium treatments (for dust abatement), and continuing existing winter uses on an ungraded surface.

The adaptive management strategy included in the preferred alternative also references a reservation system and transit as possible actions that may be considered in the future, should the need arise. However, any future transit proposals must be wholly under the control and management of the National Park Service, and should only serve national park purposes. See additional discussion below.

The National Park Service preferred alternative will protect this area's wildlife and provide appropriate and balanced access for decades to come, reinforcing the fact that the Moose- Wilson Corridor is owned by all Americans. The park should be commended for the level of public engagement and scientific updates that have led the public to this critical juncture. I encourage the park to proceed to a FEIS and a Record of Decision in a timely manner.

#### Specific comments:

**Transit:** Expand the discussion regarding transit to specifically include the ridership and frequency of the park's current pilot transit program, which has been operating for a number of years, following the park's 2007 Transportation Plan Record of Decision (ROD). This shuttle runs every summer, serves the Home Ranch Parking lot in the Town of Jackson as well as many destinations within Grand Teton, and is used primarily by park concession employees to get to and around the park. Specifically, in 2015, there were 15 daily departures from Town to Flagg Ranch, at a cost of \$15 per day. The lack of ridership other than by concession employees likely reflects a lack of overall demand for transit by visitors to and within Grand Teton NP.

Any transit proposals to be considered by the park in the future should look comprehensively at the entire park, not just the Moose-Wilson Corridor, and should be wholly under the control and management of the NPS. Recent pushes by Teton Village and other interests for increased transit along the Moose-Wilson corridor should only be considered within the context of a broader park-wide program. It should also be limited to the visitor numbers contained in the DEIS' visitor capacity determination, i.e. 550 visitors in the corridor at one time.

If transit is considered along the Moose-Wilson Corridor in the future, concomitant reduction of privately owned vehicles should be employed, so there is no net gain of visitor numbers as a result of transit above that recommended in the preferred alternative within the DEIS. If visitor education is the objective in suggesting transit, a number of wildlife viewing concession vans currently use the Corridor throughout the summer, many emanating from Teton Village. Thus, there is already a quasi-transit system being used to access the Corridor that benefits visitor education. It is important to note, however, that these wildlife viewing shuttles access the entire park, not just the Moose-Wilson Road.

**Grizzly Bears:** having managed this species for one-third of my NPS career, the potential for unpredictable behavior by grizzly bears in close proximity to pedestrians, bicyclists as well as those in vehicles should be a major safety concern that continues in the management of the Corridor. It may not be common knowledge that grizzly bears have charged vehicles along the Moose-Wilson Road, resulting in road closures to protect the bears and visitors.

Though many members of the local community believe they understand bear behavior, the potential hazards that bears represent should not be underestimated. The contents of the MacHutchon report (Human-Bear Interaction Risk Assessment for the July 2014 Moose-Wilson Corridor Management Plan Alternatives), as well as the support of the conclusions contained in that report (see Appendix 1) by Professor Stephen Herrero - an internationally recognized authority on bear ecology, behavior and attacks - provides a solid factual basis as to the ill-advisability of a separated pathway anywhere within the Moose-Wilson Corridor.

**Visitor Capacity System:** The proposed sequencing of vehicles to limit the number to 200 at any one time within the Corridor is the same visitor use capacity technique used at the LSR Preserve since it opened to the public in 2008. The concept used at the Preserve should be described in more detail in the NEPA document. The delays projected with the overall Corridor limit of 200 vehicles at any one time are quite similar to the delays experienced by visitors to the LSR Preserve for the last eight years. This reflects broad acceptance by park visitors of the LSR's current visitor limits, and likely translates into the public's acceptance of a more broad application of the plan's proposed Corridor-wide visitor capacity system.

**LSR Preserve:** More clearly articulate the NPS' requirements and commitment in fulfilling the significant conservation easement - including the operating plan - requirements associated with the LSR Preserve. Also, please expand and clarify Mr. Laurance Rockefeller's intent in donating what constitutes nearly 10 per cent of the plan area. Both the conservation easement and operating plan are legally binding documents that the NPS must adhere to, and are continuously monitored by representatives of the donor. The general public should be made more aware of the donor's intent and the detailed requirements for the NPS via the NEPA document.

**Adaptive management:** though generally outlined in Appendix A, specific triggers and thresholds should be detailed in the Record of Decision - making them legally binding - that will provide sufficient structure upon which to base adaptive management in future years. With sufficient detail devoted to thresholds, triggers and monitoring measures, the park may be able to avoid the significant pressures historically exerted by private interests, which was most recently exhibited in a recent (December 2015) meeting of Teton Village, Jackson Hole Mountain Resort, Teton County, Town of Jackson and NPS with the Governor's office.

The American public demands and deserves certainty going forward, particularly with regard to the full protection of the Corridor's natural and cultural resources. The future of the Corridor is of broad national interest, and whose purpose is not just to meet the perceived needs or economic interests of local or regional groups.

**Cultural resources:** as described in the DEIS pp. 241-245, it is clear that a realignment of the Road at Sawmill Ponds would represent a major impact to the most significant archeological sites ever identified in the park, if not the GYE. The significance of these sites as a winter camp was referenced by numerous tribes engaged in consultation with the park (p.244). As an enrolled member of the Osage Tribe, I would underscore the need to avoid disturbing this assemblage of archeological sites, and for the park to be ever respectful of those native Americans who remain actively engaged in protecting their, and our, common history. These archeological sites represent living and breathing cultures, and as such, should not be sacrificed or compromised in any manner.

Further, though archeological sites can be "mitigated", e.g. excavated, analyzed and stored, that does not mean that impacting the archeological site is an appropriate action to take. In fact, preservation of these archeological sites, in situ, better meets the essence and intent of the Organic Act, which "purpose is to conserve the scenery and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations."

As noted in the DEIS, road realignment at Sawmill Ponds would result in "permanent, significant, and irreversible adverse impacts on two of the park's most important prehistoric archeological sites." One site would be completely destroyed by ground disturbance associated with road. Further "The exceptional informational potential retained by these sites in their current conditions would be permanently lost." (p. 502). Destruction of these sites would run counter to the Organic Act, therefore the road should be maintained in its current alignment in this location.

Vegetation Setbacks: Table 8 page 152, under "Moose Wilson Road Realignment", column marked 'alternative C.' The text in this column references creating "vegetation setbacks." Under no circumstance should important sources of black bear and grizzly bear forage - including but not limited to hawthorn, chokecherry, and service berry - be impacted by modification of vegetation. Rather, road closures and intense visitor management should be the preferred management action, over any removal of bear food sources such as fruit-bearing trees/shrubs and other habitat types of high value to black bears and grizzly bears.

Winter Access: Regarding winter access for skiers and snowshoers, this activity should be maintained as it currently exists, i.e. via an ungroomed surface. Bicycles should not be permitted on the road during the winter season. Low impact seasonal access during the winter months provides a welcome respite for wildlife that are heavily impacted during the high use summer season.

Road Design: It is clear that much can be done via design to reduce speed and improve resource conditions, particularly with regard to correcting drainage issues and slowing vehicle speeds. I would expect that this level of detail would occur during implementation, and anticipate that the park will be exploring design details and solutions with its primary design partner, FHWA.

Safety: when looked at in composite - including the park's Road Safety Audit (RSA) findings, the proposed paving of the south end of the road, installation of "safety edges", limiting the number of vehicles at any one time in the Corridor, and the further reduction of vehicle speeds - the park is providing more than adequate mitigation for any real or perceived safety concerns of pedestrian or bicyclists. Given that 2-3 per cent of Corridor visitors are bicyclists, and the extremely low incident of documented bicycle accidents on Moose-Wilson Road, these proposed safety improvements represent a comprehensive approach, and demonstrate a solid commitment by the park to supporting visitor access and enjoyment regardless of travel mode.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mary Gibson Scott  
Moose, Wyoming

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2891	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Kostrubiak, Roman				
<b>Address:</b>	Monkton, MD 21111 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,13,2016 14:50:30				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:** I think that the number of 200 cars is unreasonably low given the amount of cars that can be parked for hours or days at Death Canyon and Rockefeller preserve. it would make more sense if this number would be increased during peak usage times to accomodate for the number of cars that are parked and not moving.

As a Teton Village homeowner, it seems excessively burdensome to me that to access the park, now I will have to drive all the way around. Think of the impact - now a 45 minute drive with the accompanying increased carbon footprint. Perhaps, this 200 car limit should be waived for those who have residences at either end of this road. This only makes good environmental sense.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2892	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Schneebeck, Carol E				
<b>Address:</b>	Jackson, WY 83001 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,13,2016 15:19:18				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:** First of all, I compliment the park for its extensive research and consideration of so many variables to establish a cohesive and workable plan.

I've been using the Moose-Wilson Road since the 1970s when it was the best kept secret. Especially after the south entrance was established, the use of the road has substantially increased-as noted in your research. Over the years, I've seen less wildlife and more cars-not the desirable experience.

I appreciate that the NPS desired alternative (C) does not include the creation of a separate bike path. I believe this area has enough pathways and the environmental impact of another one along the Moose-Wilson Corridor would be so much greater than the enjoyment of such a path.

Although I applaud most of the Alternative C choice, I do have a few concerns. I believe the realignment of the road as suggested in Alternative B would have been beneficial to wildlife:

The segment between Sawmill Ponds Overlook and the Death Canyon Road junction would be realigned to the east of the beaver ponds to restore wetland functions and habitat connectivity. The old roadway would be removed and restored to natural conditions.

I read the rationale for dismissal of this option. I still think this can be done.

My other concern is the placement of the new Moose Entrance Station. I thought one of the goals of realigning the north section of the M-W road was to avoid the necessity of having to go through another entrance station if one entered through the south. Looking at the map does not clarify how this will happen or how visitors coming from the highway will enter without congestion where the two roads intersect.

As a resident of Teton County, I'm aware of the various special interest groups who advocate other solutions that would better serve them. I am sometimes almost embarrassed about some residents in this community who forget the word NATIONAL when referring to the park. Just because it is in our backyard does not mean that the town, county, governor or special interest groups should have too much influence on the future of the M-W Corridor. It should not be part of the county-wide pathways nor the county-wide transportation plan. PLEASE do not let any of these entities have undue say on the final decision.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2893    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Nichols, Peter  
**Address:** Teton Village, WY 83025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,13,2016 15:39:23  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I think it would be both safer and provide a better enhancement of the park visitor experience if there are bike lanes on either side of the road, or a wide bike lane on one side, from the terminus of the bike lane north of Teton Village to the Park Road intersection in Moose.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2894    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kraemer, Sarah W  
**Address:** Teton Village, WY 83025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,13,2016 18:22:59  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Thank you for the comprehensive analysis. It looks terrific. I would still like to see a dedicated bike/pedestrian path. Maybe at some future date?

Thank you,

Sarah Kraemer

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2895    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Best, William J  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,13,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Letter  
**Correspondence:** January 5, 2016

Grand Teton National Park  
ATTN: Moose-Wilson Planning Team  
PO Drawer 170  
Moose, WY 83012-0170

Dear M-W Planning Team:

I have been remiss in not responding earlier to the excellent document you have prepared outlining the future options for the Moose Wilson Corridor. Since we first bought property in the Valley over 30 years ago, we have treasured the road (potholes and all) for the scenery, wildlife, and family friendly opportunities it provides. It is a shame that it has to change at all, but such is progress.

The Lawrence Rockefeller Preserve has added to that outstanding experience, and we regularly bring visitors to the area. We also use the horse trails, usually accessing from the south end of the corridor.

Our outgoing President of the Back Country Horsemen has sent a letter to your team (which I have attached), with which I am in full agreement. I have just a few comments to add.

â€¢ Your option C is by far the best choice, as you propose. I applaud your continued focus on preserving the natural habitat of this treasured area.

â€¢ Adding a multi-user pathway not only degrades the natural habitat, but also poses an increased danger for the pathway users. We have had several negative encounters with bicyclists over the years on our horses. Those bicyclists do not seem to understand the "rules of the pathways" or more likely simply don't care. Such encounters are common, and as you may know, there was even a death associated with an uncaring biker in the past, who

apparently did not understand the shared nature of the public pathway. Is GTNP prepared for a major visitor education program?

â€¢ Keeping the southern two miles of the pathway unpaved is a good substitute for speed bumps, but speed bumps would be appropriate to add whenever there is a trail crossing for hikers or horseback riders. To pave the southern portion would encourage more "shortcut" usage.

â€¢ Parking access is always an issue for horse trailers. Appropriately sized lots are critical to equine usage. If such lots are removed or shrunk, it would be tantamount to excluding equine usage.

Again, thank you for the outstanding work you have done on the document, and I trust you will implement Option C as outlined.

William J Best  
Teton Back Country Horsemen  
President - 2016  
PO Box 3375  
Jackson, Wyoming 83001  
307-733-4835  
Email: wjbest@aol.com

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2896	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Ottman, Jayne				
<b>Address:</b>	Jackson, WY 83001 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,14,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Thank you for even opening this discussion to the public. You have done an excellent job making this such a transparent public process when you really didn't have to...				

I would want to see one way traffic only going north (includes bicycles) and all southbound traffic retuning on the main highway to the east. Bikers can use bike existing path along the highway. Taxis, safari and other tour companies using this as a means to make money and save time simply reinforces their glut of greed in this valley in exchange for the survival of wildlife values and resources.

I don't see the benefit of limiting vehicles on the road as suggested in your plan. I think this will cause congestion, really magnify the frustration of visitors waiting in line and doesn't solve the safe issue of cyclists. I don't think this is fiscally appropriate use of tax payer dollars and the effort of implementing seems cumbersome. This is not a straight forward alternative.

The traffic problem now in the valley is critical-in numbers of cars and people....

I feel changing the behavior of folks using the MW road can begin with adjusting their previous commuting habits. One way traffic would do that.

I've been here for 43 years. I've lived on the MW road at the White Grass back in '74. The whole idea that this road is now used as a major thoroughfare to the park is not only ludicrous but impossible. I'm willing to change my commuting habits.

Thank you.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2897	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Ewing, Frank and Patty				
<b>Address:</b>	Jackson, WY 83001 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,13,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Letter				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	January 10, 2016				

Grand Teton National Park  
ATTN: Moose-Wilson Planning Team  
PO Drawer 170  
Moose, WY 83012-0170  
[www.go.nps.gov/mwplan](http://www.go.nps.gov/mwplan)

We support the Park's preferred Alternative C Plan, which is the result of a transparent, lengthy study supported with scientific data compiled after investigating a myriad of characteristics in the Moose-Wilson Corridor. Although, personally we would prefer Alternative A, (no change) the NPS preferred Alternative C provides compromise without compromising the very qualities of the Corridor which are critical. This iconic road which winds through unparalleled wildlife habitat and beauty is a national treasure and should not be sacrificed for the needs and desires of special interests. This process has been under enormous pressures exerted by commercial and transportation interests.

In 1961, our first bike ride from Moose to town via the Moose-Wilson road was absolutely magical. During the past 50 years, in addition to biking, we have been fortunate to enjoy horseback riding, hiking and cross-country skiing in the corridor. This is to establish that we have been continuing users of the corridor who love it as. And we don't mind if, as it occasionally happens, the road is closed to all traffic because of bears or other wildlife. What could be

better! We're pleased that a multi-use trail, either adjacent to the road, or a different route cutting through the LSRP is not recommended.

We do question the size of a Death Canyon Trailhead parking area large enough to accommodate 80-90 vehicles. The lower speed limit of 20 mph is a big improvement, and even a lower limit of 15, especially through the LSR Preserve would be appropriate.

Thank you for the opportunity to once again comment on the Moose-Wilson Corridor Management Plan. And, most importantly, thank you for steadfastly protecting this national treasure.

Sincerely,

Frank and Patty Ewing  
PO Box 429  
Jackson, WY 83001

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2898    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Shuptrine, Sandy H  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,14,2016 13:43:05  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** While I would prefer an alternative that relies less on single occupancy, internal combustion vehicles, I appreciate the NPS effort to craft a Preferred Alternative for the GRTE Moose-Wilson Draft EIS that considers the many interests that must be balanced. One that I accept in the interest of moving forward.

If funding and will allowed, I would like to see GTNP seize the opportunity to broaden its sights and consider electric or natural gas open air shuttles for summertime visitation. I submitted an earlier comment detailing this suggestion.

I appreciate the consideration for wildlife sustainability.

Thank You and Best Wishes,

Sandy Shuptrine

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2899    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Righter, Robert  
**Address:** Dallas, TX 75214  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,14,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I suppose none of us get exactly what we want for the Moose/Wilson Road. I would have preferred a one-way road system as a more permanent solution. I would also favor moving the existing road away from the beaver ponds and the wetlands. I thought this new route would be a "slam-duck." I guess not  
But having listed my concerns, I do want to support the NPS preferred alternative, particularly the rejection of a separate bike path. I also want to congratulate the NPS for conducting a thorough examination of the options available and involving the public in their Draft EIS decision-making process.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2900    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Anzelmo, Joan  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,14,2016 14:57:11  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I would like to begin by thanking the park staff and other National Park Service staff who have produced a thorough and well done DEIS. This is a complex issue and one that many people all over the country care deeply about.

I support the park's preferred alternative as it strikes the best balance to protect the natural and cultural resources contained in this very fragile area of Grand Teton National Park and also providing continued year round visitor access with current methods, including by foot, bike, horse, automobile, wildlife touring vans, snowshoes and cross country skiing.

I am very opposed to introducing new uses or more people into this corridor.

I specifically oppose the construction of a separated paved pathway. I also oppose grooming of the Moose-Wilson road in the winter. This is critical habitat for numerous species and should be maintained in its natural state.

I oppose realignment of the road in the north section as it will destroy important Native American sites that the NPS has recently learned a great deal about.

I support the continuation of wildlife van tours operating under park permits. I oppose introducing any large volumes of general mass transit into this corridor as the area cannot add more people into it without very negative impacts. I think the park's adaptive management and capped vehicle numbers will be a good way to improve management of the corridor.

I strongly believe that commercial vehicles including taxis and airport shuttle vans should not be permitted to travel the Moose-Wilson Corridor as a thru way or short cut. The corridor is an incomparable destination for park visitors and it cannot sustain increasing traffic.

I am also in very in favor of the park establishing one way traffic northbound or one way traffic from each direction to the LSR and then back the same route. So no thru traffic at all.

This method of management might really ease traffic volumes as people would only go there because they want to visit that section of the park. It might be easier to manage. Bikes could ride the existing surface and perhaps be allowed the option to go in either direction.

On this the 100th anniversary of the NPS, I hope the agency will be allowed to follow its mandate to protect park resources unimpaired for future generations.

Thank you.  
Joan Anzelmo

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2901    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kaufmann, Randall  
**Address:** moose, WY 83012  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Western Fishermans Press Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Jan,14,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** To Superintendent David Vela and the Grand Teton Planning Team

I visit the Moose Wilson Road corridor at least twice weekly, both in a vehicle and on foot to view wildlife, fish, hike and to photograph.

I also share the area with our out of state visitors, all of whom greatly appreciate the quiet, narrow, backcountry feel of the road. Once in awhile there is a traffic slow down, but we do not mind. Speed is not a priority here, nor is convenience or unlimited access by every means of locomotion.

The Grand Teton Park management team has done an exceptional service to the integrity of the Park by recommending and supporting Alternative C. This is federally protected land - not state, county or the personal playground of Teton Village and those selfish special interests whom would intrude on this wonderful sanctuary...nor is Teton Park the bailiwick of local and state politicians.

We the people, Grand Teton Park, and wildlife do not need any additional people access along the Moose Wilson corridor in the form of highway expansion, paths, groomed winter trails, mass transit or whatever. Three cheers to the Park for resisting the self centered proposals!

Thank you!

Randall Kaufmann

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2902    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Harris, Lauren  
**Address:** Teton Village, WY 83025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,14,2016 18:31:38  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please re-think this plan and review alternatives! My daughter has biked through the M-W Rd the entire way, it is only in the area that is unpaved and narrow and after the toll booth by the Village that she's been side-swiped by cars passing too close and passed by cars going way too fast and definitely over the speed limit AND almost hit by tourists swinging their car doors open as she has tried to pass them with no room on the shoulder side.

I am one who believes making this road one-way would benefit all involved. And making a bike path for bikes, hikers, etc. would make it safe for both car drivers and bikers and hikers.

Having cars wait at each end in queues if the number is limited will only contribute to greater, much greater, pollution and angrier drivers - making it all the less safe for those not in cars - including the wildlife.

Thank you for reading and considering. This is a big deal and needs to be ever so carefully considered. From all angles and not just from business owners!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2903    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Schwarz, Sarah



**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,14,2016 21:56:52  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** To Whom it May Concern,

I am writing regarding the plans for the Moose Wilson road in GTNP. Many years ago I was blessed to live in Poker Flats. I have seen the addition of the guard station; the plowing of the road-to Granite;the improvements on the road;the change from the JY Ranch to the LSR Preserve; and witnessed the increase of use. Welcome to 2016. The world and nation's population continues to grow. The United States has a wonderfully special and unique thing called the National Parks. This year is a special one in particular. The National Park Service even launched their own visitor campaign "Find Your Park". It was a success!! And continues to be so. One must be responsible for when an advertising campaign works. Congratulations! Visitor numbers have increased-even the "shoulder seasons". Wonderful! But, oh no perhaps we have too much of a good thing. Yes, the parks are a gem! Now we must let individuals enjoy them. This means increasing parking areas-i.e. Granite Trailhead could easily be doubled to accommodate increased capacity. The Death Canyon TH needs addressing-perhaps some dirt and grading?? Increased pullouts? Yes, the LSR-is a success as well. Increase the parking. And then "the moose jam". Keep the road bed width close to what it currently is in this unique area. Strictly post/enforce no parking/standing. The plan to keep a cap on number of vehicles in and out is ridiculous. The people want to experience the parks. If you stop and hike-or backpack-a car is sitting there for quite some time. This keeps others from experiencing the gem. I get the lack of room for a true bike path in much of this corridor. Though individuals can still choose to bike if they would like.

You can not "build it" and be shocked when "they come". Remember global population! And don't forget advertising!! It is the right of the population to experience public property. That has always been the goal. As population increases these unique experiences are more sought after.

Embrace the enthusiasm of the visitor. Educate the visitor regarding respect-wildlife, other visitors, environment, history. Get ready for them!! They are here in greater numbers every year. I have only lived here thirty years and it is truly remarkable the increase in the numbers and the nations the visitors are representing.

DO NOT place an "ad" that says "welcome" only to be met at the entrance with "closed"-due to too much success. We are a strong, intelligent, thoughtful nation with history of wonderful ideas-i.e. the national parks-come up with great ideas to accommodate the success of the plan. Do not light up the CLOSED sign!

Thank you for your time.

Respectfully, Sarah Schwarz

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2904    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Giese, Mike  
**Address:** Greenville, SC 29601  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,15,2016 08:38:08  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing to encourage the park to implement those parts of Alternative D of the Moose Wilson Corridor Plan that relate to the Death Canyon Trailhead. I hope the park will not move the trailhead as proposed in the preferred alternative.

I am the park volunteer who has lived in the White Grass Ranger Station for the last two summers. I spent most of my time walking the Park's backcountry trails, particularly including trails accessed from the Death Canyon Trailhead. I have had conversations and friendly exchanges with literally hundreds of visitors in the Death Canyon parking lot and on trails in that area. I believe these many encounters give me a unique perspective on visitor use of the trailhead and its trails.

In my experience, the majority of visitors who use the Death Canyon Trailhead are day hikers who walk to the Phelps Lake Overlook and/or to Jump Rock. If the park were to move the trailhead as proposed in preferred alternative C, it would add a mile (two miles round trip) to those walks. This would not be a "slight" increase in mileage for either of these types of visitors and would very likely discourage their use of the trailhead. I fear that moving the Death Canyon Trailhead a mile farther back will induce these many visitors to skip Death Canyon altogether and use the LSR trailhead instead-surely an unintended consequence of Alternative C.

Visitors whose destination is the Phelps Lake Overlook are generally sightseers rather than regular hikers. These are folks who are delighted to be able to take a walk in the mountains but who are often not well-conditioned; they may not carry a day pack or even a water bottle; they are as likely to be wearing sandals as boots. They choose this one-mile trail because it appears remote on their map but is nevertheless within their walking ability. If the new trailhead is moved a mile farther away, the hiking distance to the Overlook would be literally doubled, and these visitors will certainly be discouraged from using the trail. They will instead choose an easy walk at the LSR Preserve or perhaps at the already heavily used trailheads at Jenny Lake, Taggart Lake or String Lake.

Visitors whose destination is Jump Rock or the beach area at the north end of Phelps Lake are somewhat different. These are usually people who are focused on getting to a specific destination; they don't particularly care about enjoying a walk in the woods but instead want to jump off the Rock and/or swim and/or catch some rays at the lake. Currently, the shortest route for these hikers is from the Death Canyon Trailhead. Adding a mile to that trail is likely to encourage these hikers to seek a different, shorter route to the same destination. They will recognize that the distance from new trailhead to Jump Rock has become longer than it is from the LSR and, significantly, that the LSR trail lets them avoid the moraine switchbacks. This will inevitably lead to more pressure on the limited parking at the LSR. Worse, Jump Rock hikers will be using the LSR Preserve as a hiking shortcut instead of its stated mission to "provide a spiritual and emotional connection to Phelps Lake and the Teton Range."

Aside from people walking to the Overlook or Phelps Lake, the Death Canyon Trailhead is used by a significant (but I believe somewhat smaller) number of climbers, backpackers and distance hikers (for example, to Static Peak Divide or Death Canyon). Frankly, I doubt whether many of these folks will be

very much discouraged from using the proposed new trailhead if it is moved a mile. However, these users generally take off early in the morning, when there is always parking space available at the LSR, so they may be tempted to depart from the LSR. That would add a little distance to their trip but would avoid the slog back up the moraine switchbacks in the late afternoon sun. Similarly, backpackers who arrive at the trail by shuttle instead of automobile will certainly be tempted to access the LSR trails directly from the Moose-Wilson Road since they won't need a parking lot to leave their cars.

Two final thoughts:

(a) I encourage the park to consider combining the unpaved portion of Death Canyon Road with the White Grass Ranch road for access to the trailhead. It makes little sense to me to have two park roads running side by side for the first half mile after the pavement ends.  
(b) I hope no decisions will be motivated by a belief that unauthorized, user-created parking along Death Canyon Road is primarily the result of parking lot overflow at the trailhead; I can personally attest to the contrary. In fact, most of the unauthorized parking along the existing road is the result of drivers being scared off by rocks and potholes, especially in the last, awful stretch before the trailhead. Day after day I would see hikers walking past empty spaces in the parking lot because potholes convinced them to park in unauthorized roadside spots a few hundred yards short of the trailhead. There are certainly some summer days when the lot is full, but most of the time there is open space in the lot while dozens of cars have been stopped by the poor condition of the road.

For the foregoing reasons, I hope the park will decide not to move the Death Canyon Trailhead and will instead leave the trailhead in its current location. Alternative D to the Moose Wilson Corridor Plan would accomplish that result and should be pursued.

Thank you for your time and for consideration of my comments.

Mike Giese

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2905    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Koski, Christine L  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,15,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support option C, the preferred Option.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2906    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stout, Richard  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,15,2016 09:16:18  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Thank you for the opportunity to submit public comment. I do not support the preferred alternative. In my comments regarding the scoping for this initiative, I requested that you study the feasibility of building an alternative road along the southern boundary of the park in conjunction with Teton County/Wyoming Department of Transportation. The draft EIS is flawed because it does not include information about how such a road could drastically lower the amount of road miles that are traveled between Highway 89 and Teton Village. This would play a significant role in reducing carbon emissions which would greatly benefit the habitat along Moose-Wilson Road and elsewhere within GTNP. The draft EIS is bereft of any such analysis. Likewise, the EIS does not consider how such a road would reduce traffic impacts on Moose-Wilson Road. Please conduct this analysis prior to adopting a final EIS. Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2907    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** DesLauriers, Kit  
**Address:** Teton Village, WY 83025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,15,2016 10:15:33  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Thank you for asking us to talk and for agreeing to listen.

I respectfully and fully disagree with the chosen NPS Preferred Alternative aka Alternative C.

I am fully in support of Alternative D and will present my reasons here (please note these are not necessarily listed in order of my degree of concern for each item)

#1) Alternative C does not address the wildlife corridor sensitivity the way that one would expect an Environmentally Preferred alternative to do. Those who commonly use this Moose-Wilson Road know that the most heavily used wildlife area is between Death Canyon Trailhead and the Saw Mill Ponds yet this NPS Preferred option of Alternative C only aims to reduce that interaction to the equivalent of unknown number of bikes and 200 vehicles per day. The real solution is to re-align the road as provided in Alternative D and in fact this is the only solution that will serve the long term needs of this important corridor. I predict that should you decide to go with Alternative C, the road will eventually need to be re-aligned to the Alternative D option and so with the true legacy of conservation in mind, it makes the most sense to utilize this option D without wasting our time and money on Option C.

#2) Alternative C does not allow for the recognized main use, in my observations, of the Moose-Wilson Corridor Road as both a means to experience the rustic and intimate nature of this area while moving through it on our way, whether visitor or resident, to the more central and northerly reaches of the park. In my view, it is myopic to count 200 vehicles per day as end users of this area when most of us will in fact be on the road for an enjoyable 20-25 minutes.

#3) Alternative C negatively impacts the residents and visitors of the region in multiple ways. It does not allow us to make feasible travel plans as we would not know of our ability to enter the Park in a timely manner through the southern entrance until we are 'before the entrance'. Serious negative consequences will be incurred by adopting Alternative C because of the then increased traffic turning around at the South Entrance and driving along the already crowded road back to Jackson and around to the Moose Entrance, the gross negligence this method shows to give to the concept of sustainability as it will require far more consumptive driving than needs to take place, and it will negatively impact the most major economic engine in this region which is tourism. There is no way that the businesses on the West Bank and in particular, Teton Village, will not suffer if Alternative C is implemented. The vast majority of visitors to Teton Village in the summer months enjoy using the proximal south entrance to GTNP and this alternative greatly limits that usage. As a 15 year resident of Teton Village, I am nauseous at the thought of not having access to the National Park that I moved here to enjoy on a frequent basis. I am already saddened by the fact that we can not get to the heart of the Park in the winter without driving 50 minutes through the town of Jackson, and to further take this access away in the summer is disheartening to the point of literally feeling a physiological sensation of loss as I envision this option and write this letter. On top of the very personal connections I have toward convenient GTNP access, I will add that the economy of this valley will suffer in other ways not at the moment being addressed in the realm of property value in Teton Village.

#4) Alternative C does not actually provide a balance of preservation and public use and enjoyment by exemplifying the conservation legacies within the corridor' because it does not provide for a tie into the well-loved and used pathways recently constructed in the more central part of GTNP as well as the pathways now connecting Teton Village to the Town of Jackson to the Moose Entrance of GTNP. How can we honestly say we are balancing public use and enjoyment when we are ignoring the community's desire to have a connected pathway system? And to add to that, Alternative C does nothing to provide cyclists a way to more safely cross through the critical wildlife corridor of Death Canyon to Saw Mill Ponds. We have seen grizzly bears use this zone with enough frequency to have the road closed during last summer for days at a time, so Alternative C would continue to allow cyclists through there and even in more potentially dangerous situations given the reduced volume of cars that can add safety to a lone cyclist. Truly, re-aligning the road and adding an adjacent pathway are the only logical way to provide for a balance of preservation and public use.

#5) In my above points, I made the argument for Alternative D while also highlighting what I see as serious problems in Alternative C. Now I would like to highlight an additional important benefit of Alternative D that has no evidence of existing in the 'NPS Preferred' Alternative C and that is the connection of people to resources and the promotion of understanding, preservation, and health. Exactly how to we expect to move sustainably into the future if we and the NPS refuse to adjust to the opportunity of promoting understanding, preservation and health? Is this not the cornerstone and next generation of the vaguely mentioned conservation legacy touted but not actually addressed in Alternative C? WE must manage the resource this corridor gives us in a forward thinking manner as opposed to one that restricts usage. By providing increased opportunities for people to get safely out of their car and interact with this amazing resource, rather than simply drive through it, we will be doing the greatest service to the public and the future stewards of our public lands. As an example, Alt D provides for increased parking at Death Canyon Trailhead and we all know that getting out of the car and into nature is of more enjoyment than sitting in a car. Similarly, the historic area of the Rockefeller Preserve and it's trails to Phelps Lake would no longer have a road run through them inside of Alt. D. Aren't we actually providing for increased conservation legacy by returning this area to a more natural state? As someone who uses the road almost as much on a bicycle as in a car, I find anything other than Alternative D as disservice to the ability to enjoy the park at the contemplative pace that a bicycle offers. Yes, in Alt C you would still allow bicycle use and would even pave the unpaved section of road, but this option pales in comparison to a pathway that is re-aligned to a safer, less frequented wildlife zone.

#6) I have not yet mentioned Alt. A (no action) as I agree that we have come to the usage level where that is not the best choice for the resource. I also have not mentioned Alt. B because it restricts through traffic during peak use and thereby fails my test for allowing public use in all ways that affect the economic engine of this community as well as the visitors and residents who choose to enjoy this resource with regularity, nor does it afford a multi-use pathway.

#7) If you'd like to reduce impact on the resource, I seriously suggest reducing horse use. Horse use is highly impactful especially concerning trailer parking and trail degradation.

#8) I am in favor of the reservation system in Alternative D during peak use periods. If vehicle traffic needs to be restricted, even with the Alt D road realignments and installation of multi-use pathways, then it is acceptable to put the burden of trip planning on the user if they wish to avoid a potential waiting period. I do, however, continue to disagree with the total daily usage number of 200 vehicles since in my opinion this is far too low of a number once it's recognized that some users will park their cars and go on a full day or multi-day backcountry excursion thereby no longer contributing to road congestion, and others will simply drive through on their way to Jenny Lake or Yellowstone thereby exiting the corridor in well under a half an hour.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2908    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Heilesen, Marv  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,15,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please do not support the widening of the Moose-Wilson Corridor, nor the construction of a new "pathway" alongside it. The position of Governor Mead and some Jackson Hole politicians does not reflect the views of many local residents. The governor and others are essentially doing political favors for the big money commercial interests in the Teton Village Resort, whose primary interest is faster access to the Jackson Hole Airport. The misnamed "pathway" would be another expensive and specialized recreational amenity for a small number of local cyclists who already have many other recently constructed (at public expense) cycling routes both in Grand Teton National Park and elsewhere in the community. Road widening and bikeway construction are both inconsistent with the natural environment of the Park and the critical wildlife habitat in it. My preference would be to do nothing but if something has to be done, it should be your preferred alternative.

Marv Heilesen, Jackson Hole

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2909    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stark, Jack E  
**Address:** Wichita , KS 67230  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Retired NPS Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Jan,15,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** One of the things that has increased traffic on the Moose Wilson Road is the new Rockefeller Visitor Center and the trail to Phelps Lake. This will become more and more popular as word spreads. A modest increase in the size of the parking lot should be included in the document to allow for Management flexibility in the future. Also a provision for the NPS to operate a shuttle to and from the Moose Visitor Center to the Rockefeller as a possible future measure seems desirable as the beautiful walk to Phelps Lake will grow in popularity and has the potential to become another "Jenny Lake" NO Bike Path on the Moose Wilson road should be allowed. Jack Stark

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2910    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hocking, Scott  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,15,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C for the following reasons  
1.Moose Wilson road is unique-so leave it alone  
2.there are already numerous bike paths throughout Teton Park and Jackson Hole  
3.Park Service should not be swayed by small interest groups,i.e. bike rentals and sales,into changing what their best decision is.  
4.Park Service should not be dictated to by special interest groups,I.E.Teton Village,into changing what is the best interests of the entire American public.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2911    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Weston, Julia  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,15,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this important document and the project it analyzes. I am very grateful to the Park Service for putting the values of wildlife and conservation ahead of the recreational and transportation desires of some elements of the Jackson community. NPS' preferred alternative is not perfect, but it is better than any of the others. The key factor is that a new paved bicycle/pedestrian track should NOT be constructed. Hikers and cyclists in that corridor would not only be a danger to the wildlife, but they would be in danger themselves. Among other dangerous animals are moose and bears including grizzly bears. An acquaintance recently told me that he had seen a huge male grizzly along the road about two weeks ago. Teton National Park has an incredible, and incredibly valuable, ecosystem which supports wonderful wildlife like no other part of the country. Preservation of that ecosystem should be the Park Service's primary objective in any project, including the Moose-Wilson Road.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2912    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Wheelwright, Jim  
**Address:** Moose, WY 83012  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,16,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** My wife and I are 25-year full-time residents of Teton County, who live a few miles south of Moose. We're frequent users of the Moose\Wilson road and the LSR Preserve. We fully support the Park's Plan C preferred alternative because the wildlife desperately needs the north portion of the road to be realigned away from the wetlands where moose and bears abound. It's vital that through traffic is restricted during peak hours: the additional parking at the LSR Preserve and gate is an excellent idea. this will prevent drivers from both directions from using Moose\Wilson as a speedway to get to either TetonVillage or GTNP's Moose entrance (or the airport, for that matter). People can drive through town or Spring Gulch. Good idea to restrict commercial use of the road,including taxis and tour buses.

UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES SHOULD WE DESTROY TREES AND HABITAT TO PAVE A PATHWAY FOR BICYCLISTS!! We should focus on the National Park's mission to preserve GTNP for future generations. How can an additional 8.6 acres of pavement benefit habitat and wildlife? Also, it's a myth that bicyclists do not disturb wildlife. We live on the elk and moose migration corridor and every spring and fall,we watch those critters scatter when bikes peddle by as well as cars.

We are very grateful to GTNP for its thorough analysis and explanation of each Alternative. Clearly, tremendous effort and thought went into this report and consideration of the various alternatives. Thank you for letting us review them and give our input. Please do the right thing for the park and the public at large and not give in to the Teton Village commercial greedheads and to the bicyclist special interests. Thanks for your diligence and public service.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2913    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Alta, WY 83414  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,16,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Concerning the Moose-Wilson corridor mgmt. plan, how about a combination of alternative plan B and C with the addition of shuttle buses instead of continuing to allow individual vehicles. The main point of plan B being the realignment of the road away from the wetlands; and in plan C, replace the emphasis on individual vehicle traffic to shuttle buses only, at least during peak summer times of day.

I'm in favor of doing what is best for the wildlife and the native environment, and in doing so, that will inherently create a quality human visitor experience.

There was a very good article in the Jackson Hole News & Guide, December 16, 2015, the Guest Shot, on the Opinion page by Luther Propst. He is also in favor of using shuttle buses and brought up some good points, including using existing parking at the Stilson lot, Jackson Hole Mountain Resort, the Lawrence S. Rockefeller Preserve, the Grand Teton National Park visitors center, and the Jenny Lake parking lot. The horrific parking crowding at Jenny Lake could be greatly helped if people parked at the Stilson lot for example and road a shuttle bus to Jenny Lake.

Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2914    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Harger, Donald K  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,16,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Prefer the Park's alternative # 2 for the Moose-Wilson Road. Increased traffic on this portion of the road for the purpose of additional and easier access to Teton Village is being promoted for financial considerations. Wildlife and natural values are the important things in a national park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2915    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Richardson, Gail and John  
**Address:** Bozeman, MT 59715  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,16,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** We both have either lived in Jackson Hole or spent a lot of time in Grand Teton N.P. over 4 decades. We love the park and hope NPS can mitigate the traffic problems of the incredibly scenic Moose-Wilson Road, which goes through important wildlife habitat and which also takes visitors to the lovely Lawrence Rockefeller Preserve. Alt. C does the best job of handling the problem. We have seen an incredible increase in traffic over the years and lots of careless drivers. Wildlife should come first, so we do support limiting the number of vehicles at any time to 200. We also support the Death Canyon trailhead improvements. Winter use should remain open to x-c skiing and snowshoeing and the current road footprint should be maintained. Speed can be an issue on this road, so the speed limit should be lowered. Signage can be improved as well. Sound science must inform any decisions and adjustments. GTNP should think about a small scale transit system to take folks to the preserve. Thank you for listening.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2916    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Korelich, Greg  
**Address:** Santa Rosa, CA 95401-3605  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,16,2016 17:46:59  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Greg Korelich

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2917    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Smith, Jared  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,16,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this important proposal.

I have been a part-time resident in Wilson for 60 years and have traversed the Moose-Wilson corridor countless times. Our family spent many summer and winter days on the Murie Ranch with Mardy, Louise, Adolf and others as we grew up in the valley. Based on the values instilled by the Murie family, we feel strongly about preserving this environmentally sensitive corridor. The legacy of the Muries and Rockefellers and their commitment to preserving the wild places we love is in the hands of Park Service decision makers and we applaud your leadership.

NPS staff should be congratulated on this NEPA process and selection of Alternative C as the preferred alternative. This is one of the most environmentally sensitive roadway corridors in the country and merits special attention as it has become more and more popular as a destination. The NPS is wisely applying the NEPA process and mission of the Park Service. Other interests have continued to apply tremendous pressure to further develop this corridor which is no different than past historic pressures on the Grand Teton National Park area in the early days of its creation. Please hold firm and honor those who have fought to preserve the Park for future generations.

As a transportation/transit planner and engineer with over 30 years of experience, I have observed decades of poor transportation planning and intense development in Jackson Hole resulting in false choices being forced on the community and Park Service. As pressure on Highway 390, and Highway 22 capacity has increased, some with vested economic interests believe the Moose-Wilson corridor should be part of the "pressure release valve" that accommodates access to the valley roadway network and the Jackson Hole airport.

The Park Service has no obligation to accommodate increased traffic pressure due to poor transportation planning on the part of the County and State. There have always been other alternatives including increased transit service and a new north bridge corridor connecting Teton Village to the Airport area. Some say the north bridge corridor would be too environmentally sensitive to build but surely, in comparison to further capacity expansion of the Moose-Wilson Road corridor, there is a quantum difference in terms of environmental impacts.

The Park Service should hold firm regarding your mission and not succumb to political pressure - either from vehicle capacity increase advocates nor pathways advocates. There is a place for wise expansion of both roads and pathways but the Moose-Wilson corridor is not the place for either. There is just too much wildlife in the corridor to accommodate more people. Alternative C's focus on numbers of people in the corridor at any given time is the correct focus and will help provide balance and reduce conflict with wildlife. Pathway development would do the opposite and very likely result in unacceptable and dangerous wildlife conflicts - especially between bicyclists and resident grizzly bears.

Please hold firm on Alternative C as the balanced, preferred alternative and also consider ongoing monitoring as well as better use of transit shuttles as part of the solution as demand increases.

Thank you,  
Jared Smith

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2918    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Harrice Arndt, Melanie  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,17,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** From: Mel <LovyEnrose@aol.com>  
Date: January 17, 2016 at 3:59:16 AM MST  
To: COMMISSIONERS@tetonwyo.org  
Subject: Moose Wilson road

IMPORTANT: GTNP comment. Pls see below.

To the Teton County Board of Commissioners

Hi All,

I urge you to follow the lead of constituents who voted you into office and fully back the Park's preferred alternative, which places wildlife and habitat above all else.

It is more than clear what residents think and feel about protecting wildlife values vs. selling out our valley to special interests that stand to earn large profits. And this is clear from numerous public hearings over the fate of the Comprehensive Plan that this route is what you all should be aiming to support.

Represent the people who voted you into office, NOT the economic interests that lobby so hard to sway your decisions.

It's obvious with a general regard for our county, and specifically toward the FATE of the Moose-Wilson corridor, a fragile and fiercely protected area, that JHMR has its own agenda as does the state government, which happens to rely heavily on Teton County for its revenues especially at a time when oil and gas dollars are scarce. Both clearly want to protect their financial interests here in JH.

Not surprisingly the two entities seek to promote tourism over and above anything else.

As you all are fully aware, tourism is still a strong contributor to our economy but it certainly isn't the main driving force.

This has been obvious for years. Our valley thrives today because of wealthy homeowners who spend a lot of money in our town and because of professionals who've moved here to enjoy our lifestyle while able to work remotely.

Our valley IS NOT dependent on tourism as its sole economic driver any longer.  
Our valley's survival, however, is driven by the people who live here.

Ruin the valley, destroy wildlife, ugly-up the special places and retreats here, screw our environment and NO ONE will choose to invest their dollars and seek the lifestyle of this place.

If you choose to still believe that backwards notion that we are a tourism-based economy, then at least concede that if we destroy our wildlife in incremental steps - little by little eroding at the natural habitat and wilderness values of this place we call home - we will sell out the values that have drawn us all to JH to begin with.  
PLEASE - Don't sell us out.  
Don't sell yourselves out - you live here too.

Please ... Incrementally protect the wildlife values that this community - comprised of the locals who live here, not special interest groups who have a personal agenda that involves money making - has overwhelmingly demonstrated in public comment, actions and impassioned belief systems that it wants to support, preserve and protect wildlife and habitat above everything else. Because without that, you have a crappy place to live. Might as well move somewhere where the living is easier.

JHMR and that attempted closed meeting with Gov. Mead was a sorry attempt at levying revenue power over the values of our local residents. We are NOT like the rest of this state, and never will be unless we sell ourselves out.

Please, please, please don't let that government power-play sway your decision making.

We residents count on you to represent our views and values -not those of a powerful corporation that pays pathetically low wages to its employees and solely exists to generate revenue here.

You all know that LIFE isn't all about revenues.  
Quality of life, however, IS all about clear and ethical decision making, when it comes down to living in such an amazing place as our valley.

Protect the beauty here and wildlife for future generations of ours and for the public to gently and respectfully enjoy.

Make one small step and vote to back Teton Park's preferred alternative, which places wildlife values above all else.

All important steps in life are small ones that lead to great - or terrible - things.

Thanks,

Melanie Harrice Arndt

Longtime second generation Kelly resident and former owner, co-founder, of Jackson Whole Grocer, among other things -- probably some that shouldn't be mentioned.

ðŸ˜Š

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2919	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Harkness, Carol J				
<b>Address:</b>	Teton Village, WY 83025 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,17,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:** When we have visitors from out of the area during the summer, we're sure to visit the Rockefeller Preserve to hike. That means that we spend 10 to 15 minutes waiting in line in the car to get into the park. This is clearly environmentally undesirable, and it would seem that it would only get worse under alternative C.

If you're going to limit visits, and in any case on the Teton Village end, some serious thought needs to be given to how to stage visitors at the end of the Moose-Wilson Road. Having them wait in their cars makes no sense at all.

It seems to me that this is a perfect opportunity to institute bus service (small buses). They could load at the Thomas Visitor Center in Moose and in the bus loading area in Teton Village, which would give visitors something to do while they wait for their bus to leave. It would limit traffic on the road and improve safety for both pedestrians and bicyclists since bus drivers would know the road, not be in a hurry, and wouldn't need to spend as much time looking at the scenery. It would provide better opportunities for education along the way.

I've greatly enjoyed the bus service provided in other National Parks (Denali, Zion, Grand Canyon, Yosemite, etc.) and have long wished that Grand Teton did more in this vein.

In any case, it's clear that pedestrian safety needs to be improved along the road. People stop and get out of their cars, in spite of the attempts to limit the practice. People on the hiking trails cross the road, but many cars pay no attention to the warning signs at the crossings.

It would be a great place to bicycle if it were safe, but I avoid it because I feel as if I'm taking my life in my hands to be on a bicycle on that road at the moment.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2920    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Harrington, Kim  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,17,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** To Whom it may concern:

I am 100% i favor of leaving the Moose-Wilson road as close to its current state as possible. This road is one of Jackson Holes Gems. There is no place in the whole valley like it. Why does the park service want to make it like every other place. The value of the Moose-Wilson road is in its wildlife. As soon as construction starts, the natural environment is gone. I have lived in Wilson all my life. To change this beautiful natural corridor would certainly be the end of a safe haven for many species that call it home in the summer and fall. Its a very important corridor for migrating elk. If any drastic change would benefit the road the most it would be to close it to motor vehicles. I am in favor of option A- Do nothing.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2921    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** taylor, statia  
**Address:** chino valley, AZ 86323  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,18,2016 11:07:59  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am very much in favor of the Parks preferred option for Moose-Wilson road area. I feel it does the best job of protecting the habitat and wildlife there which is, after all, the mission of the National Parks. Short of closing the road entirely, which was my first choice, I feel this is the least intrusive. Much as I enjoy bicycling, I do not believe we need a bike path everywhere. We ruin what we love by providing too much ease of experience. The wildlife chose that area first. We are late comers and should honor their choice by not impacting it.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2922    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Melville, Chi  
**Address:** Alta, WY 83414  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,18,2016 12:02:28  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Moose-Wilson Draft EIS. While there are some good elements in your preferred alternative, I have to say it looks like a missed opportunity.

The proposal doesn't adequately address current traffic problems let alone future ones. The idea of building two large queuing lane areas at each end of the road is rather odd. Not only will you be impacting/paving over two large areas of native vegetation, you'll also likely increase vehicle emissions from idling cars and pickups - guys with diesel trucks just hate turning off their engines.

This is an opportunity to introduce a shuttle service to the park, something that can be built on to help alleviate parking and congestion problems in other areas of the park, such as at Jenny Lake, which is already over capacity much of the summer. A shuttle service would not only eliminate the need for the odd queuing lane idea and the negative impacts that would have, a shuttle service could also eliminate the creation of the two new large parking lots proposed for Granite Canyon and Death Canyon trailheads - that's four, new, large areas you plan to create just for vehicles - that's a large and unnecessary impact which would result in the removal of significant amount of native vegetation. Having folks park their vehicles outside the park and ride a shuttle to the trailheads would have much less impact on park resources than the proposed Alternative C. This has been done successfully in other parks, notably at Acadia NP, and would be a good solution for Moose-Wilson.

Alternative C also proposes superseding/eliminating the already approved pathway and just let bicyclists and pedestrians share the road with vehicles. That sounds fine on paper and frankly would work for me, but it doesn't address the need of less experience bicyclists including families with children, which there certainly will be on the road. It also doesn't address the needs of pedestrians - the park should be looking for ways to encourage people to get out of their cars and not create more facilities for vehicles. The proposal states you will "reduce the speed limit along Moose-Wilson Road to 20mph to improve bicycle safety", but all I can say about that is good luck! Without physical traffic calming structures to force vehicles to slow down, just posting a speed limit is unlikely to have much effect - all one needs to do is look at the number of cars, SUV's and pickup trucks which blow through the flashing radar signs near the Taggart parking lot without slowing down. And if you're concerned about bears and pathway users, just require them to carry bear spray. You should approve a separated pathway.

I hope you are willing to reconsider your preferred Alternative C and look at the negative impacts pursuing it would have. I also hope you use this opportunity to plan for the future rather than propose a modified status quo as you've done. This plan should be more than just another automobile centric plan for getting visitors into the park.

Thank you for your consideration,



Chi Melville

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2923    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Collins, Richard  
**Address:** Teton Village, WY 83025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,18,2016 15:35:15  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I support Option C, the NPS preferred plan for the Moose / Wilson road as a good compromise. I do think, however, that paving the unpaved section of the road will result in higher vehicle speeds even with a lower posted speed limit. Washboard makes the best speed bumps. Thanks for encouraging my input.

Richard Collins

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2924    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Collins, M.D., Kathryn  
**Address:** Teton Village, WY 83025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,18,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C! We've lived at The Aspens (just south of the Moose-Wilson corridor) for 36 years, and love being able to see wildlife often as we drive SLOWLY through on this road in the late spring, summer, and early fall. Great place to hear elk bugle, too (near Whitegrass Ranch). We use the closed road in the winter as a great x-country ski area. Thanks for urging/facilitating conservation of this important, and magical, corridor.

Kathryn Collins, M.D.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2925    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bourdeaux, Ellen D  
**Address:** Jackson, MS 39202  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,18,2016 16:53:34  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I support the government's position concerning Moose-Wilson Road.

There is no place in any national park for speeding traffic, and that will be the result of one of the proposals. It is important that the road is twisty and narrow as it is a traffic-softening device, which will protect wildlife. Additionally, the road needs to stay against the hill so that traffic will make less of a visual impact.

Tourists look for authentic experiences, and substantial changes in the Moose-Wilson Road will rob tourists and locals of that kind of experience.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2926    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Myers, Kathryn  
**Address:** Tetonia, ID 83452  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,18,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** From reading all these alternatives, I support Alternative C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2927    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sakoda, Kent  
**Address:** Honolulu, HI 96826  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,18,2016 18:23:03  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Aloha Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Kent Sakoda

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2928	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	McGee, Robin				
<b>Address:</b>	Jackson, WY 83001 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,19,2016 11:02:55				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please consider "Alternative C " for the Moose Wilson corridor. As a citizen concerned about the lack of alternative transportation in the park, as well as a cyclist, runner and hiker, I very much support a safe pedestrian and cyclist route. Any changes to the corridor need to include:				

- 1) A separate pathway for safe public access outside of a vehicle.
- 2) Forward-thinking and environmentally friendly transportation solutions, like transit.

GTNP is a treasure and as we look forward, there will only be more use of the park and any plans must include alternative modes of transportation to protect the air quality and user experience.

Thank you!

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2929	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Brown, Jessica				
<b>Address:</b>	Indianapolis, IN 46254 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,19,2016 18:00:08				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela,				

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Jessica

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2930	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Barrasso, John				
<b>Address:</b>	Washington DC, DC 20510 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	United States Senate Federal Government				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	OfficialRep				
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,19,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Letter				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	January 12, 2016				

Jon Jarvis  
Director  
National Park Service  
1849 C Street NW  
Washington, DC 20240

Dear Director Jarvis,

I would like to raise a number of concerns that I have with the Moose-Wilson Corridor Draft Environmental Impact Statement.

After many years of study and a cost of \$5 million, the preferred Alternative C falls short of expectations and creates a missed opportunity for establishing an enduring policy that allows access and enhances pedestrian safety while at the same time protecting the corridor and wildlife. Including a separated pathway would resolve the issue of increasing safety for non-motorized users. Secretary of the Interior, Sally Jewell, has committed herself to ensuring open access to our national parks, encouraging visitors from all walks of life to visit America's national parks. Secretary Jewell has also actively promoted the Every Kid in a Park program in an effort to get more kids to visit national parks. The park's plan is inconsistent with improving pedestrian safety and increasing access.

While I am pleased that the park has abandoned the original effort to close the Moose-Wilson road, the preferred alternative will work against the spirit of access. It will create unnecessary and significant traffic congestion in the Town of Jackson by limiting the number of cars to 200 vehicles during peak hours. Restricting access to the park will have consequences that will spill into the communities surrounding the park by diverting hundreds of idling cars into already congested areas. The alternative also does not take into account approximately 160 parking spaces within the corridor that will count against the 200 car cap. Once again, the preferred alternative will limit access resulting in long lines of idling cars waiting to enter the park.

It is also important to note that the preferred alternative does not include a plan to implement a transit system. One of the study's objectives was to reduce traffic on the road. A transit plan should be part of the solution. Since 2007, the park has acknowledged that creating a transit system should be studied. This environmental review is the appropriate time to address this issue. The preferred alternative mentions addressing transit in the future. Mentioning transit in the future is one notion but creating a plan for the future is another. The gateway communities of Grand Teton National Park have been ready to partner with the National Park Service to establish a transit system. By not including a transit plan, the park is effectively leaving private money and cooperation on the table at no expense to the taxpayer.

As the Park Service moves through the public comment period toward a final EIS and record of decision, I hope you will consider incorporating these key elements.

Thank you for your service to our nation's national parks and treasures and I look forward to working with you on these matters.

Sincerely,

John Barrasso, M.D.  
United States Senate

cc: David Vela, Superintendent, Grand Teton National Park  
Moose-Wilson Planning Team

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2931	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	McGlashan, Maria				
<b>Address:</b>	Lodi, OH 44254 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Ms. Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	Member				
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,20,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Protect these world-class resources while allowing visitors to continue to use and enjoy the roadway.				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2932	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Quast, Richard D				
<b>Address:</b>	Wilson, WY 83014 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,19,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Letter				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	To: Moose Wilson Planning Team				
<b>Subject:</b>	Moose Wilson Corridor Plan				

Gentlemen -

I would like to make a brief comment on the subject plan.

I am a resident of Wilson, WY and I am familiar with the Moose Wilson road having traveled it frequently to access the Death Canyon road and the Western Center For Historic Preservation (White Grass Ranch).

My preference of the 4 plans prepared by your team would be Alternative A but I realize this plan will not happen. Therefore my preference is Alternative C with one suggested change:

\* Reduce the size of the Death Canyon parking area. Do not turn [th]is area into another LSR Preserve parking lot with all its congestion.

I am grateful that Alternative C does not include a bicycle path. Enough is enough!

Richard D. Quast

P.O. Box 1504  
Wilson, WY 83014

chquast22@gmail.com

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2933    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Newcomb, Anne  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,19,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Letter  
**Correspondence:** Grand Teton National Park  
ATTN: Moose-Wilson Planning Team  
PO Drawer 170  
Moose, WY 83012-0170

Dear Moose-Wilson Planning Team,

I prefer Alternative B (if I am reading your web site correctly.) I like it that the Moose-Wilson Road area is considered a destination and would be managed for wildlife and scenery. I whole heartedly agree with moving the north end of the existing road away from the Saw Mill Ponds and phasing out the commercial horse riding in this area. It is also good to move the road away from the wet lands. I've worried about those wet lands for a long time. It's also good to put the Death Canyon parking lot closer to White Grass and turn the remaining road into a trail.

It would even be a good thing to close the road altogether just north of the wet lands and not have continuous passage. The Rockefeller Preserve and Death Canyon could be accessed from the south, thus allowing Teton Village to say they have special park access. The Murie Center and a little area east of the ponds could be accessed from the north. Or some variation of that.

It's okay with me to have a bike path from the south to the Granite Canyon parking lot. That's dry, open country, with clear line of sight. But no bike path any farther north than the Granite Canyon parking lot. Absolutely. There are plenty of biking and recreational opportunities in the area. We don't need to despoil the park and frighten wildlife to make more.

Grand Teton National Park is just what it's name implies. A sacred trust that is held for the people of the United States of America.

I do worry that you will have problems closing the road at "peak times". It might be better, as I said above, simply not to have through access. Then the situation is clear and there will not be disappointment and frustration.

Thanks for your hard work.

Anne Newcomb

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2934    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jones, Kimber  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83002  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,19,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Letter  
**Correspondence:** January 12th, 2016

To: Moose-Wilson Corridor Planning Team, GTNP

As long term local residents, we strongly support your Alternative C.

We have been high users of the Moose-Wilson Corridor area for the 20 years we have been in Jackson: horseback riding and hiking in the summer and cross country skiing in the meadows, to White Grass and to Phelps Lake in the winter. We almost always bring visiting friends through the M-W Road corridor to the Sawmill Ponds Overlook to view wildlife.

Overall, we think that adding a multi-use path, either along the roadside or through the northern or southern hay meadows, would degrade the intimate character of the road way. It would also disrupt the wildlife patterns between the Moose-Wilson Road and the river. We now see cyclist tracks and even motorcycle tracks on the current service road bed connecting M-W Road to the levee. We worry that the considered new multi-use path with increase the cyclist poaching on all the side trails between the M-W Road and the river.

We are quite supportive of lowering the speed limit to 20 mph and also greatly reducing commercial traffic. We object strongly to those currently using the road from the west bank as a shortcut to the airport or to northbound Highway 89.

We are not concerned about the starting corridor capacity limit. We assume that you will streamline the process and evolve to an appropriate guideline over time.

We would prefer the unpaved road segment remain as is, both to slow the traffic flow further and also to discourage non-GTNP oriented traffic, whether

vehicular or cyclist.

We think that the concept of re-framing the M-W Corridor as a destination has merit, but could be done with programmed handouts at the entry gates.

From our equestrian perspective, we are pleased that you recognized the important riding trails network north of the Preserve. We strongly oppose any preclusion of trailers in the Sawmill Ponds lot or the elimination of the important horse trail from the Sawmill Ponds lot to Sky Ranch.

We need the continued horse trailer parking at Poker Flats, at White Grass junction and at Sawmill Ponds Overlook. If you re-vamp the Poker Flats trailer lot including a short length of berm-side overflow parking, we can get by without trailer parking in the Granite Canyon lot.

While we support Alternative C with the traffic control at the entry gates, we would appreciate that horse trailers could bypass the south-end peak period queue, either in the admin lane or by parking on the side of the RLazyS entry road.

Finally we want to applaud you for putting highest priority on the natural underpinnings of the GTNP experience in the face of those want to either create an easy extension of the resort, or want northern transit convenience, or desire an added redundant and very disruptive cyclist lane.

Sincerely,

Kimber Jones  
PO Box 9076  
Jackson, WY 83002

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2935	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Carruth, Vance				
<b>Address:</b>	Jackson, WY 83002 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,20,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:** I have been a year round resident of the Jackson Hole community since the early 1970's and worked as a Seasonal Park Ranger/Naturalist in Grand Teton National Park, headquartered in the Moose Visitor Center, in Moose, Wyoming. It has been one of the highlights of my life to be able to experience this place that I have called home over the past 53 years! I consider it an obligation to submit my own personal comments on the Preferred Alternative Recommendations to GRTE Moose-Wilson Draft EIS project.

The preferred alternative C, in my opinion, is a well thought out plan that reflects most of the objectives the Park Service Mission Statement envisions. It strikes a balance between protecting the natural, cultural, and biological habitat needs while at the same time allowing for visitors to experience and learn about the diversity of Grand Teton's Moose-Wilson Corridor. Limiting the number of cars in the corridor to 200 at any one time and reducing the speed limit to 20 mph and paving the unpaved portion of the corridor are all important improvements needed to enhance safety and protection of wildlife. In my opinion, the addition of speed bumps in appropriate locations to ensure fewer violations of the posted speed limits, especially in sensitive wildlife crossing areas should be added.

Traffic volume and available parking for excessive numbers of visitors to Grand Teton National Park if projected into the next decade would seem to me to be something that needs to be looked at critically in terms of allowing more and more private and commercial vehicles onto Park roads. Could I be so bold as to propose that in the case of the Moose-Wilson corridor consideration be given to introducing a trial shuttle service as the main source of transportation into and out of the corridor, with exceptions being made for backpackers, and horseback riders that could be issued overnight parking permits to access trailheads within the corridor? Parking would also need to be provided at the Teton Village Entrance Station for those wanting to take advantage of the shuttle service from the south entrance. The shuttle vehicles (and I do not envision large busses, but trolleys or something more along the line of trolleys like many cities use for visitors touring who wish to get on and off at various stops). If this service began outside the Visitor Center there would be no need to relocate the portion of the Moose-Wilson road from its current location. A gate just west of the Murie Center Road entrance could be used instead. There could be a timed schedule posted at the VC for folks to check into and pick up Park provided free passes for whatever time slots were available if it is found that too many riders are unable to get seats on a scheduled shuttle. Folks could visit other points of interest while waiting, either by car or by walking (for example they could walk to the Menor Noble Historic District, walk to the Murie Center or the Chapel of Transfiguration, or get lunch at Dornans, or even drive to locations between the VC and Jenny Lake. It would seem to me there would be plenty of ways to administer this kind of system that would be more sensitive to the habitat and wild character of the Moose Wilson corridor that would get people out of their cars and onto electric vehicles or some other less polluting forms of transportation. Climate change is a reality that will more and more affect us all!

I feel uncertain about allowing bicycles on this narrow winding road through black and grizzly bear habitat. Safety and protection of wildlife habitat should be the number one consideration here, and there are many other areas throughout Jackson Hole and Grand Teton National Park where bicycles are already allowed. I would like to believe we could keep the Moose Wilson corridor free of another form of recreational activity that could easily conflict with the movements of wildlife, especially in some of the forested portions of the corridor.

Finally, having worked at the Moose Entrance Fee Station, I always felt that having the Fee Station located west of where the Visitor Center is located made little sense. Many, many times people would stop at the entrance station and want to ask questions regarding the Park. Due to the large volume of vehicle back ups, these visitors had to turn around and return to the VC in order to get the information or permits they needed or wanted after already waiting in line once, they were then forced to once again get back in line to re-enter through the entrance station. As a long time resident in this community, to me, it would be far better to move the Fee Station to either the west or east side of the Snake River where the bridge is located in Moose. This would allow visitors to pay their Entrance fees, get their seven day pass, a map, and other materials that are handed out at the Entrance stations and then proceed to the VC where they could get any further information and perhaps see the film on the Park that plays there on a regular schedule and learn from the other amazing exhibits that are housed in this state of the art facility. There is plenty of Parking available that could be expanded if necessary. Here again, if a shuttle system were introduced to access the Moose Wilson corridor, visitors would already be parked and able to board one of the shuttles.

I do believe the future of the National Parks is very much dependent on how the Park Service goes about balancing visitor volume and traffic in the most efficacious ways possible. Similar programs have been introduced in Zion and Yosemite which suffer from similar high volumes of motorized, pollution

producing, vehicle traffic. The beauty of all these suggestions is that it offers multiple ways to better inform the public of what their National Parks are all about and if Park Service Naturalist Interpreters could be on board the shuttles, or at the various stops on the route, visitors could learn more and have a better appreciation and understanding of all the Park's geological story as well as its biodiversity. This, for me, achieves some of the best most positive experiences a visitor should expect from a visit to THEIR National Park!

By keeping all of the infrastructure confined to the area around Park Headquarters in Moose there would be less disturbance of the natural features surrounding that already intensely developed area. It might take a widening of the road between Dornans Road turnoff and the Snake River Bridge to 3 lanes (2 lanes westbound, 1 lane eastbound) to prevent the back up of traffic in line to enter the Park, but I have great confidence the Park would work out the needed details to make this a workable solution to the above mentioned consolidation of activities to one area without disturbing a wider area unnecessarily.

I want to thank the Park Superintendent and his staff for allowing an extension of the deadline for submission of these public comments and I look forward to continuing to be informed of the progress towards a final draft of this project to create a plan that will further secure the Mission of the National Park Service to preserve and protect, as far as possible, the natural and cultural features of Grand Teton National Park, with as little disturbance as is humanly possible, for the enjoyment and education of the American people. Our National Parks are indeed America's greatest gift to the rest of the world!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2936    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tallichet, Catherine A  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,20,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** My husband, Tim P Oakley, and I are in favor of Alternative C.

We have horses, and have been riding the Moose-Wilson Corridor for many years. Last summer we rode it over 10 times. We usually ride several times a week, so in addition to the corridor, we also rode in other parts of the Park, The Tetons, and the Gros Ventres ranges. We ride all winter in the Heritage arena to keep our horses in good physical shape so that when Spring comes, we are ready.

One reason we love the corridor, is because that area is mostly river bottom and granite. The Gros Ventres are clay, and in the Spring, they are treacherous. The corridor, dries out quickly, and is very safe to ride. In addition, in the fall during hunting season, it is also safe to ride at that time too to avoid hunters.

Riding it as much as we do, we really appreciate the various loops. It never gets tiring. We also clear downfall when ever we can. If a trail is washed out, then we'll send in a notification to the park, but mostly is just trees down. Its so amazing to ride in that area, to ride in the fall just before sunset and hear the elk bugle, or watch the bears eat berries in the late summer. We take advantage of the hitch rails at the Rockefeller Center, and at Phelps Lake whenever we can.

There really isn't any place as beautiful as the Moose-Wilson Corridor. We have noticed tire tracks of bikes and or motorcycles. I hope that they have belonged to park personnel, and not poachers going off road.

We enjoy the park somewhere between 30-40 times a year. Sometimes its in the corridor, sometimes at the various lakes. Please, watch over our favorite place...

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2937    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, larry  
**Address:** alpine, WY 83128  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,20,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** please keep the road open both ways, it will only cause more traffic issues if you close it or close it at certain times or for a certain amount of vehicles. put in a bike path and keep people safe. I often run in the park before work and then drive to JHMR via the Moose Wilson, please don't close that to me!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2938    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Contreras, Cristian  
**Address:** Bell, CA 90201  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,20,2016 19:58:43  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Cristian Contreras

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2939    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ottman, Jayne  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,20,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** But I must say that this comment made by Becky Hawkins sums up everything I wanted to say so please consider this my comment as well.

Thank you for welcoming comments

I applaud the Park for not caving in to the special interest groups that are pushing for a separate bike path along the MW Rd. There already is a paved bike path to and within GTNP.

I am a bike rider, consider myself concerned about the environment, and have lived in Teton County for over 30 years. There is nothing environmental about cutting down countless trees, disturbing natural resources including wildlife and 'paving paradise with a pathway' all to suit the needs of a few. Of the alternatives proposed, I agree with the park's choice. However, I would like to see one-way traffic to the north on the MW Rd. This would solve several issues: 1) it would be safer for bikers to ride along the road, also one-way, to the north, and there would be no need for a separate pathway. 2) It would drastically reduce congestion and conflict between north and south bound traffic. 3) It would eliminate the need to widen or re-route the road 4) it would be much less expensive, eliminating the need for a kiosk at the north end of the road and decrease the wait (and car engine idling) while waiting in line to enter the park if the quota plan was in place. 5) it would drastically reduce the number of taxis from the airport coming through from the airport, adding congestion and impatient drivers.

I am concerned about the cost to implement a daily quota system, widen or re-route the road, and the subsequent delay and idling that would occur with cars waiting. Currently the wait is ridiculously long in the peak summer months, it will get worse with this plan and doesn't solve the problems. The MW road is in GTNP and is not a public county road and therefore should not be controlled or have dictated use by the county. The county and state (and Teton Village) need to stay out of this discussion.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2940    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** de Castro, Brian  
**Address:** South Orange, NJ 07079  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Gore4.com Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Jan,21,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

I have visited the Grand Tetons not too long ago, and I am aware of this corridor and what it means. I also believe this incredible park needs proper management for its diverse flora and fauna, and so it can be enjoyed by people.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
Brian de Castro  
South Orange, NJ

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2941    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Elinsky, Emil  
**Address:** Phoenix, MD 21131  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Retired from FWHA, ASCE Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Jan,21,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Sir, Thank you for making available to the public, for comment, the Moose-Wilson ///corridor Draft Comprehensive Management Plan/Environmental Impact Report.

Plan/EIS

I have reviewed the four alternatives presented in the Draft Plan/EIS. I fully support alternative C as the preferred alternative.

Alternative C would provide for needed improvements at the entrances to the park thus minimizing the frustration experienced by persons wishing to enter the park.

By not relocating the portion of the existing roadway, as presented in Alternatives B and D, it would preserve existing undisturbed land and enhance existing wildlife..

Paving the unpaved portion of the roadway, making minor realignment adjustments, providing additional parking lots and improving the existing parking lots would contribute to relaxation and enjoyment of the park.

Reducing the speed limit to 20 mph would not only contribute to bicyclists' safety but also to motorists' safety. Although not included in this alternative, constructing a multi use pathway as presented in Alternative D, is a bad idea. It should not be considered in any alternative.

Limiting the current development scheme to existing facilities is positive.

Thank you again for allowing the public to comment.

Emil Elinsky, PE

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2942    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** quinn, michael j  
**Address:** wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,21,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I've been using (aka driving) the moose-wilson road for some 46 years. the increase in park visitation has obviously "impacted" the road and occasionally made it less user friendly. why not recognize this and increase the road section, move it away from the beaver ponds/wetlands, and make it a functional road with shoulders to accommodate cyclists, walkers, joggers, etc?  
other roads in the park have been upgraded in recognition of increased use without apparent environmental tragedy. to "claim" a two mile wide corridor as impacted seems to dictate an alternative without looking at real impacts.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2943    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** cook, doug  
**Address:** jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,21,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** As someone who commutes on my bike daily from Jackson to Teton Village for work, I regularly take the moose-wilson road on my way home. I really enjoy the views and wildlife possibilities on the way (and yes I've had many bear interactions along the way without issue...they always seem more interested in their food scavenging and rarely bat an eye at me...including both black and griz!). I actually like the dirt section, because it limits the speed on that section by cars. I will look forward to asphalt for riding quality, as proposed by your chosen Alternative, but I'd really feel much safer if there was a bike path accompanied into the plan. I'll ride it either way nonetheless, but I have numerous other co-workers and friends who would love to ride this section of road to join up with the Moose-Refuge pathways, but they're too worried for their safety. Instead they usually drive. Please consider a pathway if possible. What a commute it'd be; what a way for people to see our beautiful park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2944    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** James, Shay  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,21,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Letter  
**Correspondence:** To: Moose Wilson Road Planning Team,

I am a long time resident of Jackson Hole and Grand Teton National Park. I have driven the Moose-Wilson road many times and wish to comment on the plan for it.

I fully support the Park Service's Preferred Alternative C.

Realigning the northernmost section would allow all vehicles access through a manned gate.



Improving the road conditions along and adjacent to the wetlands would still give park users access to wildlife viewing and, I am assuming, solve some parking issues.

Reconstructing and paving the lower half of the road is acceptable as long as vehicle speeds are lowered.

I think limiting the number of vehicles allowed is essential, especially during the busy summer months of July and August. This has worked for the LSR Preserve and has helped to maintain that center as a special and quiet spot.

I personally do not feel bicycles should be allowed and if they are, should also be limited in the busy summer months also. I do NOT support a separate bike lane. There are plenty of bikes lanes available in the valley and I do not feel they need to connect through this corridor.

Thank you for your work on this plan and for allowing all the public input. I think your proposed alternative is the best way to go in this special part of Grand Teton National Park.

Shay James  
755 E. Paintbrush Drive  
Jackson, WY 83001

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2945    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Enterprise, FL 32725  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,21,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2946    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Henderson, James M  
**Address:** San Diego, CA 92129  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,21,2016 18:01:11  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
James Michael "Mike" Henderson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2947    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Fischel, Melanie  
**Address:** Tetonia, ID 83452  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,22,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I prefer Alternative B.

We've been using this road for over 30 years and agree that it has now become too much of a "thoroughfare". I think that it would be less so if there were no completely through traffic allowed, with the exception of bicycles. Visitors would choose to enter from the north or the south depending on their destination plan, e.g. hiking Granite Canyon or Death Canyon; hiking in the LSR Preserve; or viewing/photographing wildlife. This would be an initial, less expensive way to address some of the issues. If problems continue, then a more restricted plan could be instituted.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2948    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Baerwald, Rich  
**Address:** Moose, WY 83012  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,22,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** In my opinion, the alternative 'D' is preferred. For the purpose of furthering the long term, for decades to come, the overall resource protection. Of both wildlife, bears, moose, beaver, waterfowl and the clear waters, willows within and adjacent to the current road alignment. Alternative D, as I prefer, promotes a future without constant overcrowding of wildlife photograph tours stopped in the roadway, rather it allows turnouts for visitors to stop in, a distance legally allowed by the NPS. In alternative D, the Death Canyon Turnout is improved not abandoned which promotes historic use value, rather than adding mileage to the trail and thus discouraging a less fit, older user group from entering the backcountry and seeing Phelps Lake. It is time to look ahead to alternative modes of travel, non motorized, bike paths, separate from motor vehicles will be the single most enjoyable way to see and connect with the wild parts of the Moose-Wilson corridor. Hiking, biking, walking, skiing, snowshoeing etc.. In silence, without fear of a car hitting me and my family. The overall pathway connection to the community will bring Grand Teton NP into the National picture as a leader in community, fossil fuel free visitation, business minded cooperation, transportation plan implementation as a means of limiting global warming. Please consider my comments regarding the future of the MW corridor. Alternative D.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2949      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Pruzan, Aaron  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,22,2016 11:39:04  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Thank you for the opportunity to comment and for the hard work the planning team has done on this project. I am a regular user of the Moose/Wilson Corridor in all seasons. My family and I enjoy cross-county skiing there in the winter. I use the road to access backcountry skiing on Prospector, Albright, 10,696 and other areas in the winter and spring. My whole family enjoys biking along the road and hiking from its trailheads in the summer and fall.

Concerning the preferred alternative put forward by the National Park Service, I am very disappointed by the lack of vision or creativity in this document. Particularly considering the amount of time and public money spent on this process. The NPS continues to focus its energy on facilitating automobile travel and making cars its preferred means for the public to experience our parks. While the automobile helped introduce people to National Parks back in the 1950s, the time has come for this trend to change and Moose-Wilson Road is an ideal place to do just that.

The current proposal of one vehicle in and one out and creating a queue at each side of the road will lead to more congestion and many idling vehicles. This is already the case at the LSR Preserve. I have witnessed from my bicycle, on numerous occasions, a line of vehicles sitting, idling and waiting for a parking place. Not a great way for guests to our valley to experience GTNP nor is it good for our air quality. The NPS exacerbated the issues of congestion on Moose-Wilson road by placing the LSR right in the middle of it. A tribute to Lawrence Rockefeller and the Rockefeller family for their amazing contributions could have been in several other places in the park that would not have adversely affected this corridor. When the JY Ranch existed it did not nearly attract the traffic volume that the LSR attracts. Now we have an opportunity to make this right and the answer is buses and bicycles.

My proposal is simple and requires little additional infrastructure.

1. Beginning when the road opens in May, Moose-Wilson Road will only be open to private vehicles before 7 a.m. and after 8 p.m. This will allow mountaineers or hikers who want to get an early start to do so. It will also allow those who want to get up early to view wildlife to drive through. This timing could be adjusted to fit actual needs. If we find that individual auto traffic is still a problem, then the closure could be earlier. If traffic is light the road could close to private vehicles at 8 a.m. and open again at 7 p.m. for example.
2. Transit - few places in Yellowstone or Grand Teton are better suited for a bus system than the Moose-Wilson Corridor. We have a willing partner in the Jackson Hole Mountain Resort and the Teton Village Association that conveniently have a huge parking lot immediately south of GTNP. They also have buses that are little used in the summer and could likely be used for summer visitors. Park guests arriving after the road closes for the day can park at Teton Village and ride to bus stops at Granite Canyon Trailhead, LSR, Death Canyon Trailhead, Moose or simply enjoy the views without having to drive. Again, conveniently, there is a large parking area at Moose where guests could load buses and access the corridor from the North. In addition, the bus could even go up to Jenny Lake from Moose and further reduce vehicle traffic. Guests staying at Teton Village would have an incredible opportunity to walk to the bus stop, get dropped off in the park and do amazing hikes back to their hotel and not even get in a car! Imagine that, Teddy Roosevelt would be proud! If there is a concern about the safety of Park guests at the bus stops, simple, secure bus shelters could be constructed that will give protection from the elements and wildlife.
3. Bicycles - To quote my six year old daughter as we were using bikes to access the LSR, "Daddy, there should be a bike path here." Let's follow the wisdom of children and allow Park guests to experience this amazing corridor by bicycle. Without the hazard of numerous private cars and with only having buses piloted by drivers who know the road, we will not need to build an extra lane. There may be a few logical places to widen the road slightly but otherwise just adding a rubber or log curb to designate and protect bikers and pedestrians will be sufficient. Bus schedules will be coordinated to minimize conflicts with north/south traffic and the need for excessive widening of the road. With easy access to bicycles for both residents and guests, combined with the fact that pathways already exist at both ends of the corridor this will be an unparalleled place to enjoy a National Park by bike. Bikers will also be able to utilize the bus shelters for weather or wildlife protection.
4. Winter Travel - Keeping the road open to Granite Canyon and To Death Canyon in the winter are both good ideas. I also like the idea in the preferred alternative to close the Death Canyon access road to traffic and improve winter parking along the Moose-Wilson Road itself.
5. Carrot & Stick - In our community and throughout the west it has been shown that transit doesn't work as a carrot it works when there is a stick. An excellent example is winter START bus ridership. Now that there is the stick of significant parking fees at Teton Village, ridership is very strong. If there is the stick of no access for private cars during the day, people will ride the bus or bike.
6. Implementation - With a creative plan like this one I am confident that the Park Service will have many willing private and non-profit partners to help make this a reality. Teton Village Association, JH Mountain Resort, Friends of Pathways, and Teton County to name a few. These organizations can help defray the cost of any changes to the roadway, building shelters, procuring and operating buses and other potential management issues that may arise. Our community has an outstanding history of public/non-profit partnerships that our natural resources, residents and guests are all beneficiaries of.

Thank you for taking the time to read my comments. Transit is now being used successfully in several other parks in our region and it will be a success here as well. The existing Grand Teton bike path was controversial before it was built and is now hailed as a success by almost all. A bike lane through the Moose-Wilson Corridor is similarly controversial but that will fade quickly into the past when it becomes a reality and a centerpiece of the National Park Service's vision for the future.

Sincerely,

Aaron Pruzan  
Wilson, WY

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2950	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	babcock, mickey				
<b>Address:</b>	wilson, WY 83014 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,22,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	i am fully supportive of the GTNP proposed plans for the moose-wilson corridor. through thorough and extensive scientific research, public input, and best practices by the GTNP staff, i believe this option will serve GTNP, the visitors to the park, and the wild lands and animals encompassed along this road. while some details will need to be resolved after the plan is in effect, i am confident that the fine-tuning will lead to the best results in the long term. i encourage the adoption of this plan. thank you, mickey babcock				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2951	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Ladd, Anne				
<b>Address:</b>	Wilson, WY 83014 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,22,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Grand Teton National Park,				

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the draft Moose-Wilson Corridor EIS. Please make the following improvements to the draft EIS:

1. Include a well-designed pathway along Moose-Wilson corridor for park visitors biking, walking and to serve people with disabilities. Build the pathway in two phases:

Phase one, build the southern half of the pathway, connecting the Granite entry to the LSR Visitor Center, as was approved in the 2007 EIS. Careful pathway design will address the concerns with bears and wildlife.

Phase two, complete the pathway on the north section after completing archeological studies and mitigation, and shift the road section out of the identified prime habitat and wetland, as proposed in Alternative D.

The pathway could be part of the archeological mitigation. It would be a remarkable visitor experience if it was an interpretive journey teaching visitors about prehistoric Native American visitors. What better way to experience that history than a well-interpreted human-powered trail along the corridor, where visitors could literally walk in the footsteps of the first human visitors to Jackson Hole and Grand Teton National Park.

The draft plan failed to consider the needs of people walking. This is a separate mode of travel from biking, and the NPS should include the option for people to walk along the corridor. Just as there is a latent demand for people that would like to bike safely, there is a latent demand for people to walk. The distances are quite walkable, and if combined with alt-fuel transit, a pathway for would be a terrific low impact option for visitors to walk as well as bicycle.

2. Along with adding a pathway, the NPS should partner with the community on an alternative-fuel transit shuttle to provide new options for people and reduce private vehicle pressure and carbon emissions on the Moose-Wilson road. The parks prior Transit studies support this, and the Moose-Wilson plan should include this as part of the long term solutions to visitor access to the National Park.

3. Missing topics that should be addressed:

Include Regional Transportation in the Final EIS. This topic is integral to Moose-Wilson, but was dismissed from consideration. Teton County has adopted a Comprehensive Plan and Integrated Transportation Plan that specifically calls for a regional approach to shared transportation challenges. The NPS should include this significant partnership opportunity in the Moose-Wilson plan.

Address Air Quality and Climate Change. These related topics should not have been dismissed in the EIS. Both are very important to include in the Moose-Wilson Corridor plan. The NPS has a responsibility to protect air quality in the National Park, and to take positive steps like the pathway to help combat climate change in this plan.

Pathway user studies of the Moose-Jenny Lake Pathway. Include prior social science studies that were conducted by the NPS in 2007-2010. There are two highly relevant before and after studies of pathway use in Grand Teton National Park, which document a remarkably high visitor experience with negligible wildlife impacts. The results of those studies are very helpful in documenting the benefits of the pathway, and lack of impacts.

4. Revise the 550-person visitor limit system, which lacks a rational basis to support the visitor use capacity determination. The DEIS fails to connect the proposed use levels to any natural resource impacts or outcome. The NPS should instead develop a system that rewards people when they use alternative transportation like biking, walking and transit. Manage private motor vehicles to a reasonable limit, and allow more people when they choose alternative modes.

5. Winter access. The NPS should improve the winter season elements in the final decision and allow grooming the unplowed sections of Moose Wilson road and new pathway in the winter. A groomed trail would enhance winter access for Nordic skiing, walking, snowshoes, and fat bikes on the road and pathway. This is another area where partnerships are feasible to assist the park. There could be a world-class 15km groomed trail from Teton Village to LSR, using the pathway and closed road section to provide a remarkable national park visitor experience at a time of year when there are not significant wildlife concerns.

6. NPS Management Policies. The EIS must consider and comply with the NPS Management Policy, Section 9.2. This is missing in the DEIS. The NPS policy 9.2 states:

"Depending on a park unit's size, location, resources, and level of use, the Service will, where appropriate, emphasize and encourage alternative transportation systems, which may include a mix of buses, trains, ferries, trams, and-preferably-nonmotorized modes of access to and moving within parks. In general, the preferred modes of transportation will be those that contribute to maximum visitor enjoyment of, and minimum adverse impacts on, park resources and values."

Thank you for your consideration of these points.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2952	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	MAHAN, JAMES				
<b>Address:</b>	RAWLINS, WY 82301				
	United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,22,2016 16:05:43				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Grand Teton National Park,				

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the draft Moose-Wilson Corridor EIS. Please make the following improvements to the draft EIS:

1. Include a well-designed pathway along Moose-Wilson corridor for park visitors biking, walking and to serve people with disabilities. Build the pathway in two phases:

Phase one, build the southern half of the pathway, connecting the Granite entry to the LSR Visitor Center, as was approved in the 2007 EIS. Careful pathway design will address the concerns with bears and wildlife.

Phase two, complete the pathway on the north section after completing archeological studies and mitigation, and shift the road section out of the identified prime habitat and wetland, as proposed in Alternative D.

The pathway could be part of the archeological mitigation. It would be a remarkable visitor experience if it was an interpretive journey teaching visitors about prehistoric Native American visitors. What better way to experience that history than a well-interpreted human-powered trail along the corridor, where visitors could literally walk in the footsteps of the first human visitors to Jackson Hole and Grand Teton National Park.

The draft plan failed to consider the needs of people walking. This is a separate mode of travel from biking, and the NPS should include the option for people to walk along the corridor. Just as there is a latent demand for people that would like to bike safely, there is a latent demand for people to walk. The distances are quite walkable, and if combined with alt-fuel transit, a pathway for would be a terrific low impact option for visitors to walk as well as bicycle.

2. Along with adding a pathway, the NPS should partner with the community on an alternative-fuel transit shuttle to provide new options for people and reduce private vehicle pressure and carbon emissions on the Moose-Wilson road. The parks prior Transit studies support this, and the Moose-Wilson plan should include this as part of the long term solutions to visitor access to the National Park.

3. Missing topics that should be addressed:

Include Regional Transportation in the Final EIS. This topic is integral to Moose-Wilson, but was dismissed from consideration. Teton County has adopted a Comprehensive Plan and Integrated Transportation Plan that specifically calls for a regional approach to shared transportation challenges. The NPS should include this significant partnership opportunity in the Moose-Wilson plan.

Address Air Quality and Climate Change. These related topics should not have been dismissed in the EIS. Both are very important to include in the Moose-Wilson Corridor plan. The NPS has a responsibility to protect air quality in the National Park, and to take positive steps like the pathway to help combat climate change in this plan.

Pathway user studies of the Moose-Jenny Lake Pathway. Include prior social science studies that were conducted by the NPS in 2007-2010. There are two highly relevant before and after studies of pathway use in Grand Teton National Park, which document a remarkably high visitor experience with negligible wildlife impacts. The results of those studies are very helpful in documenting the benefits of the pathway, and lack of impacts.

4. Revise the 550-person visitor limit system, which lacks a rational basis to support the visitor use capacity determination. The DEIS fails to connect the proposed use levels to any natural resource impacts or outcome. The NPS should instead develop a system that rewards people when they use alternative transportation like biking, walking and transit. Manage private motor vehicles to a reasonable limit, and allow more people when they choose alternative modes.

5. Winter access. The NPS should improve the winter season elements in the final decision and allow grooming the unplowed sections of Moose Wilson road and new pathway in the winter. A groomed trail would enhance winter access for Nordic skiing, walking, snowshoes, and fat bikes on the road and pathway. This is another area where partnerships are feasible to assist the park. There could be a world-class 15km groomed trail from Teton Village to LSR, using the pathway and closed road section to provide a remarkable national park visitor experience at a time of year when there are not significant wildlife concerns.

6. NPS Management Policies. The EIS must consider and comply with the NPS Management Policy, Section 9.2. This is missing in the DEIS. The NPS policy 9.2 states:

"Depending on a park unit's size, location, resources, and level of use, the Service will, where appropriate, emphasize and encourage alternative transportation systems, which may include a mix of buses, trains, ferries, trams, and-preferably-nonmotorized modes of access to and moving within parks. In general, the preferred modes of transportation will be those that contribute to maximum visitor enjoyment of, and minimum adverse impacts on, park resources and values."

Th

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2953	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Stevenson, Joey S				
<b>Address:</b>	Leitchfield, KY 42754 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	None Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	Member				
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,24,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	We need to leave our parks as they are and leave the wild roaming them need to be left alone too they were there before us humans				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2954	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Schlothauer, David				
<b>Address:</b>	Park Hills , KY 41011-5605 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,24,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	January 24, 2016 Moose-Wilson Corridor Comprehensive Management Plan / Draft Environmental Impact Statement Draft CMP/EIS)				

Hello: I am writing this comment letter in support of the National Park Service's (NPS) Preferred Alternative, Alternative C of the referenced Draft CMP/EIS. I am a native of Wyoming, and currently live in Park Hills, KY.

I continue to visit Wyoming annually, with the number and duration of my visits increasing in direct proportion to my age. I live in Jackson part of each year to enjoy the park and view wildlife. During my time in Jackson, one of my primary activities is to drive the Moose-Wilson road to enjoy the wildlife and the ecosystem. I have witnessed and fully understand the challenges faced by the NPS when managing the shared utilization of the Moose-Wilson corridor by wildlife and increasing numbers of people.

However in spite of this apparent conflict, in the opening paragraphs of the Executive Summary I believe the NPS has effectively described the role and desirability of Preferred Alternative C (emphasis and editing added): The elements of emphasis in this alternative is to: (1) Model the balance of preservation and public use and enjoyment by exemplifying conservation legacies within the corridor; (2) Manage the intensity and timing of visitor use to effectively provide high-quality visitor opportunities; (3) Maintain development within the existing development footprint; and, (4) Predominate the sense of discovery in this outstanding and diverse natural ecosystem and cultural history area.

Preferred Alternative C was developed to directly address and to respond to these important elements when considering the utilization of the Moose-Wilson Corridor. Therefore I encourage the NPS to submit Preferred Alternative C for approval and implementation, with the recognition that modifications and or improvements resulting from this comment period may be incorporated into Alternative C to better meet the purpose and objectives of this study. For example, current technology, similar to the real time information boards posted at entry locations to large airport parking facilities, could be used to inform visitors of the relationship of supply/demand conditions of the Moose-Wilson road. Similarly, increased utilization of social media and Internet sites could provide this important advance information to assist prospective travelers and visitors to the Moose-Wilson Corridor. And finally, utilization of Park Personnel during peak periods to manage the high capacity situations will continue to provide necessary controls at random and unpredictable periods of high use.

My experiences tell me you never know when that moose, elk, bear, antelope, beaver, deer, bison, or eagle will be present, but you better be prepared.

David Schlothauer  
1114 Cleveland Ave.  
Park Hills, KY 41011-5605

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2955	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Schlothauer, Nancy R				
<b>Address:</b>	Park Hills, KY 41011 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				

**Affiliation:****Received:** Jan,24,2016 00:00:00**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am commenting in support of the National Park Service's (NPS) Preferred Alternative, Alternative "C". I am a resident of Kentucky, but a frequent visitor of Wyoming (Jackson in particular) and am in favor of Alternative "C" as the best alternative to best preserve this unique corridor for its natural beauty, ecological importance, and habitat for a plethora of animals. The Moose-Wilson corridor is one of my favorite places to visit in Jackson to enjoy nature. I do not want to see thousands of trees cut down to build a bike path, nor do I want to see it opened to bikers. I support a reduction of the speed limit to protect the animals frequently crossing the road. In summary, I support protecting the environment and wildlife as opposed to accommodating cyclist requests for more miles of road or commuters who are looking for a shortcut to north Jackson.

**Correspondence ID:** 2956 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894**Name:** Brunson, Jane C**Address:** Flagstaff, AZ 86004  
United States of America**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual**Affiliation:****Received:** Jan,24,2016 00:00:00**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Having spent four college summers working in Yellowstone National Park and traveling back and forth along this corridor, I am saddened to hear of losing protection for it. I have since taken my family camping and hiking in that area, and they join me in urging me to continue protections.

"Guard it well, for it is far more precious than money . . . once destroyed, nature's beauty cannot be repurchased at any price."

Ansel Adams

". . . wilderness is a resource which can shrink but not grow . . . the creation of new wilderness in the full sense of the work is impossible."

Aldo Leopold

Thank you for considering my comments.

**Correspondence ID:** 2957 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894**Name:** bare, clive**Address:** teton village, WY 83025  
United States of America**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual**Affiliation:****Received:** Jan,24,2016 00:00:00**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** In failing to support a separated multi-use path the NPS has once again demonstrated a total disregard for the safety of the public. It will probably take a dead biker to move the park service off this position as it did to get the pathway from town to Jenny Lake. The NPS places primary importance on making its own life as easy as possible by limiting access, particularly for outdoor recreation, using the excuse of environmental protection.

**Correspondence ID:** 2958 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894**Name:** N/A, N/A**Address:** Richmone, CA 94804  
United States of America**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual**Affiliation:****Received:** Jan,24,2016 00:00:00**Correspondence Type:** Web Form**Correspondence:** N/A**Correspondence ID:** 2959 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894**Name:** RYCHECK, KEVIN M**Address:** GARNETT, KS 66032  
United States of America**Outside Organization:** NATIONAL PARK SERVICE & NCPA Unaffiliated Individual**Affiliation:** Member**Received:** Jan,25,2016 00:00:00**Correspondence Type:** Web Form**Correspondence:** SUPPORT ALTERNATIVE C !!!**Correspondence ID:** 2960 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894**Name:** Davenport, Mike**Address:** Douglas, WY 82633  
United States of America**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual**Affiliation:****Received:** Jan,25,2016 00:00:00**Correspondence Type:** Web Form**Correspondence:** Dear Grand Teton National Park,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the draft Moose-Wilson Corridor EIS. Please make the following improvements to the draft EIS:

1. Include a well-designed pathway along Moose-Wilson corridor for park visitors biking, walking and to serve people with disabilities. Build the pathway in two phases:

Phase one, build the southern half of the pathway, connecting the Granite entry to the LSR Visitor Center, as was approved in the 2007 EIS. Careful pathway design will address the concerns with bears and wildlife.

Phase two, complete the pathway on the north section after completing archeological studies and mitigation, and shift the road section out of the identified prime habitat and wetland, as proposed in Alternative D.

The pathway could be part of the archeological mitigation. It would be a remarkable visitor experience if it was an interpretive journey teaching visitors about prehistoric Native American visitors. What better way to experience that history than a well-interpreted human-powered trail along the corridor, where visitors could literally walk in the footsteps of the first human visitors to Jackson Hole and Grand Teton National Park.

The draft plan failed to consider the needs of people walking. This is a separate mode of travel from biking, and the NPS should include the option for people to walk along the corridor. Just as there is a latent demand for people that would like to bike safely, there is a latent demand for people to walk. The distances are quite walkable, and if combined with alt-fuel transit, a pathway for would be a terrific low impact option for visitors to walk as well as bicycle.

2. Along with adding a pathway, the NPS should partner with the community on an alternative-fuel transit shuttle to provide new options for people and reduce private vehicle pressure and carbon emissions on the Moose-Wilson road. The parks prior Transit studies support this, and the Moose-Wilson plan should include this as part of the long term solutions to visitor access to the National Park.

3. Missing topics that should be addressed:

Include Regional Transportation in the Final EIS. This topic is integral to Moose-Wilson, but was dismissed from consideration. Teton County has adopted a Comprehensive Plan and Integrated Transportation Plan that specifically calls for a regional approach to shared transportation challenges. The NPS should include this significant partnership opportunity in the Moose-Wilson plan.

Address Air Quality and Climate Change. These related topics should not have been dismissed in the EIS. Both are very important to include in the Moose-Wilson Corridor plan. The NPS has a responsibility to protect air quality in the National Park, and to take positive steps like the pathway to help combat climate change in this plan.

Pathway user studies of the Moose-Jenny Lake Pathway. Include prior social science studies that were conducted by the NPS in 2007-2010. There are two highly relevant before and after studies of pathway use in Grand Teton National Park, which document a remarkably high visitor experience with negligible wildlife impacts. The results of those studies are very helpful in documenting the benefits of the pathway, and lack of impacts.

4. Revise the 550-person visitor limit system, which lacks a rational basis to support the visitor use capacity determination. The DEIS fails to connect the proposed use levels to any natural resource impacts or outcome. The NPS should instead develop a system that rewards people when they use alternative transportation like biking, walking and transit. Manage private motor vehicles to a reasonable limit, and allow more people when they choose alternative modes.

5. Winter access. The NPS should improve the winter season elements in the final decision and allow grooming the unplowed sections of Moose Wilson road and new pathway in the winter. A groomed trail would enhance winter access for Nordic skiing, walking, snowshoes, and fat bikes on the road and pathway. This is another area where partnerships are feasible to assist the park. There could be a world-class 15km groomed trail from Teton Village to LSR, using the pathway and closed road section to provide a remarkable national park visitor experience at a time of year when there are not significant wildlife concerns.

6. NPS Management Policies. The EIS must consider and comply with the NPS Management Policy, Section 9.2. This is missing in the DEIS. The NPS policy 9.2 states:

"Depending on a park unit's size, location, resources, and level of use, the Service will, where appropriate, emphasize and encourage alternative transportation systems, which may include a mix of buses, trains, ferries, trams, and-preferably-nonmotorized modes of access to and moving within parks. In general, the preferred modes of transportation will be those that contribute to maximum visitor enjoyment of, and minimum adverse impacts on, park resources and values."

Thank you for your consideration of these points.

Sincerely,  
Mike Davenport, CSM, USA(ret)

-----

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	2961	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	Santa Cruz, CA 95060 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,25,2016 11:56:59				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,  
B. Jennings

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2962    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Owen, Chris J  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,25,2016 12:07:59  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I first want to say thank you for doing such a thorough analysis of the corridor, I think reviewing it was the largest volume I have read since college. There are a few things of interest to me that I feel you missed and or haven't considered due to budget limitations rather than what is best for the park and the surrounding ecosystem.

First I am concerned about your plan to limit use in the corridor. Particularly in moving the Death Canyon trail head back 1 mile down the road. As a climber the Death Canyon area is the closest climbing to be accessed in the park, close meaning only a half day approach vs. a full day or more to get to most other climbing in the park. The adage you have to hike a mile for every pitch of climbing you want to do is very true and adding 2 more miles to the approach to this area seems to be done out of lack of funding to maintain the road. The other alternatives seemed to be set up to fail here as proposed the alternative routes through areas you knew to have archaeological resources and then used that as an excuse to rule that alternative out. This was also done with the realignment of the road out of the wetland areas and with the proposed route of the pathway around LSR/the proposed additional parking at LSR. If you knew of these cultural resources prior to the release of these alternatives as you stated in your analysis then why would you proposed them unless to encourage those alternatives that you did not want to pay for, to fail. This combined with the limited access by the proposed car limit discourages me from visiting my national park.

I also feel the lack of consideration for a transit system of some kind is short sighted. You have done a good job albeit begrudgingly to add pathways to allow people to experience the park in a new way outside of their cars. Why not pursue this option in the corridor? At least to LSR from the south as you have already approved. I don't buy the bear argument as bears are prevalent throughout our ecosystem and any activity in their habitat could be considered dangerous. Should we not go outside in the park at all? Also with many other parks making good use of transit systems, particularly buses I feel that option was completely overlooked. Saying that you do not have the money to implement it and that the traffic problems outside of the park are not your concern is absurd. The parks is part of this community and it is the draw that brings people here and causes the traffic problems. The unwillingness to be part of a broader solution to the traffic and pollution in the valley is again short sighted especially when there are numerous people and organizations in our area that would step up to help with funding.

Finally I feel that safety was a glaring thing that you overlooked for users of the corridor. As an avid biker who enjoys touring around the world I personally feel comfortable to continue to navigate the narrow and dirt sections of the Moose Wilson Road. I would not however take my family and friends who are novice or casual riders who have difficulty riding in straight lines on the edge of bumpy roads up hilly sections. And I would certainly not take my niece and nephews who are learning to ride on this section of road. I understand the effects you described of a separate pathway causing habitat segmentation or widening of the corridor along the northern portion of the road but feel the 2007 approved pathway route to LSR from the south entrance is a poor decision to leave out of your preferred alternative, especially as it follows an existing service road that remains open to use. To create a visitor center and not allow access to it seems a poor way to connect users with the park.

I hope you will take my comments into mind with all of the other public comments and improve upon alternative C before you make you final decision.

Thank you,

Chris Owen

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2963    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Smith, Cynthia D  
**Address:** Oceanside, CA 92057  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,25,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Please help us save the parks, the animals and the history of the United States for our children. We all needs somewhere which belongs to the people, which restores our soles, communes with nature and allows us to discover like children what our lands really mean.



---

**Correspondence ID:** 2964    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McCurry, Kristy  
**Address:** Chico, CA 95926  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,25,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I strongly support Alternative C for the following reasons; protecting resources, protecting wildlife, protecting people and it just makes good sense and is very important for our future.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2965    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gibson, Leslie W  
**Address:** Teton Village , WY 83025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,25,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am a fan of National Park access for whatever the reason - - the photographer has the same rights as the hiker, cyclist, paddler, or auto-tourist. I do believe that as a Teton Village resident that this access should remain open to two way traffic, bicycles, and small mass transit and the surface repaired and realigned to Avoid the wildlife areas - - out in the sagebrush and under the power line corridors already impacting the area. Even the dike road is a better fit than the woods and wetlands alignment of today. The arbitrary 200 car limit is not a real solution - it is a roadblock to access on this side of the valley. Lets drive an extra thirtyfive miles to get to the same place! Teton Village should not be a dead end in the Summer and the Park Service needs to realize that our access is as important as those who choose to enter from Jackson or Moran or Yellowstone. It is a traditional Park access with an entrance station - - lets not close it!  
I am also a proponent of occasional winter road grooming (on the new alignment). With the present and future use, it seems that everyone could "share" the surface better with some county funded grooming.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2966    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Moughler, Janet  
**Address:** South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Lake Tahoe Wildlife Care volunteer Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Jan,25,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I would like to express my support for choosing Alternative C for the Moose Wilson corridor. It is the best choice for the wildlife population, and will improve safety for both visitors and the animals. It also doesn't require a lot of building, which would disturb the habitat and drive the animals away from the area. The least amount of environmental disturbance is the way to go in such an area rich with wildlife natural beauty.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2967    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Fotopoulos, Maria  
**Address:** Los Angeles, CA 90049  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,25,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Re: Preferred Alternative for Moose-Wilson, please consider Alternative C, at it will protect wildlife, under continuing pressure by Man. Alt C will decrease speed & usage. Between 1991 and 2015, there were far fewer confirmed wildlife vehicle collisions between on Moose-Wilson Road compared to significant wildlife-vehicle collisions on a north park road through similar habitat that has higher design & operating speed. Alt C also will prevent habitat loss from new construction, allowing animals to remain in familiar and undisturbed refuge. This is SO important. As well, Alt C will reduce the risk of negative encounters between people and large mammals, including grizzly bears and moose. Thank you!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2968    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** cummings, cynthia a  
**Address:** springfield, MO 65802  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** none Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Jan,25,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We need to keep our national parks protected. The wildlife are very precious. We cannot afford to lose any of the species. Grizzlies should remain on the endangered species list. There were numerous Grizzly deaths last year in both Yellowstone and Grand Teton National parks due to humans being in there territory. People should be required to carry bear spray when hiking in the back country. Bison should not be slaughtered, there are many states who would gladly relocate the bison to start herds. Elk, Moose, cougars, wolves, should also be protected.They play a valuable part in the Greater Yellowstone eco-system.  
The majority of tourists come to the national Parks to view the wildlife. If it is not available to see, your tourist dollars will drop considerably. The Moose-Wilson road should have a mandatory speed limit of 25 miles per hour, signs warning of wildlife on or near the road, for example, right outside West Yellowstone, there is a yellow warning sign, with blinking lights warning of Bison on the road. It gets your attention along with the excitement of seeing

the animal, which may or may not be there. I could go on but you get the idea. Please consider these options.

Sincerely,  
Cynthia Cummins

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2969    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McGinnis, Helen J  
**Address:** Harman, WV 26270  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,25,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I strongly support Alternative C for the following reasons: I am in favor of anything that will maintain connectivity for wildlife populations such as grizzlies.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2970    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Springer, James  
**Address:** WILSON, WY 83014-9164  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,25,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Greetings to the hard working members of the Moose-Wilson Planning Team,

I am pleased with most of the conditions of the preferred alternative. Here are some points that I disagree with and some problems that were not addressed.

The concept of traffic calming and limiting numbers of vehicles runs throughout the alternative. Paving the south end of the road will encourage many drivers that currently avoid the corridor because of the rougher conditions of the dirt section. It is certainly costly to maintain the road in its present state mostly because there has never been a proper road bed and drainage problems plague that section. Traffic is currently discouraged and calmed when the road is rough and the Park will be simply increasing the very problems it claims to want to prevent by paving the southern end of the Moose- Wilson road.

Changing the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour is not a solution to fast traffic. As a Law Enforcement ranger the difference in those speeds is insignificant and unenforceable. If drivers are going to travel above the current speed limit they are usually well above 25 or 30 miles per hour. The park currently patrols the Moose-Wilson road very frequently and other traffic calming methods would better slow traffic.

I have heard some people complain that moving the Death Canyon Trailhead would involve impacting a large area for a new parking lot. It is easily justified as cars park along the entire last mile of the current road causing extremely heavy and dispersed impacts the whole length. A new lot would focus those impacts and rehabilitation of the old road would more than mitigate the new parking lots impacts several times over. The new trail should continue west where the road now curves to the south toward the Whitegrass Ranger Station. It should join the Valley trail on that vector and eliminate considerable unnecessary trail on the old abandoned road bed and allow more of the old road bed to be fully restored.

Moving the very north end of the road to join the Teton Park Road serves two beneficial purposes: Visitors from the south would not have to wait in line at entrances twice and southbound ravelers would have more of a sense that that are in a National Park giving opportunities for information and interpretation that currently are missed. It might also discourage vehicles that are simply looking for a short-cut to access highway 390.

The blocked turnouts along the road should be evaluated and appropriate ones harden and reopened. In the LSR they could be signed for a five minute limit. Traffic flow would be eased if visitors seeking wildlife views, a short walk into the nearby woods or pulling over to let traffic pass was facilitated. Currently the traditional turnouts are blocked and new ones are being created: a losing management faux pas that always leads to new impacts. The park should decide the best locations for pulling over rather than the visitor.

I am very glad to see that a separate bike path is not considered. I have stated before that the pathways from Moose to Jenny Lake have become a new popular venue. Unfortunately as I drive the road very often in the Summer I am surprised to see that road bikers do not use the crowded pathways but take their chances on the roads small shoulders, making moot the argument that pathways will be safer for road bikers. I was particularly sad to see this to be the case as my wife and son frequently ride the roadway and I worry, burdened with the memory of being the first ranger on scene at Gabriella Axelrads fatal accident. That is the case and a pathway in the Moose-Wilson Corridor can not be promoted as a safety measure for road bikers.

One of the biggest problems with traffic flow on the Moose-Wilson road is the phenomenal growth of wildlife viewing tour vans. Once again the park has been implicit in creating its own problems but is unable to see the connection. Such tours should be limited until such time as shuttles or other forms of transportation begin to deal with the crowding problem.

I agree that taxi travel should be restricted with one exception. I frequently deal with backpackers hiking the Teton Crest Trail. They need transportation to their starting point or back to their car at the end of their multi-day sojourn. Currently taxis fill this need and they should be allowed for these trailhead shuttles.

Winter parking at the Granite Canyon Trailhead and the junction with the White Grass road is not addressed. These areas have become very popular and parking rapidly becomes chaotic creating an unsafe situation with resource impacts where cars use undesignated parking.

Finally I would hope that at some point the park would begin to accept the reality of increasing numbers of visitors and the madhouse that is the parking and travel experience for the visitor during the high season. Over forty years ago Yosemite started its shuttle system and many parks have followed. No park has a road system better suited for such a system than the Moose-Wilson/Moose to Signal Mountain corridor. I realize that there could be a problem with increasing actual numbers of visitors but this could easily be addressed. Unfortunately millions are being spent at Jenny Lake on a parking lot that

ideally would have pavement reduced by 80% with a calm, green picnic area instead of the current downtown Manhattan situation.

Piecemeal planning is the Custers Last Stand approach to visitor and resource management.

Thank You,  
James Springer  
2680 Pizza Lane  
Wilson, WY 83014  
email: springerjx@gmail.com

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2971    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Benavides, Eva  
**Address:** Peoria , AZ 85383  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,25,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I strongly support Alternative C" for the following reasons: it is based on extensive research, prevents from negative encounters, saves trees, protects wildlife habitat and many more. Thank you

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2972    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** field, frances  
**Address:** sparta, WI 54656  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,25,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

The historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas.

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

Frances Field

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2973    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Blaker, Shawna  
**Address:** Washington, PA 15301  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,25,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** "I strongly support Alternative C" for the following reasons: it protects our wildlife, resources and people. there is no need for anymore threat to our natural resources or wildlife. Period. This is no open-ended discussion. To much damage has already been done and to do more will only bring things to a critical mass in the future and try to clean up a mess that no one will be able to.  
It is an absolute must that Grand Teton National Park be treasured and cared for PROPERLY and RESPONSIBLY and not thrown under the rug for special interest groups.  
Grand Teton National Park (GRTE) has long struggled with 'what to do' with the glorious Moose-Wilson corridor. Alternative C is the result of extensive research and analysis and upholds the core values of the National Park Service to protect the resource, while providing for public enjoyment....the resource (wild-lands and wildlife ) is clearly the priority. Alternative C has the immeasurable benefit of including an Adaptive Management approach which will allow GRTE to respond to changing needs in the corridor. This offers the very best opportunity for honing and perfecting strategies to protect the area.  
I thank you for your time and consideration in this matter

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2974    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A

**Address:** benton, KY 42025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,25,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please say yes...To protect all...

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2975      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Zerbe , Linda M  
**Address:** Philadelphia , PA 19134  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,25,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please support Alternative C. We cannot allow the extinction of one more species and we cannot afford the effects of habitat loss. Global warming is a genuine threat to the survival of man and the planet. Preserving the wildlife and habitats we have left are essential for the sustainability of the planet and man.

Trees soak up carbon dioxide and release oxygen. The Amazon Rain Forest provides 20% othe world's oxygen and approximately 20% h been destroyed for ranching, logging and mining. What happens when we destroy all of the rain forests and natural habitats on the planet? Where will our oxygen come from.

[http://wwf.panda.org/about\\_our\\_earth/teacher\\_resources/best\\_place\\_species/current\\_top\\_10/amazon\\_rainforest.cfm](http://wwf.panda.org/about_our_earth/teacher_resources/best_place_species/current_top_10/amazon_rainforest.cfm)

One example of the benefits of preserving natural habitats and wildlife is the return of wolves to Yellowstone. The entire ecosystem has benefited from their return. Wolves change rivers!

<http://www.yellowstonepark.com/wolf-reintroduction-changes-ecosystem/>

Man is overpopulating the planet and wildlife habitats are shrinking in return. We need to invest in our future by preserving the precious remaining resources we have left. Please save our wildlife and their habitats.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2976      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Zerbe , Linda M  
**Address:** Philadelphia , PA 19134  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,25,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please support Alternative C. We cannot allow the extinction of one more species and we cannot afford the effects of habitat loss. Global warming is a genuine threat to the survival of man and the planet. Preserving the wildlife and habitats we have left are essential for the sustainability of the planet and man.

Trees soak up carbon dioxide and release oxygen. The Amazon Rain Forest provides 20% othe world's oxygen and approximately 20% h been destroyed for ranching, logging and mining. What happens when we destroy all of the rain forests and natural habitats on the planet? Where will our oxygen come from.

[http://wwf.panda.org/about\\_our\\_earth/teacher\\_resources/best\\_place\\_species/current\\_top\\_10/amazon\\_rainforest.cfm](http://wwf.panda.org/about_our_earth/teacher_resources/best_place_species/current_top_10/amazon_rainforest.cfm)

One example of the benefits of preserving natural habitats and wildlife is the return of wolves to Yellowstone. The entire ecosystem has benefited from their return. Wolves change rivers!

<http://www.yellowstonepark.com/wolf-reintroduction-changes-ecosystem/>

Man is overpopulating the planet and wildlife habitats are shrinking in return. We need to invest in our future by preserving the precious remaining resources we have left. Please save our wildlife and their habitats.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2977      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Zerbe , Linda M  
**Address:** Philadelphia , PA 19134  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,25,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please support Alternative C. We cannot allow the extinction of one more species and we cannot afford the effects of habitat loss. Global warming is a genuine threat to the survival of man and the planet. Preserving the wildlife and habitats we have left are essential for the sustainability of the planet and man.

Trees soak up carbon dioxide and release oxygen. The Amazon Rain Forest provides 20% othe world's oxygen and approximately 20% orain forest has

been destroyed for ranching, logging and mining. What happens when we destroy all of the rain forests and natural habitats on the planet? Where will our oxygen come from.

[http://wwf.panda.org/about\\_our\\_earth/teacher\\_resources/best\\_place\\_species/current\\_top\\_10/amazon\\_rainforest.cfm](http://wwf.panda.org/about_our_earth/teacher_resources/best_place_species/current_top_10/amazon_rainforest.cfm)

One example of the benefits of preserving natural habitats and wildlife is the return of wolves to Yellowstone. The entire ecosystem has benefited from their return. Wolves change rivers!

<http://www.yellowstonepark.com/wolf-reintroduction-changes-ecosystem/>

Man is overpopulating the planet and wildlife habitats are shrinking in return. We need to invest in our future by preserving the precious remaining resources we have left. Please save our wildlife and their habitats.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2978      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Zerbe , Linda M  
**Address:** Philadelphia , PA 19134  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,25,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Please support Alternative C. We cannot allow the extinction of one more species and we cannot afford the effects of habitat loss. Global warming is a genuine threat to the survival of man and the planet. Preserving the wildlife and habitats we have left are essential for the sustainability of the planet and man.

Trees soak up carbon dioxide and release oxygen. The Amazon Rain Forest provides 20% the world's oxygen and approximately 20% orain forest has been destroyed for ranching, logging and mining. What happens when we destroy all of the rain forests and natural habitats on the planet? Where will our oxygen come from.

[http://wwf.panda.org/about\\_our\\_earth/teacher\\_resources/best\\_place\\_species/current\\_top\\_10/amazon\\_rainforest.cfm](http://wwf.panda.org/about_our_earth/teacher_resources/best_place_species/current_top_10/amazon_rainforest.cfm)

One example of the benefits of preserving natural habitats and wildlife is the return of wolves to Yellowstone. The entire ecosystem has benefited from their return. Wolves change rivers!

<http://www.yellowstonepark.com/wolf-reintroduction-changes-ecosystem/>

Man is overpopulating the planet and wildlife habitats are shrinking in return. We need to invest in our future by preserving the precious remaining resources we have left. Please save our wildlife and their habitats.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2979      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Frandemo, Robyn  
**Address:** Chicago, IL 60646  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,25,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Grand Teton Aternative c

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2980      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lukens, Patricia Daly  
**Address:** Fort Collins, CO 80526  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,25,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**

for habitat alone, to help animals flourish.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2981      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Perales, Vanessa  
**Address:** PETALUMA, CA 94954  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,25,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Park areas are the National treasure of every country. Wildlife and nature in general should be protected without question.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2982    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Omaha, NE 68137  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** This is the right thing to do! Please!!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2983    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Treichel, Janet M  
**Address:** Reston, VA 20190  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 07:32:35  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Although I live full time in Virginia, I have a second home in Jackson Hole. I am opposed to the construction of a new separated paved pathway along the Moose-Wilson Corridor. I am also in opposition to adding winter grooming to the Moose-Wilson Corridor for the purpose of permitting winter fat tire bikes or any other use in this very sensitive winter wildlife corridor.

The Moose-Wilson Corridor is one of the most beautiful and pristine areas anywhere in the country. All who have protected the corridor lo these many, many years for the protection of our wildlife and the enjoyment of all who value its natural beauty entrusted it to us who came after to carry on the legacy of protecting our natural resources and the environment. To develop the Moose-Wilson Corridor into just another thru way or short cut for commercial vehicles, taxis and other types of transportation is unnecessary and would be destructive to everything that makes it so special and magnificent.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2984    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Massapequa Park, NY 11762  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I strongly support Alternative C in Grand Teton National Park. I agree with all the strategies to be employed for the preservation and well being of the park and its inhabitants. Please think this through, too many mistakes are made by the powers that be, in favor of Of poorly thought out, money backed lobby groups with personal agendas. Don't let this one fail, it will kiss another piece of aMerica goodbye, and we've done that way too often!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2985    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** adams, dawn l  
**Address:** casper, WY 82601  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I have only lived in Wyoming for 3 years but I had planned to get to Yellowstone this summer. Seems this is the year that grizzlys and wolves will be allowed to be murdered along with the buffalo. I find 700 grizzlys to be a very small figure after all the years it took to build their numbers up. I'm no professional on these matters but why on earth do you do this? Nature will take care of itself. Look at what the wolves have done for the the water, the very ego system has changed because that is how it was intended to be.

I would like to think that our grandchildren will see grizzlys in person, not in some magazine.

This whole "Harvesting" thing is meant for vegetables and fruit not for animals. They are living breathing beings with families. Let them live especially in the one place we all depend on seeing them, YELLOWSTONE!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2986    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Roberts, Randy C  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am in favor of creating a shoulder for cyclists in both north and southern directions. In recent years park visitors have spoken about quality experiences in the park and the success of the pathway system has achieved that. A lot of time and money was spent creating a transportation plan that included this alternative visitor experience and to not include some kind of cycling/walking amenity along this corridor is saddening. If the corridor is too sensitive due to environmental concerns close it, give it back to animals and their ecosystem. But to allow cars without giving people who would like to experience this corridor in a different way an equal chance is not fair and certainly not consistent with what you and others spent a lot of time and money trying to develop.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2987    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ball, Jim  
**Address:** Bethesda, MD 20817  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** My wife and I only first visited GTNP this past summer, and were as surprised and impressed as we expect most visitors are with the quality of and enjoyment derived from all of the fundamental resources and values listed in the Moose-Wilson Corridor Draft Comprehensive Management Plan. Having recently received and studied this Plan as presented in the Draft Comprehensive Management Plan Newsletter, and read in part the EIS available online, we wish to comment as visitors who used this corridor many times in such a short period, and who plan on returning in order to experience much more of what GTNP has to offer.

Having been in the road engineering management business for 35 years, I was struck by the need for better management of the Moose-Wilson traffic corridor. Traffic volumes along the Moose-Wilson Road were high, and the lack of appropriate and proactive traffic management measures was quite noticeable. The EIS sections on traffic patterns confirms the belief that high volumes are significantly the result of through traffic and inadequate road planning outside the GTNP borders, i.e. alternative routes for WY Hwy 390 - US Hwy 26 traffic. While such improvements outside GTNP may be desirable, action on an MWCMP Alternative is needed regardless.

Of the the four draft Alternatives presented, we support selection of the NPS Preferred Alternative C.

Alternative C is the best for meeting the stated purpose and needs, and at the same time addressing key issues and concerns. In particular, we believe that traffic management will be improved with the paving of unpaved road and proposed realignments, the addition of turnouts and parking spaces, and changes to entrance stations. Also we feel that the extension of the Death Canyon Trail with a better placement of the trailhead and parking enhances the experience. And, as presented in the EIS, it appears that Alternative C is cost-effective, while offering opportunities for implementing other adaptive strategies in the future.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2988    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Schulz, Maryann R  
**Address:** South Fork, CO 81154  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Our country's National Parks showcase our most beautiful and rich areas of wilderness. These areas not only belong to all of us, but also to the trees and animals that inhabit these parks. In fact without these resident species the parks would no longer serve any of us. With this in mind I support Alternative C for the Moose-Wilson corridor in the Grand Teton National Park.

Trees need protection from the pollution and degradation resulting from new construction. To flourish wildlife also need their corridors and range uninterrupted by development.

Reduced speed limits on roads through the park would reduce animal and human injury and mortality.

Since these and other provisions of Alternative C seek to protect both wildlife inhabiting and people enjoying the Park, I support this adaptive management plan for the Grand Teton National Park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2989    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McDonald, Jazmyn  
**Address:** Lander, WY 82520  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I'm writing to support the Preferred Alternative proposed for the Moose Wilson Road corridor.

I have been driving that road since 1971 so I certainly understand the safety and traffic concerns and I am absolutely dedicated to the continuation of the road's spectacular wildlife and scenic value. It is one of the most memorable drives of any National Park experience in the lower 48; and I always include at least a quick visit when introducing newcomers to the park.

The only improvements to the Preferred Alternative I would suggest are to:

First, mandate as little disturbance as possible to the existing roadside vegetation, including trees, willows, and low marshy areas. We know from the data and our own viewing experiences that it is precisely the close interaction between the great wildlife habitat for (in particular) the great grey owls, the moose, bears and wolves and the passing vehicles that make this such a special drive.

Second, for all the above reasons, please ensure that the 20 mph speed limit remains in place for the protection of all travelers (human and other) along the route.

And thirdly, include some flexibility in the vehicle threshold limits that would allow for protection of the wildlife uses such as nesting owls or feeding bears.

Thank you for all the hard work you have put into this planning process and for the opportunity to comment on this iconic portion of Grand Teton Park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2990    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stenovich, Elizabeth  
**Address:** Nehalem, OR 97131  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I strongly support Alternative C which incorporates the most positive outcome for wildlife. Please prevent habitat loss from new construction. Slow down traffic for the enjoyment of the majority- -animals and people.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2991    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Grossman, Judd  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001-3222  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I realize that GTNP has a responsibility to manage the Moose/Wilson corridor for scenic and wildlife values, but I encourage you to also prioritize the ability of vehicular traffic to flow smoothly. I do not agree with putting limits on the number of vehicles. Jackson Hole has a traffic problem. As part of our community GTNP should contribute to the solutions to this problem by working to make sure that wherever possible roads connect and are built to the proper capacity to assure a high level of service. Restricting traffic on the Moose/Wilson corridor will exacerbate congestion on other Teton County Roads.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2992    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Benefiel, Diane  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am a 39-year resident of Teton County, a homeowner, a freelance artist and sole proprietor of an illustration business. I've been a avid cyclist since I moved here. I've advocated for pathways since 1977 and ride, walk or ski the Millennial, Centennial, Teton Village Road pathways, the pathway bridge over the Snake River, the east dike, and the Hwy 22 pathway, and the Grand Teton National Park pathway 12 months a year thanks to Teton County plowing and grooming. I've driven and ridden the Moose-Wilson Road every summer since 1977. I do not drive my car unless it's absolutely necessary. I'm fortunate to live within walking distance of essential services, banking, post office. Living next to Hwy 22, I am very aware of the transportation issues in the valley. My comments: #1 If you don't include a North Bridge in discussions of the future, you're not talking about real solutions to traffic problems in the valley. #2 If you're serious about protecting the ecosystem on the Moose-Wilson Road, close it to traffic. Open it to bicycle and pedestrian traffic and shuttle vans for the physically challenged, the Rockefeller Preserve and hikers and backpacking traffic to the Death Canyon Trailhead. Realign the northern section, moving it away from the beaver ponds and wetlands. I know this is a difficult decision, but your Preferred Alternative is short-sighted and caters to the largest, loudest interest group, motor vehicles. Thank you for accepting my comments.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2993    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83002  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 11:54:09  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Bicycle users are currently the second largest user group of the Moose-Wilson corridor making up 3% of traffic in either direction. It is noted that bicycle traffic as a share of overall traffic has increased. I believe the current draft does not adequately plan for the increase in this trend to continue when the plan is enacted. For example, if alternative C is chosen and the Moose-Wilson road is paved, the road will become significantly more attractive to both local road cyclists, and visitors who are renting bikes at Moose. These riders currently tend to avoid the road due to the unpaved section. The increase in cyclists, in combination with a relative reduction in vehicular traffic through queuing and speed reduction, could see the proportion of bicycle users over other users rise significantly. A high proportion of cyclists in combination with slow moving traffic trying to pass along the narrow and windy road will greatly increase the potential risk for bicycles and pedestrians on the road. I have personally witnessed a near accident where a slow moving car tried to pass a bicycle on a blind corner and was forced by oncoming traffic to run the cyclist off the road. Unless the current draft plans for the likely change in road user mix after the plan is enacted, I am concerned that incidences like this will increase. Thank you for considering my comment.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2994    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Silver, Cathy  
**Address:** Park City, UT 84098  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual



**Affiliation:****Received:** Jan,26,2016 00:00:00**Correspondence Type:** Web Form**Correspondence:** Alternate C is imperative to protect the Grand Tetons National Parks Wilson Moose Cortidor! The core job of the National Parks is to protect our Natural resources

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2995 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Weaver, Joy  
**Address:** Marietta, GA 30064  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I strongly support Alternative C" for the protection of trees and animals/wildlife from collisions with vehicles.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2996 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Unfried, Stephen M  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014-1288  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** You are to be commended for your efforts to produce the very thorough and well-researched Moose-Wilson Corridor Draft Comprehensive Management Plan / Environmental Impact Statement through an open process involving multiple opportunities for public comments. Your preferred alternative C includes several promising approaches to limiting and calming traffic through the area, which is essential to protecting the wildlife and providing a high quality visitor experience.

By way of confirming my comments to several members of the GTNP staff at the Teton County Library on December 9, 2015, it appears that the maps on pages 41, 49, 57 and 65 of the Draft EIS should be corrected in two ways for the final EIS. First, the scale seems to be off by about 50%, e., it should be approximately 1"= 3,200', not 1"= 6,400'. Second, the maps appear to have been shrunk by a bit less than 10% t fit the pages. The combination of these two errors makes it difficult to ascertain accurate distances on otherwise very informative maps, which have been quite helpful in understanding the differences among the four alternatives.

As you well know, we are blessed to live in an area (the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem) with some the greatest variety and abundance of wildlife in the temperate world. The Moose-Wilson Corridor has some of the best biodiversity habitat in GTNP. It is therefore essential that whatever action is taken in the Corridor be designed to preserve and enhance that habitat for our wildlife. Rather than sinking more money into a road that goes through the heart of the best habitat (especially the wetlands), you should reconsider whether the road from north of the Granite Canyon parking lot to the Sawmill Ponds parking lot could be relocated to the open areas several hundred yards to the east, where there are already some unpaved roads and there would less intrusion into the most valuable wildlife habitat. This replacement road would have more open sight lines and could be designed with appropriate traffic-calming and visitor-friendly features, including more direct access to the LSR Preserve parking lot from the east as well as a short connector west to the Death Canyon road. The existing road could then be returned to natural conditions, as has been done so well in the old JY ranch.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2997 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Seder, Trinity A  
**Address:** Orleans, NE 68966  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** N/A Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** All creatures great and small need a place to call home. As we, the people, take more and more resources, they are forced to come closer to where we choose to build. We take what was theirs and then complain they get to close! Please, let them live in peace among each other. Each one has a reason for being. From the food chain to the eco system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2998 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Paulus, Marnie Sheahan S  
**Address:** Teton Village, WY 83025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 15:48:36  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** The Sheahan family first bought property on the Moose-Wilson Road in 1961 before there was a ski area at Teton Village, an area named Poker Flats or a park entrance station between the two. The Moose-Wilson Road has provided many adventures including magnificent wildlife viewing, cross country skiing and snowshoeing and a gateway to riding and hiking in the backcountry. It is a treasure that needs careful management and good planning to avoid being loved to death. We thank you for studying this corridor and coming up with alternatives. I support ideas from each of the four alternatives.

Wildlife protection: I support the idea in Alternative B to realign the road to the east of the beaver and moose ponds, and provide parking and wildlife viewing for the visitors. I have witnessed dangerous behavior by motorists and pedestrians who are oblivious to traffic and the unpredictability of moose. Having a separate parking area and wildlife viewing area (Alternative D) will protect our visitors and wildlife, and help ease the traffic jams created when motorists abandon their cars in the middle of the road. I also fully support having the road end at Chapel Road and building a new entrance station at the north end of the road for southbound traffic. It makes no sense for northbound traffic to have to wait in line twice to go through entrance stations.

Paving the unpaved sections of the road: This is a tough one but after much thought, it makes a lot of sense for safety reasons. This summer, there was a terrible traffic accident on 390 near Tucker Rd., and as we waited for the motorist to be rescued, we thought about making a U turn and heading home via Jackson and the Moose entrance to the Moose Wilson Rd. Then we realized that the Moose Wilson Rd. was closed for regrading. With increased traffic and limited north-south evacuation routes in the valley, it makes sense to have another possible escape route that could even be plowed in the unlikely event of a natural disaster.

Placing a limit of 200 cars at one time: I think this is going to be very difficult to determine and will be put an unhappy burden on our park rangers, and add noxious fumes to the mix. How will the counters know if the cars at LSR are there for a half a day hike, or if the inhabitants of the cars parked at Granite Canyon and White Grass are on the three-day backpack trip? Why not change the focus to getting people out of their cars and enjoying the park? What about small energy efficient mini vans traveling from entrance station to entrance station, picking up and dropping off hikers at the trailheads? The multi-use pathway discussed in alternative D would also get people out into nature summer and winter judging by bike trail usage in January 2016.

Accommodation for the Park residents, Park employees, their guests and service people (called to work in the park): There needs to be a dedicated lane for all of these people and passholders. It seems unfair to have plumbers, electricians, Fed Ex, house guests, Park residents and Park employees wait in a line that stretches for a mile and a half.

Many thanks,  
Marnie Paulus

---

**Correspondence ID:** 2999      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Elkins, Elizabeth  
**Address:** DERWOOD, MD 20855-1557  
 United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 16:01:39  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I vote for plan/alternative C. The plan would:  
 Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;  
 Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
 Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
 Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
 Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
 Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
 Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.  
 In addition to the preferred plan, we are encouraging the National Park Service to study and consider transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3000      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** REXMONT, PA 17085  
 United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I strongly support Alternative C for the following reasons: It protects our wildlife, it protects our people, it protects our environment, and also it protects our valuable resources.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3001      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Nevels, Brian  
**Address:** Hamilton, OH 45011  
 United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** A once in a lifetime experience was had by me and my family while on vacation here in 2008. It is sacred ground for us.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3002      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894

**Name:** McBean, Alexander  
**Address:** Bellingham, WA 98226  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Thank you for the opportunity to comment.  
I am not in favor of the choice Alternative C, that the NPS is planning to make. Note that I say "planning" because I hope that I and others can convince you to change to Alternative D.  
I support Alternative D.  
The prime attraction of Alternative D is the separate hiking and biking trail. The GTNP supported a separate bike/pedestrian path in 2007. That was a good decision. Do not change it.  
I am also not in favor of Alternative C because of the labor-intensive monitoring of motor vehicles at each of the road. What a mess that will be, and you will be committed to it for decades.  
Cheers.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3003    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hoffman, Sheri  
**Address:** Bowie, MD 20715  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I strongly support Alternative C. That the most environment-friendly and animal-friendly option. We have to think about minimizing animal fatalities from car impact, so lower speeds are important. Having a road that is more curvy and less straight will keep speeds down.

I support the alternative that minimizes habitat loss, so that the animals will be minimally impacted.

Alternative C is also financially responsible, and finances are important in this day and age where budgets are running at huge deficits.

Artifacts from indigenous peoples will also be protected, since less land will be torn up.

Please, choose Alternative C. It is the most conservative option and the best option for animals AND people.

Thanks, Sheri Hoffman

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3004    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Marone, Susan  
**Address:** Heath, TX 75032  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I strongly support Alternative C.

We must save trees. Every tree absorbs carbon, when a tree is cut down that carbon is released into the atmosphere. It takes years for trees to grow where they are a real benefit for the earth. Do not cut down more trees.

Please do not allow more habitat loss. There has already been too much habitat loss already, We are in danger of losing our wildlife if we do not take control of it now.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3005    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tumolo, Bobbie  
**Address:** Moran, WY 83013  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I strongly support Alternative C" for the following reasons

It protects the resource by:  
Saving more than 3000 trees.  
Averting enormous construction impact and emissions.  
Protecting unique indigenous artifacts.

It protects wildlife by:  
Further decreasing speed and usage. There were far fewer confirmed wildlife vehicle collisions between 1991 and 2015 on Moose-Wilson Road compared

to significant wildlife-vehicle collisions on a north park road through similar habitat that has a higher design and operating speed. (Parts of the northern road are year round unlike Moose-Wilson and there are higher travel numbers, but it is a good example that width, clearer sight lines, and increased speed have a greater negative impact on animal mortality)

Preventing widespread habitat loss from new construction, allowing animals to remain in familiar and undisturbed refuge.

Reducing the risk of negative encounters between people and large mammals including grizzly bears and moose.

It protects people by:

Slowing traffic and managing it at reduced speed

Adding speed bumps and controlling user numbers at appropriate heavy traffic times.

Increasing safety for experienced cyclists who take responsibility for riding through a narrow road with extensive wildlife. (Similar to the differences between skill levels on ski runs, or when hiking and climbing, or white-water versus still-water recreation

Being a financially responsible alternative

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3006    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Helfrich, Christine B  
**Address:** Salt Lake City, UT 84124  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I strongly support Alternative C" for the following reasons:  
It protects wildlife and habitat

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3007    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Frier, Marissa  
**Address:** Bushnell, IL 61422  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** 1985 Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We wholeheartedly support this proposal, to protect and benefit our wild life population.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3008    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Weston, Bett  
**Address:** Ann Arbor, MI 48103  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Animals and wild places deserve space and respect on this planet.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3009    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Paul, Cassandra  
**Address:** Dayton, OH 45419  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I strongly support Alternative "C" which deals with the Moose-Wilson corridor and it's protection as a vital habitat area in Grand Teton National Park. This area is a prime habitat for numerous indigenous species. Also as an area heavily traveled by visitors to the GTNP, it will help in reducing traffic incidents involving car-car/car-cyclist/car wild animals. This is a prime area in the Autumn when bears of the area gorge themselves as they prepare for the Winter hibernation. This is an essential and responsible method to be good stewards of our Country's ever dwindling natural resources. Please consider this and support NPS's alternative "C" which will provide an adaptive management approach to this unique National Park corridor.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3010    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Scheele, Laura  
**Address:** Idaho Falls, ID 83401  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I urge the adoption of the preferred alternative in order to safeguard wildlife and ecology. Please consider holistic ecosystem management and the enormous positive economic impact from wildlife-based tourism in making your decision.

Thanks so much,  
Laura Scheele

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3011    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hanan, Julie  
**Address:** Highlands Ranch, CO 80126  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I strongly support Alternative C because it will protect this vital resource, the Moose-Wilson corridor, and yet still allow the public to enjoy it.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3012    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Brad, Candy  
**Address:** Boulder, CO 80302  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I strongly support Alternative C for the following reasons: Grand Teton National Park is one of the gems of our National Park System. There are several paved roads and access points for visitors to get a broad experience throughout the park. Moose-Wilson Road remains a glimpse into the more remote, difficult to access portions of the park that many of these visitors will never venture off road to see. By maintaining the current footprint of the road, the NPS will preserve valuable wetlands and food sources for the diverse wildlife that make this portion of the park their home. Alternative C will also help to decrease vehicle traffic speed on the road at all times, making the road more enjoyable for all users and will also allow better regulation of increased visitation during peak times (berry season), thus helping to reduce potentially life threatening human-wildlife encounters.

There should always be a balance between preservation of wild lands and the enjoyment of the public who support those lands. Alternative C gives NPS the best option to achieve this balance.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3013    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Swann, Christopher  
**Address:** Teton village, WY 83025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We live in Teton village and we think a dedicated pathway for bikes is the only logical system. The other proposals are too complicated and have too much administrative overhead and will be hard for people to plan around. If no bike path, then reave road as is.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3014    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Prichard, Rosemary F  
**Address:** Los Angeles, CA 90065  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** "I strongly support Alternative C" for the following reasons:  
It's VERY important  
Grand Teton National Park (GRTE) has long struggled with 'what to do' with the glorious Moose-Wilson corridor. Alternative C is the result of extensive research and analysis and upholds the core values of the National Park Service to protect the resource, while providing for public enjoyment...the resource (wild-lands and wildlife ) is clearly the priority. Alternative C has the immeasurable benefit of including an Adaptive Management approach which will allow GRTE to respond to changing needs in the corridor. This offers the very best opportunity for honing and perfecting strategies to protect the area.  
It protects the resource by:  
Saving more than 3000 trees.  
Averting enormous construction impact and emissions.  
Protecting unique indigenous artifacts.  
It protects wildlife by:  
Further decreasing speed and usage. There were far fewer confirmed wildlife vehicle collisions between 1991 and 2015 on Moose-Wilson Road compared to significant wildlife-vehicle collisions on a north park road through similar habitat that has a higher design and operating speed. (Parts of the northern road are year round unlike Moose-Wilson and there are higher travel numbers, but it is a good example that width, clearer sight lines, and increased speed have a greater negative impact on animal mortality)  
Preventing widespread habitat loss from new construction, allowing animals to remain in familiar and undisturbed refuge.  
Reducing the risk of negative encounters between people and large mammals including grizzly bears and moose.  
It protects people by:  
Slowing traffic and managing it at reduced speed  
Adding speed bumps and controlling user numbers at appropriate heavy traffic times.  
Increasing safety for experienced cyclists who take responsibility for riding through a narrow road with extensive wildlife. (Similar to the differences between skill levels on ski runs, or when hiking and climbing, or white-water versus still-water recreation  
Being a financially responsible alternative

Please enact Alternative C.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3015    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Salava, Kevin  
**Address:** Teton Village, WY 83025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,26,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I believe the road should be left as is.  
This will be safer than the proposed plan for pedestrians and cyclists.  
Paving the road will only make the vehicles travel faster and be less safe.  
The park and Teton Village should have a shuttle bus to take cyclists and pedestrians from the Teton Village/park entrance to the south park entrance. This will complete the loop from the park to town to the village and be safest, most convenient, reduce traffic and a selling point to visitors.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3016    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sielaff, Vicki  
**Address:** Livingston, MT 59047  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,27,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I strongly support Alternative C for the Moose-Wilson corridor for the following reasons:

- Protecting the land as well as the wildlife is the priority of Alternative C.
- Alternative C averts damaging construction efforts in the corridor, which protects land, wildlife and trees.
- Alternative C protects artifacts

Alternative C helps prevent potentially dangerous conflicts between people and wildlife, as well. Habitat loss is an ongoing concern for America's wildlife. This is also addressed by Alternative C. The slower road speed benefits people and wildlife.

Alternative C makes the most sense for this critical wildlife corridor.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3017    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Manske, Dennis  
**Address:** Limerick, ME 04048  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Mr. Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Jan,27,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Alternative C.

Having been there and enjoyed the wonders of the habitat, I would like to support the effort. I have looked over the various alternatives and believe that "Alternative C" provides the greatest balance of concern for the environment and increasing safe public access.

Regards,  
Dennis Manske

Limerick Maine

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3018    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Eaton, Terry L  
**Address:** Brush Prairie, WA 98606  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,27,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing to express my support for Alternative plan "C", because it seems to best maintain the health of the ecosystem, while also ensuring visitors have opportunities to experience and enjoy this special place in our park system. It appears to:

Better protect grizzly bears, moose, wolves, and other wildlife; decrease the potential for conflict between people and wildlife through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too close to the road;

Limit Moose-Wilson corridor access to 200 cars at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic. Through park signage and outreach, visitors outside the corridor would know the wait time for access, which is not expected to exceed 15 minutes even during the busiest times of the summer;  
 Extend the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replace damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area;  
 Continue to allow winter recreational access to the road, which is closed to vehicles for cross-country skiing and snowshoeing;  
 Maintain the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes;  
 Reduce the speed limit from 25 to 20 miles per hour, add "share the road" signs, and create bicycle-friendly pavement edges so cyclists can leave the road without incident;  
 Continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

It is my understanding that the National Park Service is also considering transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system. I would be fully supportive of such efforts to ensure tourist access to the area, while simultaneously reducing traffic. Thank you for the opportunity to share my concerns related to Park access, wildlife protections, and parks management.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3019    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Braban, Robert L.  
**Address:** London, UN NG348XH  
 United Kingdom  
**Outside Organization:** travelwatchdog.com Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Jan,27,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am a travel writer, broadcaster, lecturer and consultant of many years experience based in the United Kingdom, but until partial retirement working across Europe and covering a wide range of topics including USA travel. As such, I support alternative 3 for a variety of reasons.

Until some ten years ago the US National Park Service was the most respected organisation of its type anywhere in the world. There was wide spread admiration for pioneers such as John Muir and the various politicians that helped to establish what almost everyone believed were inviolable sanctuaries for a wide range of animal species. The growth of communications, travel and particularly social media, have together shattered the 'safe haven illusion and there is a view that like so many other aspects of American life, the NRA has become the dominating influence as the hunting fraternity seek more and more animals to kill.

European travellers are particularly sensitive to the preservation of wildlife, particularly because many of the species that were indigenous to continental Europe were wiped from the face of the earth by practices similar to those that are today in evidence in areas of the USA. The same arguments were used, particularly that killing is good for conservation. It turned out that with a little help from disease, killing was particularly good for extinction. Recent events in Florida and New Jersey and the planned exposure to killing of the Grizzly Bears in Yellowstone National Park, have already had a profound effect on European travellers and this can only grow. A recent poll in the UK established that in excess of 83% of the population are against hunting, a figure that grows still further when vermin are removed from the question. The figure on the less civilised parts of the European continent is probably a little lower, but anti-hunting sentiment is steadily growing whilst support for killing declines. I have already seen practical evidence of a reaction to recent events in the US with the cancellation by a party of eight of a planned trip to Yosemite, despite the assurance that Yosemite is not affected. The reaction of the principal traveller to a suggestion that he should register a protest and continue within trip was When hell freezes over. (The reaction was to the slaughter in Florida).

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3020    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Horton, Laura  
**Address:** Albuquerque , NM 87114  
 United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,27,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** As someone who visits the park for 3 weeks a year, I have used the corridor for wildlife viewing. I support "C", although I believe 20MPH is to high in some areas. I still believe the road should be one way like Jenney Lake Road. I do support the queuing, notification & bussing system that is in use in many other parks. However, please keep handicap automobile access in mind.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3021    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hatch, Dawn  
**Address:** Garden Ridge, TX 78266  
 United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,27,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am a Texas Certified Master Naturalist and I volunteer frequently at the Bracken Bat Cave, the world's largest bat cave located in Bracken, Texas. It is important to me to protect the habitat along Moose-Wilson Corridor for generations to come of the species that inhabit the corridor. I have been a long time visitor to the area and Grand Teton National Park. I would love to see this area protected from wildlife-human encounters and protected for future generations of wildlife. I support Grand Teton National Park's Alternative C program.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3022    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Rullman, Lisa G  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
 United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,27,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

Is Grand Teton National Park shrinking? How much habitat has been lost to the Jenny Lake expansion, the bike path, Craig Thomas Visitor Center, and trails between the visitor center and the Murie Ranch? What other development has damaged or destroyed habitat making the park smaller for wildlife use?

My primary concern regarding the Moose-Wilson Road is protecting wildlife and the habit they depend on.

I commute from Moose to the West Bank. I frequently use the Moose-Wilson Road. Nevertheless, I support keeping the road rural and limiting the traffic. I can take another route to work. Protecting wildlife, habit, and the character of the road is more important than my convenience. It is more important than any commercial interest, speed to the airport, or recreation.

The Moose-Wilson Road holds a very special place in my heart. It is where I roll my windows down and smell the choke cherry blossoms in early summer. It holds the places where some of my happiest, indelible memories were created. It is an opportunity to commune with God. I hope to go there and enjoy it for the rest of my life, but I would rather see the whole area shut down to protect wildlife, rather than have it desecrated by expansion or bike paths.

Please hold the line. Place wildlife first.

Respectfully,

Lisa Rullman

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3023    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Rio Rancho, NM 87124  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,27,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Thank you for your proposed "Alternative C", to protect the Moose Wilson wildlife corridor. I strongly support this plan, this approach, and also the increasingly enlightened attitude that it represents. Protecting the environment, humans and wildlife, protecting trees, promoting habitat- - this is progress.

Thank you

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3024    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hill Stone, Cindy  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,27,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Thank you for logically dismissing the use of a "pathway" in the Moose - Wilson Corridor. I grew up on the Moose-Wilson Road and have an intimate fondness for this corridor. I also attended Teton Science School when it was across from White Grass Ranch.

A pathway would only mean more human/bear encounters, humans would be hurt, bear would be euphonized.

There is going to be big money bending the rules to run their agendas.

Stay the Course

Thank You again

Cindy Hill Stone

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3025    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bainbridge, Deidre J  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,27,2016 15:06:43  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I support the Grand Teton National Park Alternative (c). I absolutely oppose a bike path. I oppose winter plowing of the road. It is critical habitat for many species who deserve to be protected in the winter months and who cannot be driven off of water and forage in the summer months by bicyclists. I honor this DEIS process and appreciate the right to be heard. My voice is covered in the Grand Teton Park Alternative (c). It is the best option for man, wildlife and for the heart of Laurence Rockefeller's dream.

I do have one caveat which is there are times that there should be alternative trails at the LSR to avoid the service and other berry patches which are so close to the Cultural/historical center. The Center is ill placed in terms of wildlife needs for the berries. It is so sad to see bear 760 so photogenic in the fountain just weeks before he was killed by WYG&F.



Lets assist the wildlife in staying in this area of the park by also limiting hunting at the South end of the Park. I would add that any use of the road for hunting purposes must include removal of gut piles to prevent the bear from leaving the park in search of inappropriate food which will ultimately result in human/bear contact and death to the bear.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3026    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** kiefling, john w  
**Address:** jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,27,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Having lived and worked here for 47 years and a life-long Wyoming resident, my wife and I have observed more than our share of the public's disdain for Park Regulations within the MW Corridor. We travel this corridor an average of twice weekly to enjoy the wildlife and scenery. Too many times we see everything from large produce delivery trucks coming from their business stops north; the new commercial wildlife scenic tours (Idaho plates)which stops in the middle of the road in the no stopping segment of the road south of death canyon and sometimes get out to photograph everything from bears, deer, elk, and birds without any concern for other travelers following the rules; most drivers do not follow any speed limit signs within this area; a dearth of taxi's, which come from the airport and travel to the village...coming from the north I suspect most of them do not have any Park Permits; large RV's and trailers who also do not follow the rules coming from the north end of same.

In the past, the NPS had indicated they would put in an entry kiosk station at the north end of this area in order to avoid such problems...this is to be addressed later no doubt. Needless to say, we would like to see this endeavor put into place as soon as possible to curtail abuse of the current road use and restrictions, and to generate much needed revenue. This points out the specific need for Park Rangers to patrol this area more often now, let alone when a new plan goes into effect.

Although we would agree with your proposed preferred alternative. We would strongly recommend you not let the county, nor village business interests put pressure upon you to allow tour bus traffic to and from their establishments...this proposal speaks for itself when wildlife concerns are at stake. As your agency has stated; your obligation as a federal agency in is to preserve and protect the ecological environs which represent Grand Teton Park. Protect what you have, and do not let those who truly lack any intellect of environmental needs and protection, play a role in your decision.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this issue.....John and Loyola Kiefling

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3027    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Deech, Carol  
**Address:** Hawthorne, NY 10532  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,27,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I do not believe grizzly bears should be delisted at all. Grizzly's are only hunted for sport and it's time that this practice end. I also believe conflicts are happening for several reasons 1) lack of food source like white pine tree, and trout. 2) people need to get educated for example caring bear spray and bear proof garbage cans. 3) Grizzly's need more space to roam by buying land and connecting lower 48 spots. 4). Grizzly reproduction is the slowest on earth, if we start killing them we will turn back the clock and they will be endangered once again. It would be nice to see some replanting of food within the national parks to keep them from roaming. When entering a national park increase education and bear awareness. I would only visit the national parks if real conservation is a priority not killing. Bears, wolves, bison.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3028    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Conger, Valerie  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83002  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,27,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I've submitted various comments a number of times now. I hope that the GTNP will not succumb to the special interests that may not take into account the NPS's mandate which is to protect the Park's resources for generations to come. The resources along Moose-Wilson road are very precious and the eco-system in this area is very fragile. I encourage the Park to adjust the road to divert it away from the sensitive riparian areas. A limited number of pull outs may be necessary, however, the priority should remain the protection of the wildlife and the natural resources in this area. The road is already closed most of the year, and when it is officially open, it experiences additional closures when the bears frequent the area. I would support a complete closure to vehicles other than NPS buses in the scope of a transit system similar to that of Zion National Park. The road could also remain open to bicycle and pedestrian traffic.

Convenience for motor vehicles should not be favored over protection of wildlife and natural resources.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3029    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Harvey, Ann  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,27,2016 18:14:39  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft EIS for the Moose-Wilson Corridor. I appreciate the Park Service's commitment to protecting the values of the corridor, and not giving in to the pressures to provide a separate bike path or to make the Moose-Wilson Road a major thoroughfare. The fact that the County has approved and promoted residential and commercial development at Teton Village and other places in the County does not obligate the Park Service to accommodate ever-increasing demands for recreational amenities or transportation facilities in the park. In fact it makes it all the more imperative that you resist pressures for more development in the park. I am glad to see the Park Service recognizing your fundamental responsibility to protect park resources.

I strongly support some other aspects of the preferred alternative, Alternative C. Specifically:

â€œI am glad to see you have not caved in to the pressure to construct a separated bike path through the corridor. If cyclists don't believe the road is safe, they can ride elsewhere. Paving more of the park, through critical wildlife habitat, is not the answer. Please stick to your decision not to construct bike paths. The DEIS (p. 290) says there were a total of two reported collisions between bicycles and vehicles in the 2002-2012 period, one of which involved a bicyclist running into a parked dump truck. While any collisions are regrettable, two collisions in ten years hardly seems like an indication of a terribly unsafe situation. I think the safety argument is a red herring.

From the perspective of protecting wildlife within the corridor, especially black and grizzly bears, it would be a huge mistake to construct a separate bike path. The DEIS reports data from studies of the other pathways constructed within the park, which show that black bears alter the way they used areas within 550 yards of the multi-use pathway corridor during times of peak human use. This number multiplied by miles of pathway is a very significant habitat diminishment. Cyclists don't like to admit that they and their pathways are displacing wildlife, but they are. And unexpected encounters between bears and people (especially cyclists) would be much more likely with a separated pathway. If a bear threatens or attacks a person, the bear will, in all probability, end up dead. The habitat on either side of the road should be left alone, for the wildlife, and the Park Service should continue closing the road whenever grizzly bears are using the habitat in the vicinity of the road.

â€œI absolutely support your decision not to groom the road in winter. This would be a terrible idea. It should be left alone, for snowshoers and skiers to experience as a quiet, non-mechanized trail. If you groom it, it will completely change the experience from an experience of a fairly natural setting to a sense of following a manicured and human-dominated roadway. The wildlife that lives in the park would be disturbed by the noise of grooming machines.

I do not agree, however, with all aspects of the preferred alternative. To begin with, simpler solutions are better than complex ones. If there is a way to meet the goals of protecting the natural qualities of the corridor and limiting excessive visitor use by simple means, it would be smart to choose that alternative over one that requires heavy regulation, somewhat subjective estimates of appropriate use, inconvenience for park visitors, future adjustments via "adaptive management," etc. There are two very simple means that would cut use in half, while avoiding the need for the elaborate system you propose of counting cars and people and forcing people to wait outside the gates during peak times. These are 1) restore the entire length of the road to a gravel surface, and 2) make the road one-way. The first would discourage use by people who don't want to drive on rough roads (a choice available to all, not a regulation imposed on them), and the second would cut out approximately half of the traffic. These two simple measures would return the corridor to a reasonable level of use without resulting in severe inconvenience to locals or visitors, angry tourists forced to wait in line, rangers being forced to put up with angry tourists, interest groups insisting the Park Service-imposed limits within the corridor are arbitrary and too low, and other foreseeable outcomes of the system you propose.

I realize that there was strong objection from the local community when the one-way road idea was proposed a few years ago. But that isn't a good reason to scrap the idea. Imagine a scenario where the Park Service had been plowing the road in winter for years, and proposed to stop plowing it and close it in winter. The community would go ballistic, accuse the Park Service of wanting to destroy the local economy, insist that closing the road would limit escape routes in case of natural disasters, scream about lost recreational opportunities, etc. But, because the road isn't plowed and is closed every winter and has been managed that way for decades (or forever?), we are all used to it and it's just a simple fact and somehow the economy goes on and we all get by.

The same is true for making the road one-way. Of course it's inconvenient-I would be sorry to lose the freedom we now have to go both ways whenever we want, but that freedom is going to be lost anyway. I would much rather know that the road is one-way, all the time (except when the road is closed in winter), and adjust to that, than have to check the internet or road signs every time I want to go to the Park to find out whether or not there's a line to get in. And no matter how hard the Park Service tries to get the word out in real time about waiting times at the entrance gates, there will be many tourists who don't even know they should be checking, or who miss the signs, and they will show up at the Granite Canyon gate without a clue that they might have to sit in their car for 15 or 30 minutes waiting for other visitors to leave the corridor. People will have plans to meet family or friends in the Park at certain times; they will miss their connections due to the waiting times. Visitors will be annoyed or angry, and the hapless entrance station employees will have a miserable time of it. I urge you to reconsider making the road one-way and make this simple solution a part of the preferred alternative.

I urge you to re-think the decision to pave the road. Paving will only draw more drivers to the corridor, increasing traffic and increasing waiting times if you implement the plan to limit cars on the road at any one time. It will also increase car speeds, even if you are trying to enforce a slower speed limit. The entire length of the road should be restored to a gravel surface. This would do a great deal to limit traffic and keep the corridor experience from feeling urbanized. One of the charms of the Moose-Wilson Road is that it's a different experience from other park roads, by virtue of being a partly gravel road. The DEIS says that one of the features visitors commonly mentioned they would like to see maintained is "the historic character of the road" (p. 278). Paving the road will not maintain its historic character.

I would also urge you to resist any pressures to add mass transit to the Moose-Wilson Road. It sounds good, but comes with its own set of problems. Where will the buses or vans pick people up, and what sort of parking facilities will be needed for those people to leave their cars? If the buses fill up, then what will more buses be added, bringing ever more people to the trailheads within the corridor? Dumping busloads of people at trailheads will lead to crowding and a diminishment of the experience of solitude and naturalness. Or will people be forced to wait for long periods between shuttles? That isn't likely to make visitors happy either.

Finally, I think the decision to keep the road in its existing alignment through the wetlands complex should be reconsidered. The values of these wetlands to amphibians and other aquatic species (as well as to moose, beavers, other mammals, and birds) would be greatly enhanced by relocating the road and getting heavy human presence away from the wetlands. The habitat values of the wetland complex would also benefit tremendously if connectivity were restored between the wetlands and the hillside to the west.

Thank you for considering these comments.

Sincerely,

Ann Harvey

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3030    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Arndt, Melanie  
**Address:** kelly, WY 83011  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,27,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** To whom it may concern,

I find it not surprising that Friends of Pathways - an organization that I have in the past believed in and supported generously - and the Teton Village Association, which has only its own interests at heart, have both put such pressure on the Teton County Board of Commissioners to add a request for a pathway and transit through the highly sensitive Moose-Wilson corridor.

I have supported pathways throughout this county but I DO NOT support one that moves through the MW road. I deeply oppose it. I find it slimy that Friends of Pathways is running such a duplicitous ad, pretending that a pathway there will reduce traffic, when your preferred alternative already accomplishes that goal. I cannot abide by that kind of manipulation.

I support recreationists throughout most areas in this valley. However, I believe it would be a huge mistake to approve anything but your preferred alternative regarding the MW area. You, the Park, have done an admirable job fighting legislative pressures and local pressures to use the MW road as a tourist outlet and one that will ultimately generate money for the state in tourism revenues.

As always, our state government thinks only of our county as money generator. That is depressing.

Thank you for remaining strong and sticking by your preferred alternative. It's a hard thing to do these days, with all the groups who seek to gain something by opening up that corridor to more pedestrian, biking, hiking and vehicular traffic.

Were that corridor to be developed in any way or a cap not put on vehicles that use the road, we would lose yet another pristine and critical wildlife area in our valley - so few of which remain.

Please back your own preferred alternative when the time comes.

Thanks so much for your strength of character,

Melanie Arndt

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3031    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Randolph, Gretchen  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,27,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Park Superintendent,

I support your 'Preferred Alternative C' for the DEIS concerning the Moose/Wilson road quandary. I am not in favor of more pavement or a bike/walker pathway. I believe the fewer modes of travel would be the least disruptive for the wildlife and natural resources.

I have also wondered if allowing 1 way traffic might be simpler and as effective as limiting the number of vehicles. ??

The direction could be switched every other year for scenic variety perhaps. A thought.

Thanks for the studies, energy and listening you have put into this issue.

Sincerely,

Gretchen Randolph

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3032    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** King, Donald  
**Address:** Omaha, NE 68135  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,27,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** It is critical to protect the land that supports the animals. I totally support this project. .

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3033    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Bayour, Karen  
**Address:** Troy, MI 48085  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Jan,27,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Our wildlife is very important to me, That is why I support alternative C. It will save many trees which help keep natural habitats for animals. Our family loves to go into nature as much as possible, however we realize there must be a balance to protect just those very habitats and animals for the next generations as well.

Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3034    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Bruun, Paul  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Jan,27,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Good morning,  
Unfortunately the battle of the Moose-Wilson Road corridor winding along some of Jackson Hole's most dramatic wildlife/scenic corridor has become a political football of immense proportions.

During the late 1960's and throughout the 70's it was always a special treat for me to poke along this delightfully bumpy, dusty trail, with no particular goal other than "being there."

This situation no longer exists and it takes a lot to convince me to risk traveling to Moose from outside Teton Village. Being shoved, pushed and threatened by rental and local SUV drivers hell-bent on getting to-and-from their recreation headquarters at Teton Village and surroundings, is no longer "fun" nor enjoyable.

This once relaxing dirt trail has been loved to death by too many.

Adding more public transportation, bike paths and the rest of the overburden of our new valley of massive excesses isn't a mission of the National Park Service.

Neither the powerful Jackson Hole Ski Corp, the Wyoming Governor nor the overwhelming crush of pedaling panderers known as Friends of Pathways should sway Grand Teton National Park into eroding the little remaining "natural" aspect of classic ground transportation access.

This road doesn't need to become a recreational freeway for the use of uninhibited promoters, dirt pimps and those selfish enough to shove their personal agendas down the throats of otherwise complacent residents and visitors. The Jackson Hole Airport is a perfect example of same behavior run amok.

Thanks for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,  
Paul Bruun  
Jackson, WY

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3035    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Redfield, Craig  
**Address:** Mesquite, NV 89027-1217  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Jan,27,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** To eliminate a bike and pedestrian path, through the north end of Moose-Wilson road, will save lives! Not only human lives, but many species of animal lives. This corridor has an extremely abundant, Elk, Moose, and Bear population, and if you put a bunch of people, out in the same space as these wild animals, with no protection, people are going to be hurt or killed. And then the bear, that ate the biker or hiker, will have to be killed, or the moose, that catches a human between her and her baby, in her house! That's right, this area is, these animals homes, and they don't have much space left, to survive. Bicycles, and pathways, are not required for human life, they are a luxuries that we can live without. Wild animals don't have that choice, they need to eat, and breed, and live, without being invaded, by silent, invasive species(humans). There is a wonderful pathway, which starts in Teton Village, goes to and through town, and north in the park, to Jennie Lake. So, you can get to the park on a bike! Give the animals a break, you've already, taken a lot of prime habitat, to have your luxurious houses, golf courses, ski ares etc. The lower the speed limit, the better. Having spent 60 years in the valley, we were always able to recreate, with what we had available, trying to preserve the primitive wild life and land, so it would be there for our children, and grandchildren. This road, should not be considered, a main thorofare, it should be a scenic side road, with limited vehicle traffic!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3036    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Hens, Chris  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,28,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** My comments are simple. What would Rockefeller do? The whole point of his donation of this spectacular land was to protect it from ourselves. Let's honor his intent and spirit of what is right for our progeny. Let's protect the environment and the wildlife from what we know will be human exploitation and irreverence. The animals don't have such a choice. We do.

There are already plenty of options for people to enjoy this immaculate beauty. Let's not add this very sensitive corridor to them.

Please do not allow this plan to go through.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3037    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kaufmann, Mary L  
**Address:** Moose, WY 83012  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,28,2016 01:23:03  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** January 27, 2016

To the GTNP Officials:

I want to acknowledge my support for your selection of Alternative C as the solution for the Moose-Wilson Road, and to add my name to the list of those opposing the addition of a bike path and/or increased commercial traffic on this road. As a resident of Jackson and a long-time lover of the uncommon beauty of the Moose Wilson road I would be heartbroken if this unique 7.7 mile strip of road were to succumb to the pressures of a singular business interest and/or a singular recreational group.

My husband and I often take early morning or evening drives down the Moose-Wilson Road - the charm of the twisting, winding road, the hills and the wetlands, the mystery of what might be around the next curve is a treasure I don't want to lose. Personally, a peacefulness settles over me on these drives - it's a time to slow down and enjoy the beauty of nature - the trees and the underbrush that come right up to the edge of the dirt road and the flickering filtered light offered by the canopy on a sunny morning, the excitement of a bear sighting as they come down the hill for an evening snack of roadside berries, wondering if we'll spot a beaver in the pond or will there be a moose in the willows just around the next bend, listening to the fall music of the bull elk bugling and giggling as we watch their frantic attempts to corral their harems on the hills above.

This little strip of road is a special place - an uncommon place - there is no other road like it. Not only would adding a bike path and/or increasing commercial traffic on this road destroy its rural charm, but I see enough wildlife in our drives to be concerned for the safety of the bike riders and walkers who would be exposed to an attack if wildlife was provoked. It just doesn't make sense!

Reviewing the FOP website - they suggest installing rumble strips to alert wildlife of approaching bikes - what a ridiculous suggestion - however it does acknowledge the potential dangerous interactions with wildlife; they also propose that the bike path only be open from 9-5pm daily for the three months of June, July & August, their selfish desire for a bike path to be used for that little amount of time is not worth the cost - both in real dollars and in the priceless cost of a beautiful solitary country road - especially when an alternative path already exists along the elk refuge going north from town.

As a reminder - the residents of Teton County have already approved over \$10M of SPET taxes to build 56 miles of new bike paths around the valley and in GTNP. That is enough! Does FOP have to get everything they ask for? No! This is one bike path proposal to which we must firmly respond 'No'. Instead we should preserve this uncommon back road and limit access in order to provide its wild inhabitants with as close to a natural environment as possible.

Please stand firm on Alternative C - along with controlled limits on vehicles and no bike path.

Thank you for the opportunity to share my thoughts and comment on this proposal.

Sincerely,

Mary L. Kaufmann  
Jackson, Wyoming

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3038    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Garland, R S  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,28,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I support the Park Service's Alternative "C" as the best way of balancing human use with protecting the natural values of that part of the Park. Thank you for being far sighted and not buckling to the extreme local pressure to build a separate pathway through this fragile portion of the Park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3039    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Bowles, James  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Jan,28,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Thank you for extending the comment period.

I would make these recommendations if the road remains open.

1. Widen the road to allow more safety for bicyclists/ pedestrians while controlling the vehicle speed limit with various physical controls.
2. Improve ALL the trailhead parking lots
3. Create more pull outs with time limits posted for cars especially for the commercial 'wildlife safari' vans if commercial vehicles are allowed in the park. Limit these commercial trips by and increasing their entrance fees/lisences.
4. Limiting car numbers would be difficult and controversial. i.e. Who is there parked and hiking all day and not traveling thru. Etc...Maybe commuting workers receive a special pass to and from their work site.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3040    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Rhea, Chuck  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Jan,28,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Thank you for the chance to comment on the Moose - Wilson corridor project.

I support the Park Service Preferred Alternative.

I have traveled and enjoyed the roadway for forty years and this alternative is the best way to preserve the area and allow the visitor experience to be the best.

Thanks to the NPS for all the hard work on this project.

Sincerely,

Chuck Rhea  
Jackson, Wyoming

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3041    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Moyer, Peter F  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Jan,28,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** David Vela, Superintendent  
Grand Teton National Park  
Moose, Wyoming

RE: Moose/Wilson Corridor

Dear David:

You and your staff are to be highly commended on Plan C, the best alternative for the Moose/Wilson corridor.

Some special interest groups- -such as a Teton Village commercial group and a pathways organization- -are aggressively promoting their own narrow agendas, which have little to do with the much broader National Park Service mission which you and your staff have heeded with dedication and vision.

Again, you and your staff are to be commended.

Sincerely,  
Peter F. Moyer

PFM:md  
cc: National Park Service Comment file  
Teton County Commissioners  
Jackson Town Council  
Interested Parties  
Jerry Blann  
Pathways group

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3042 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Phillips, TAYLOR  
**Address:** Jackson , WY 83002  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** EcoTour Adventures Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:** OfficialRep

**Received:** Jan,28,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Thank you for the opportunity to comment. As a CUA road based tour operator in GTNP I commend the NPS on their evaluation of the Moose Wilson corridor in their management plan and the preferred alternative C.

The M-W area is a very unique portion of the GYE and deserves protection for its wildlife and historical significance. Yes, it is important that visitors have access to the resources. You have allowed that to occur with alt. C.

There is no need for a bike path. Thank you for not adding more pavement in the park. The bike path would not alleviate traffic congestion. It would be used for recreation.

I believe there are ways to improve alt C.

Consider a smaller amount of vehicles in the corridor at a given time.

Consider public transit to trailheads and visitor centers

Consider smaller parking lots for trailheads

Please don't remove vegetation along the road. The majority of the corridor's hawthorn bushes are adjacent to the road. You remove the bushes (you won't have a bear problem) (I assume that is why you are proposing to remove them) but then you impact the very wildlife you are striving to protect. Consider road closures and or more of a presence of the wildlife brigade. With fewer cars the bear jams will be less problematic.

Thank you for reading.. Taylor Phillips

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3043 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Liljeroos, William  
**Address:** Mahopac, NY 10541  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** NA Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:** Member

**Received:** Jan,28,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I have been visiting Grand Teton every year since 2007, as a wildlife photographer I always look forward to going to the moose wilson road to see black & grizzly bear, moose and Great gray owls for the ultimate photo op, it is a shame that people cannot follow simple rules. I suggest putting in eco-friendly boardwalks along the road.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3044 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Barteaux, Wendy  
**Address:** Riverton, WY 82501  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Jan,28,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C in the DEIS which balances wildlife protection with public use and enjoyment.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3045 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Alderson, Clay  
**Address:** Skagway, AK 99840  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Jan,28,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am a former employee of Grand Teton National Park (permanent 1972-75: seasonal 1959-65). I spent 34 years as an employee of the National Park Service, mostly as a park superintendent in three park areas.

The park is pursuing the proper level of development with the selection of the option to have limited access on the road with NO pathway. I applaud the decision of the park to stand firm in the face of local political pressure to overdevelop the Moose-Wilson corridor. This corridor is too valuable for wildlife habitat to allow any greater development than that which is currently envisioned in the preferred alternative.

--Clay Alderson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3046 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Blomberg, Peter J

**Address:** Teton Village, WY 83025  
United States of America  
Unaffiliated Individual

**Outside Organization:**  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,28,2016 11:23:54  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Given the lack of representation by the Teton County commissioners and perhaps now the Town of Jackson to my views, I need to comment.

There is a need for safety for bike riders and the recreational opportunity for bike riding. It also appears the Park Service does not support this given the preferred alternative. But there is hope!

The Park Service did not originally support bike riding in and through GNP starting at Moose northward early on. But the Park Service had a change of heart, and now we have a spectacular bike way along the main park road north from Moose. This has been a great boon to all visitors.

I am casual bike rider. I rode the Moose-Wilson Road through the park on only one occasion this summer. It was unpleasant, given the blind corners and inattentive drivers. Bike riding the Moose Wilson Corridor through the park is a natural extension of the current bike path that ends a little north of Teton Village. Creating a bike path away from the the current road in the park, east out into the flat resolves most "people - animal" interactions and it provides for a safe recreational location for bike riders. For shorter rides, it provides access to the park without having to drive into town or up to Moose to park and start a ride (wasting fuel).

Given the choices, it is hard to pick one since there is not one plan that encompasses my view. However, Alternative D has the most promise, since at least there is a bike pathway on the plan. I would combine this with Alternative B to improve the road along it's entire length. And what is good about both plans is they move the road away from the usual bear feeding zone in the late summer and autumn.

I have concerns about the traffic cap in alternative D. Will this become another business or concessionaire comes first situation like the Snake River access appears to be heading? The Moose-Wilson Corridor used to be single car traffic, not too busy. In the past five years, it has become the wildlife viewing concession track. Do we need all this relatively new commercial traffic at all? Do we have to have the additional revenue? Are we selling out the park experience to commercial interests?

I do care deeply about the Park, access and the visitor experience. If we are going to cap visitors, lets start with the main roads into the park at Moose and Moran. Visitor parking spaces have already outstripped demand. That is the most critical issue going forward. (A hybrid plan like Yosemite has might work - buses and family vehicles coexist).

I wish you well in this endeavor. I trust one person's opinion has significant impact on your decision. And hopefully, there are many others who are expressing somewhat similar views.

Best!  
Peter J. Blomberg

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3047	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Sundaresan, Siva				
<b>Address:</b>	Jackson, WY 83001 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Jackson Hole Conservation Alliance Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	OfficialRep				
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,28,2016 12:10:34				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	January 28, 2016				

David Vela, Park Superintendent,  
Grand Teton National Park  
P.O. Drawer 170  
Moose, WY 83012-0170

Subject: Jackson Hole Conservation Alliances Comments on the Moose-Wilson Corridor Comprehensive Management Plan Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Dear Superintendent Vela,

The Jackson Hole Conservation Alliance (Alliance) appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on the Moose-Wilson Corridor Draft Comprehensive Management Plan / Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The Alliance has a long and positive relationship with Grand Teton National Park (park) and we look forward to working with the park through this process to develop a plan that effectively protects park resources and wildlife, while enhancing the visitor experience.

We appreciate and commend you and your staff for the exemplary fashion in which this process has been conducted. We recognize the significant effort and study that has gone into gathering relevant data for making management decisions. We also thank you and your staff for taking the time and effort to transparently engage with and explain the planning process to us, and to the community. We hope that you will continue this open, science-based process and trust that the final decision will emerge from this process, free of any political interference.

Below, we provide suggestions directed at improving the Parks preferred Alternative C (Alt C), as identified in the DEIS. Our suggestions are motivated by these guiding beliefs:

Guiding Beliefs



" The Moose-Wilson corridor (MWC) is a unique part of the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem and Grand Teton National Park that harbors a diversity of wildlife, habitats, streams, rivers, and scenic resources. It provides an unparalleled chance to experience some of Grand Tetons most spectacular wildlife, habitat, and scenery. The National Park Service has an obligation to protect and preserve these resources and must focus their efforts on doing so.

" The Moose-Wilson Corridor Comprehensive Management Plan should focus on long-term protection for wildlife and habitat in the Moose-Wilson corridor while making it easy and safe for people to visit this unique area on foot, bicycle, or public transit.

" The Moose-Wilson corridor is not a transportation corridor for people trying to drive across the county. It is a special place to visit in Grand Teton National Park because of its rich wildlife habitat and abundant recreational opportunities.

Overall, the Alliance believes that the preferred alternative takes a few steps in the right direction - like limiting the number of cars in the corridor to 200 at any one time, reducing the speed limit to 20 mph, and improving the road surface to increase safety for people on foot or bikes. We suggest some improvements for the park to consider that could help the plan more effectively provide appropriate opportunities for visitors to use, experience, and enjoy the area while protecting park resources.

#### Suggestions for improving Alternative C

##### Vehicular Cap

Increasing vehicular traffic on the road is by far the biggest issue impacting the corridor resulting in resource damage from overflow parking (DEIS, pg 223) and posing a challenge to the visitor experience. The park should take more aggressive measures within the existing developed footprint to reduce traffic while also protecting natural resources and making it a safer and a more pleasant place to visit. As currently defined, the 200 vehicle cap corresponds to a peak level met on only few days in the busiest seasons. We appreciate the adaptive management approach to evaluating the suitability of this cap based on the chosen indicator of providing vehicle free view sheds. However, we believe that a lower cap would encourage visitors to get out of cars and seek more active forms of experiencing the corridor. A lower cap could also be combined with corridor-appropriate transit that serves popular trailheads and the LSR preserve. Fewer vehicles driving within the park would have potential benefits of reducing greenhouse gas and other emissions that may be associated with our class I air shed.

##### Engineer the road for slow speeds

Please consider engineering the road for slow speeds, in addition to signage displaying the reduced speed limit. By engineering the road with additional traffic calming measures such as speed bumps similar measures we can better ensure that drivers comply with posted speed limits. This furthers safety for people on foot or bike who may share the road. Research shows that shared, slow-speed streets are some of the safest for people to walk and bike. In particular, we bring the parks attention to two studies of bicycling infrastructure in Canada. The first shows that slow, local streets shared with bicycles and vehicles can be significantly safer, in some conditions, than multi-use pathways (Teschke, K., et al 2012. Route Infrastructure and the Risk of Injuries to Bicyclists: A Case-Crossover Study. American Journal of Public Health, 102(12), 2336-2343. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2012.300762). A second study (Vijayakumar and Burda 2015. Cycle Cities. Pembina Institute, Calgary, Canada) evaluated cycling infrastructure across several Canadian cities and showed that the city of Vancouver had the lowest number of crashes per cyclist when the majority of their bicycling infrastructure are signed routes on residential streets shared with cars. In some ways a slow-speed, shared Moose-Wilson road would be comparable, in terms of traffic, to a residential shared street.

##### Consider corridor-appropriate shuttles

In 2009, Grand Teton National Park studied the potential for public transit in the National Park (Kack and Chaudhari 2009). This report suggested four potential transit routes, including a possible route between Moose and the LSR preserve. One conclusion of that study was that transit would be desirable and supported among visitors and park employees, but would only be necessary when traffic and parking congestion occurs. Based on visitation this past summer, we argue that congestion is currently an issue and the time is appropriate to reconsider transit, especially within the Moose-Wilson corridor. We urge the park to analyze options for corridor-appropriate shuttle or van transit, building on past studies, within the specified adaptive management framework and conforming to vehicle and visitor limits. Such transit could even be combined with an interpretive service that provides visitors with greater information on the natural and cultural resources within the corridor.

##### Shrinking the size of parking lots

We urge the park to consider a smaller parking lot at the Death Canyon trailhead. The current plan proposes a parking lot for 80 cars - 40% of the 200 car vehicle cap in the corridor at one time. This number of cars at the trailhead also corresponds to a peak parking demand that is met at only peak periods; according to the Parks own visitor use studies. We believe a parking lot of 60 cars would be a more appropriate design. Again, such a move would be in line with the general desire for fewer cars in the corridor, having smaller parking lots and encouraging more human-powered travel.

##### Maintaining vegetation along the road

We are concerned by the parks proposal to create vegetation setbacks along the road section between Sawmill Ponds and Death Canyon (DEIS, pg 52). Vegetation along this road section represents some of the best seasonal bear habitat (berry patches). We recognize that this habitat represents a source of human-wildlife encounters during some seasons. We prefer to see those encounters managed through other measures such as road closures or wildlife brigade staffing increases rather than by removing valuable bear habitat.

##### Consider a balance between cultural and natural resources

Finally, we urge the park to consider if any options exist to protect wetlands along the existing northern section of the road if the road was realigned further east. We recognize the significance of the new archeological finds. We wonder, if in the long-term, there may be options for a culturally sensitive approach to relocating this section of the road away from the wetlands without destroying archeological finds.

Thank you again for the opportunity to comment on this draft management plan and DEIS.

Sincerely,

Siva Sundaresan  
Conservation Director  
Jackson Hole Conservation Alliance  
PO Box 2728  
Jackson WY 83001

**Name:** Spahr, John F  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,28,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Moose-Wilson Draft EIS. I have enjoyed travelling through and to this area for over 40 years and value the importance of this area for wildlife.

Here are my comments:

I support the environmentally preferred Alternative C in the Draft EIS. This alternative balances wildlife protection and public use in a most effective manner. However, I would like to add that consideration should be given to public transit option such a bus loop with people parking at the Moose visitor center or at Teton Village. This would cut down on vehicle traffic and allow for safer passage by pedestrians and cyclists.

Alternative C protects cultural resources and artifacts in this important area by maintaining the present configuration of the road except for the most northern portion near the Moose entrance. It is important to me that all visitors to this area go through and entrance station.

By maintaining the present configuration with some improvements and a lower speed limit, Alternative C maintains the rural character of this corridor while protecting prime habitat for grizzly bears, moose, elk and other animals. I am please the DEIS is protecting wildlife and maintaining the ability for visitors to enjoy this wonderful area.

Alternative C considers safety as an important element for both human and animal encounters. Preventing conflicts between visitors, grizzly bears, moose and other animals is a high priority. This is why I am against a separate pathway for cyclists and joggers. This area is heavily forested and a separate pathway will increase the likelihood of the potential for conflicts, injury and possible death for visitor and wildlife. Everything should be done to eliminate possible conflicts.

I have enjoyed many days of X-country skiing on the Moose - Wilson road. I strongly support leaving the section of road from Death Canyon intersection to Granite Canyon trailhead unplowed in winter to allow for use by skiers, snowshoers and other non-motorized winter activities. I also strong feel that this section of road should not be tracked or manicured for winter activities.

Finally the only public transportation through this corridor should be a bus system only. No other public system such as taxis or private transportation services should be allowed period. Any commercial operations focused on interpretation, wildlife viewing, historical values should be encouraged under a permit system.

Please maintain the rural character of the Moose-Wilson Corridor. Alternative C, the environmentally and Park Service's preferred Alternative, does maintain the rural character while balancing wildlife protection with public use.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3049    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,28,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** It's hard to understand how the 'one car out/one car in' option is really solving any problems. It just merely spreads the traffic out by forcing users to cue up and wait their turn. Does that really help anything?

It's probably long overdue for GTNP to consider using shuttle buses during the peak summer tourist season; first on the Moose-Wilson road and eventually on the inner park road. Since nobody absolutely 'needs' to drive the Moose-Wilson road, the best solution for now (and the future) would be to close the road to private vehicles and have regular shuttle buses originating from transit centers near Teton Village and Moose. This would eliminate the Teton Village to/from Airport abuse and make bicycling on the road much safer, among other benefits. No further upgrades to the road would be needed.

Shuttle buses in other parks (such as Zion, Grand Canyon, Denali & Yosemite) work extremely well and are really quite convenient. Grand Teton is set up perfectly for shuttle buses. Traffic is only going to increase so why not solve this problem now with an eye on the future.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3050    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Whitaker, Caron  
**Address:** Washington, DC 20006  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** League of American Bicyclists Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Jan,28,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** David Vela  
Grand Teton Superintendent  
Grand Teton National Park  
P.O. Drawer 170  
Moose, WY 83012-0170

January 28, 2016

Dear Superintendent Vela,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the draft Moose-Wilson Corridor EIS. The League of American Bicyclists is the oldest National Cycling Advocacy organization representing our 20,000 individual members as well as over 300 state and local advocacy organizations, and 300 Bike clubs. Our members are invested in bicycling opportunities on federal lands as well as our nation's roads and trails. We respectfully ask for the following improvements to the draft Moose-Wilson Corridor EIS:

1. Include a well-designed pathway along Moose-Wilson corridor for park visitors biking, walking and to serve people with disabilities. Build the pathway in two phases:

Phase one, build the southern half of the pathway, connecting the Granite entry to the LSR Visitor Center, as was approved in the 2007 EIS. Careful pathway design will address the concerns with bears and wildlife.

Phase two, complete the pathway on the north section after completing archeological studies and mitigation, and shift the road section out of the identified prime habitat and wetland, as proposed in Alternative D.

The pathway could be part of the archeological mitigation. It would offer a remarkable visitor experience and interpretive journey teaching visitors about prehistoric Native American visitors. What better way to experience that history than a well-interpreted bicycle and pedestrian trail along the corridor, where visitors could literally walk in the footsteps of the first human visitors to Jackson Hole and Grand Teton National Park.

The draft plan failed to consider the needs of people walking. This is a separate mode of travel from biking, and the NPS should include the option for people to walk along the corridor. Just as there is a latent demand for people that would like to bike safely, there is a latent demand for people to walk. The distances are quite walkable, and if combined with alt-fuel transit, a pathway for would be a terrific low impact option for visitors to walk as well as bicycle.

2. Along with adding a pathway, the NPS should partner with the community on an alternative-fuel transit shuttle to provide new options for people and reduce private vehicle pressure and carbon emissions on the Moose-Wilson road. The parks prior Transit studies support this, and the Moose-Wilson plan should include this as part of the long-term solutions to visitor access to the National Park.

3. Missing topics that should be addressed:

Include Regional Transportation in the Final EIS. This topic is integral to Moose-Wilson, but was dismissed from consideration. Teton County has adopted a Comprehensive Plan and Integrated Transportation Plan that specifically calls for a regional approach to shared transportation challenges. The NPS should include this significant partnership opportunity in the Moose-Wilson plan. This would allow people to bike to the trail and potentially take some pressure off of the road system.

Address Air Quality and Climate Change. These related topics should not have been dismissed in the EIS. Both are very important to include in the Moose-Wilson Corridor plan. The NPS has a responsibility to protect air quality in the National Park, and to take positive steps like the pathway to help combat climate change in this plan.

Pathway user studies of the Moose-Jenny Lake Pathway. Include prior social science studies that were conducted by the NPS in 2007-2010. There are two highly relevant before and after studies of pathway use in Grand Teton National Park, which document a remarkably high visitor experience with negligible wildlife impacts. The results of those studies are very helpful in documenting the benefits of the pathway, and lack of impacts.

4. Revise the 550-person visitor limit system, which lacks a rational basis to support the visitor use capacity determination. The DEIS fails to connect the proposed use levels to any natural resource impacts or outcome. The NPS should instead develop a system that rewards people when they use alternative transportation like biking, walking and transit. Manage private motor vehicles to a reasonable limit, and allow more people when they choose alternative modes.

5. Winter access. The NPS should improve the winter season elements in the final decision and allow grooming the unplowed sections of Moose Wilson road and new pathway in the winter. A groomed trail would enhance winter access for Nordic skiing, walking, snowshoes, and fat bikes on the road and pathway. This is another area where partnerships are feasible to assist the park. There could be a world-class 15km groomed trail from Teton Village to LSR, using the pathway and closed road section to provide a remarkable national park visitor experience at a time of year when there are not significant wildlife concerns.

6. NPS Management Policies. The EIS must consider and comply with the NPS Management Policy, Section 9.2. This is missing in the DEIS. The NPS policy 9.2 states:

"Depending on a park unit's size, location, resources, and level of use, the Service will, where appropriate, emphasize and encourage alternative transportation systems, which may include a mix of buses, trains, ferries, trams, and-preferably-nonmotorized modes of access to and moving within parks. In general, the preferred modes of transportation will be those that contribute to maximum visitor enjoyment of, and minimum adverse impacts on, park resources and values."

Thank you for your consideration of these points. Please send any response to Caron Whitaker via email, [caron@bikeleague.org](mailto:caron@bikeleague.org)

Sincerely,

Alex Doty  
Executive Director

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3051	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Carlson, Barbara K				
<b>Address:</b>	Austin, TX 78703 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					

**Received:** Jan,28,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am in favor of your Alternative C Proposal.

I oppose the addition of a bike path. It destroys too much of the natural habitat needed for wildlife and also puts both bike riders and wildlife at risk with surprise encounters. This is rich habitat for many wild creatures and their well being should be the Park's #1 priority. We are already fortunate to have plenty of other places for biking.

Thank you for making this such an open process, but also for remaining steadfast to the mission of our national parks.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3052      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Quandt, Kristopher  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Jan,28,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Grand Teton National Park,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the draft Moose-Wilson Corridor EIS. Please make the following improvements to the draft EIS:

1. Include a well-designed pathway along Moose-Wilson corridor for park visitors biking, walking and to serve people with disabilities. Build the pathway in two phases:

Phase one, build the southern half of the pathway, connecting the Granite entry to the LSR Visitor Center, as was approved in the 2007 EIS. Careful pathway design will address the concerns with bears and wildlife.

Phase two, complete the pathway on the north section after completing archeological studies and mitigation, and shift the road section out of the identified prime habitat and wetland, as proposed in Alternative D.

The pathway could be part of the archeological mitigation. It would be a remarkable visitor experience if it was an interpretive journey teaching visitors about prehistoric Native American visitors. What better way to experience that history than a well-interpreted human-powered trail along the corridor, where visitors could literally walk in the footsteps of the first human visitors to Jackson Hole and Grand Teton National Park.

The draft plan failed to consider the needs of people walking. This is a separate mode of travel from biking, and the NPS should include the option for people to walk along the corridor. Just as there is a latent demand for people that would like to bike safely, there is a latent demand for people to walk. The distances are quite walkable, and if combined with alt-fuel transit, a pathway for would be a terrific low impact option for visitors to walk as well as bicycle.

2. Along with adding a pathway, the NPS should partner with the community on an alternative-fuel transit shuttle to provide new options for people and reduce private vehicle pressure and carbon emissions on the Moose-Wilson road. The parks prior Transit studies support this, and the Moose-Wilson plan should include this as part of the long term solutions to visitor access to the National Park.

3. Missing topics that should be addressed:

Include Regional Transportation in the Final EIS. This topic is integral to Moose-Wilson, but was dismissed from consideration. Teton County has adopted a Comprehensive Plan and Integrated Transportation Plan that specifically calls for a regional approach to shared transportation challenges. The NPS should include this significant partnership opportunity in the Moose-Wilson plan.

Address Air Quality and Climate Change. These related topics should not have been dismissed in the EIS. Both are very important to include in the Moose-Wilson Corridor plan. The NPS has a responsibility to protect air quality in the National Park, and to take positive steps like the pathway to help combat climate change in this plan.

Pathway user studies of the Moose-Jenny Lake Pathway. Include prior social science studies that were conducted by the NPS in 2007-2010. There are two highly relevant before and after studies of pathway use in Grand Teton National Park, which document a remarkably high visitor experience with negligible wildlife impacts. The results of those studies are very helpful in documenting the benefits of the pathway, and lack of impacts.

4. Revise the 550-person visitor limit system, which lacks a rational basis to support the visitor use capacity determination. The DEIS fails to connect the proposed use levels to any natural resource impacts or outcome. The NPS should instead develop a system that rewards people when they use alternative transportation like biking, walking and transit. Manage private motor vehicles to a reasonable limit, and allow more people when they choose alternative modes.

5. Winter access. The NPS should improve the winter season elements in the final decision and allow grooming the unplowed sections of Moose Wilson road and new pathway in the winter. A groomed trail would enhance winter access for Nordic skiing, walking, snowshoes, and fat bikes on the road and pathway. This is another area where partnerships are feasible to assist the park. There could be a world-class 15km groomed trail from Teton Village to LSR, using the pathway and closed road section to provide a remarkable national park visitor experience at a time of year when there are not significant wildlife concerns.

6. NPS Management Policies. The EIS must consider and comply with the NPS Management Policy, Section 9.2. This is missing in the DEIS. The NPS policy 9.2 states:

"Depending on a park unit's size, location, resources, and level of use, the Service will, where appropriate, emphasize and encourage alternative transportation systems, which may include a mix of buses, trains, ferries, trams, and-preferably-nonmotorized modes of access to and moving within parks.

In general, the preferred modes of transportation will be those that contribute to maximum visitor enjoyment of, and minimum adverse impacts on, park resources and values."

Thank you for your consideration of these points.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3053    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Watsabaugh, Rob  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,28,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I would strongly advocate adding a paved pathway for cyclists. Even having an additional three feet for bikes would improve safety. I will continue using the road with or without a path.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3054    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Moldt, Steve F  
**Address:** Riverton, WY 82501  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,28,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I agree with Alternative C, with the question as to the need to pave the currently unpaved portion of the road. I acknowledge that my preferred method of travelling the roadway is on a bicycle, and the unpaved section has never given me cause for concern. I agree that overall vehicular traffic should be controlled, and understand the challenges of an increased population at the Village. I don't think the study has addressed some of the local issues concerning County growth, however I don't think the NPS has an obligation to repair the damages of that growth at the sake of destroying a true park treasure.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3055    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Tonille, Britnee  
**Address:** Buffalo, WY 82834  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,28,2016 13:41:39  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Thank you for the opportunity to comment. As I have read the Moose-Wilson project report, it is apparent that many small to large road and trail construction projects will take place. These may include, reconstruction, paving, gravel with the goal of minimizing haul in. The product I will suggest without reservation is Dallas Roadway Products Dirt Armor. With this product, there is no need to bring fill in, as the GREEN product will suffice with current soil situations. Again, the product is harmless to a life, it will suppress dust and will last beyond 20 years, depending on the needed application.

Please contact me for more information as it aligns with the project goals to maintain geographic and ecological integrity.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3056    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gerrits, Christy M  
**Address:** Gillette, WY 82718  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Donkey Creek Pathways Task Force Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Jan,28,2016 13:43:17  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Grand Teton National Park,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the draft Moose-Wilson Corridor EIS. Please make the following improvements to the draft EIS:

1. Include a well-designed pathway along Moose-Wilson corridor for park visitors biking, walking and to serve people with disabilities. Build the pathway in two phases:

Phase one, build the southern half of the pathway, connecting the Granite entry to the LSR Visitor Center, as was approved in the 2007 EIS. Careful pathway design will address the concerns with bears and wildlife.

Phase two, complete the pathway on the north section after completing archeological studies and mitigation, and shift the road section out of the identified prime habitat and wetland, as proposed in Alternative D.

The pathway could be part of the archeological mitigation. It would be a remarkable visitor experience if it was an interpretive journey teaching visitors about prehistoric Native American visitors. What better way to experience that history than a well-interpreted human-powered trail along the corridor, where visitors could literally walk in the footsteps of the first human visitors to Jackson Hole and Grand Teton National Park.

The draft plan failed to consider the needs of people walking. This is a separate mode of travel from biking, and the NPS should include the option for

people to walk along the corridor. Just as there is a latent demand for people that would like to bike safely, there is a latent demand for people to walk. The distances are quite walkable, and if combined with alt-fuel transit, a pathway for would be a terrific low impact option for visitors to walk as well as bicycle.

2. Along with adding a pathway, the NPS should partner with the community on an alternative-fuel transit shuttle to provide new options for people and reduce private vehicle pressure and carbon emissions on the Moose-Wilson road. The parks prior Transit studies support this, and the Moose-Wilson plan should include this as part of the long term solutions to visitor access to the National Park.

3. Missing topics that should be addressed:

Include Regional Transportation in the Final EIS. This topic is integral to Moose-Wilson, but was dismissed from consideration. Teton County has adopted a Comprehensive Plan and Integrated Transportation Plan that specifically calls for a regional approach to shared transportation challenges. The NPS should include this significant partnership opportunity in the Moose-Wilson plan.

Address Air Quality and Climate Change. These related topics should not have been dismissed in the EIS. Both are very important to include in the Moose-Wilson Corridor plan. The NPS has a responsibility to protect air quality in the National Park, and to take positive steps like the pathway to help combat climate change in this plan.

Pathway user studies of the Moose-Jenny Lake Pathway. Include prior social science studies that were conducted by the NPS in 2007-2010. There are two highly relevant before and after studies of pathway use in Grand Teton National Park, which document a remarkably high visitor experience with negligible wildlife impacts. The results of those studies are very helpful in documenting the benefits of the pathway, and lack of impacts.

4. Revise the 550-person visitor limit system, which lacks a rational basis to support the visitor use capacity determination. The DEIS fails to connect the proposed use levels to any natural resource impacts or outcome. The NPS should instead develop a system that rewards people when they use alternative transportation like biking, walking and transit. Manage private motor vehicles to a reasonable limit, and allow more people when they choose alternative modes.

5. Winter access. The NPS should improve the winter season elements in the final decision and allow grooming the unplowed sections of Moose Wilson road and new pathway in the winter. A groomed trail would enhance winter access for Nordic skiing, walking, snowshoes, and fat bikes on the road and pathway. This is another area where partnerships are feasible to assist the park. There could be a world-class 15km groomed trail from Teton Village to LSR, using the pathway and closed road section to provide a remarkable national park visitor experience at a time of year when there are not significant wildlife concerns.

6. NPS Management Policies. The EIS must consider and comply with the NPS Management Policy, Section 9.2. This is missing in the DEIS. The NPS policy 9.2 states:

"Depending on a park unit's size, location, resources, and level of use, the Service will, where appropriate, emphasize and encourage alternative transportation systems, which may include a mix of buses, trains, ferries, trams, and-preferably-nonmotorized modes of access to and moving within parks. In general, the preferred modes of transportation will be those that contribute to maximum visitor enjoyment of, and minimum adverse impacts on, park resources and values."

Thank you for your consideration of these points.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3057	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Read, Benjamin				
<b>Address:</b>	Jackson, WY 83001 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,27,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Letter				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	January 24, 2016				

Grand Teton National Park  
ATTN: Moose-Wilson Planning Team  
PO Drawer 170  
Moose, WY 83012

Dear Sirs,

In support of the management alternative that's proposed for the Moose-Wilson Road corridor, I attach as the core point of this letter a guest piece that recently appeared in the JR News and Guide.

Before I do this, I would like to emphasize that there are only a very small number of parks that are as well suited to road closures and the deployment of public transport as GTNP is. What the park has proposed for now is a significant forward step to this future. Albeit of course a very small and tentative one, it opens conceptually to the wider discussion of road closures, the

{If though looking ahead five to ten years the roads are not to be closed, I would much prefer to see a separated bike path built. To say that a separated bike path intrudes significantly on habitat and poses a danger to wildlife, while foregoing the opportunity to cut millions of road miles driven on roads slightly to the north, is manifestly absurd, and in this instance the safety of bicyclists and the human aesthetics of peddling on a separated path should clearly take preference over the additional and miniscule levels of protection for wildlife that result from having bicyclists squeezed onto the shoulders of heavily trafficked, narrow roads).

The other comment I would like to submit is that in the aftermath of the Paris Accords on climate change, parks and federal agencies in general will be

asked to first model and then manage for carbon reduction emissions like those that cutting millions of road miles driven in GTNP would produce. At the very least, the preferred management alternative should be presented to the public in terms of what impacts it does or doesn't have on carbon emissions. For the same reasons, whatever else is done, a public transport alternative for folks who would be driving on the Moose-Wilson should be added to the mix.

Best Wishes,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3058    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Christel, Maria T  
**Address:** Jackson , WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,28,2016 14:07:33  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** With regard to the Moose-Wilson corridor, and the Moose-Wilson Road in particular, I whole heartedly support Option C. Option C provides the best alternatives for wildlife, habitat and visitors alike. To add a paved bike path is simply a terrible idea, one that would prove its own folly within weeks, if not days, of implementation.

People are just not aware, they are abusive without meaning to be, and accidents will occur over and over again if any of the other options are adapted. Bike paths are wonderful, but we have enough. Enough! We're awash in bike paths, and we must limit our own recreational use of this special corridor. Biking is a great form of exploration and exercise, but in this particular case there is far too much at stake. Unfortunately, many bikers also seem to hold the attitude that if they are on bikes, they are superior to those traveling in vehicles and act in any manner they choose. Too many bikers are, in fact, the worst drivers in Teton County. I wish we could trust them when it comes to adhering to guidelines in this area, but too many of them will not.

Please adopt Option C.

Sincerely,  
Tammy Christel

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3059    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ramage, Elliott J  
**Address:** Casper, WY 82601  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,28,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I ask that you keep the formerly approved bike path. You have the opportunity to complete one of the premiere pedestrian/bike loops not only in Wyoming and the USA but the whole world. I have lived in Wyoming my entire life, have lived in Jackson, and still visit the park multiple times every year with my annual park pass which I'm always happy to renew. I'm happy to see other popular, growing, and less destructive modes of transportation finally being honored and put into place as the world evolves.

Thank you.

Elliott Ramage  
Casper College Administrator

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3060    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McClellan, Terry B  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,28,2016 14:59:03  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I agree with Alternative C as the best option for preserving wildlife and improving this important habitat. There is no need for a separate bike path through this area. By paving the dirt road, reducing the speed limit and adding speed bumps, that automatically improves safety both for pedestrians and bicyclists. This road has become a shortcut for taxis and drivers from the airport to Teton Village who are often speeding and could care less about wildlife. I once witnessed the driver behind me impatiently trying to pass a line of cars and almost hit a bicyclist in the opposite direction. He had Idaho plates and I'm sure he was in a hurry to get to the airport. Hopefully the recommended changes will put an end to this behavior and make the road safer for humans and wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3061    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Korf , Daphna  
**Address:** San Carlos , CA 94070  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,28,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please save this wildlife

---

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3062    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Cutler, Mary Keys  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,28,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Though I am very grateful for the wonderful bike network we have, I agree with the Park Service and Teton County commissioners and the Jackson City Council that there should NOT be a bike path along the Moose-Wilson Corridor. Mary Cutler

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3063    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Thibodeau, Todd  
**Address:** Cheyenne, WY 82001  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,28,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I love Grand Teton National Park and especially enjoy hiking and bike riding in the park. As traffic congestion continues to increase in the park, it is imperative that other transportation opportunities are provided. Without providing pathways, etc. it forces more people to drive in the park and continues the cycle of increased traffic congestion. The new pathway from Jackson to Moose is probably the best improvement the NPS has ever done in the park. Now you need to complete the pathway from Moose to Wilson. This will enable pedestrians and cyclists to safely enjoy one of my favorite areas of the park. It will also enable the public to complete the loop from Jackson to Moose to Wilson to Jackson mostly on a segregated pathway. In general people on a recreational tour prefer to do a loop as opposed to an out and back trip.

Thanks you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3064    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Stirn, Nancy  
**Address:** Teton Village, WY 83025  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,28,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I appreciate all of your hard work on this plan. I agree that there should not be a bicycle path in this area of the park and hopefully, the 200 car limit will be analyzed after it is implemented and adjusted accordingly. It is my understanding that taxis should not be using this road to get to the airport. It may be beneficial to study the amount of wildlife expedition vehicles allowed on the road as it seems there are quite a few of these. Please don't cave in to Teton Village Association and the JHSC.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3065    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** JACKSON, WY 83001  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,28,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** To whom it may concern,  
I am in agreement with the NPS plan on the Moose-Wilson corridor. This is an excellent example of meeting the interests of the park, majority of users and wildlife and a go low (impact) and slow approach to growth. The strong arm tactics of the TVA for economic interests only is a sad commentary on local business itself, and demonstrate that they lack the insight and fortitude to recognize low impact solutions to long-term growth. This appears to me to be the best choice for wildlife and maintaining the parks beauty...great analysis and great work!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3066    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** VanNewkirk, Betty S  
**Address:** Tetonia, ID 83452  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,28,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I support the NPS-preferred alternative; it's a vote for wildlife. Let's protect the bears, moose and elk.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3067    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Stuart, Jon  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,28,2016 00:00:00



**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** The Parks careful approach to limiting impact on wildlife is important to fulfilling the mission of the Park Service. This road should not be treated as a thoroughfare.

As an aside, not adding a bike path is also appropriate to protecting wildlife.

Thanks for your efforts.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3068    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Schechter, Jonathan  
**Address:** Ortonville, MI 48462  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** (former) Nature Education Writer for Oakland County (Michigan) Parks Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Jan,28,2016 17:26:26  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Alternative C is without a doubt the way to go and after reading of the Grand Teton's alternatives and reviewing options from my home base of Michigan, I throw my strong support to Alternative C as the best option. It's a major win for wildlife travel, reduces the chance of negative encounters with humans, and protects historical and cultural artifacts. It is certainly well thought out and addresses almost all aspects of human-wildlife conflict, right down to speed bumps to keep cars 'under speed control' But perhaps most importantly is this: Trees ( all flora) and wildlife have in many ways evolved a partnership with each other, and it really is the mandate of the National Park Service to protect that partnership that we are only beginning to understand and sadly frequently fail to defend. And we (park and wildlife specialist) all have a responsibility to keep natural systems intact, even when others may say for other reasons, "It doesn't matter" It does matter!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3069    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Woods Bloom, Rebecca  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,28,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Before I offer comments regarding preferred Alternative C, I commend you and your staff for the many opportunities given interested parties to participate in the NEPA process. I am hopeful the FEIS/ROD that emerges after this comment period will reflect what is best for the park, rather than a plan weakened in response to clear and ongoing political pressure. We are counting on you.

I believe limiting the number of cars in the corridor, reducing the speed limit, improving the road surface, and not including a separate pathway are all positive measures. I hope you will also consider the following suggestions for improving Alternative C:

â€¢ Lower the vehicular cap by providing appropriate trailhead shuttles  
Using your extrapolated number of hikers will dictate the number of shuttles, so the overall number of people in the corridor does not increase. Extrapolation will also determine what the new vehicle cap would be. Benefits: smaller parking/staging area at trailheads; reduced emissions; and fewer cars on the road. The latter will in turn enhance pedestrian/bicycling safety, eliminating the need for "climbing lanes" suggested by Teton County. Since LSR already has imposed limits, shuttle-only access will likely work best at Granite and Death Canyon trailheads. Trailhead shuttles can be managed through a reservation system.

â€¢ Design the road for lower speed. In addition to signage, speed bumps and other traffic calming measures (curves, width of road, etc.) will aid in driver compliance.

â€¢ Use electronic signs alerting drivers at both ends that the corridor is a low speed, shared roadway (cyclists on road, wildlife, etc.). Signage should also be placed in queuing lanes asking drivers to turn their engines off.

â€¢ Refrain from removing wildlife habitat near the roadway (such as the hawthorne bushes south of sawmill ponds). Road closures or volunteers monitoring wildlife activity during berry season would be preferable.

Finally, I caution against "partnering" with any nongovernmental entity re mass transportation in the park. I strongly suspect the repeated refrain has little to do with being "green," and more as a means to forestall limiting the number of visitors in the corridor and elsewhere in the park. Much as we detest it, limits are in our future.

Thank you for your consideration, and your fine work to date.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3070    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, keesha  
**Address:** Johns island, SC 29455  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,28,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Save our wildlife

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3071    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Wadsworth, Don and Gwenn  
**Address:** Jackson Hole, WY 83001  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Jan,28,2016 17:45:55

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** After a lot of discussion and listening to the different views we feel we must back conservation and preservation first and having a cool bike path second. Love to cycle in the park and this would be a great ride and we have traveled the Moose Wilson Road for years and hated the bumpy dirty mess but fewer vehicles and people will definitely have less impact on this special area.

Love the 20 mph speed limit proposal and limited access. This will definitely put a burden on the West Bankers that want a shorter and easier access to the park and airport but taking the long view for what is best for preserving the park and animals, got to think preservation of this unique area of our national park. Thank you, Don and Gwenn Wadsworth Jackson Hole.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3072    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Rotegard, Laura

**Address:** Munising, MI 49884  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Jan,28,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Support Alternative 3

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3073    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Springer, Kim B  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Jan,28,2016 21:35:45

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:**

Dear Superintendent David Vela,

I'm writing in support of the Preferred Alternative C for the Moose Wilson corridor and would like to add some questions and suggestions. I join the legions of visitors who care deeply about the future of this very special corner of Grand Teton National Park. The Preferred Alternative will help protect critical park resources and honor the goals of Lawrence Rockefeller and the LSR preserve. In addition, the plan is science-based and supports continued shared use of the road by cars and bicycles. I do, however, hope some changes can be made to further improve Alternative C and a few looming questions remain.

Paving the short unpaved section of the road has benefits for bikers and drivers but will unquestionably attract more use and increase the challenge of limiting visitors. Does the plan account for the increase in numbers due to paving? How will the 200 car limit be implemented? If the plan's intent is to decrease cars, paving the southern section won't help. I hope the park will be able to give this plan a try but rather than accommodate population growth, consider reducing numbers in the future if this plan results in overcrowding. Limiting parking has worked in the LSR, and it can work in the other parking areas. What is most rare and important to protect is wilderness and wildlife. Considering the road is closed half of the year, we know Moose Wilson isn't essential to the traffic flow in the valley.

Has the Park planned for winter parking? Just as visitor numbers are increasing in the summer, cross-country and backcountry skiers are increasing in winter months. Both the Granite parking area and the Death Canyon road junction with the Moose Wilson road are seeing more traffic.

I understand the need to protect cultural resources but hope that could be done in addition to moving the road away from the wetlands. I question the costs and methods of keeping open the northern section of the road next to the wetlands where even in August a seep of water runs across the road. Have all efforts been made to seek a route through the sagebrush and away from the cultural resources? Having driven the road frequently over the last three decades, I've seen the growing impacts from vehicles and people next to the wetlands, the prime wildlife habitat. New pullouts have formed over the past years while perfectly good denuded pullouts have been closed south of the wetlands. I suggest the experts revisit this small section of the road, one side is collapsing into the wetlands and most likely will continue with water features on both sides.

The idea of building a new separated bike path, cutting hundreds of trees, taking wildlife habitat, bringing in heavy machinery, and introducing noxious weeds is unconscionable and contrary to what the Rockefellers and Muries intended for the Preserve and potential Wilderness. The size of the LSR parking lot is designed to keep visitor numbers down. The idea of a transit system dumping hundreds on the trails is also not the way to protect bears, elk, moose, deer, birds, beavers and amphibians. Outdoor recreation has been found to be the 2nd leading cause of decline of US threatened and endangered species on public lands (Losos et al. 1995). Quinn and Chernoff (2010) found that effects on wildlife are generally more pronounced with mountain bikes than with either hiking or horseback, generally due to the "sudden encounter" effect. Please don't consider this type of habitat fragmentation in the interest of human safety and in protecting flora and fauna.

Wildlife viewing in Grand Teton and Yellowstone draws a great majority of our visitors, not recreational activities.

The importance of managing the Moose Wilson Corridor in Grand Teton as a National Park and not a local or regional park cannot be overstated. The Park is mandated to protect and preserve for future generations, it is not mandated to manage for local or regional commercial interests. Those individuals should not dictate the use of any part of the Park. Pressures from neighboring Teton Village will only increase. Interestingly and unfortunately, Teton Village lost their cross-country ski trails on former Forest Service land and private land, now all owned by Snake River Associates. In turn, Snake River Associates built an exclusive private track for members of the Shooting Star development, leaving the Village without cross-country trails. The Moose

Wilson corridor is a great amenity for everyone at Teton Village, but development shouldn't be driven by these private commercial interests. I understand the Village is now asking the National Park Service to groom trails for their benefit on the Moose Wilson Road. These kinds of pressures will only continue and the Park Service must resist these pressures and work to keep the Park as wild and natural as possible.

Losos, E., J. Hayes, A. Phillips, D. Wilcove, and C. Alkire. 1995. Taxpayer-subsidized resource extraction harms species. *BioScience* 45: 446-455

Quinn, M., and G. Chernoff. 2010. Mountain Biking: A Review of the Ecological Effects. A Literature Review for Parks Canada-National Office (Visitor Experience Branch) Final Report. February 2010. Miistakis Institute, Faculty of Environmental Design-University of Calgary, Calgary, AB Canada. 42 pp.

Thank you for considering these comments.  
Sincerely,

Kim Springer  
2680 Pizza Lane  
Wilson, WY 83014

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3074    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Castle-Fericks, Larry A  
**Address:** Salt Lake City, UT 84105  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,28,2016 21:40:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am writing in support of the National Park Service Preferred Alternative C for the Moose-Wilson Corridor Management Plan. I have worked at the Laurance S. Rockefeller Preserve since it opened to the public in 2008. The Preserve represents a major destination within the corridor, and manages visitor density successfully by limiting parking to a maximum 50 cars at a time. Visitors are willing to wait for parking up to 90 minutes during July and August. They willingly shut off their engines to protect air quality. Visitors have the opportunity to appreciate the beauty and diversity of the natural world in a setting of peace and serenity. The Preserve includes lake, creek, forest and sagebrush communities that provide food and shelter for black bears, elk, moose, mule deer, grizzlies, sandhill cranes, redtailed hawks and foxes to name a few. Elk calving grounds and important seasonal food sources for bears are present.

Alternative C best honors the agreements made at the time the Preserve was given to the National Park Service by Laurance Rockefeller. It also manages this portion of the park in the best interest of the resource, placing recreation second in line. The Moose-Wilson Road is prime wildlife and scenic viewing. By paving the south portion of the road and lowering the speed limit, motorists and cyclists will be able to share the corridor safely and enjoy the viewing opportunities. Building a separate multi-use pathway would destroy thousands of trees, increase human disturbance and interrupt elk calving and bear feeding. Numerous multi-use pathways exist both within the Park and adjacent to it. The Moose-Wilson Corridor is unique and should be managed with the utmost preservation of the resource in mind.

Limiting total numbers of vehicles during the busiest summer days will enhance visitor experiences on the hiking trails, along the road and at the Preserve. There are alternate routes to other areas, which drivers will have the opportunity to choose, either through the town of Jackson or along Spring Gulch Road if they wish to avoid the traffic in Jackson. The peace and scenic beauty of the Moose-Wilson Corridor is a treasure to be carefully preserved as a portion of Grand Teton that is uniquely quiet. The only way to do this is to limit access as described in Alternative C. Mass transit might be acceptable in small vehicles delivering 15 or fewer people at a time to the destinations, but this should be a last resort.

In a perfect world, relocating the portion of the road from Sawmill Ponds Overlook to just north of the Death Canyon Road would be in the best interest of the resource. However, the presence of Native American cultural sites appropriately precludes this option.

The Moose-Wilson Corridor is a part of Grand Teton National Park. Per the Organic Act of 1916, this area should be managed "to conserve the scenery and the natural and historic objects and the wildlife therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such a manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." Alternative C, the NPS Preferred Alternative, is the closest possible solution and should be adopted, fittingly in the 100th year of the Park Service!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3075    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Ethington, Laura  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,28,2016 21:44:08  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** David Vela, Park Superintendent,  
Grand Teton National Park  
P.O. Drawer 170  
Moose, WY 83012-0170

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I am a resident of Teton County, Wyoming and live off the Moose Wilson Road about four miles from the Moose Wilson Park entrance. I have spent a lot of time hiking and cross-country skiing in the Moose-Wilson Corridor and I am familiar with the wild life and the issues that impact the area.

In determining which alternative is best it is important to remember the mandate of the National Park Service and the purpose for which it was created:

To conserve the scenery and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations.

16 U.S.C. Â§ 1. While it is important for residents and visitors to enjoy the National Parks, we must do so in a manner that will leave the Park unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations. The current use of the Moose-Wilson road is not sustainable. An increase in visitors has led to wildlife disturbance, full parking lots, busy trails, road jams, and potholes.

I support the environmentally preferred Alternative C that you have identified as your preferred alternative. This alternative balances wildlife protection with public use and enjoyment. Grand Teton is one of our most iconic National Parks, and so protecting and understanding the values and experiences of all visitors must be taken into consideration.

The Moose Wilson corridor is prime habitat for grizzly bears, and I would like to see preventing conflicts between people and bears a high priority. I support the Park's previous efforts to close the road during times of grizzly bear activity.

The road can safely serve as shared use for cyclists and automobiles. Please manage and minimize vehicle traffic as outlined in your plan and reduce the speed for all. This ensures that grizzly bears, moose, and other wildlife are protected while also ensuring a high quality visitor experience. A separate pathway for cyclists is not needed for the Moose Wilson road. A separate pathway will increase the disturbance to wildlife habitat.

The Moose Wilson Corridor is one of my favorite places in the park because of its natural beauty and wildlife. I have seen moose, elk, a bear, and deer just off the road. Therefore, throughway taxis and other commercial enterprises not related should not be permitted on the road.

Something I would like to see in the future is a park run transportation system with appropriate smaller vans/vehicles to decrease traffic on the park roads, including the Moose-Wilson road.

I support the Park Service and the environmentally preferred Alternative C.

Thank you,

Laura

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3076    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Klene, Mary Lou D  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,28,2016 21:50:09  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Thank you for extending time for taking comments on Moose-Wilson corridor. I appreciate the difficult situation the NPS is in. I believe preserving the vegetation and wet lands in this special heavily wooded area for the wildlife is of utmost importance. Once we increase the traffic by widening the road and enlarging the parking lots the thrust is to make this a quick way to get from the Village to the Highway - be it to go into the park or to the airport. Some of the map programs already list this as a cut-through from the Village to Hwy 89. Changes for the benefit of bike riders would have different affects.

This is one area where putting what is best for the wildlife first should take precedence. The unique opportunity to visit a part of the park so easily and to see such a plethora of wildlife up close should not be ruined. Lower speed limits and quotas on the number of cars on a daily basis and even closing the road for periods when there is bear activity warranting closure are all ways to maintain this area for future use and protection of the wildlife. Please do not consider the financial advantages of the Village or the immediate convenience of the bike riders. Wildlife preservation should come first.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3077    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Miller, Teresa C  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** None Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 00:35:27  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear NPS Staff,

Thank you for your thoughtful and thorough planning as you consider the alternative that will best preserve and protect the park's most precious assets: the pristine landscape, the delicate ecosystem, and the animals that live there. As a long time resident of the valley (23 years), who, with my husband, has raised a family here, sharing our love and appreciation of the natural beauty that envelops our valley and that we are so fortunate to enjoy.

Every year, every season, I have enjoyed the Moose-Wilson corridor - -cross country skiing, biking, hiking, or just 'cutting' through to avoid town traffic in the summer. Driving the dirt road, washboard, pot holes, mud, rocks and all, are part of the wildness and adventure of traveling the back-road. I would be sad to see more pavement, which by the way, invites faster driving, regardless of a posted slower speed limit.

For as much as I have enjoyed this corridor, I would support the NPS taking a harder line with regard to access. I work for the school district where, what's best for kids, always trumps all. The National Park Service has the same responsibility to do what's best to preserve the land and to act in the best interest of the animals. It is so obvious, and quite frankly, repulsive, that self-serving special interest groups are only concerned with how they will be affected or inconvenienced, with little regard for preserving and protecting the delicate ecosystem and the needs of its inhabitants.

I would love for the wildlife to win and for the road to be closed to all vehicles and returned to its more natural state. But short of that, I applaud and support the NPS for standing firm and remaining true to its values and mission, and not caving to fleeting political pressure.

Keep Moose-Wilson Wild!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3078    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Schoeman, Sara  
**Address:** Columbia, SC 29205  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I have read through all the materials that you and the Cougar Fund provided. I support Alternative C as the best proposal. Thank you.

Sara Schoeman

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3079    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, Anna  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I would like to support the following  
No idling lanes -terrible environmental impact  
Separate bike pathway for the entire length -safety and access are rights we should expect and much better fur environment  
Two way traffic all length  
Mass transit options should be used  
Thank you

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3080    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Durrett, James F  
**Address:** Atlanta, GA 30345  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 07:07:07  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** My family owns property on the Moose Wilson Road inside the park and I have spent, and continue to spend, much time on the road and in the park. I am in favor of Alternative D, with Alternative C being my second choice. I am a cyclist and believe that when it is possible to separate cyclists from motorists one should do so. I believe the benefit to the public outweighs the adverse impact of a separate multi-use facility on wildlife habitat and behavior.

I would find a way to limit commercial wildlife viewing on the road.

I would hope that you could find a way for residents to bypass the line of vehicles waiting to enter the park at the southern park entrance.

Thank you for considering these comments.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3081    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Steinmann, Robin  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 08:54:16  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support the bike/walk path on the moose-wilson corridor. I think you should close the road to cars and only allow bikes and walkers. The impact would be a lot less than car traffic on the road. Thank you, Robin

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3082    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Walker, John  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 09:19:56  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I would like to see a separate pathway from the south entrance to Moose. This pathway should be away from the existing road and be placed where there least possible conflicts with wildlife. When and if there are wildlife issues, the pathway could be closed, just as the road is now. I have cycled the road for the past 45 years and have had a just a handful of wildlife encounters. I do not see a difference between a pathway and the existing hiking trails in this area, if the pathway does not work in this section of the park then we should close the trails there also. I think improving the road will

only increase traffic and the better solution is a bus system similar to the one in Zion National Park. I think this the best for all of the inner road in the park. As in Zion this would be used at peak season and cars allowed during the shoulder season. A permit system for access using personal cars could be set up for users needs.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3083    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** GERHARD, ELIZABETH  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 09:58:46  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** In regards to the Moose-Wilson road corridor. The decision from the Park Service must be upheld. WE THE PEOPLE want and need our National Parks to be preserved for the future generations to experience the park as it was 100's of years ago. Bike Path? hmm... that is not what this area of GTNP needs ever. It goes against exactly what the park values...

The bike path is being pushed by business's that benefit from the link to the airport and convenience. There are an abundance of bicycle access in this county!!! Tons of monies have been spent on these wonderful bike paths. I use them from Sagebrush to town or Sagebrush all the way to Jenny Lake. Do not give into big money or pressure that a pathway thru Moose/Wilson will save lives.

I BELIEVE BIKES SHOULD BE BANNED FROM USING THE ROAD. IT IS NARROW, CURVY, DANGEROUS ROAD TO ALLOW BICYCLE TRAFFIC. LET ALONE THE WILDLIFE, IE BEARS, MOOSE THAT LOVE THAT AREA. I WOULD PUSH FOR STOPPING ALL BIKE TRAFFIC ACCEPT FOR WHEN THE ROAD SHUTS DOWN. THEN THAT IS FINE.

The biggest issue out of all this is the need for this county to recognize that we need access from the airport to Teton Village via another road bridge. That would connect the village to the airport and the park, easily. And reduce pollution of the excessive driving thru Jackson. Relieve the heavy traffic in the summer etc.

GTNP you have a hard job. You are right with your outcome for the future of Moose/Wilson road.

I am sad that the Pathways and Teton Village association are thinking of law suits!! How they waste our taxpayer dollars on this. Waste your time.

NO bikes is what I recommend on the Moose Wilson road!!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3084    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Vandenberg, David  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Thank you for the opportunity to submit comments. I would like to see a plan that does a better job accommodating bicyclists and pedestrians as well as offers transit options while not further fragmenting wildlife habitat. Specifically I am concerned about the following issues:

Where did the path from Teton Village to the JY go? It was in the 2007 plan? Let's get this done already.

Archaeological site vs/ wildlife habitat: move the road away from the ponds. The single archaeological site should not preclude movement of the roadway away from the ponds and along the tow of the slope along the Tetons. This is prime wildlife habitat. Let's let the animals have it and let's not continue to fragment wildlife habitat. The park disturbed three archaeological sites when reconfiguring Jenny Lake and have decided not to move the road because of only ONE artifact site? This is incongruous.

Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and help our air quality. Drivers queuing up at entrance stations during hot summer months will most certainly leave their cars running, idling away in the park. Let's not promote such an idea.

Daily vehicle limit: does that include bicycles? 200 vehicles at any given point along the roadway? How well was the 200 vehicle limit vetted?

A great intimate, unhurried and leisurely way to enjoy the moose-wilson corridor is to do it via bicycling. Promoting such travel is inline with goals for the Moose-Wilson corridor.

Don't do a threshold focusing on cars, do it on people and visitor experience.

Where is the alternative to automobiles? What about transit? Zion National Park did it, why can't we?

There has been not one single altercation with grizzly bear and pathway users in GTNP. Why assume it would be an issue on Moose-Wilson? Create an educational campaign for path users: tell users to make noise, ring bells, etc., and engineer the path for good sight distances. And close the path as you do the road when grizzly bears are in the vicinity.

The proposed roadway safety edge creates a downhill trajectory into vegetation towards the side of the road which will be difficult to navigate by cyclists and nearly impossible to navigate on wheelchair, stroller or walker. This will effectively push those users into the center of the road, clogging the roadway and negating the possibility of automobiles passing those users.

Pathways save lives and create an intimate experience with the Park. A path through the Moose-Wilson corridor is simply the right thing to do.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3085    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hopkins, Mary  
**Address:** Cheyenne, WY 82002  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Wyoming State Historic Preservation Office State Government  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Letter  
**Correspondence:** January 22, 2016

Grand Teton National Park  
ATTN: Moose-Wilson Planning Team  
P.O. Drawer 170  
Moose, WY 83012-0170

re: Moose-Wilson Corridor Draft Comprehensive Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement (SHPO File # 0214ECK006) minor correction from December 22, 2015 letter.

Dear Moose-Wilson Planning Team:

Thank you for consulting with the Wyoming State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) regarding the above referenced Draft Comprehensive Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement.

We agree that implementation of Alternatives B, C, and D will result in adverse impacts to historic properties. We further agree that Alternative C would have the fewest adverse effects.

However, we do not agree with the statements made regarding the affects to criterion D sites under Alternatives B and D. In the conclusions sections in reference to archaeological sites for these alternatives it is stated, in part, that;

"The exceptional informational potential retained by these sites in their current conditions would be permanently lost."

If adverse effects are to occur to these resources, the data potential of these sites would not be lost permanently, but the data would be retrieved through archaeological methods and implementation of an approved data recovery plan. This data recovery effort would serve to resolve the adverse effects. The terms of this data recovery plan would need to be developed through consultation with consulting parties and formalized in a Memorandum of Agreement.

Please refer to SHPO project #0214ECK006 on any future correspondence regarding this undertaking. If you have any questions, please contact me at 307-777-6311.

Sincerely,

Mary Hopkins  
State Historic Preservation Officer

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3086    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Van Stratten, Lisa  
**Address:** Fort Calhoun, NE 68023  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Our wildlife need our support to live in their own habitat. We can't continue to encroach on their space. And they need lots of it. I don't care if it means longer lines in traffic and tougher traffic laws and fines. People who don't live near wildlife don't get it. They threaten them and threaten their very existence if we don't give them plenty of space for their food to thrive, for them to reproduce, and their off spring to thrive. We need to to what ever it takes to protect them all.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3087    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lurie, Susan  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 10:53:09  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I fully support the National Park Service preferred alternative for transportation management within the Moose-Wilson Corridor in Grand Teton National Park. Thank you to all of the staff who conducted a thorough, transparent and inclusive process.

It is my understanding that there have been questions about how the National Park Service arrived at the current cap for both vehicles and visitors. A baseline must start somewhere, and it is my opinion that the DEIS provided ample justification for the chosen baseline.

The commitment to adaptive management for future decisions is an important one. Due to the pressure that is already being exerted to loosen the capped numbers, especially to accommodate transit in the corridor, I would suggest that there be extensive public education and discussion incorporating

information from the Zion National Park 2016 State of the Park report. Under Chapter 4, Key Issues and Challenges for Consideration in Management Planning, Issue/Challenge #2 - Transportation, greater access as a result of the bus system has created conditions of, "increasing social crowding conditions on park trails and increasing resource damage."

Any future discussion of a separated pathway and other means by which the corridor would need to absorb greater numbers of visitors, such as bus transit, must acknowledge that additional means to enjoy the corridor may create problems equal to, or worse, than they purport to solve. The potential is not theoretical; it is real, as present Zion conditions confirm. There are limitations throughout Grand Teton National Park in order for the National Park Service to meet its primary obligations to protect natural and cultural resources now and for future generations. The Moose-Wilson Corridor is no exception. Again, thank you for developing a preferred alternative that will continue to protect this special area of Grand Teton National Park.

Sincerely,  
Sue Lurie

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3088    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Schmidt, Brian W  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 10:53:26  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** It seems there is a bit of disagreement on the Park Service's suggestion of not including a separate bike path along this corridor. It is this specific aspect of your draft that I wanted to comment on.

While I am an avid cyclist, hiker etc., I completely agree with your stance on this issue. We don't need another pathway, especially in such a sensitive wildlife corridor. Far too much development has already occurred on this front and I would be very disappointed to see The Park cave in to political pressure on this front. We don't need more asphalt in The Park!!!!!!

Please move forward as you recommend without a separate bike path.

Thanks in advance,  
Brian

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3089    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** HAZEN, DIANE O  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 11:26:35  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C of the Moose-Wilson Corridor study. I have lived in the valley over 30 years and have been dismayed at the huge increase in traffic on this "hidden" gem. I am also concerned that a separate pathway will have an immediate and negative impact on the many elk, moose and now more bears that frequent this area. Slowing traffic down to at least 25 mph should provide ample safety to bicyclists who also use this road. This is not a road that should be considered a "short-cut" for a high speed connection to Moose or the airport from Teton Village. It is a "rural" level road and should be treated that way.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3090    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Benefiel, Keith  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 11:52:02  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** National Park Service Management Policy 9.2 is to "emphasize and encourage alternative transportation systems...preferably NON MOTORIZED modes of access to and moving within Parks...those that contribute to maximum visitor enjoyment of and minimum adverse impacts on park resources and values."

This policy was apparently suspended in the preparation of this draft. It ignores the safety concerns of 90% of cyclists, and ignores pedestrians altogether. Not even lip service to shuttles.

There already exists a system of roads closer to the river which could be utilized to move the travel corridor altogether out of this sensitive area. Why were these not even considered?

This is the perfect venue for elimination of private autos, with regular shuttle service between Granite and Moose, and non motorized travel actually encouraged.

All the changes made to the road are for the convenience and safety of private motor vehicles only. Cars will continue to go as fast as they can, so widening slightly and adding more pavement will really make it more dangerous for cyclists. Pedestrians, who comprise the largest user group in the "off" season would have to be nuts to try to walk in the corridor when open to cars. Most visitors do their walking in the front country, being afraid of Lions and Wolves and Bears (oh my).

The cyclists who ride the corridor will still just be the 10% who are skilled and brave enough. Mom, Dad, Buddy and Sis have no business even attempting it.

If cars were subject to the same vetting as all other forms of transportation they wouldn't be allowed in our Parks. They have more adverse impacts to the park resources and values, including wildlife mortality and pollution.

I beg the NPS/GTNP to begin planning for human beings rather than only motor vehicles. Non motorized users should have the same safe options as the motorized public.

The pathway from Moose to Jenny Lake is the perfect example of "maximum visitor enjoyment of and minimum adverse impacts on Park resources and values". Please learn from your own example. Follow your own policy!!



---

**Correspondence ID:** 3091    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Henze, Yvonne G  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Sierra Club Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 12:06:20  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I want to congratulate Teton NP for choosing the best alternative for the Moose Wilson Road. I feel very strongly that there should not be a bicycle path there. I'm not sure about the car limit of 200 cars at a time, but I'm willing to give it a try. It is so important to keep that area in pristine condition for wild life.

Yvonne G. Henze

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3092    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Fisch, Steve  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** part-time resident, GTNP Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 12:17:54  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am advocating for strict limits - preferably a ban - on road based wildlife tours in the Moose Wilson corridor and the construction of a multi-use path. Alternate D matches my preferred outcome most closely. I don't, however, support the southern realignment of the road in alternate D. My reasons are stated below.

My compliments to GTNP and its partners. The preferred alternative strikes an admirable balance in protecting visitors, animals, historical sites and residents, but in my opinion it could be significantly better or at least you could more clearly provide the evidence for your preferences.

First, thank for your plan to create a lane that park residents can use to ensure uninterrupted access to our property. That is obviously necessary, but nonetheless a big relief and much appreciated.

My first regret is that the preferred alternative is the only one that imposes no limit on road-based tour operators. I may have missed it, but I could find no explanation for this decision. It appears that the research hasn't been done to say with any certainty whether tour operators are meeting an existing demand and taking cars off the road by van-pooling, or whether they are stoking the demand with dozens of operators all advertising the wonders of road-based wildlife tours and training thousands of visitors that driving up and down MW road is the best way to see wildlife. Based on dozens of conversations with wildlife viewers on MW road over the last decade that tour operators have multiplied, I strongly suspect it's the latter and that for every day they are in the van, their clients spend multiple days in their own cars mimicking the behavior they've been taught. So I would like to see more research done to see if tour operators are contributing to the problem. One way to do that research would be to severely limit, or preferably ban, tour operators from the MW corridor. They would then be advertising and teaching the wonders of wildlife viewing in other areas of the Park and the Gros Ventre that are better equipped to handle the traffic and where wildlife viewing typically happens at safer distances. If that doesn't decrease traffic after a couple of years, especially at crucial "crepuscular" - had to look that one up! - times, then consider letting operators back in.

Secondly, as a huge fan of the bike path network and a frequent biker on MW road I strongly support the construction of a multi-use path like the one in alternate D. MW road is a dangerous place to bike. Everyone who rides MW is putting his or her life at risk. Lowering the speed limit to 20 will help, but very few people will be willing to accept that risk, so without a path, almost everyone accessing the Park from the West Bank will continue to drive, which adds to the congestion you are trying to fix. Visitor safety and decreasing congestion by providing non-motorized access to the Park should be the presumptive priority unless there is a compelling argument against it. I searched this document and could find no supporting evidence for your claim that a bike path would be a severe additional disruption to wildlife or the environment. The argument from other sources seems to be that animals are more disturbed by people than cars. That may be true, but that's a false dichotomy because people on the roads don't stay in their cars. Everywhere there are animals near the road, there are hoards of drivers out of their cars standing and walking on the road. Where there are bike lanes currently, these standing/walking "drivers" always massively outnumber bikers and joggers on the path. Why would it be any different on MW road? My own experience doesn't support your claims. The creation of the bike path in the Park seems to have had no effect on the daily Elk migration south of Bradley/Taggart for instance and the Moose around Dornan's couldn't care less about bikes. If the argument is about bears, why would they be significantly additionally disturbed by bikes and joggers when there are already hoards of people walking and talking 10 feet away from them on the road? Unless you are willing to strictly enforce a "stay in your vehicle with the windows up" policy, the disruption of a bike path seems likely to pale into insignificance in comparison to the continued disturbance of the road. Please clearly provide the evidence to support your arguments against a path.

I applaud your preference for not realigning the southern section of the road. I supported the southern road realignment until I read about the native archeological site it would severely disturb. Keeping the existing problematic southern alignment is the hard, but correct choice.

Thank you all for your difficult work in addressing this important issue!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3093    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Jorgensen, Jean H  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83002  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 12:19:11  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I support the proposal for adaptive management going forward to ensure ongoing corridor protection consistent with park obligations. The adaptive management provides GTNP with the ability to adopt further through traffic limitation, acknowledging that outside pressures to allow

unfettered auto access will be ever increasing.

I support a through traffic limiting queuing system.

In terms of implementing a transit system in the corridor, I believe that any new transit or shuttle use in the corridor must be managed as a concession by the National Park Service, under National Park Service rules for concessionaires.

I wish to express my appreciation and support for Grand Teton National Park's preferred alternative "C" regarding corridor traffic management.

Sincerely,

Jean H. Jorgensen  
307-413-6520

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3094	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Lenz, Robert F				
<b>Address:</b>	Jackson, WY 83001 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Individual Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	Member				
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,29,2016 12:34:18				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	RE: Moose/Wilson Road				

Grand Teton National Park has spent millions of dollars so walkers and bikers may enjoy the Park without polluting the air with exhaust fumes.

Some ACCOMMODATION MUST BE MADE for bikers and walkers. Clean air and good health dictate these users should have a safe way to make the trek through this area of the Park.

MAYBE it is something simple like WIDER SHOULDERS for single file use and some STRATEGICALLY situated CLIMBING LANES.

The hiker and biker MUST HAVE a SAFE OPTION for navigating this corridor.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3095	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Johnson, David				
<b>Address:</b>	Jackson, WY 83001 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,29,2016 13:12:13				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	The park service should allow the flow of traffic to continue through Moose-Wilson road, with some new restrictions. While I fear 200 cars per day is too little, I also agree that a limit is needed. Lots of businesses will be affected by this decision, I think it's in the parks best interests to not make any changes that are too drastic. Perhaps have a trial period or something of the sort. If you close this section of the road to a limited capacity, think about what will become of the rest of the park.. More crowds at already over crowded parking lots north of Moose Wilson, and the infrastructures at Jenny Lake and Jackson Lake will not be able to handle this capacity as they are already ill equipped. Also, think about people who live here, if you close the road to a limited capacity, you are pretty much denying access to what is ours. It's a national park which allows access to all individuals, don't move forward without regarding these principals by which Mr. Roosevelt donated the land in the first place.				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3096	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	O'Hare, Kathryn A				
<b>Address:</b>	Jackson, WY 83001 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,29,2016 13:31:19				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I support requiring anyone going south or north on the Moose-Wilson Road (within GTNP) to pay a national park entry fee.				

I support lowering the speed limit to 20mph and increase Park Police patrols - give tickets to speeders.

I DO NOT support restricting vehicle access to the Moose-Wilson corridor for a number of reasons:

- 1.This is such a scenic corridor providing opportunities to tourists and locals alike to experience the park. Not everyone is physically able to hike into areas of the park and this gives those (very young, very old, disabled) the opportunity to experience a beautiful section of GTNP.
  2. Restricting access to 200 cars a day is totally unfair and unworkable. Cars will be lined up on each end of the corridor, idling away - greatly increasing air pollution and the potential for dangerous accidents as drivers become fed up with waiting.
  3. Including cars belonging to backcountry campers in the 200 is unfair.
-

**Correspondence ID:** 3097    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, Jackson Town Council  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Town of Jackson Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 13:41:44  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** January 28, 2016

Superintendent David Vela  
Grand Teton National Park  
P.O. Box 170, Moose, Wyoming 83012

Re: Town of Jackson Comment on Moose-Wilson Road

Dear Superintendent Vela:

Thank you for the opportunity to participate and comment on the Moose Wilson Preferred Alternative. The Jackson Town Council also would like to express its appreciation to you, the Grand Teton National Park staff and other members of the analysis team for crafting the NPS Preferred Alternative for the Moose-Wilson Corridor. We recognize this was a long, difficult and controversial process and we commend your team on their dedication and professionalism.

Like many who have been involved in this process, we do not believe this alternative is perfect. However, we strongly believe you have succeeded in creating a plan that balances resource protection with public access and recreational opportunities.

The Town of Jackson supports the National Park Service in your effort to maintain the unique character of this byway. We agree with your efforts to maintain the narrow, slow-speed rural character of the Moose Wilson Road and reject suggestions for a higher speed, higher capacity roadway. Most importantly, we support your efforts to reduce traffic and resource impacts, while still providing meaningful public access.

After reviewing the preferred alternative and hearing from many of our constituents, the Jackson Town Council supports Alternative C. As noted previously, this is an imperfect plan, but we believe it balances resource protection with public access and recreational opportunities.

We also believe that the National Park Service conducted a fair, thorough, inclusive and honest process. We congratulate you on this effort.

While we support the Park's preferred alternative, we share Teton County's concerns regarding the vehicle cap and traffic queuing system. Like the commissioners, the Town Council supports a fact-based, rational limit on vehicles in the Moose-Wilson corridor. We request the Park continue to involve the Town of Jackson and Teton County in implementing an adaptive management approach to these limits. We respectfully request, as a Cooperating Agency, additional opportunity to continue to help shape this policy and address these related concerns.

We recognize that the NPS is the decision-maker on this issue, but we appreciate the opportunity to comment on this important community issue. We look forward to working with the you to implement the study's recommendations for the betterment of our community.

Sincerely,

Sara Flitner, Mayor  
TOWN OF JACKSON

Cc: Governor Matthew Mead  
Senator Mike Enzi  
Senator John Barrasso  
Representative Cynthia Lummis

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3098    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kroposki, Michael  
**Address:** Teton Village, WY 83025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 13:51:21  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I hereby request that the comment period be extended so that interested public persons such as myself have adequate opportunity to review comments from cooperating governmental bodies which have just been filed or are about to be filed. Because of their special status, their comments should be made available for public review and thereafter an opportunity for public comment on the project after receiving the local government concerns. It is my experience that NEPA comments on federal government actions are routinely made available when received at the web site Regulations.gov.

Sincerely,  
Michael Kroposki

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3099    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Williams, Susan G

**Address:** Jackson, WY 83002  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Jan,29,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I support the Jackson Hold Alliances vision for the Moose Wilson Corridor. I think that protecting our wildlife should be the topmost consideration for this area.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3100    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Coon, David E

**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Jan,29,2016 14:55:02

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** National Park Service,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Moose-Wilson Draft EIS. The M-W corridor experience is unlike any other in GTNP and "Preferred Alternative C" best follows the NPS directive of "conserving the scenery and the natural and historic objects and wild life therein and leaving them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations. Alternative C allows management tools to control the numbers of all users, not just automobiles, thus minimizing human initiated impacts. My personal opinion would even prefer more stringent controls for future park visitation increases. Please consider the following:

-consider an electric bus/van shuttle transportation system thus eliminating all private automobiles from the corridor. Natural soundscapes would be drastically improved and walkers, bikes and busses/vans would coexist quite well on one corridor without a need for a separate multi use pathway. There would be no idling cars and no unnecessary through traffic. Work with START to improve service on both ends of the corridor. Follow the lead of other National Parks.

-nighttime corridor closures. Animals are quite nocturnal and they would appreciate some guaranteed non-human time. Again, improved natural soundscapes.

-minimize anymore habitat fragmentation. Keep all bicycling in the existing roadway corridor.

-extend winter roadway closures (no snow plowing) if necessary. I have skied via the the Death Canyon trail head for thirty plus years and know personally how busy it has become in the past ten years. I will get by if I have to ski a little further.

All in all, Alrenative C is a well laid out plan. Run with it!

Thanks for all your work,  
Dave Coon

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3101    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Pack, Kelly

**Address:** Washington, DC 20037  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Rails-to-Trails Conservancy Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:** OfficialRep

**Received:** Jan,29,2016 14:57:21

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela and Regional Director Masica,

Thank you for the oppportunity to provide input on the Moose-Wilson Corridor Comprehensive Management Plan draft Environmental Impact Statement (dEIS). This letter contains the comments of the Rails to Trails Conservancy.

Rails-to-Trails Conservancy (RTC) is a nonprofit organization based in Washington, D.C., whose mission is to create a nationwide network of trails from former rail lines and connecting corridors to build healthier places for healthier people. RTC serves as the national voice for more than 160,000 members and supporters.

Rails to Trails (RTC) does not support the National Park Service preferred Alternative C in the dEIS without significant changes. RTC recommends the final plan and decision be modified to include a separated pathway along the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor, from the Granite Entrance to Moose, generally as outlined in Alternative D, and which address the additional topics described below.

The separated pathway is necessary to serve park visitors biking, walking, and people with disabilities. In order to address the archeological resources identified, which require further study, the National Park Service (NPS) should build the pathway in two phases:

Phase one, build the southern half of the pathway, connecting the existing Teton County Pathway System at the Granite entry to the LSR Visitor Center, as was approved in the 2007 GRTE Transportation EIS. Careful pathway design will address the concerns with both wildlife resources and minimizing impacts to scenic resources for park visitors in motor vehicles.

Phase two, complete the pathway on the north section, from LSR to Moose and the existing Moose-Jenny Lake Pathway, after completing archeological studies and mitigation. The park should follow through with the proposal to shift the approximately 1.5 mile road section out of the current location, which the dEIS states & possesses some of the most diverse wildlife habitat in the entire corridor (p. 179). The NPS should move the road east of the prime wetland

to significantly less sensitive location, as proposed in Alternative D, and align the separated pathway adjacent to the realigned road.

Over the past 30 years, RTC has provided professional guidance and resources to hundreds of communities, developing significant experience in designing, building, maintaining and programming trails. Today, more than 22,000 miles of rail-trails connect communities across the U.S., with hundreds of miles providing non-motorized access to and through public lands. It is RTC's professional opinion that the issue of safety concerning wildlife-human encounters on the pathway can be addressed by best practices in design. The NPS can build a pathway that would be much safer and far more enjoyable for people, and using proven design techniques, one that would also minimize surprise encounters with wildlife.

We believe adding a well-designed pathway in the final EIS is a proactive positive approach to improve public safety and allow for safe bicycle and pedestrian access to visit the Moose-Wilson Corridor. The connectivity offered by a complete pathway will serve as an enhancement of the visitor experience and recreational opportunities in Grand Teton National Park. For example, the pathway could be part of the archeological mitigation. It would be a remarkable visitor experience if it was an interpretive journey teaching today's visitors about the first Native American visitors. What better way to experience that history than a well-interpreted human-powered trail along the corridor, where visitors could literally walk in the footsteps of the first human visitors to lands that are now Grand Teton National Park.

RTC is concerned for the safety of pedestrians and cyclists sharing the narrow road as proposed in Alternative C. The proposal to lower the speed limit to 20 is a limited measure that will be difficult to enforce, and the road would continue to be unsafe for many visitors, including families with younger children, older visitors, all pedestrians, people with disabilities, and less experienced cyclists. The road solution would only serve the 1% of fit and fearless bike riders, but that is a small group of all park visitors.

The NPS should address significant visitor safety concerns of not only people on bicycles, but also pedestrians. Pedestrian access was included in public Scoping comments, yet the NPS failed to address this topic and critical mode of transportation and park access. The scope of the dEIS only covers the topic of bicycle and motor vehicle use. It should be expanded to also address the needs of people walking. The entire corridor can be walked in two hours and is a fantastic experience, yet due to safety issues on the road, few walk today. There is certainly a latent demand to walk and bike safely through the corridor. The Road Safety Audit that the NPS and FHWA conducted concluded that the safety risk for bicyclists and pedestrians is a concern. Including the pathway will help to provide visitors a safe way to immerse themselves in the natural experience under their own power, carbon-free, without a motor vehicle.

Address Air Quality and Climate Change. The dEIS fails to address these related topics, which were dismissed or poorly covered in the EIS. Both are very important to include in the Moose-Wilson Corridor plan. The NPS has a legal responsibility to protect air quality in the National Park, and should take positive steps like the pathway and alternative fuel transit shuttles to help combat climate change. The dEIS preferred alternative would actually increase global warming due to increased motor vehicle travel taking the long route around the corridor, and would negatively impact air quality with lines of motor vehicles idling in paved waiting lanes on the north and south ends, waiting for a turn to drive the Moose-Wilson road. These are relevant issues that should be addressed with less of a car-centric solution, with more forward looking solutions to providing for park access for the next 20 years and beyond.

Pathway user studies of the Moose-Jenny Lake Pathway. The prior social science studies that were conducted by the NPS in 2007-2010 should be considered in the EIS. There are two highly relevant before and after studies of pathway use in Grand Teton National Park on the Moose-Jenny Lake Pathway, which document a remarkably high visitor experience with negligible wildlife impacts. The results of those studies are very helpful in documenting the benefits of the pathway, and lack of impacts.

Revise the 550-person visitor limit system, which lacks a rational basis to support the visitor use capacity determination. The DEIS fails to connect the proposed use levels to any natural resource impacts or outcome. The NPS should instead develop a system that rewards people when they use alternative transportation like biking, walking and transit. Manage private motor vehicles to a reasonable limit, and allow more people when they choose alternative modes.

Winter access. RTC does not support the exclusion of fat bikes being allowed to use the road in the winter when closed to motor vehicles. The final EIS should be revised to improve the winter season elements in the final decision and allow grooming the unplowed sections of Moose Wilson road and new pathway in the winter. A groomed trail would enhance winter access for fat biking, cross-country skiing, walking, and snowshoes on the road and pathway. This is another area where partnerships are feasible to assist the park. There could be a world-class groomed trail from Teton Village to LSR, using the pathway and closed road section to provide a remarkable national park visitor experience at a time of year when there are not significant wildlife concerns.

Follow NPS Management Policy 9.2. The EIS must acknowledge and comply with the NPS Management Policy, Section 9.2. This is missing in the DEIS. The NPS policy 9.2 states: Depending on a park units size, location, resources, and level of use, the Service will, where appropriate, emphasize and encourage alternative transportation systems, which may include a mix of buses, trains, ferries, trams, and-preferably-nonmotorized modes of access to and moving within parks. In general, the preferred modes of transportation will be those that contribute to maximum visitor enjoyment of, and minimum adverse impacts on, park resources and values.

Thank you for your consideration of these points.

We greatly appreciate your efforts to protect the National Park while providing for safe and enjoyable visitor access. Thank you for accepting our comments.

Sincerely,

Kelly Pack  
Director of Trail Development  
Rails-to-Trails Conservancy

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3102	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Dorsey, Lloyd				
<b>Address:</b>	Jackson, WY 83002 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Sierra Club Wyoming Chapter Conservation/Preservation				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	OfficialRep				

**Received:** Jan,29,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Letter  
**Correspondence:** January 29, 2016

Grand Teton National Park  
ATTN: Moose-Wilson Planning Team  
PO Drawer 170  
Moose, WY 83012-0170

Re: Comments on the Moose-Wilson Corridor Draft Comprehensive Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS)

Dear Moose-Wilson Planning Team:

Please accept these comments on the Moose-Wilson Corridor Draft Comprehensive Management Plan/Draft EIS from the Sierra Club's Greater Yellowstone/Northern Rockies Campaign and the Wyoming Chapter of the Sierra Club (herein, combined as Sierra Club). Sierra Club's Mission Statement is:

To explore, enjoy and protect the wild places of the earth;  
To practice and promote the responsible use of the earth's ecosystems and resources;  
To educate and enlist humanity to protect and restore the quality of the natural and human environment; and to use all lawful means to carry out these objectives.

We submit these comments on behalf of more than 3,000 members and supporters in Wyoming and 2.4 million members and supporters nationwide who jointly own, appreciate, and care deeply about the management of Grand Teton National Park.

The Sierra Club agrees that Alternative C, the Environmentally Preferred and the Park Service Preferred Alternative, is the best plan to protect park resources and enhance visitor experiences. In addition, we recommend that the Park Service implement the following additions and modifications to Alternative C in order to better protect wildlife and other natural resources:

1. The Park Service should limit traffic to levels that will not adversely affect wildlife, including considering completely closing the road, during early morning and dusk time periods during peak use seasons when the timed sequencing techniques are in operation.
2. During winter, increase the length of the unplowed section of Moose Wilson Road as outlined under Alternative D (Granite Canyon Trailhead to Sawmill Ponds Overlook).
3. Cap use during non-peak periods at current levels (rather than allowing increased capacity of 550), to avoid any additional impacts on wildlife from increased human use of the corridor.
4. Consider creating fewer turnouts in the section of Moose Wilson Road between the Sawmill Ponds Overlook and Death Canyon Road junction.
5. Whenever vehicles enter the Moose-Wilson Corridor carrying appreciably more than the "people per vehicle average of 2.7" (DEIS:639), the Park Service should account for that additional number of people in the corridor and debit a corresponding number of autos accordingly until that vehicle exits.
6. The Park Service should maintain the curvilinear characteristics of the Moose-Wilson Road which encourage slow speeds, and also consider installing rumble strips or speed bumps to keep traffic speeds at or below 20 miles per hour.
7. During peak use times, when some autos may be queuing up, waiting to enter the Moose-Wilson Road from either the south or north entrances, drivers should be required to shut off their engines and not idle in order not to contribute to pollution.
8. The Park Service should not allow motorcycles on Moose-Wilson road that are so noisy as to disrupt the natural soundscapes and visitor experiences.

[Note: Correspondence text abridged due to PEPC character limit. See attached letter titled "SierraClub Moose-Wilson DEIS comments-final" for entire text]

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3103	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	O'Hare, Patrick				
<b>Address:</b>	Austin, TX 78746 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,29,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:** I support requiring anyone going south or north on the Moose-Wilson Road (within GTNP) to pay a national park entry fee.

I support lowering the speed limit to 20mph and increase Park Police patrols - give tickets to speeders.

I DO NOT support restricting vehicle access to the Moose-Wilson corridor for a number of reasons:

1. This is a scenic corridor that provides opportunities to tourists and locals alike to experience the park. Not everyone is physically able to hike into areas of the park and this gives those (very young, very old, disabled) the opportunity to experience a beautiful section of GTNP.

2. Restricting access to 200 cars a day is totally unfair and unworkable. Cars will be lined up on each end of the corridor, idling away - greatly increasing air pollution and the potential for dangerous accidents as drivers become fed up with waiting.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3104    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Fericks, Russell C  
**Address:** Salt Lake City, UT 84105  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** private citizen Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Thanks for a thorough, transparent process with the MW corridor project. I am a Utah resident who has spent several decades frequenting GTNP. I have camped, hiked, biked, canoed and snow shoed in many areas of the Park. My family has vacationed frequently in the Park. We have introduced many family and friends to the Park, and have regularly rendezvoused there with others who know the Park intimately. I am very familiar with MW corridor.

My preference for the MW corridor is the National Park Service Preferred Alternative C. This is a rational balance between visitor use and natural preservation. Anything more would turn the corridor into a human-centric area of amusement and recreation - a mere extension of the Teton Village motif of heavy development and commercial activity.

There are limits to all things. There are limits to the Park's physical boundaries and to how far outside those boundaries the Park's objectives and principles can be allowed to project. Likewise, there are limits to how far private interests can intrude inside the Park. Specifically, a bigger, faster road, a parallel bike path, and more people perks should not be allowed on the MW corridor. They are too much. They will benefit the few at the expense of not only the many, but also at the expense of natural preservation.

Please hold the line at Preferred Alternative C.

Thanks, Russell C. Fericks.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3105    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Watson, Ashley  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Hello,

Thank you for taking the time to read my comment. I am really disappointed that the park hasn't decided to include a separate and safe pathway in the Moose Wilson corridor. I just don't understand why we are encouraging visitors (tourists and locals alike) to experience their national parks from the inside of a car, as opposed to on foot or on bicycle. People are going to bike through that section, why not let them do it in a safe manner? How many lives need to be taken on our roads before action is taken? Lastly, the queue system is a terrible idea, in my mind. We will have cars lined up possibly to Teton Village, sitting in their idling cars polluting the environment. Those that don't want to sit and wait will drive around through Jackson, further contributing to our already horrendous summer traffic problem and adding to our already large carbon foot print. Please reconsider your stance! You have certainly gotten a lot of comments from a few, loud naysayers, but the younger generation like myself do care what happens to our park, and we want a bike path!! Please!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3106    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Leeds, Philip  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 15:22:30  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Greetings:

I recognize just how complex this issue is- --not only to the NPS- --but to the Jackson Hole community at large. I appreciate your attempt to be as thorough as possible and to strike a balance with wildlife, visitation and other significant Park values.

I am disappointed on two fronts:

- a) That a detached pathway was not part of your preferred alternative and
- b) That public transportation was not included.

Without delving into a lengthy comment- --my position is simple.

A detached pathway would encourage visitors to experience the park outside their vehicles all the while providing an additional level of safety. Public transportation (i.e shuttle) would fascilitate safety- -for both individuals and wildlife alike.

It's my understanding that Grand Teton is one of the ten most visited Parks in the system. As such, I can not understand why public transportation was not

incorporated into your M-W Plan.

The result seems to be your proposed queing system. It is absolutely archaic.

It will result in significantly greater air pollution (not addressed in the EIS), traffic congestion- --and a reduction in the overall visitor experience.

I sincerely hope the Park does a much better job during the next round of planning.

Sincerely,  
Philip Leeds

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3107    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hobbins, Karen W  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 15:36:27  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:**  
Attn: Moose-Wilson Planning Team

Just a few thoughts regarding the Moose-Wilson planning process:

I applaud the Park's choice of Alternative C. My reasons include:

1. I do not believe the Park has a responsibility to provide a paved bike path parallel to the Moose-Wilson road. Doing so would be a great expense to benefit only one segment of recreational users, and would probably increase biker/wildlife encounters and/or drive wildlife farther away from the road.
2. Likewise I do not feel the Park has any responsibility to make vehicular traffic through the MW corridor faster or more convenient for commuters. The Moose-Wilson road should remain a premier access to the great wildlife viewing possibilities at a slow pace plus to the wonderful trail system for hiking and horseback riding. The Park's responsibility is to provide safe visitor access and the protection of the natural features, including wildlife. Traffic management at peak periods is likely mandatory. Restricting commercial traffic through the corridor would help the Park keep a focus on the scenic experience.
3. Paving the unpaved section of the Moose-Wilson road may have appeal in dust reduction and ease of maintenance. However locals are used to it, plus its unpaved status probably helps slow down traffic.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Karen W. Hobbins

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3108    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Camenzind, Franz J  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** NPCA Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 15:43:58  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** /Users/franzcamenzind/Desktop/F.J.CAMENZIND.docx

January 29, 2016  
Grand Teton National Park  
ATTN: Moose-Wilson Planning Team  
P.O. Drawer 170  
Moose, WY 83012-0170

Submitted electronically: [go.nps.gov/mwplan](http://go.nps.gov/mwplan)

Dear Planning Team,

Please consider the following comments in your compilation of a final decision regarding the Moose-Wilson Corridor EIS (MW-C).

First, thank you for the manner in which this entire EIS process has been conducted. It has been transparent, open to public scrutiny, extremely thorough,



incorporated best available technologies and science and it was an all-around professional process. I support the Preferred Alternative "C", with a few comments.

**NORTH SECTION ROAD ALIGNMENTS and NATIVE AMERICAN CULTURAL SITE:** I agree with the realignment of the very northern 0.6-mile of the MW-C roadway. And I can accept retaining the road alignment from Sawmill Ponds south to the Death Canon junction in order to preserve the integrity of the cultural sites and the artifacts they contain. We are faced with an unfortunate circumstance where two important elements- environmental and cultural, collide in the decision-making process.

Clearly, the park's Mission and Organic Act require that the park protect for the enjoyment of future generations both the environmental and cultural elements found within the park's boundaries. In advancing the argument to protect the cultural sites by maintaining the current road location and configuration, I urge the Park not to reveal specific information regarding the distribution and content of these sites, but rely on general statements outlining their significance in understanding the history of Native American occupation of this area. To provide too much detail may lead to either unintentional disturbance of the sites or actual, pre-meditated removal of items- neither of which are worth risking by creating a detailed "treasure map."

It appears that the park is (appropriately) considering developing a plan to protect the integrity of this site (p. 109-10). I suggest that at this time the park consider how such a plan might fit into the MW-C final decision, particularly if the site were developed for visitor access and interpretation. If so, this could greatly affect traffic, parking and overall visitation in that northern portion of the corridor.

**MITIGATION:** Repairing the existing roadway, particularly in the area of the beaver ponds will require removing valuable, mature - fruit and berry producing plants such as hawthorn and chokecherry. I urge the Park to mitigate this loss (beyond customary on-site rehabilitation) by planting at other locations within the corridor, two to three times the amount of shrubbery destroyed during reconstruction. And I urge the Park to monitor the survival and growth of these plantings for a few years during which time those plantings that fail to take hold, will be replaced.

And specifically, any wetlands functions lost due to the reconstruction of the existing roadway alongside the beaver ponds (and other wetlands in the corridor) must be mitigated on-sight.

**TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT:** Using "social media", develop a free application that will keep visitors abreast of travel conditions in the corridor (and park-wide), estimated wait times at the north and south MW-C entrance stations and the status of the primary parking areas in the corridor. Having the App available on the park's web site and asking all hotels and motels, car rental firms, visitor centers and public transporters to promote the free App will help visitors efficiently plan their activities. An electronic sign at the junction of Wyoming Highways 22 and 390 alerting visitors of approximate wait times at the south corridor entrance will also facilitate visitor planning.

Concerning vehicles idling while waiting in line to enter the corridor; electronic signs at both entrance stations asking drivers not to idle will minimize this activity. Signs should appear in English, Spanish and perhaps Mandarin and Japanese. If necessary, park volunteers and/or staff, can be present at the entrance stations to inform visitors about the community's "do not idle" policy. "Please, no idling" signs should also be placed at all official turnouts and parking areas- through the park.

Both the south and north corridor entrances should have an "administrative entrance" lane to accommodate administrative access as well as providing convenient access for the residents who can only access their property from the MW-C road INSIDE the entrance stations. And these vehicles should be granted an annual windshield entrance pass and should NOT be counted in the vehicle capacity management plan. (This should adequately address the park's need to grant access to private property within the park.)

**PHSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF MOOSE-WILSON ROAD:** The current alignment must be maintained as much as possible. Retaining walls should be avoided at all costs so as not to inhibit wildlife movement across the roadway. Traditional speed limit signs need to be prominent with one or two electronic "current speed" radar signs to remind drivers of their speed.

**TURNOUTS AND PARKING:** All official turnouts and parking areas need to be defined with robust barriers- preferably large rocks similar to those used in the newly constructed pull-offs in the National Elk Refuge. In addition to the proposed vault toilets at the Granit Canyon and Death Canyon Trailheads, toilets should also be installed at both entrance stations to accommodate visitors waiting in queuing lines.

**BICYCLE USE:** There is an interest in the community to have five-foot wide "climbing lanes" installed on two portions of the corridor's roadway: First, from the south end of the current pavement (levee access road junction) north to what would be the road's crest just south of the Lake Creek bridge (approximately 1,200 feet), and second, south from the LSR junction and ending north of the Woodland Trail crossing (approximately 900 feet). IF these five-foot wide "climbing lanes" are constructed, they need to remain attached to the roadway, be clearly marked for bicycle use only and be constructed in a manner so as not to impair wildlife movements.

Since this will be a shared roadway, prominent signs need be placed at both entrance stations stating that drivers need to share the roadway with bicyclists. Similarly, bicyclists should be forewarned that this is a narrow, winding road and to expect a slow, but continuous flow of vehicles- "ride at your own risk". It must also be made clear through signage and handouts that bicyclists need to always ride single file.

I would also urge the park to partner with the Friends of Pathways so that their visitor information regarding bicycling in Grand Teton National Park contains bicycling protocols with clear descriptions of the conditions cyclists can expect to face when traversing the MW-C. This needs to include detailed information about what to do when confronting wildlife, be it elk, moose or grizzly or black bears.

**COMMERCIAL ACTIVITY:** I believe the park service should be prepared to limit the number of commercial vehicle tours within the MW-C. I can foresee a day when tours could expand to such a level as to leave little opportunity for private vehicles to enter the corridor due to appropriate vehicle/visitor capacity levels. Limiting commercial access already exists with commercial Snake River floating concessions- it may have to be applied to MW-C commercial tours also.

I ask to park service to consider allowing taxies to enter the corridor for the rare, but likely reason to deliver an out-of-town visitor(s) who has a valid backcountry camping permit and no vehicle. This could easily be accomplished by having the taxi driver display the valid backcountry permit at the entrance station. This would also require that the taxi driver immediately leave the corridor after dropping off the camper(s).

Transit use within the MW-C can be accommodated to a point. However, it should not be used primarily to transport visitors through the corridor onward to other park destinations. Although this may reduce the number of private vehicles on the roadway, it can end up depositing far more visitors to

destinations then would private vehicles. Many park destinations are already overcrowded and experiencing environmental impacts and increased visitor dissatisfaction. Witness the recent communiqué from Zion National Park:

Transportation Issues - The park and adjacent town of Springdale have a limited amount of parking. A shuttle bus system was established in the town and within the park in 2000 to help move people and reduce traffic congestion; however, the buses are frequently at standing-room-only capacity. The highly successful shuttle system delivers many more people to trailheads and destinations than were possible with private vehicles, increasing social crowding conditions on park trails and increasing road and resource damage. Neither the park nor the town can simply build ourselves out of this situation by providing more parking lots, more buses, and heavier duty roads without sacrificing the local quality of life, the quality of park resources, and the quality of visitor experiences.

Grand Teton Park is experiencing an explosion of visitation, measured in numbers of vehicles and in numbers of visitors- transit may reduce vehicles, but it will not reduce visitors and it may create greater problems at transit destinations. Application of any park transit system must be analyzed on a holistic basis and must be under the complete administration of the park service.

WINTER ACCESS AND USE: I fully support this plan: the closure dates, the no trail grooming policy, and the exclusion of mechanical transportation/recreation devices, specifically fat (snow) tire bicycles. I also suggest that it be made clear that dogs be prohibited, regardless if on a leash or not.

In order to protect wintering wildlife in the area, I strongly urge the park to put in place, and enforce a winter closure to all human activity beginning at 100 yards east of the existing roadway and extending eastward to the Snake River. This closure should coincide with other park winter closure dates, and certainly it should coincide with the closure dates for the roadway itself. There is ample evidence of the importance that this river bottom area holds for both migrating and wintering wildlife to justify the closure. A similar winter closure exists along the Snake River bottom north of Moose, and for the same reasons I strongly urge the park to implement a river-bottom closure from south of Moose to the park's southern boundary.

VISITOR USE AND EXPERIENCE/EDUCATION AND INTERPRETATION: In addition to I. and E. plans already outlined, I would suggest that the park look into having a real-time video display in the Craig Thomas Visitor Center originating from the Moose-Wilson roadway showing wildlife activity when the corridor is closed to public entry. This can be accomplished with one staff or volunteer and vehicle with video and transmission capabilities. It would allow visitors to safely (and comfortably) view wildlife activity otherwise denied them. The video images could be accompanied with real-time interpretive narration.

In summary, I commend the park service and its analysis team for producing a thorough, scientifically based and transparent D-EIS for the Moose-Wilson Corridor. And I commend the park for identifying Alternative C as the preferred alternative. This alternative balances resource protection with visitor access. There are many conflicting opinions about how this unique portion of Grand Teton Park should be managed- some driven by personal conveniences, others by financial interests. Some driven by safety concerns while others by interests to simply protect the land in an unchanged condition. But in the end, it is the National Park Service that has to make the final decision and your charge is clearly presented in the Service's Mission Statement and Organic Act. This is first and foremost a National Park, it is not a local pleasuring grounds or economic enterprise. It is the guidance given by the "law of the land" that must be followed, not the loudest, best-funded voices in a small community. Thank you for your hard work and dedication to protecting Grand Teton National Park.

Respectfully,  
Franz J. Camenzind, Ph.D.  
Jackson, Wyoming  
fcamenzi@wyoming.com

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3109	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Patla, Debra				
<b>Address:</b>	Moran, WY 83013				
	United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,29,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	(Note: 'MWC' in these comments is used as an abbreviation for Moose-Wilson Corridor. 'NPS' refers to National Park Service)				

In general, I support the Park's preferred Alternative C, given the current set of alternatives. I encourage you to modify Alternative C to benefit the environment, incorporating protective elements of Alternative B and other measures that were not included in Alternative C; more details about this will follow.

However, I am very disappointed that the DEIS did not present an alternative striving for maximum conservation and long-term protection for this special place. Even if such an alternative was not 'preferred' by NPS, it could have been used to help measure the costs to the environment stemming from the other alternatives, which are all primarily focused on promoting human use and are tailored for servicing visitors, traffic flow, recreation 'demands', and supporting the backwards-looking view of national parks in the West as places that people drive to. Alternative C represents an effort to hang on to the status quo of current conditions with some increases in human use. That is certainly better than simply striving to promote ever-increasing use, but it falls short of what I hoped for. NPS missed a chance to try something new and visionary here, something that truly respects the extraordinary qualities of the "corridor" and has a better chance of withstanding the deluge of ever-increasing visitation and Teton County's population. We need something that tries to heal the problems created by the previous development of multiple attractions in this narrow band of land that critically links river and mountains. The strong bias of seeing this area as primarily an avenue for moving people and vehicles between Moose and Teton Village, with recreational diversions in between, was embraced rather than evaluated. I suppose that strong political pressures may have prevented NPS from examining the one-way road traffic alternative that was developed in the Grand Teton 2007 transportation plan, but I hope that this is not what transpired.

With that being said, I am very thankful the preferred alternative does not include the construction of a "multiuse" small road (aka "pathway"), as in Alternative D. I urge NPS to hold the line on this although the pressure for a separated pathway has strong political power and wealth behind it. The multiuse pathway has many adverse impacts on wildlife, vegetation, and human safety (e.g., confrontations of cyclists with bears and moose). Grand Teton NP and Teton County already offer extraordinary opportunities for recreationists on paved surfaces; further expansion of the pathway system is an expensive and urban life-style luxury that should not be imposed in the MWC. A separated pathway would vastly increase the human disturbance zone, and

destroy at least 14 acres of intact habitat, pave almost 9 acres of land, and remove many thousands of trees. It is also apparent that the pathway would mean not only more bikes and other exercise-seekers, but more cars, transporting local residents to this paved amenity. I strongly oppose afflicting the area with this intrusive pathways development of Alternative D.

#### Alternative C traffic management

I strongly support limiting vehicle access to MWC, as well as numbers of visitors-at-one-time. The huge recent growth in visitors to Grand Teton and high use by County residents of the Park demonstrates the need to firmly recognize the need for limits, to safeguard this vulnerable and valuable area within the National park system. All public facilities have carrying capacities, and the DEIS makes good strides in trying to identify this for the MWC. Mass transit is being pushed by some people in Teton County, and this could be a workable idea IF the number of private vehicles is further reduced from the numbers in Alternative C. I am alarmed by Teton Village Association's demands, because I fear that local and parochial concerns will get elevated attention due to Teton Village wealth, political and legal connections. The MWC should NOT be seen and managed as a resort amenity. It is vastly unfortunate that Teton County and the Bridger-Teton National Forest have sanctioned the enormous and non-sustainable growth of Teton Village over the past two decades, but Grand Teton NP's priceless qualities and long-term future should not have to pay for the unwise amount of development at Teton Village.

Increasing mass transit is worrisome because of the potential for vastly increasing the number of people in MWC. Crowds of people are becoming a hallmark of many places in Grand Teton and Yellowstone. I wonder if anyone really enjoys standing elbow-to-elbow at a roadside pullout? Vegetation trampling, human waste, and toilet paper are much more in evidence at roadside areas than they were 20 years ago, sad indicators of over-use. It is also alarming to note that some drivers of commercial vehicles in the Parks are not conscious of safety and do not have the skills necessary to navigate the unpredictable conditions of Park roads.

The proposed system of queuing lines of cars, and one-in-one-out access, in Alternative C needs more evaluation. It should not preclude potentially more workable solutions, such as a reservation system, a one-way road, or more frequent and longer road closure periods implemented with gates. The 200 vehicles at one time should be a maximum, or some number smaller than that if the need is revealed through further study and adaptive management. It seems to me that the MWC is already vastly overcrowded in summer, to the point that many people already choose not to go there in summer. The conservation legacy of the LSR preserve should be honored, but it will be eventually erased if human use keeps increasing.

Logistics of the timed sequencing technique are not clear in the DEIS.

-How will the number of cars be counted; how will you know when 200 vehicle limit is reached? Will this be done electronically, or by humans at queuing stations and various parking lots?

- Has a system entailing queuing lanes and one-in/one-out vehicle management been used in other national park road segments? If so how well did it work?

--What problems do you foresee with this approach? How likely is it that the system will endure for 10, 20, and 30 years into future?

--The DEIS reveals that by 2025, you expect 50-60 days per year where queuing will be required, i.e., doubling or tripling the number of days that would have occurred in 2013 according to the DEIS. That is a very large expected increase. What will wait times be in queuing lines of 2025, and will that be tolerable to the public and Park staff dealing with it?

-Will restrooms, trash cans, and other needed facilities be provided for the waiting public in the lines? This should be evaluated in the EIS so that the costs can be expected and planned for. The occupants of 30 vehicles waiting in place for substantial time is going to generate foreseeable problems that Park managers well know.

--The polluting effect of waiting vehicles is a concern. Will there be a mandatory 'engine-off' regulation to protect air quality and soundscape?

-I had trouble understanding how the 200 vehicle limit can be applied if parking lot spaces under Alt C (including Death Canyon, LSR, Granite, and turnouts) exceed 200 by a substantial amount? (280 vehicles I think). Why build so much parking area if you are limiting traffic to 200 vehicles?

#### Wetlands and beavers

Alternative C calls for retaining the current road alignment between Sawmill Ponds Overlook and Death Canyon Road junction. This is a decisively not-environmentally-friendly aspect of Alternative C. This portion of the road is said to be "adjacent to wetlands", but that is not accurate; the road currently intrudes into wetlands and portions would be flooded if the Park did not take measures to deal with beavers. The EIS should not evade Executive Order 11990, "Wetlands Protection" by claiming that the road is adjacent to wetlands. The DEIS correctly acknowledges that "The presence of Moose-Wilson Road is limiting the natural growth and evolution of wetland development in this area." The implications and adverse impacts stemming from Alternative C are not adequately examined. Without a road, the wetlands would have a natural interface with the rising topography to the west. A natural interface with uplands is essential for wetland function and for many of the wildlife inhabitants of the wetlands, including amphibians, invertebrates, birds, and large and small mammals.

The EIS must be more diligent in disclosing and evaluating the adverse impacts of having and reconstructing the road in this location. On page 209, the DEIS reveals that there will be "wetland recovery and expansion if the current road is removed and the beaver activity is allowed to continue". This implies that Alternative C hinders wetland recovery and expansion, and that it may dis-allow continued beaver activity. These aspects of Alternative C must be disclosed, evaluated, and mitigated.

The DEIS notes that "Cultural values likely exist along the uplands adjacent to the wetlands including both historic and prehistoric sites" (p. 209). These aspects also deserve assessment, given that the main rationale for not re-routing the road could be the desire to protect cultural values elsewhere.

The DEIS provides a confusing assessment of the wetland effects of Alternative C. On p. 415, the DEIS falsely claims that "the segment of the road between Death Canyon Road and Sawmill Ponds Overlook would be reconstructed to restore local hydrological patterns and wetland connectivity." Wetland connectivity is disrupted by the road, e.g., area 5 is separated from area 4. Installing improved culverts for a larger road, if that is what is meant, is hardly a restoration of hydrological patterns. The discussion on p. 415 seems strikingly biased by advocacy of this alternative; this is made clear when compared to the text describing "greatly beneficial" effects of Alternative B, on pages 353-354.

There is also puzzling uncertainty in this section about the wetland effects of Alternative C, e.g., "...some adverse effects on the hillside to the west of the road (via destabilization from excavation) and downstream wetlands (via erosion and sedimentation) if regrading and long-term stabilization of the hillside is necessary to accommodate the drainage". The EIS must determine if such regrading and stabilization is necessary so that NPS can disclose, assess, and mitigate adverse impacts. Is NPS still trying to figure out whether to fill wetlands or to dig into the hillside to 'improve' and reconstruct the road north of Death Canyon road junction under Alternative C? This information should have been disclosed in the DEIS.

Given the many adverse impacts on this "sensitive wetland complex" described on p. 415, the conclusion that the Alternative C "would still have appreciable long-term beneficial effects on wetland areas 1, 4, and 5 and some of their ecological functions" fails to sound plausible or honest. The phrase "While alternative C does not offer the same degree of wetland benefits from the southern realignment associated with alternatives B and D..." is particularly irksome. The EIS should plainly disclose that wetlands and wetland quality will be sacrificed under Alternative C, and the reasons for this sacrifice (which could be remedied by Alternative B) should be made clear.

Another uncertainty under Alternative C is the fate of beavers in the section north of Death Canyon Road junction. The DEIS (p. 212) rightfully recognizes the dynamic nature of beaver occupation and dam-building. The DEIS discloses that current management "limits beaver activity as water levels are

managed to minimize road flooding" (p. 178), and that manipulating water levels of the beaver ponds (Alt. A) has "adverse effects on beaver behavior and habitat" (p. 347). Under Alternative B, these road impediments to beaver activity and associated dynamic beaver pond processes would be eliminated" (p. 353). Paradoxically, Alternative C also makes the claim of benefiting beavers, "e.g., via additional culverts" and some magical thinking. The claim of hydrological restoration is vague and apparently secondary to the main goal of creating a 'sustainable road'. The claim of "more natural hydrological conditions" that would benefit beavers is baffling. I was not able to find in the DEIS how much the road would need to be elevated to remove it from any future threat of beaver flooding, how much wider the reconstructed road would be, how many culverts would be needed, or what measures would be needed to defend the culverts from beaver damming.

The EIS must disclose how much potential wetland is affected by the the proposed 'improved' road. How much wetland fill would result from road improvements, including widening and elevating the road? What is the mitigation for this wetland loss? What adverse impacts result from maintaining a road so closely adjacent to flooded wetlands? (amount of pollution from emissions and run-off and chemical treatments of the road surface, culvert construction and maintenance, litter discarded by Park users, dog poop, human wastes, wildlife deaths from vehicles, etc).

Wetland mitigation includes the measure "Conduct a wetland survey by qualified NPS staff or certified wetland specialists to certify wetlands within the Moose-Wilson corridor and to accurately identify locations of wetlands and open water habitat" (p. 117). The use of wetland maps from 1979 certainly erodes confidence. Is the Wetland Delineation (2012) of 50 acres that is included in the DEIS deemed sufficient for this area? Do you consider the mitigation measure to be already satisfied by the existing delineation? Note that acquiring needed and legally-required information is poorly categorized as 'mitigation'.

It is hard to understand why NPS 'prefers' to retain the road in its current location between Sawmill Ponds Overlook and Death Canyon Junction given the many adverse environmental impacts of this road location. If NPS prefers protecting a specific archeological site (that would be irrevocably destroyed by Alternative B?) to protecting a significant wetland complex, this needs to be explained, justified, and the options examined. What are the barriers to protecting the cultural/archaeological site(s)? The DEIS acknowledges that the most important site (48TE498, location not revealed) currently faces threats from visitor use and artifact collection. It seems plausible that road reconstruction under Alternative B would give NPS the opportunity, funds, and impetus to study and protect the site(s) and the artifacts. Please evaluate this.

#### Amphibians

Thank you for including a good description of the diversity and abundance of amphibians in the beaver pond wetlands, Phelps Lake, and riparian zones. It should also be noted that amphibians occur in more isolated and seasonal wetlands. Breeding, winter, and migration habitat can easily be destroyed by construction that expands parking, pathways, and other concentrated human-use areas. Amphibians breed in wetlands but many adult amphibians travel to other areas for foraging and winter shelters. Roadkills of amphibians on roads in and near wetlands occur, but are seldom noticed because the bodies are small and quickly removed by ravens or other scavengers.

The impact on amphibians of the road segment between Sawmill Ponds Overlook and Death Canyon junction needs evaluation. Design features of the reconstructed road and culverts under Alternative C could be crucial for protecting amphibians. For example, metal culverts with high-velocity water could prevent amphibian passage, or force them on to the road surface, while other kinds of culverts and bridges could be helpful. The retention and reconstruction of the road in this section presents an adverse impact on amphibians, and design features need to be contemplated to mitigate the adverse impacts of separating the wetlands from uplands. Alternative B, with its removal of the road in this section, is much better for amphibian populations of this area.

Please note amphibians are monitored by the Greater Yellowstone Network and partners; this project is part of the NPS Inventory and Monitoring Program (<http://science.nature.nps.gov/im/>). The DEIS incorrectly states "Greater Yellowstone Coordinating Committee" (p. 125).

#### Road paving under Alternative C.

This appears to be another case of Alt C favoring movement of vehicles above wildlife. Paving will increase vehicle speeds, leading to higher risk of wildlife collisions. It will encourage more vehicular use of the road, especially by local residents. The unpaved road is one of the factors limiting its thoroughfare use. The DEIS needs to examine the adverse impacts of paving.

#### Speed limit

I strongly support the proposed 20 mph speed limit under Alternatives B and C. Please note that limiting speed benefits and protects wildlife and drivers and their passengers, as well as cyclists. The public needs assurance that the speed limit would be effectively enforced. Speed limits are obviously not well enforced elsewhere in the Park (especially the JDR Parkway) and on the main highway. There are many speeders and few officers; it seems to be common knowledge that people can get away with ignoring posted speed limits in the Park. Enforcing this unusual speed limit in MWC will take some extra effort and resources.

#### Signage

Roadside and trailside signs are typically obtrusive and unsightly, try to limit their use and proliferation, make sure each sign is effective and needed.

#### Fuel projects

In my scoping comments, I asked that the DEIS examine potential fuel hazard projects in the MWC. This comment was apparently ignored. Refusal to take a comprehensive look at such projects in Grand Teton NP is a growing problem. These costly projects remove native vegetation and have adverse impacts on wildlife and scenery, but have questionable value in terms of stopping wildfire. The green light for any/all "fuels" projects on public land is meeting with increasing public skepticism. The fuels project along Death Canyon Road should have been evaluated in this DEIS, weighing the adverse impacts and degraded visual aspects against the possible benefits and their longevity. I fear that there could be many more of these projects, driven by funding availability and generalized fear rather than careful thought and respect for natural conditions. The EIS is an important opportunity to assess and manage future NPS projects in the MWC, and the subject should not be ignored.

#### Parking at Sawmill Ponds Overlook

Under Alt C, the Sawmill Ponds overlook parking area would be 'improved' for horse trailers. Encouraging horse trailers here, at one of the most popular wildlife viewing areas, is problematic, which I suppose is why this is not part of Alternative B. Mixing excited tourists, rapid turnover of vehicles, the adjacent M-W road, large trailers and trucks, and horses...this is a recipe for unpleasant conditions if not disaster. I suggest that you keep horses off the road and away from concentrations of drive-by tourists. The EIS needs to disclose how much larger the Sawmill Ponds Overlook parking would be, under all alternatives, and what impacts this expansion would have.

#### Conclusion

In conclusion, I thank you for the strenuous efforts made to produce and share the DEIS, and the selection of a preferred alternative that does not include a

paved or separated pathway. It is notable that the Teton County Commissioners largely supports Alternative C, despite the self-interested opposition to this Alternative by a powerful cycling advocacy group and the Teton Village Association, and the role that the road plays in serving County residents. However, it is important always to keep in mind that this is a NATIONAL park, not a local or regional park, and NPS has a mandate to protect it for future generations

I urge you to improve Alternative C by incorporating environmentally-preferable measures from Alternative B, and some new ones, in your final decision, such as:

- Relocate the road section between Sawmill Pond Overlook and Death Canyon Junction;
- Limit parking at Death Canyon to Alt B's proposal of 60 vehicles or less, and do not expand parking at Granite. Consider a limited shuttle service for hikers to get to trailheads and the LSR from Moose without driving and parking.
- Protect wildlife in fall by limiting public entrance to a few daytime hours.
- Elimination of through-traffic during peak periods and crisis times (e.g., accidents, bear presence near the road) by use of gates.
- Provide recommendations and consider enforcing strict limits on how long vehicles can idle, anywhere in the Corridor.
- Ensure that there are sufficient numbers of Park staff to enforce regulations (e.g, loose dogs), speed limits, and management measures that result from the EIS.
- Please ensure that management of Moose-Wilson does not take needed staffing/enforcement resources from other areas of the Park, which are under increasing stress from increasing human use.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3110    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** field, frances  
**Address:** sparta, WI 54656  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

The historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is one of the most wildlife-rich areas in Grand Teton National Park, home to grizzly bears, wolves, and moose. And that means it's also one of the park's most heavily driven areas.

I am writing regarding the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park. As a supporter of the National Park System, I believe the Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management.

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor.

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of transit options such as a small-scale shuttle system that could be utilized in the corridor.

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

Sincerely,

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3111    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Snow, Saara  
**Address:** Missoula, MT 59802  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Adventure Cycling Association Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 16:20:57  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Grand Teton National Park,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the draft Moose-Wilson Corridor EIS. Please make the following improvements to the draft EIS:

1. Include a well-designed pathway along Moose-Wilson corridor for park visitors biking, walking and to serve people with disabilities. Build the pathway in two phases:

Phase one, build the southern half of the pathway, connecting the Granite entry to the LSR Visitor Center, as was approved in the 2007 EIS. Careful pathway design will address the concerns with bears and wildlife.

Phase two, complete the pathway on the north section after completing archeological studies and mitigation, and shift the road section out of the identified prime habitat and wetland, as proposed in Alternative D.

The pathway could be part of the archeological mitigation. It would be a remarkable visitor experience if it was an interpretive journey teaching visitors about prehistoric Native American visitors. What better way to experience that history than a well-interpreted human-powered trail along the corridor, where visitors could literally walk in the footsteps of the first human visitors to Jackson Hole and Grand Teton National Park.

The draft plan failed to consider the needs of people walking. This is a separate mode of travel from biking, and the NPS should include the option for people to walk along the corridor. Just as there is a latent demand for people that would like to bike safely, there is a latent demand for people to walk. The

distances are quite walkable, and if combined with alt-fuel transit, a pathway for would be a terrific low impact option for visitors to walk as well as bicycle.

2. Along with adding a pathway, the NPS should partner with the community on an alternative-fuel transit shuttle to provide new options for people and reduce private vehicle pressure and carbon emissions on the Moose-Wilson road. The parks prior Transit studies support this, and the Moose-Wilson plan should include this as part of the long term solutions to visitor access to the National Park.

3. Missing topics that should be addressed:

Include Regional Transportation in the Final EIS. This topic is integral to Moose-Wilson, but was dismissed from consideration. Teton County has adopted a Comprehensive Plan and Integrated Transportation Plan that specifically calls for a regional approach to shared transportation challenges. The NPS should include this significant partnership opportunity in the Moose-Wilson plan.

Address Air Quality and Climate Change. These related topics should not have been dismissed in the EIS. Both are very important to include in the Moose-Wilson Corridor plan. The NPS has a responsibility to protect air quality in the National Park, and to take positive steps like the pathway to help combat climate change in this plan.

Pathway user studies of the Moose-Jenny Lake Pathway. Include prior social science studies that were conducted by the NPS in 2007-2010. There are two highly relevant before and after studies of pathway use in Grand Teton National Park, which document a remarkably high visitor experience with negligible wildlife impacts. The results of those studies are very helpful in documenting the benefits of the pathway, and lack of impacts.

4. Revise the 550-person visitor limit system, which lacks a rational basis to support the visitor use capacity determination. The DEIS fails to connect the proposed use levels to any natural resource impacts or outcome. The NPS should instead develop a system that rewards people when they use alternative transportation like biking, walking and transit. Manage private motor vehicles to a reasonable limit, and allow more people when they choose alternative modes.

5. Winter access. The NPS should improve the winter season elements in the final decision and allow grooming the unplowed sections of Moose Wilson road and new pathway in the winter. A groomed trail would enhance winter access for Nordic skiing, walking, snowshoes, and fat bikes on the road and pathway. This is another area where partnerships are feasible to assist the park. There could be a world-class 15km groomed trail from Teton Village to LSR, using the pathway and closed road section to provide a remarkable national park visitor experience at a time of year when there are not significant wildlife concerns.

6. NPS Management Policies. The EIS must consider and comply with the NPS Management Policy, Section 9.2. This is missing in the DEIS. The NPS policy 9.2 states:

"Depending on a park unit's size, location, resources, and level of use, the Service will, where appropriate, emphasize and encourage alternative transportation systems, which may include a mix of buses, trains, ferries, trams, and-preferably-nonmotorized modes of access to and moving within parks. In general, the preferred modes of transportation will be those that contribute to maximum visitor enjoyment of, and minimum adverse impacts on, park resources and values."

Thank you for your consideration of these points.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3112	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Jensen, Gail K				
<b>Address:</b>	Jackson, WY 83001				
	United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,29,2016 16:26:19				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Park Service,				

I am very pleased with your most current draft. There are just a few additions I would like to see addressed in the final plan.

I again see that a nighttime closure for the road is not part of the draft. This is the time that most wildlife move about. Just closing the road to all but emergency access from dusk to dawn would eliminate low light collisions for both wildlife and vehicles. It would also eliminate stressful encounters.

I very much like the idea of closing the "Sawmill Ponds" area and south to winter vehicle access and maintenance. It would not take much to connect a trail from the entrance stations north and south to the valley trail for winter visitors.

After the numbers of visitors the Moose-Wilson road saw in 2015, it is time to begin a transit option now. Starting with an initial small number of vans (non-polluting) equipped to carry backpacks - gear, etc. The numbers of vans or buses could be expanded until the goal of eliminating all automobiles is achieved. I do not see a queuing system working. The costs of managing this seems like a dollars trade-off for the more realistic transit with the pollution free benefits.

I am a cyclist yet I feel without expanding the width to accommodate safe travel for all aged riders, it should not be available to any cyclists. The current pathways in the Parks and throughout Teton County are completely adequate for those that wish to recreate via bicycles. I do not want the Moose-Wilson road to lose its small country road feel and that means no pathway.

Thank you to all who have worked so hard on this plan!

Sincerely,  
Gail K Jensen

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3113    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** OHare, William J  
**Address:** Oklahoma City, OK 73120  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Self Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** Member  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support lowering the speed limit to 20mph and increase Park Police patrols - give tickets to speeders.

I DO NOT support restricting vehicle access to the Moose-Wilson corridor for a number of reasons:

- 1.This is such a scenic corridor providing opportunities to tourists and locals alike to experience the park. Not everyone is physically able to hike into areas of the park and this gives those (very young, very old, disabled) the opportunity to experience a beautiful section of GTNP.
2. Limiting access would be a terrible restriction to our beautiful national parks. Please find another to protect our beauty without restricting our access.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3114    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Golightly, Jeff  
**Address:** jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Jackson Hole Chamber of Commerce Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Letter Also Mailed 1.29.2016

January 29, 2016

Superintendent David Vela  
Grand Teton National Park  
P O Drawer 170  
Moose, WY 83012-0170

Dear Superintendent Vela:

Respecting the power of place is core to the Jackson Hole Chamber of Commerce and is a brand promise. It is our position that our economy, community, and environment make this place special and additionally that they're completely interrelated.

It is with this commitment in mind that we make our comment. The Chamber continues to support a sustainable Moose-Wilson Road open to two-way traffic. The Preferred Alternative ensures that the road will stay open and allow for vehicles to pass, but there are a couple of minor tweaks that we suggest.

First, we believe that queuing based on capacity that includes multi-night stays on the corridor may not be the correct way to regulate traffic flow. Please consider not counting cars that are known to be staying overnight against the cap. Something like a simple parking permit could be issued and would trigger the gate attendant to not count them against the cap. Congestion is primarily caused by occupied cars traveling slowly watching wildlife rather than parked cars that sit overnight or multiple nights while a user is backpacking.

A line of idling cars seems counter to the spirit of conservation. On cold mornings when peak travel times arise, there could be long lines of running cars that idle to keep families warm. On the hottest days, or when an unexpected shower emerges, vehicles will also idle to allow air conditioning and venting to occur to keep those waiting in line comfortable. Eliminating the parked cars from the capacity limit may allow more cars to pass through and decrease potential lines. It might also allow the Park to better understand what the true "moving" capacity is that could be used to adjust the limit in the future. We believe this approach could reduce lines because long-term parked cars are not static throughout the season. Consider the following example for illustration. Imagine prior to all of the snow melting in early June that there are only 30 overnight parked cars (before peak backpacking season) and say in late July there were 130 during the peak of backpacking season. This would mean that the "perceived" congestion when the gate capacity was hit would be significantly different. If the congestion was appropriate in the June example, the road could feel like a ghost town when only the smaller number of moving cars was on the corridor in late July. Not counting the overnight parked cars allows the Park Service to hit the ideal perceived congestion on all days instead of potentially over regulating on some days (and even under regulating on others). This would likely reduce lines and offer a more environmentally friendly alternative to idling.

Transit could also limit queuing and Idling. Moving people through the corridor in mass transit could reduce the number of vehicles on the road. Fewer vehicles would reduce environmental impacts, congestion, and lines at the entrances. Even if a transit option is not available or able to be implemented today, we support the Park Service's recognition and inclusion of potential transit solutions along the Moose-Wilson Road in the future.

Second, the idea of having signs warning potential travelers of long waits seems very unwelcoming. If a traveler about to travel north on the Teton Village Road sees a sign warning them of extended waits it could be confusing to them and cause undue stress even if they are not heading into the park. They may not know Teton Village is before the wait and fear an activity reservation of dinner reservation could be missed because of a wait that wouldn't even affect them. Also, a traveler with no lodging reservations may be discouraged from traveling to the hotels in Teton Village because the sign might make them think they will be stuck in long lines getting in and out of their hotels in Teton Village and they may choose another destination to find lodging instead. We suggest that if a sign is used it should indicate very clearly that there is no wait to Teton Village and all services, but the wait is after the Resort Area. A sign stating "Minutes to Teton Village and JHMR - XX Minutes", followed by "Estimated Wait to Enter the Park after Teton Village - XX Minutes". This would allow potential travelers to better understand what lies ahead. It would save frustration if there was a long que, but indicate there is no waiting to get to Teton Village. The Chamber would be glad to participate on a work group to advise potential signage and messaging, and suggest other stakeholders

also be invited to join such a group.

Finally, the Chamber continues to support the pathway, preferably separated, along the roadway. Particularly of note is the portion of pathway that has been previously approved from the current south terminus of the pathway to the LSR. Beyond that point a connected cycle track could also be explored. While the Chamber understands the public comment indicated that the public was uninterested in a wider road, there was no way to indicate support in the public process for an option that included a same-sized vehicle portion of the road plus a smaller portion for a cycle track. The Proposed Alternative is a motorized vehicle approach at a time when the virtues of getting out of your car are so valued. Cycle tourism is a growing industry. This proposed segment of the path would complete a valley loop already established in the park- -further securing Jackson as a world-class cycling destination, attracting more park visitors using non-motorized means, and strengthening our community's tourism economy. This segment of tourists also skews younger (younger families traveling with children included) aligning with the Park's stated desire to attract younger visitors. A separated pathway and or cycle track would provide a safer experience by keeping motorized vehicles away from hikers and bikers which in addition to potentially saving lives is also a foundational need for growing cycle tourism and promoting non-motorized use. More non-motorized use in lieu of motorized use means protection of natural soundscapes and a reduction of greenhouse emissions, both of which would help contribute to the experience our visitors seek.

Thanks again for engaging in this public dialogue. We truly believe that the solution for managing the Moose-Wilson Road and Corridor can reflect the mission of our most valued resource, our national park, while aligning with the community and the mission and vision of the Chamber.

Thank you,

Julie Faupel  
Chair - Jackson Hole Chamber of Commerce

Jeff Golightly  
CEO / President - Jackson Hole Chamber of Commerce

CC: Moose - Wilson Planning Team  
Teton County Board of County Commissioners  
Jackson Town Council

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3115      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mason, Mary D  
**Address:** Tetonia, ID 83422  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I do not support a separate bike path on the Moose Wilson Road. The numbers of visitors to GTNP is rising and will continue to do so for the foreseeable future. It is our responsibility to protect the wildlife who are greatly impacted by this increase. I have been a traveler on the Moose Wilson Road when moose and bears have been harassed by well meaning folks who want a peak at the wildlife and only harm them by their thoughtlessness. To have a path along the road would only add to the situation.

I support the Parks Alternative.  
Please do not succumb to self interest groups.  
There are plenty of safe pedestrian and cycling pathways in the area.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3116      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mason, Sandy  
**Address:** Tetonia, ID 83422  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** As a long time user of GTNP I support addressing the Moose Wilson Road issue. On more than one occasion I have witnessed wildlife jams on the road which have put the animals at risk. This coming summer it is expected that over 5 million visitors will converge on GTNP. I share the concerns of the Park Superintendent that with the ever increasing pressure on the Park it is of the utmost importance that we protect wildlife. The Moose Wilson Road needs special protection now and in the future. I do not support a separate pedestrian and bike path which would only add to the numbers of folks on the road. It is important to limit traffic of all types on the road and slow it down. It is time to look beyond our own wants and focus on our wildlife.



I support the Preferred Park Alternative.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3117    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Thayne, WY 83127  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Do not put a bike or foot path along the moose Wilson road. The road should stay as is, they are ruining the wilderness atmosphere for everyone else.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3118    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I agree with limiting the vehicles allowed on the Moose-Wilson and I feel a bike/walking path should not be built. The Grand Teton Park is not obligated to provide cyclist with a loop. It is also not obligated to provide a high speed commute for those working/vacationing in the Village.

Jackson has a lot of wildlife living nearby. Jackson makes a lot of money from people coming to see the wildlife. The unfortunate fact is these people do not know the risks associated with wildlife. Grand Teton Park is not Disney yet too many times one sees visitors acting as if it is.

Please do not make it easier for the idiot tourists to become more idiotic and please do not capitulate to the pressure of business owners in Teton Village for their selfish wants.

Do what is best for Grand Teton Park and for it's future. If the Climate Activists are correct, there won't be any skiing in 20 years so therefore no ski area therefore no Village. GTNP will still be around 20/30/50 years from now. What is best for GTNP and it's wildlife. No wider roads and no bike path.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3119    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Sobey, Pegi A  
**Address:** Moran, WY 83013  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 17:47:23  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Thank you for the opportunity to submit comments on the Moose-Wilson Corridor Draft Comprehensive Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement. As a close neighbor of Grand Teton National Park, I am extremely passionate about the National Park Service's obligation to protect and preserve its diversity of spectacular wildlife, habitat and scenery. Moose-Wilson Road is a unique and special place to visit in the Park - not a transportation corridor.

I am in complete support of the preferred alternative outlined in the DEIS and offer the following suggestions for improving Alternative C:

As stated in the DEIS, increasing motor vehicle traffic is by far the major impact to this wildlife-rich area. I encourage the Park to adopt more aggressive measures within the existing developed footprint to reduce traffic, while also protecting natural resources. In particular, I urge the Park to seriously consider a mandatory shuttle from both Moose and Teton Village to the LSR Preserve. Volunteers currently spend countless hours managing a one-out/one-in, extremely congested parking facility. This measure alone would help to significantly reduce traffic numbers on this busy roadway. Such transit could be combined with an interpretive service (a preferred use of precious volunteer time) that would provide visitors with valuable information on the natural and cultural resources within the Park.

The Park's proposal to create vegetation setbacks along the road section between Sawmill Ponds and Death Canyon recognizes that this habitat represents a source of human/wildlife encounters during certain seasons. However, this habitat likewise represents some of the best seasonal bear habitat, and its removal will exacerbate an already dwindling and important food source for the bears, contrary to the Park's mission to protect and preserve these resources.

Finally, I am firmly opposed to the Park creating any form of pathway for people to visit this area on foot or bicycle. There are plenty of opportunities within Grand Teton National Park for these activities, and the potential for wildlife conflicts have been proven to be too great. Providing pathways and encouraging people to hike and bike there will be perceived as an indication that it is safe to do so and will likely lead to disastrous consequences - for wildlife and people who cannot be trusted to "obey the rules." Providing a vehicular cap, together with a mandatory LSR shuttle service as suggested above, are the best ways to effectively provide appropriate opportunities for visitors to use, experience and enjoy the area, while protecting Park resources.

Thank you for your transparent, science-based and participatory planning process, and the steps the Park has taken to discourage the use of Moose-Wilson Road as a transportation corridor. Please adopt Alternative C with my suggested improvements.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3120    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014

**Outside Organization:** United States of America

**Affiliation:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Received:** Jan,29,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I would like the plan to promote fewer cars and more bikes. I do not think a separate bike path is necessary, however. I think it would impact the surrounding landscape too much if a separate path were built. I wish the action alternatives did not provide for paving, as this always results in vehicles exceeding the speed limit. I am in favor of decreasing the speed limit. I do not want bike traffic to be limited, but I do think it is appropriate to limit vehicle traffic.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3121    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Detwyler, Carl M  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001

**Outside Organization:** United States of America

**Affiliation:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Received:** Jan,29,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I have lived in Jackson for 28 years and have seen a steady increase in the use of the Moose Wilson Road. I ride my bicycle on the road frequently and have seen many different wild animals on or near the road. The road is in prime wildlife habitat. I support the option that has the least impact on wildlife (minimizes the chances of animal/vehicle collisions) and has limited new construction of paved areas. I think the speed limit needs to be lowered, the number of vehicles limited, and the road needs to be re-located to the east side of the beaver ponds.

I would prefer no private vehicles on the road, but realize this is almost impossible, but I think making the traffic go in one direction only would ease vehicle congestion.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3122    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Harrington, Gabrielle  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014

**Outside Organization:** United States of America

**Affiliation:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Received:** Jan,29,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** A bike/pedestrian path alongside the Moose-Wilson road is unnecessary. I support the Park's preferred alternative.  
Putzi Harrington

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3123    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** St Clair, Gina  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014

**Outside Organization:** United States of America

**Affiliation:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Received:** Jan,29,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am a cyclist, and I do not support a separate bike/pedestrian pathway along the Moose-Wilson Road. I have ridden my road bike on the existing MW road and had a pleasant experience. With a speed limit of 20 mph, I do not think riding a bike on the road poses a danger to my self. I believe the MW Road is an exception, and a pathway is not necessary. Cyclists can ride a loop from Jackson to Moose to Teton Village and back to Jackson safely, utilizing existing pathways and the MW Road. It's safe and it's fun. I support the Park's preferred alternative. Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3124    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bennett, Tom  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001

**Outside Organization:** United States of America

**Affiliation:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Received:** Jan,29,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Where is the Bike Path! Seriously. The loop is almost completed. Grand Teton National Park already has 20 (?) miles invested, and you're going to stop now? I don't get it.

Simply put, the plan is terrible. It's a monument to government stupidity. No bike path, and you're restricting the road to 200 cars? GTNP had record attendance this summer, and your response is to restrict access? That doesn't make sense. Stop living in the past. Jackson Hole is crowded. It's busy. That's never going to end. By restricting access, all your doing is losing support for the National Park system. You should be channelling people so that they can enjoy the Park, while not pressuring delicate ecosystems. Move the road down into the sagebrush, and convert the existing road to a bike path.

Cheers

Tom Bennett

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3125    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Gallagher, John  
**Address:** Cody, WY 82414  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 20:49:17  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I will keep this short. Not including a non-motorized pathway as part of this project is short-sighted, and frankly unintelligible. Prior park plans indicated a desire and need for such a pathway and it has suddenly disappeared from this tortured process. Are you going to wait for another pedestrian or bicyclist to die before you do something?

The 550 person limit in the corridor is not rational, and you provide no clear basis for this number. Limiting the number of motor vehicles makes some sense, but not the number of people.

There seems to be a safety concern with bear-human interactions. You will still have non-motorized use of this corridor, with or without a non-motorized pathway. If safety is truly your concern, than give non-motorized users a safe pathway where they are not going to get hit by a motor vehicle. Bear spray works great against bears, not so much so against 5000 pounds of steel.

Finally, it seems you have ignored NPS policy 9.2 by not encouraging alternative transportation means. All you are doing is encouraging more motor vehicles and have done absolutely nothing in this DEIS to encourage either public/mass transportation or non-motorized transportation.

I am rather astounded by the lack of vision shown in the DEIS. The Jackson valley pathway system is an astounding asset that this corridor should be part of. The conceptual plans were approved many years ago and the NPS should stand by the plans for a non-motorized pathway through this corridor.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3126    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Metten, Josh  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Wyoming Wilderness Association Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 22:04:04  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** To Whom it May Concern:

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on the Grand Teton National Park (GTNP) Moose-Wilson Corridor Comprehensive Management Plan (MWCCMP). The Wyoming Wilderness Association (WWA) would like to thank the National Park Service (NPS) for their diligence in analyzing decades of data on traffic, wildlife activity, cultural resources, and purpose of use in the corridor. Though Alternative 3 attempts to find a balanced solution which benefits park visitors year-round, more is needed to meet the park's mission to protect park resources for future generations. The following comments are submitted on behalf of the Wyoming Wilderness Association, with offices across the state of Wyoming, including Jackson. Representing over 700 constituents across the state and country, the mission of WWA is to protect Wyoming's public wild lands.

The Moose-Wilson corridor is a treasure worth protecting. At the southernmost location of the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem, the corridor represents one of the largest intact ecosystems left on earth, with some of the highest biodiversity in North America. A diverse trail system provides ample year-round recreation access to experience the corridor or even venture deeper into the Tetons, past glacial lakes and wildflower meadows. Opportunities for solitude can be found in and around the over 1650 acres of potential wilderness, which comprises 1/6th of the entire corridor. It was within this area that conservationists Mardy and Olaus Murie met with members of the Wilderness Society to write the 1964 Wilderness Act. Most recently, the donation of the Laurance S. Rockefeller Preserve to GTNP secured a legacy of conservation. According to the preserve's easement, it is "intended to inspire appreciation and reverence for the beauty and diversity of the natural world, to demonstrate the importance of protecting the land while providing public access and to foster individual responsibility for conservation stewardship."

The Preferred Alternative takes many measures to protect the outstanding values of the Moose-Wilson Corridor. We support efforts made to meet the NPS mission to "preserve unimpaired the natural and cultural resources and values of the National Park system for the enjoyment, education, and inspiration of this and future generations." Lowering the speed limit of the road will have beneficial effects on the soundscape and safety of the corridor. A reduction in decibel levels emanating from the road will provide measurable increases in the solitude quality of wilderness character within nearby proposed wilderness. Limiting traffic to 200 vehicles will also protect solitude and wilderness values by restricting use in the corridor. This was the vision of the LSR preserve and respects the wilderness legacy of the Murie Center. The prohibition of taxi's in the corridor meets the NPS mission while increasing safety and opportunities for visitor experience. The preferred alternative takes steps to support these outstanding values of the Moose-Wilson Corridor but must go further. To protect proposed wilderness, honor the legacy of the Muries' work to protect wild places, and meet the management objectives of the Laurance S. Rockefeller Preserve we encourage the NPS to improve Alternative C to include the following.

#### Wilderness Character

Over 1650 acres, or 1/6th of the Moose-Wilson corridor lie within potential wilderness, and according to the GTNP website, "These areas are managed to protect the wilderness character qualities including natural, untrammeled, undeveloped, and opportunities for solitude or primitive and unconfined recreation" (NPS 2013:1). Alternative C should be improved to better protect wilderness character in the corridor. First, parking at Death Canyon should be further reduced from the current proposal to 60 spots as proposed in alternative B. The DEIS states "The corridor is rich with stories of wilderness, preservation, and solitude. The small numbers of desired visitor congregations perpetuate the stories of the Murie and Rockefeller families. These stories ground and give meaning to the current visitor experience in the corridor" (DEIS, 90). The Laurance S. Rockefeller Preserve Property Maintenance Plan outlines similar visitor experience goals. As such, parking should not be expanded anywhere in the corridor, including Granite canyon. We recognize that the park has a need to concentrate use in many areas of the park such as Jenny Lake and Cascade Canyon, which also allows it to limit use to protect the

visitor experience in others. Limiting use in the corridor by reducing parking spaces will help meet the NPS' own criteria and protect the above wilderness qualities.

Though we thank the NPS for their consideration of a 200 vehicle cap within the corridor, this number should be further reduced. Despite reductions in parking spaces, visitors often expand parking areas by moving barricades and parking on roadside vegetation. Reducing the vehicle cap within the corridor would help alleviate this issue, help mitigate wildlife conflict, and protect wilderness values within the corridor, all of which are desired conditions within the DEIS. The NPS should also expand the creation of parking barriers and articulate ways to increase roadside vegetation to discourage roadside parking.

#### Road Improvements

We support the decrease in speed limit to 20 miles-per-hour and the elimination of taxi service in the corridor. In addition to increasing safety for motorists, pedestrians, bicyclists, and wildlife, the decrease in speed will lead to a lower decibel level emanating from the road. The parks desired conditions for Natural Soundscapes and Acoustic Resources states "Only natural sounds are audible in wilderness and other backcountry areas, except for short duration, infrequent, human-caused sounds" (DEIS, 34). To ensure the speed limit is followed, the preferred alternative should include road engineering measures including speed dips, maintenance of roadside vegetation to create friction, road design and other features which are proven to cause declines in speed. A change in speed limit alone is unlikely to lead to enough compliance to meet the desired condition.

As queuing occurs on either end of the corridor, we would like to see an idling ban enacted to protect air quality and soundscapes in and around the park. Visitors come from all over the world to experience the clear skies and clean air of Jackson Hole, regulations to ensure idling does not occur must be drafted and enforced.

A shuttle system has been proposed to address some of the air quality, transportation, and climate change issues pertaining to the corridor. WWA would support such a proposal if it also included limits on individual users in the corridor. This would help meet the Indicators and Thresholds listed on pages 89-90 of the DEIS "Peak Levels of Use on Trails" and "People at One Time at Key Destinations" As already stated, concentrated use is already occurring in other areas of the park and use in the Moose Wilson Corridor must be limited to protect park goals, wilderness values, and the ecosystem.

#### Process

We appreciate the thoughtful scientific analysis which preceded the release of this DEIS and preferred alternative. Alternative C rightly limits use in the corridor to meet the NPS mission to "preserve unimpaired the natural and cultural resources and values of the national park system for the enjoyment, education, and inspiration of this and future generations." The NPS must articulate how it will effectively meet these limits in order for them to be effective.

The Wyoming Wilderness Association would like to thank the National Park Service for their diligence in analyzing decades of data on traffic, wildlife activity, cultural resources, and purpose of use in the corridor. Though Alternative 3 attempts to find a balanced solution which benefits park visitors year-round, more is needed to meet the park's mission to protect park resources for future generations. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Josh Metten  
Bridger Teton Community Organizer  
Wyoming Wilderness Association  
Jackson, WY

#### References

National Park Service, Grand Teton National Park. 2013. Envision the Future of the Moose- Wilson Corridor. Scoping Notice. December 6, 2013. Moose, WY.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3127	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Labelle, Ernest				
<b>Address:</b>	Jackson, WY 83002 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,29,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I support the Preferred Alternative as a reasonable and sound management approach for the Moose Wilson Corridor.				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3128	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Condrat, Wendy				
<b>Address:</b>	Ranchester, WY 82839 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,29,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please honor the desires of the Rockefellerers and Mardie Murie to preserve this fragile ecosystem by controlling the traffic and facilities for parking and speed limit reduction. There are too few areas of such high environmental value in our parks to despoil the Moose Wilson Corridor.				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3129	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Mahan, James				
<b>Address:</b>	Rawlins, WY 82301 United States of America				

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Jan,29,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Grand Teton National Park's (GTNP) Moose-Wilson corridor is a treasure worth protecting. At the southernmost location of the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem, the corridor represents one of the largest intact ecosystems left on Earth, with some of the highest biodiversity in North America. A diverse trail system provides ample year-round recreation access to experience the corridor or even venture deeper into the Tetons, past glacial lakes and wildflower meadows. Opportunities for solitude and other wilderness qualities can be found in and around the over 1650 acres of potential wilderness, which comprises 1/6th of the entire corridor. It was within this area that conservationists Mardy and Olaus Murie met with other members of the Wilderness Society to write the 1964 Wilderness Act. Most recently, the donation of the Laurance S. Rockefeller Preserve to GTNP secured a legacy of conservation. According to the preserve's own easement, it is "intended to inspire appreciation and reverence for the beauty and diversity of the natural world, to demonstrate the importance of protecting the land while providing public access and to foster individual responsibility for conservation stewardship." The preferred alternative takes steps to support these outstanding values of the Moose-Wilson Corridor but must go further. To protect proposed wilderness, honor the legacy of the Muries' work to protect wild places, and meet the management objectives of the Laurance S. Rockefeller Preserve the park should further reduce activity in the corridor, especially during periods of high wildlife use such as the fall. Specifically, parking spaces in the corridors should be further reduced, especially around the Death Canyon. Significant recent cultural resource discoveries that represent prehistoric over-winter habitation of the corridor must remain protected from additional development. The safety of pedestrians, bicyclists, motorists and wildlife would be improved from the current conditions through a paved road, a lower speed limit of 20 mph, limiting traffic in the corridor, and prohibiting taxis. To ensure these safety features are effective, the park should take steps to engineer the road to physically slow down drivers by including speed bumps and reducing any unnecessary thinning of roadside vegetation. I support the preferred alternative as a reasonable and sound management approach for the Moose-Wilson Corridor.

Country

"

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3130      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Eirich, Susan

**Address:** Driggs, ID 83422  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Jan,29,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** "There is so little wilderness left. WE need to leave it alone. Roads do not improve the quality of life or happiness. We rush too much. We need to be able to meander through roads and not be pushed by traffic to just ""get"" somewhere. The more roads are ""improved"" the more traffic they get and the faster people go. For what? The Moose Wilson road is a joy to drive, a special place to go. "

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3131      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Rauch, Susan P

**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Jan,29,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing to support Alternative C of the DEIS for the Moose-Wilson corridor. I believe this option allows for public enjoyment of this magical area while protecting its unique and spectacular wildlife habitat to the extent possible in this day and age.

Bear, moose, elk, waterfowl and other animals and birds populate this area, and every effort should be made not to disturb them further by introducing a bike path or taking steps to encourage more vehicles to pass through this corridor.

Speed limits should be reduced, and the road should not be plowed for use in the winter except by walkers, snowshoers and walkers.

I support steps to discourage cars and taxis from using the road passing through the corridor as a means to get to the airport from Teton Village or the West Bank when the road is plowed, and I think it is reasonable to introduce a limit to the number of vehicles that can be in the corridor at any one time.

I am grateful to the NPS for their work on this plan and the opportunity to comment on it.

Thank you.

Susie Rauch

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3132      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Baker, Jessica

**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I strongly disagree with the current proposal for the Moose-Wilson Road. First, I think a bike path is needed and should be reconsidered. Secondly, limiting cars on the road will only create traffic jams (and idling cars) in other places. And what about the locals commuting to work via the Moose-Wilson road into the park from Teton Village/Wilson etc, are they to be expected to drive an additional hour back around the other

way?

I think seasonal closures of the road for wildlife when needed is a good thing, but shutting down the road to a limited number of people and disregarding the public's wishes to have a bike path is not a good idea.

Please reconsider your current preferred plan, as it does not actually align with what most people are hoping for.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Jessica Baker

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3133	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	wise, doreen j				
<b>Address:</b>	Jackson, WY 83001 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,30,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	January 29, 2015				

Good morning.

This letter is to confirm my support for your selection of Alternative C as the solution for the Moose-Wilson Road. I oppose the addition of a bike path and/or increased commercial traffic on this road.

My husband and I are residents of Jackson, living close to the Moose-Wilson Road. It is our sanctuary. It would be such a loss if this remarkable roadway was unwittingly disrupted because of pressures from one business interest or any one recreational group.

The Moose-Wilson Road is magical and serene, a treasure of the wilderness accessible to all of us. I have walked and seen unique birds; last fall we saw piles of steaming scat, and within minutes came upon a huge bear! We have hiked the trails crossing the road and sensed wildlife all around us...

This winding road is a magical place for humans to unwind as we touch nature so very close to home. Current traffic already creates almost too much pressure for the wildlife, and the park service workers who protect it. My fear is commercial traffic and cyclist traffic would push the fragile balance over the edge. Further pressure on wildlife places in jeopardy not only that wildlife and park visitors, but further increases the risk that the road will be closed to us more frequently or even permanently. What a shame!

I believe the citizens of Teton County have committed strenuously to cyclists-there are miles and miles of paths here- and adding yet another "summer only" bike on this treasure of a road is just not necessary or prudent.

Please stand firm on Alternative C - along with controlled limits on vehicles and no bike path.

I am grateful for the opportunity to share my thoughts and comment on this proposal.

Keep up the good work!

Doreen James Wise  
155 Stinnett Drive  
Jackson, WY 83001

832-275-9538

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3134	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Joannis, Lea				
<b>Address:</b>	Jackson, WY 83002 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,30,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please do not pave this road - it is a corridor for wildlife and human can it should limit their activity there... It is such a pristine experience to be there without having a paved road. Walking or biking these parts honestly should be enough.				

Thank you for your consideration !  
Sincerely

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3135	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Lish, Christopher				
<b>Address:</b>	San Rafael, CA 94903 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Saturday, January 30, 2016

Subject: I support Alternative C of the Grand Teton National Park Moose-Wilson Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Dear Superintendent Vela,

As a supporter of national parks and wildlife, I believe the National Park Service's preferred plan best protects the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and request that you choose Alternative C as your approach to future management. Alternative C balances wildlife protection with public use and enjoyment. Grand Teton is one of our most iconic National Parks, and so protecting and understanding the values and experiences of all visitors must be taken into consideration.

"There can be nothing in the world more beautiful than the Yosemite, the groves of giant sequoias and redwoods, the Canyon of the Colorado, the Canyon of the Yellowstone, the Three Tetons; and our people should see to it that they are preserved for their children and their children's children forever, with their majestic beauty all unmarred."  
-- Theodore Roosevelt

Increased vehicle traffic has diminished the visitor experience and is having a negative effect on wildlife that rely on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. The Moose-Wilson corridor is prime habitat for grizzly bears, and I would like to see preventing conflicts between people and bears a high priority. I support the Park's previous efforts to close the road during times of grizzly bear activity.

"We should boldly ask ourselves whether we want the national parks to duplicate the...entertainments of other resorts, or whether we want them to stand for something distinct...in our national life."  
--Col. J.R. White, former Sequoia National Park Superintendent

The road can safely serve as shared use for cyclists and automobiles. Please manage and minimize vehicle traffic as outlined in your plan and reduce the speed for all. This ensures that grizzly bears, moose, and other wildlife are protected while also ensuring a high quality visitor experience. A separate pathway for cyclists is not needed for Moose-Wilson road.

"In permitting the sacrifice of anything that would be of the slightest value to future visitors to the convenience, bad taste, playfulness, carelessness, or wanton destructiveness of present visitors, we probably yield in each case the interest of uncounted millions to the selfishness of a few individuals."  
-- Frederick Law Olmstead, 1865

The preferred plan for future management of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor is a step in the right direction, but still more could be done. Moose-Wilson road is a journey through amazing scenic beauty and great wildlife habitat. Therefore, throughway taxis and other commercial enterprises not related should not be permitted on the primitive road, with commercial uses focusing on enjoying and preserving the area's wildlife, scenic, and historical values. I encourage the Park Service to complete an analysis of small-scale park transit options that could be utilized in the corridor.

"Our duty to the whole, including to the unborn generations, bids us to restrain an unprincipled present-day minority from wasting the heritage of these unborn generations. The movement for the conservation of wildlife and the larger movement for the conservation of all our natural resources are essentially democratic in spirit, purpose and method."  
-- Theodore Roosevelt

Grand Teton is a gift cherished by both the residents of Wyoming and people across the United States, and it is our responsibility to protect these precious resources for all of us and for future generations. Please protect wildlife and natural resources as your top priority while adopting a plan that allows visitors to continue to use and enjoy the corridor by choosing the environmentally preferred Alternative C. Thank you for your good work to protect this special place.

"A thing is right when it tends to preserve the integrity, stability, and beauty of the biotic community. It is wrong when it tends otherwise."  
-- Aldo Leopold

Thank you for your consideration of my comments. Please do NOT add my name to your mailing list. I will learn about future developments on this issue from other sources.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Lish  
San Rafael, CA

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3136	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Edge, Erin D				
<b>Address:</b>	Missoula, MT 59802				
	United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Defenders of Wildlife Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	OfficialRep				
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,30,2016 08:32:55				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	January 30, 2016				

Grand Teton National Park  
Attn: Moose-Wilson Planning Team  
P.O. Drawer 170  
Moose, WY 83012-0170

Submitted electronically at [go.nps.gov/mwplan](http://go.nps.gov/mwplan)

Dear Planning Team,

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on the Moose-Wilson Corridor Draft Plan/EIS. We submit the following comments on behalf of our more than 1,200,000 members and supporters nationwide. Defenders of Wildlife (Defenders) is a national non-profit conservation organization founded in 1947 focused on conserving and restoring native species and the habitat upon which they depend.

Defenders largely supports the National Park Service's Preferred Alternative C. The Moose-Wilson Corridor (MWC) is a highly valued treasure for both visitors and residents. We recognize that maintaining the ecological integrity of the MWC while balancing the needs of residents and visitors is challenging, particularly given its proximity to the urban population center of Jackson, Wyoming. Increasingly this region is witnessing development pressure south of Grand Teton National Park (GTNP). With this pressure comes the push to increase recreational and access opportunities. We urge GTNP to resist this pressure and to be cautious when considering any plans for the corridor that may be contrary to the purposes of GTNP to "protect wildlands and wildlife habitat within the Greater Yellowstone Area." GTNP should remain grounded in preservation rather than cater to requests for increased access and recreational amenities within the corridor.

Grizzly bears have now recolonized and frequent the MWC. Roads have been shown to increase mortality of grizzly bears, cause area avoidance and fragment grizzly bear habitat (Kasworm & Manley, 1990; Mace, et al. 1996; Proctor, et al. 2012). GTNP must take into consideration impacts to grizzly bears of any potential improvements to the roadway such as increased vehicle traffic and increased speed at which vehicles travel along the road. We support systems that control visitor access to the MWC during peak times such as the queuing system proposed in Alternative C and we are encouraged to see that Alternative C includes reducing the speed to 20 mph along the corridor. This could be further reinforced by ensuring that the road is engineered for a reduced speed.

Realignment of the road away from the riparian area near Sawmill Ponds as proposed in Alternatives B and D would be beneficial to wildlife, particularly grizzly bears. However, we recognize GTNP's reasoning behind preserving archeological site 48TE498 as proposed in Alternative C and therefore support the fact that the road will not be realigned if the Alternative C is chosen.

We are strongly opposed to a separate paved pathway as proposed in Alternative D as we feel this would broaden the impact to the area and increase conflicts between wildlife and people, particularly grizzly bears. We echo the past concerns stated by Chris Servheen, Grizzly Bear Recovery Coordinator (DEIS, P. 322):

"These pathways will increase the probability of bear-human encounters along with moose-human encounters and will effectively widen the human disturbance zone of the highway corridor into adjacent currently undisturbed habitats...I am also particularly concerned about the Moose Wilson road corridor, in the SW corner of the park, where excellent bear habitat exists, black bears occur at high density, but at this time grizzly bears are mostly absent or at low density. A separated pathway there will have impacts on black bears, moose and other wildlife and will eventually involve grizzly bear impacts in the near future"

In addition, a multi-use pathway that is separated from the road as proposed in Alternative D would not only increase the potential for bear-human impacts but would also create a much larger impact footprint. Bicyclists moving along a well maintained bike path can travel quickly and quietly resulting in sudden encounters between bicyclists and grizzly bears. The sudden encounter is the most common situation associated with grizzly bear-inflicted injury (Herrero, 1990). The separation of the pathway from the road would hinder wildlife movement across the corridor and may result in wildlife temporarily being "caught" between the road and the path with people on both sides, for example during a "bear jam.". This could prove dangerous for both wildlife and people viewing wildlife in the MWC. The Human-Bear Interaction Risk Assessment that was prepared for GTNP for this planning process also stated that a multi-use pathway as proposed in Alternative D is not recommended and raised concern about a pathway separated from the road (MacHutchon 2014). It is important that GTNP take into account the potential for increased grizzly-human conflict and the potential for additional grizzly bear mortality when choosing a final alternative.

In Conclusion

Grant Teton National Park has presented a thoughtful preferred Alternative C that Defenders largely supports. The final EIS should preserve the purposes, fundamental resources and values of Grand Teton National Park and we believe that Alternative C goes a long way to balance the needs of those that use the corridor with ecological integrity. The MWC provides an inherently unique opportunity for visitors to experience what makes Grand Teton and the surrounding Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem one of the truly rare wild places remaining. It should be conserved as such for future generations to appreciate. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Erin Edge  
Rockies and Plains Representative

259 W. Front St.  
Suite B  
Missoula, MT 59802  
406-728-8800

Citations:

Herrero, S. and S. Fleck. 1989. Injury to People Inflicted by Black, Grizzly or Polar Bears: Recent Trends and New Insights. International Conference on Bear Research and Management 8: 25-32

Kasworm, W. F., T. L. Manley. 1990. Road and Trail Influences on Grizzly Bears and Black Bears in Northwest Montana. International Conference Bear Research and Management 8:79-84.

Mace, R. D., J. S. Waller, T. L. Manley, L. J. Lyon, and H. Zuring. 1996. Relationships among grizzly bears, roads, and habitat use in the Swan Mountains, Montana. Journal of Applied Ecology 33:1395-1404



MacHutchon, A. G., 2014. Human-Bear Interaction Risk Assessment for the July 2014 Moose-Wilson Corridor Management Plan Alternatives. Prepared for Grant Teton National Park.

National Park Service, U.S. Department of the Interior. 2006. Grand Teton National Park Transportation Plan. Final Environmental Impact Statement.

Proctor, M. F., D. Paetkau, B. N. McLellan, G. B. Stenhouse, K. C. Kendall, R. D. Mace, W. F. Kasworm, C. Servheen, C. L. Lausen, M.L. Gibeau, W. L. Wakkinen, M. A. Haroldson, G. Mowat, C. D. Apps, L. M. Ciarniello, R. M. R. Barclay, M. S. Boyce, C. C. Schwartz, C. Stombeck. 2012. Population fragmentation and inter-ecosystem movements of grizzly bears in western Canada and the northern United States. Wildlife Monographs 180:1-46

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3137      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Belford, Matthew  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** To whom it may concern,

These comments are regarding the retorting of the moose Wilson road within GTNP. It makes absolutely no sense why you would not rerouted the road so there would be no limit on cars but most importantly we need the bike path to connect to the existing pathways. Why would the national parks, my national parks, vote to be less green, less tourist friendly, and so short sighted in your decisions. If it's funding, I'm sure we can get it accomplished for a pathway. The vehicle use decisions are yours to make but we MUST have a bike path. Currently it is dangerous and dusty to bike that section of road and it has nothing to do with the wildlife. If we move the road or build a pathway uphill of the current road, wildlife and Bears will not be an issue. You cannot think they limiting cats, therefore limiting revenue and tourist enjoyment is a good idea. But the most important issue is the last of bike path. Not connecting he current pathways is irresponsible, short sighted, and is the wrong way to go. I work with many many influential people and none of them understand your current position. So, I beg you, please do not to continue your path and screw over the public with a poor poor decision not to install a pathway. It makes no sense to most of the public. If you continue your desired path to do nothing but limit cars therefore limiting revenue, we will work to boycott and protest the national pals we so much enjoy.

This is a big deal think. Please think long and hard about the long term impacts of your decisions.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3138      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Stevenson, Alice J  
**Address:** Victor, ID 83455-4502  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Jan,30,2016 10:35:16

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I think the plan for limiting autos in the corridor by way of a one-in, one-out policy is seriously flawed-not only for the present, but also thinking of the future when there will be even more people and more traffic, due to an increase in population in the surrounding area as well as tourists from farther away.

I would like to share a pertinent experience that my husband and I had at the southern gate to the Moose-Wilson Corridor in the summer of 2015. As we were approaching the gate, there was a fairly long queue of cars. After awhile it became apparent that the queue wasn't moving, so we turned off our car's engine. But then the queue would move a bit and everyone would move up, necessitating starting the car again. This happened several times, but with long gaps between any forward movements-not the usual pattern as one waits for other cars to show their ID and get park information, and the movement was sometimes several car lengths. It took us 20 minutes to reach the check station, where we breezed through by showing our park pass and ID; we didn't stop to ask what the hold-up had been, as there were still many cars behind us.

It deeply troubles us to think of this sort of stop-and-start scenario at both the north and south gates to the corridor, and all the air pollution it would cause. There are also several other reasons that this one-in, one-out plan seems like a poor option, but I know you have heard those comments from many others. I mostly wanted to share our personal perspective and ask the NPS to re-consider the traffic control part of the plan.

That reconsideration should certainly include looking at mass transit, either instead of personal motorized vehicles, or in some combination with private vehicles. We have used the public transit options to Bear Lake in Rocky Mountain Park, the tour bus in Denali National Park, as well as from the campground to the Narrows in Zion National Park. We have found those systems to work well. Because of the bus transit on the road to the Zion Narrows, that road is safe and enjoyable for cyclists. One day we rode the bus and made various stops for hiking, as well as appreciating the information about what we were seeing presented during the bus ride. Another day we rode our bikes from the campground to the Narrows, free from worries about traffic.

I am sure there are other examples within the National Park Service that might provide insight into developing a transit plan appropriate for the Moose-Wilson Corridor. A plan might include public transit provided by the NPS, as well as commercial sightseeing tours in vans. People should be able to get on and off the bus at appropriate specified spots, but should not be allowed out of the bus at other places because wildlife has been spotted. In those cases, the bus should stop to allow viewing and photography through the windows. There should also be through-shuttles that make no stops, so that people can get more quickly to the other end of the corridor where their car has been parked.

As someone who recently had a bad bike crash caused by a truck driving too fast and too close, safe cycling away from traffic is very important to me. I love pathways, but I do not think a pathway is appropriate in this corridor. Enforcing a low speed limit and using mass transit would, in combination, make cycling much safer. People will certainly continue to bike through that corridor even without a pathway, since it does make a wonderful circle route using existing pathways in the Jackson area.

Sincerely,

Alice Stevenson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3139    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Clark, Donna L  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** 4 th generation Jackson Hole Native Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please do not allow a bike path next to the Moose Wilson Road. Also do not limit a vehicle in, for one coming out. That road has to many wild animals for a bike path. Do not cater to special interest groups for the tourist rather than all citizens.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3140    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Luciano, Kathy  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Grand Teton National Park officials,

"If the parks and wilderness areas are to preserve anything, even at the cost of unpopularity, it must be this:the possibility of contact with wild forms of being."

TEEWINOT by Jack Turner

Unarguably, Grand Teton National Park's most outstanding resource is its wildlife. These wild creatures, large and small, survive in a sublimely beautiful landscape which, often to their detriment, draws an increasing number of human visitors and residents, both inside and adjacent to the Park. Inevitably, interests clash.

Please remember, as you weigh all submitted comments on the Moose-Wilson Road issue, that you were established to conserve that wildlife and its scenic habitat - - first, foremost and forever. Of course, you are also to enable visitors to enjoy the park, but only as long as they respect it and its wild animals. Visitors and their recreational desires come second in National Parks. Let's keep it this way.

And, while you may choose to partner with various private or public organizations, you have no legal obligation to compromise. You do not exist to bolster the local economy or solve regional transportation problems, especially when doing so would mar the natural setting of the Park. You are charged, among other duties, with protecting a grizzly feeding, whether on hawthorn berries or an elk carcass, from interfering humans getting too close for whatever motive. Please stick to your mandate.

Personally, I would prefer that the Moose-Wilson Road not be paved, did not include an additional bike path and be gated on both ends, with thru-traffic reserved for emergency and Park vehicles only. Since that is not a current alternative, I support alternative C, your plan of choice. The Park belongs to all of us, but you are empowered to protect it and its wild animals, which cannot vote and cannot send you a comment. Please, keep that in mind as you proceed.

With loving respect,  
Kathy Luciano

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3141    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Pilafian, Peter  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 12:28:29  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** January 29, 2016

To: Grand Teton National Park Supervisor and local Teton County officials:

Re: Proposed changes to the Moose-Wilson transportation corridor.

Dear Sirs:

First I want to commend the Park Management team not only for their deep concern for protecting and preserving the natural values that provide the heart of our National Park system, but also for the detailed and elaborate analysis of issues and acceptance of public input.

However, I do want to share with you some of my concerns about your preferred alternative "C".

There is a serious dis-connect in turning a blind eye toward the inclusion and expansion - inside GTNP - of a very busy commercial and private jet airport with many negative impacts, and the microscopic level of perceived habitat and visitor protection which has driven your choice of alternatives on the Moose-Wilson corridor project.

I strongly object to your preferred alternative "C" on grounds that it does not serve the mission of the National Park as established in the act of March 1, 1872: "For the Benefit and Enjoyment of the People." In fact, the preferred changes not only are a dis-service to the public enjoyment of the park, but will provoke a serious increase of environmental impact due not only to idling time while waiting for the quota to open up, but also the many, many more accumulated vehicle miles driven by people who feel forced to go the long route through town.

Bicycles do not pollute. Vehicles do. The uncertainty of access will cause most people, especially local residents, to use the "other" route, thus increasing traffic through town (already quite congested) and burning more gas along the way.

Furthermore, it seems disingenuous to hide the secret archeological site which is apparently the main roadblock for re-routing to the east, from public view, now or ever. It also seems disingenuous to conceal the fact that your preferred alternative is much, much less expensive, by a very large number, than rebuilding to the east. In this era of tight budgets, why cant you be honest and just tell the public that you don't have the money to do it any other way? Perhaps a private/public fund could be put up by those who prefer alternative "D".

Clinging to a very old access road, which has been in place long before the National Park was established, should at the very least confirm the use of that route as a transportation corridor, NOT to be confused with a privileged and restricted way for a few lucky or patient visitors from out of town to get an up close and personal look at a few bears or moose from inside their vehicles.

By removing this narrow, very old roadway from the sensitive portion against the hill, where it impacts beaver ponds, moose habitat, and does not well-serve the contemporary flow of traffic, there will be less wildlife impact, less need for Park Ranger policing and in-person traffic control, and greater opportunity through the use of viewing platforms, for the public to appreciate the natural flora and fauna. This is why I favor Alternative "D".

In 2007, the southern portion of a pathway system extending to LSR was approved in the GRTE Transportation EIS. Careful pathway design will address the concerns with both wildlife resources and minimizing impacts to scenic resources for park visitors in motor vehicles. The park should follow through with the proposal in Alternative D to shift the road section out of the current location, which the NPS document states "...possesses some of the most diverse wildlife habitat in the entire corridor" (p. 179). The NPS should move the road east of the prime wetland to a significantly less sensitive location, as proposed in Alternative D, and align the separated pathway adjacent to the realigned road.

In addition, lengthening access to the rather demanding trails and rock-climbing routes of Death Canyon, as described in the preferred alternative "C", will effectively make it impossible for many visitors to safely get in and out during the course of a day. I predict more rescues would occur, and more visitors would be stranded while attempting one-day crossings of the range, again creating a safety risk and more problems and rescues.

Thank you for taking the time to digest my comments. I am a long-time resident of Wilson, and have been using and enjoying the Moose-Wilson corridor for 4 decades. I have been dismayed by the increased congestion and traffic on that road. I do believe that prohibiting purely commercial transportation such as taxi cabs, is a good idea. Yet I definitely do not see a situation that requires such extreme and dire measures as closing the road or imposing an arbitrary quota on the number of vehicles or the number of people using that road.

However, I do think that this decision 'C' may have been too strongly influenced by people whose lives are generally based in town or in Moose., and not on the west side. For that town-centric portion of our population, the practical and common access to GTNP is simply to go up the highway from town to Moose. May I point out that this heavily-used highway is almost entirely within the National Park, and yet it has been allowed to develop as a major thoroughfare, used for commerce, for airport access, for golfing, for trucking, etc.

The Park needs to consider regional transportation impacts of actions taken n Moose-Wilson, a topic which was not addressed in this dEIS. It is clear that NEPA requires addressing significant issues like this, and there is no justification why the park choose not to study the traffic changes whatsoever. Moose-Wilson Corridor is part of Grand Teton National Park, not a National Park unto itself.

To summarize: I do not support the preferred alternative "C". I do very strongly support alternative "D" with its completed bike pathway, and its respect for greater protection of the natural wildlife activity against the hill where the current roadway intrudes.

Respectfully yours,

Peter Pilafian  
Wilson, WY  
Resident since 1975

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3142	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Sobey, Doug G				
<b>Address:</b>	Moran, WY 83013 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,30,2016 12:47:04				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:** I am a long-term resident of Pacific Creek in Teton County. Grand Teton National Park is adjacent to my property. Consequently, I am very familiar with the issues concerning the Moose-Wilson corridor. I have the following comments on the Moose-Wilson Corridor Draft

Comprehensive Management Plan.

First of all, I fully support the preferred alternative, Alternative C, as the best plan put forth in all the alternatives for the management of the Moose-Wilson corridor. However, I do feel that Alternative C could be improved in the following ways:

I support the reduction in vehicles using the road with a 200 vehicle cap at any one time and reducing the speed limit to 20 MPH. To help implement this lower speed limit, I recommend that speed bumps are also placed in the road. They are the most effective way to slow down traffic and increase safety of all users, including pedestrians and cyclists.

The Moose-Wilson Road is not a transportation corridor. It is part of a National Park with critical habitat for wildlife. It is the National Park's mandate to protect these natural resources, not only for this generation, but all generations to come.

If other interests want a transportation corridor, they should propose the so-called North Bridge alternative (south of the Park Boundary) or improvement of Spring Gulch Road. They should not be promoting the destruction of critical wildlife habitat and the disturbance of wildlife in a National Park. The "North Bridge" alternative and the improvement of Spring Gulch Road have all been dismissed for political reasons. The National Park should resist the demands by certain groups to make the Moose-Wilson road a transportation corridor. There are other alternatives to improve transportation to and from the airport from Teton Village without going through the Town of Jackson or the National Park.

I also fully support NOT building a separate pathway for cyclists and pedestrians. The reduction to 200 cars at any one time and the reduction in maximum speed limit will provide adequate measures to provide for the safety of pedestrians and cyclists. These safety measures would be enhanced with speed bumps. A separate pathway will cause an unacceptable loss of critical wildlife habitat and increase disturbance of wildlife. Habitat loss and wildlife disturbance are the principal causes of wildlife population declines and must be resisted at all costs by the National Park. It is a complete red herring to say a separate pathway is safer. A separate pathway will create additional safety concerns due to the increased likelihood of a close encounter with wildlife, particularly moose and bears. As a local resident, I have seen visitors all too often have close encounters with "dangerous" wildlife or approach them too closely. By and large, they seem completely unaware of the dangerous risks they are taking by approaching wildlife too closely and that they are disturbing the wildlife which can have negative consequences on their ability to survive. The Wildlife Brigade currently do a great job trying to make sure people do not get too close to wildlife. They would not be able to do this as effectively on a separate bicycle and pedestrian pathway. Making the existing road safer by reducing overall traffic amounts and reducing speed limits will effectively remove the safety concerns of a separate pathway and reduce the disturbance of wildlife and loss of habitat.

In order to help reduce the traffic, I would also recommend the National Park implement a mandatory shuttle system for visitors going to LSR and the trailheads. This is not without precedent. Other National Parks, such as Zion, have banned personal vehicle traffic and implemented shuttles in order to reduce the congestion caused by too many personal vehicles. These shuttle systems are effective and have been very successful in reducing the negative impacts caused by too many vehicles on the Park roads.

In closing, I would, once again, express my full support for Alternative C. It is the best compromise of all the alternatives. It is science-based and best fulfills the National Park mandate to protect its natural resources. I would urge you to resist all political pressures that aren't science-based to adopt another alternative.

Please adopt Alternative C.

Thank you for this opportunity to submit my thoughts and comments.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3143	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	N/A, N/A				
<b>Address:</b>	Teton Village, WY 83025 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,30,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:** As a part time valley resident since the 80's, I have always treasured the abundance of wildlife in the southern end of the park. I for one would prefer sticking with Option A, leaving things as they are, but understand that is an unlikely outcome. . It disturbs me greatly to read that the "bicycle lobby" is raising the spectre of legal action to force or even influence the park to include a "multi-purpose" path in its recommendation, and I am grateful that the Park, the county and the town of Jackson are at least endorsing Option C, which does not include a new pathway. My concern about option C centers around two elements: Cars queued at the Park Entrance could foul up the air with their exhaust, unless the Rangers were very conscientious about forbidding them to keep engines running, even on hot days. Secondly, will the improved and paved roadway lead to cars driving much faster through this region where the wildlife are so abundant? If this is not strictly enforced, it seems inevitable that there will be greater risk of injury and death to the moose, elk, bears and deer population that inhabits that part of the park.

In conclusion, while my strong wish is that you change nothing, please please please do NOT let yourselves be swayed by the lobbyists for the business interests in Teton Village or the bicycle lobby who are clearly more concerned with their own self-interest than that of the extraordinary biodiversity of the southern end of GTNP. If you cannot bring yourselves to choose Option A, go with Option C

Thank you.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3144	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	seligmann, peter a				
<b>Address:</b>	seattle, WA 98112 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					

**Received:** Jan,30,2016 13:00:37

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** My name is Peter Seligmann. My family, which includes 12 nieces and nephews and their respective parents, owns a bit more than 10 acres immediately east of Poker Flats, just north of the southern entrance to the park. Our parents purchased this property in the late 60's. As a family we are determined to ensure that our health and our legal rights are not impeded by the solutions. As a family we have dedicated our lives to the conservation of the park, including donating a conservation easement over the property to the Jackson Hole Land Trust.

We are deeply concerned about the potential consequences of the vehicular holding area being proposed for access into the southern entrance to the park. We are not writing to oppose the concept of a limit of 200 cars on the road at any time. We are writing to inform you that a parking lot and waiting line of gasoline powered cars and trucks will cause both noise and, more significantly, air pollution in this part of the park and will also result in adverse impacts on wildlife and people, especially those of us who live in the area. Additionally, having a waiting area will interfere with our ability to have full and unimpeded access to our property unless the Park provides property owners with an unencumbered means of access. It is our opinion that these two issues pose a potential threat to the realizable value of our property as well as to our health and happiness. We look forward to learning how you will address these issues.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3145      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Poole, Steven B

**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014-0237

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Jan,30,2016 13:04:50

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Planning Staff, I am a full time area resident who has used this corridor for 36 years. I use the corridor to swim, ice skate, hike, ski, nature watch, photograph, fish, bike, and drive an automobile. Since I live in Wilson I use the road as access to points along the corridor and as a passage to points north. I travel the road by bike and car as a throughway. Of all the mentioned activities, the one I am most willing to give up in this corridor is drive an automobile.

I am not in favor of any of the alternatives as they are currently presented. I agree with you that alternative C may present the best starting plan. This alternative as presented does not provide protection of some of your stated fundamental resources and values. Environmental consequences, natural soundscapes, and visitor experience could all be enhanced with improvement to this plan. The chosen alternative C attempts to deal with visitor capacity by using methods that are questionable and probably in some cases detrimental to the resources and values. How can we invite people here and then not let them pass? Congestion will, over time, take care of itself. Planning for the future use of the area should be included in the plan today rather than relegated to another process at another time.

I am totally opposed to queuing cars at the entrances. Experience at Yellowstone National Park with pollution from queuing snowmobiles should have taught the NPS a lesson in queuing. The surrounding community is working hard to prevent idling vehicles, the gas saving and carbon reducing studies are well documented. No idling vehicles please. The planned expansion of parking lots in the corridor would handle most, or all, of your suggested capacity of 200 vehicles. Queuing and counting will not enhance the park experience. If your intent is to reduce traffic on the roadway then built lots at the gates and shuttle people using mass transit. Mass transit is proven to work in other NPS locations, Zion National Park as an example.

If you must open the roadway to automobiles and improve the surface then please plan appropriately. If you improve the surface then include a bike lane shoulder on all improved sections. Your choice to make the roadway a "car hiking" path to view wildlife needs to accommodate that use. Bike lanes would improve safety for the bicyclist and also allow vehicles a place to pull to the side and not obstruct so much road surface at each wildlife jam. I am a Jackson Hole Fire/EMS responder (35 years), I would recommend that any improvement to the roadway include all bridges and or culverts to be built to allow for passage of heavy fire vehicles. Three fire companies at Teton Village, the Aspens, and Wilson currently cannot respond up the roadway due to weight limitations. We want to be there for you.

Remove the Whitegrass road as well as the Death canyon road, if the area is so critical to wildlife then don't put NPS facilities in the heart of the corridor, a selfish and foolish move on the part of the NPS.

Help our community, and world, plan for the future and not be stuck in the past. We need alternatives to our current "every man for himself" automobile use, and we need them now. Please help by supporting alternative transportation methods and discouraging wasteful and polluting automobile use. The clean air, pure water and quiet soundscape are depending on your choices.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3146      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Mader, Sharon C

**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001

United States of America

**Outside Organization:** National Parks Conservation Association Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:** OfficialRep

**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** January 29, 2016

Superintendent David Vela  
Grand Teton National Park  
Attn: Moose-Wilson Planning Team  
PO Drawer 170  
Moose, WY 83012-0170

Re: Moose-Wilson Corridor Comprehensive Management Plan

Dear Superintendent Vela:

Please accept these comments on behalf of the National Parks Conservation Association (NPCA) specific to the Moose-Wilson Corridor Comprehensive Management Plan Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). Our comments are offered on behalf of the NPCAs over 1 million members and supporters nationwide. NPCA is the leading voice of current and future generations of Americans in protecting and enhancing our National Park System. Our members care deeply for Americas shared natural and cultural heritage which is best protected by Americas National Parks System. The National Parks Conservation Associations Grand Teton Field Office, located in Jackson, Wyoming, is devoted to preserving and protecting Grand Teton National Park (GRTE).

Grand Teton National Park is enjoyed by over four-million visitors each year. The park lands that became Grand Teton National Park were a tremendous gift to the American people by John D. Rockefeller, Jr. While the National Park Service (NPS) has a legal mandate to conserve the scenery and the natural and historic objects and the wildlife [in the National Park System] and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations. The acceptance of land for Grand Teton National Park by NPS came with an additional, unique set of restrictions to protect the landscape, resources and wildlife of this one-of-a-kind Park for future generations.

There is perhaps no place more special than the Moose-Wilson Corridor (Corridor), located in the southwest corner of Grand Teton National Park. The Corridor and the 7.7-mile road within it provides essential habitat for many species of wildlife and could arguably be considered the best place to view wildlife in Grand Teton National Park. This destination road winds through pristine riparian areas, dense forest and provides a uniquely-rich natural habitat within the park. It also provides the opportunity to find quiet and tranquility within during GRTEs bustling summer season, hike on world-class hiking trails, cycle along the scenic winding road and the opportunity to visit the Laurance Rockefeller Preserve and Visitor Center; established to provide visitors with a contemplative experience of the parks natural environment.

#### Background

In December 2013, the National Park Service announced that they planned to develop a comprehensive management plan and Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the 10,300-acre Moose-Wilson Corridor within Grand Teton National Park in Jackson Hole, Wyoming.

In its News Release, the National Park Service describes this part of Grand Teton Park:

"The Moose-Wilson Corridor comprises about 10,300 acres in the southwest corner of Grand Teton National Park. This exceptional area has a remarkable variety of natural communities, cultural and wilderness resources, and opportunities for visitor enjoyment. The Moose-Wilson Road extends 7.7 miles through the area, and is the primary access to several park destinations, including Death Canyon and Granite Canyon trailheads, Laurance S. Rockefeller Preserve, White Grass Ranch, and Murie Ranch historic districts, and Sawmill Ponds overlook. The corridor highlights the rich history of working ranches and the beginnings of conservation work, and provides exceptional opportunities for wildlife viewing. Developing a comprehensive management plan for the corridor is critical to ensure the protection of key resources, values, and visitor experience for the enjoyment of this and future generations."

During this comprehensive planning process, the NPS met the requirements of NEPA and complied with the mission of the NPS and their clear mandate under the Organic Act.

The Organic Act pledges "to conserve the scenery and the natural and historic objects and the wildlife therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such a manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations."

The future of the Moose-Wilson Road, and the extent to which vehicular traffic and recreational uses will be accommodated there - has been vigorously debated in the court of public opinion. Given the proximity of GRTE to the communities of Teton Village, Wilson and Jackson this decision has been of interest to many local residents. The value of GRTE and its natural, wildlife and cultural assets are clearly evident in the Teton County Comprehensive Plan (Comp Plan), which also recognizes the significance of the region's wildlands and wildlife in a Vision statement:

"Wildlife, along with natural and scenic resources, draw both residents and visitors to this special place and are at the core of our heritage, culture, and economy. All aspects of our community character thrive on a shared appreciation of the natural setting in which we are located and the quality of life our surroundings bring. To achieve our desired community character, the community must protect and enhance the ecosystem in which we live. Yellowstone (the world's first National Park), Grand Teton National Park, Bridger-Teton National Forest, Targhee National Forest and the National Elk Refuge are testaments to the ecological richness and international significance of our community. These roughly 2.6 million acres of federally-protected lands foster a strong stewardship ethic in both residents and visitors to Jackson and Teton County."

Lastly, the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) dictates and guides the current Moose-Wilson Road EIS process.

Congress intended the NEPA to require Federal agencies to integrate environmental factors into planning and decision making processes. For proposed "major Federal actions significantly affecting the quality of the human environment," the responsible Federal official must prepare a "detailed statement" on:

- (i) the environmental impact of the proposed action,
- (ii) any adverse environmental effects which cannot be avoided should the proposal be implemented,
- (iii) alternatives to the proposed action,
- (iv) the relationship between short-term uses of man's environment and the maintenance and enhancement of long-term productivity, and
- (v) any irreversible and irretrievable commitments of resources which would be involved in the proposed action should it be implemented.

In essence, NEPA "requires agencies 'to consider environmentally significant aspects of a proposed action, and, in doing so, let the public know that the agency's decision-making process includes environmental concerns.'" Agencies "are required to take a 'hard look' at the environmental consequences of a proposed action where the action could have significant effect on the environment." Moreover, in a NEPA analysis, the responsible Federal official also must address cumulative environmental impacts, defined by the Council on Environmental Quality as:

The impact on the environment which results from the incremental impact of the action when added to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions regardless of what agency (Federal or non-Federal) or person undertakes such other actions. Cumulative impacts can result from individually minor but collectively significant actions taking place over a period of time.

NPCA has been engaged in discussions about the Corridor since early 2004, and supported the National Park Services decision to embark on a new comprehensive study of the Corridor, as required under the National Environmental Policy Act.

During their analysis, NPS studied traffic congestion, trailhead access, parking, recreational uses and access, cultural, wildlife and natural resources impacts and visitor experience. The DEIS also addressed how new and changed conditions within the corridor such as grizzly bear use, and the addition of the Laurance Rockefeller Preserve and visitor center should be addressed under a future management plan.

In a legal opinion provided to NPCA by the law firm of Arnold and Porter LLP in February 14, 2013, the firm laid out the reasons why a new Corridor plan was necessary, in response to demands for the NPS to reverse their Record of Decision under the 2007 Grand Teton National Park Transportation Plan.

Arnold and Porters legal analysis provided the following guidance in support of the Moose Wilson Corridor DEIS:

Given the increase in sightings of threatened grizzly bears along the MWR in the seven years since the ROD, NPS would be required to prepare a supplemental environmental impact statement to comply with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). NPS would be required to evaluate there the environmental impact of the Proposed Change in light of the changes since 2006 in the amount of grizzly bear activity in the affected area. Additionally, to comply with Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA), NPS would be required to consult with the FWS to ensure full consideration of the impact of the expanded pathway plan on grizzly bears. Finally, given that the MWR has been designated as eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, NPS would be required to prepare a new cultural resources evaluation under the National Historic Places Act to evaluate impacts on historical resources.

It is clear that under NEPA, the NPS chose the correct path when they decided to conduct a new Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) under NEPA to address future management of the Corridor. The changed conditions that have emerged with the Corridor since the 2007 Transportation Plan Record of Decision was published, necessitated additional study and have led to different conclusions specific to impacts to wildlife and cultural resource protection represented in the DEIS under consideration.

#### Moose-Wilson Corridor Comprehensive Management Plan Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS)

During the three-year process to develop the 'Moose Wilson Road Corridor Plan DEIS, Grand Teton Park managers conducted social, recreational, cultural and scientific study to examine how to best address vehicle traffic, and recreational and pedestrian use while still protecting the areas unique wildlife, biology and history. Grand Teton National Park developed a range of alternatives that offer and assess for public consideration varied levels of resource protection. NPS selected Alternative C as the parks Preferred Alternative, which of the options offered to the public, NPCA believes provides the highest level of protection to preserve the pristine and wild character of the corridor and most importantly the unique natural resources that qualify Grand Teton for National Park level conservation and protection.

The National Parks Conservation Association supports the Preferred Alternative C as the management option that best protects Grand Teton National Parks natural and cultural resources

The Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and its unparalleled biological and cultural treasures remain intact today, but modern day pressures require that the park exercise extreme caution to maintain and protect the natural and cultural resources of this corridor. While additional protective measures could be added to this management plan, it is a good starting point to reduce the unacceptable levels of traffic, noise and the human/wildlife conflicts that currently plague the corridor, and will help protect the values and experiences that park visitors expect.

The expanding population of Teton County, WY (County), coupled with its increased popularity as a tourist destination has created serious traffic congestion and transportation problems for the County and Grand Teton National Park. Over many years, the Moose-Wilson Road has served as an ad hoc county transportation route; creating unacceptable impacts from automobile use within the corridor. As a result, and in accordance with the mission of the NPS to preserve and protect its resources, GRTE entered into a formal planning process to determine how the Corridor would be managed in the future under the 2016 DEIS.

There is little doubt Teton County, Wyoming, is a desirable place to live and visit. On any given day, the county has a stable population of nearly 23,000 people. When seasonal workers and visitors are accounted for the county population increases to roughly 40,000 people a day in the winter and 60,000 a day in the summer. A total of as many as 4 million people are said to visit Teton County each summer.

As the county population grows and visitation expands here could be many potential impacts from traffic and development to the biodiversity and natural resources of Grand Teton National Park areas. NPCA believes NPS must work closely with local officials in Teton County to understand traffic issues and challenges as they evolve. Its important for NPS to be good neighbors. However, NPS managed roads like the Moose-Wilson Road cannot play a role in solving Teton County traffic challenges. NPS must protect park resources above all else. Protecting Grand Tetons natural resources in perpetuity will ensure visitors keep returning to Teton County.

#### Traffic on the Moose-Wilson Road

According to a study conducted by the Western Transportation Institute in 2009 traffic on the Moose-Wilson Road through GRTE is at carrying capacity.

In fact, according to research conducted after the WTI study, traffic volumes in 2014 had increased by 26 percent in July, 30 percent in August and 60 percent in September. This comparison indicates that average use on the Moose-Wilson Road has increased over time for all sampling periods.

As the National Park Service makes a final decision on the Moose-Wilson Road DEIS it is critical that NPS keep this in mind. Especially as the DEIS decision relates to NPSs own Management policies which read, The Service must find transportation solutions that will preserve the natural and cultural resources in its care while providing a high-quality visitor experience. according to NPS Management Policy 9.2. Before roads are chronically at or near capacity, the use of alternative destination points or transportation systems or limitations on use will be considered as alternatives to road expansion.

If a decision is made to construct, expand, or reconstruct park transportation, NPS Management Policy states that accommodations must be made in a way to avoid or mitigate harm to individual animals, the fragmentation of animal habitats, and the disruption of natural ecosystems.

NPCA supports the park traffic study and the caps on vehicle usage that are based on the current use and projected future use of the Corridor. While there are concerns over access to the Corridor under the proposed reduction for vehicle use in congested peak times, overall the impacts to visitors will be minimal.

(U)nder all the action alternatives, existing traffic levels would be maintained, or possibly reduced from current levels. (DEIS:2016)

Left unchecked these traffic impacts will have unacceptable impacts on the natural resources values of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor. We support the use of an adaptive management to determine appropriate levels of automobile use, but acknowledge that even under adaptive management the need for significant limits on automobile use of the Corridor will be an ongoing challenge for GRTE. In order to preserve natural resource values, and reduce impacts on air quality, the number of vehicles using the Corridor will need to be reduced.

The rustic Moose-Wilson Corridor contains cultural and wildlife values that are unique within Grand Teton National Park, and within the entire nation. The Park Service must use caution to ensure that any modifications to wildlife habitat, rural character and visitor experience are compatible and prevent the impairment of the values that make the corridor worth preserving for future generations.

#### Alternative C Effectively Addresses Traffic Impacts:

NPS's preferred alternative, Alternative C, treats the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor as a unique destination within the parks transportation network, with a focus on the visitor experience, access to a broad array of recreational opportunities, and provides a variety of ways to experience the corridor - whether by foot, by car, on horseback or riding a bicycle. These uses provide reasonable access under the Preferred Alternative, while also protecting the natural and cultural resources within it.

This will be accomplished in a number of different ways. As a result of the findings of social science and traffic surveys, soundscape studies, and wildlife and natural resource studies, GRTE's Preferred Alternative proposes to set a limit of 200 vehicles and/or 550 people at a time during the busiest summer months to reduce traffic and impacts in the Corridor, based on traffic studies and DEIS analysis.

Currently, there is a high demand for and high levels of use in the corridor during the peak summer months. The levels and patterns of visitation are causing some negative impacts and influencing the ability of the National Park Service to achieve desired conditions. (DEIS:636)

Once that capacity has been reached, GRTE will implement queue lines at the new entrance station in Moose, and the southwest entrance station, north of Teton Village. The park estimates that waits will not exceed 15 minutes, even during the busiest days of the summer season. GRTE based its cap on the goals of limiting traffic congestion, protecting wildlife, reducing damage to resources, utilizing available parking, creating new consolidated parking areas and reducing visual intrusions based on indicators and thresholds.

While bicycling in the Corridor is addressed and encouraged under the DEIS, it is unlikely that bicycle use will significantly decrease the impacts of vehicular traffic; those impacts will need to be addressed through a cap on vehicular traffic use in the Corridor. Adaptive Management will allow GRTE to adjust the numbers automobiles allowed into the Corridor as needed, however, that cap should not be substantially increased at the risk of negatively impacting park resources.

NPCA supports the restriction on commercial taxi cab use through the Corridor and urges GRTE to continue efforts to curb commuter traffic and encourage the enjoyment of the Corridor as a park destination.

NPCA supports GRTE's efforts to maintain a narrow winding slow-speed road through the Corridor, in keeping with the historic use of the road. We also support the use of a sustainable transportation model management model, which limits development and expansion of the road within the Corridor in response to traffic demand to achieve broader goals of improving the visitor experience as a park destination, rather than a commuter thoroughfare. Under the Preferred Alternative, speeds will be lowered to 20mph speed limit to reduce impacts on wildlife, increase safety, minimize stopping distances and decrease carbon emissions and impacts on air quality by limiting the number of vehicles using the Corridor at any given time. A slower speed limit, combined with design fidelity to the narrow winding roadway will significantly help as traffic-calming tools. NPCA does not believe the queue system will create air pollution challenges. However, NPCA encourages NPS to ask that motorists turn off engines, rather than idle vehicle engines and is not opposed to seasonal air quality monitoring similar to studies being conducted in Yellowstone around winter use as part of the adaptive management model. Based on 2013 traffic data in the corridor, queuing would likely only occur 25 to 30 days in July and August, and wait times would peak at nine minutes.

We suggest that the queue system is managed similarly to the Laurance Rockefeller Preserves (LSR) parking system. The LSR has limits on parking and the number of people who have access to the preserve at any given time. While people wait in the queue lines at the LSR, rangers politely request that engines are turned off and no idling is permitted. A similar model could be implemented at the two entrances to the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

NPCA believes NPS should actively work to communicate any potential wait times to access the Moose-Wilson Road to motorist. Currently, the park already has a robust public traffic communication interface in place, and information can be provided in a real-time setting at entrance stations, park visitor centers, the park website, printed information in the park newspaper and through the use of Intelligent Transportation System digital messaging signs both inside and outside of the park to inform visitors.

When viewed in totality, the adaptive management plan that has been proposed will clearly reduce impacts on air quality - directly tied to the number of vehicles using the road. Looking forward, we urge the park to continue to study and adjust management in the corridor over time based on new peer-reviewed science.

NPCA support the realignment of the far north end of the road, and the construction of a new entrance station to best serve the realigned road. NPCA also supports maintaining the current width of the road, paving the remainder of the surface to decrease dust, eliminate harmful dust-reducing chemical applications and to increase safety for cars, pedestrians and cyclists. We endorse the use of share-the-road signs and other safety enhancing measures to notify drivers that the Corridor is a multi-use area.

NPCA supports the parks decision to leave the northern road alignment in the wetlands area as is, with the exception of the far northern realignment to the ranger station. Although the park initially considered moving the road to the east to relocate this portion out of a riparian area - they decided against a realignment due to the discovery of multiple Native American cultural sites that would be impacted and/or destroyed by road realignment.

The discovery of these expansive Native American sites has raised many questions about the native people who inhabited Jackson Hole prior to its discovery by trappers and explorers. Evidence suggests that there may have been year-round use of the Corridor, as evidenced by double teepee rings and



other archaeological findings. Realignment of the road through this area would pose a significant impact to these sites that cannot be reasonably mitigated by cataloguing artifacts and locking these priceless pieces of history in an NPS storage archive. The Organic Act clearly states that the purpose of the Act is to conserve the scenery and the natural and historic objects and wildlife and to leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations. For these reasons, we do not support Alternative D, under which these sites would suffer permanent, significant and irreversible adverse impacts on two of the parks most important prehistoric archeological sites (48TE498 and the site adjacent to the LSR Preserve). Both of these areas would be unacceptably impacted and potentially destroyed by road realignment and/or construction of a separated multi-use pathway.

Despite the NPS good intentions to increase sight distances by cutting back existing vegetation NPCA asks that they refrain from doing so to preserve important habitat and food sources. If limited sight distances are a concern, it would be better to educate visitors traveling by bike or on foot about the likelihood of encountering wildlife, to travel in groups, make noise in sight-restricted areas and maintain ample distance when approaching wildlife.

Mass Transit:

NPCA encourages the park to study a small-scale shuttle system to further reduce traffic impacts and encourage visitor access. Transit should be managed as a park concession, rather than by private unregulated providers or the Teton County START system; although close coordination with those providers would be desirable. GRTE should establish limits on transit numbers to meet the goals and objectives of the Moose-Wilson Corridor Plan. Those numbers could be adjusted slightly upwards above those limited by the per vehicle cap, but should also have set limits so as not to exceed the use limits of the LDR Preserve or further impact the Corridor. A shuttle system could be launched as a pilot program to study impacts, use levels, and appropriate vehicles (our preference would be for any shuttle to be low or no emissions vehicles). NPCA believes that any mass transit proposal should abide by the same seasonal access and wildlife closure limitations, as for motorists. Mass transit does have the potential to overwhelm park resources by bringing too many people into the corridor at one time and those numbers will need to be studied and considered. Any additional vehicle or shuttle use through the MWR corridor should be filtered through the adaptive management paradigm with the goal of natural resource protection above all.

If successful, the park could propose an adaptive change for public consideration within the sideboards of the Preferred Alternative. We believe any adaptive change in the future or alteration to the preferred alternative should outline how shuttle buses will be used to further decrease traffic impacts, and identify funding needs and potential funding sources to make a shuttle system feasible.

Wildlife Impacts

NPCA believes that Alternative C is the best proposed alternative to protect park wildlife and enhance visitor enjoyment and use of the Corridor, as described in the DEIS.

The following comprehensive analysis was compiled by Lloyd Dorsey on behalf of NPCA in a Review of Potential Impacts to Wildlife and Natural Resources from Traffic and Development Along the Moose-Wilson Corridor, Grand Teton National Park. (Dorsey: 2013). This extensive literature review and comprehensive assessment of peer-reviewed science and other reports provides important information about the impacts of roads, pathways, development and climate change on the flora and fauna of Grand Teton National Park. His work in compiling the following assessment of potential impacts within the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor was greatly appreciated.

As noted in the 2013 Scoping Notice from Grand Teton National Park entitled Envision the Future of the Moose Wilson Road Corridor, it is clear that the Moose-Wilson Corridor is home to an expansive array of wildlife worthy of the level of protection provided to these resources by the areas designation as a portion of Grand Teton National Park.

"The Snake River's extensive riparian habitats are closer to the Teton Range in the Moose-Wilson corridor than at any other location in the park, providing an outstanding representation of the park's major natural ecological communities within a relatively limited geographic area. Aspens, chokecherries, willows, various conifers, and other vegetation provide forage and exceptional cover for protection of wildlife. Consequently, a large variety of wildlife can be found in this small area. This natural constriction between the river and the mountains functions as an important wildlife corridor within Grand Teton National Park. Prominent wildlife species within the corridor include grizzly and black bears, wolves, elk, moose, beavers, and migratory birds." (NPS:2013)

Most notable among the wildlife in Grand Teton National Park are its array of native mammals, including large predators. Elk, moose, mule deer, pronghorn, bighorn sheep and bison in and around Grand Teton provide prey for grizzlies, black bears, mountain lions and wolves, and carrion (sometimes kills of large predators) and smaller mammals (or young or large ungulates) provide sustenance for wolverine, lynx, bobcat and coyotes. The Moose-Wilson Corridor contains habitats for nearly all the above species (although pronghorn and bison aren't common in this part of GTNP) which emphasizes the remarkable natural values in the Corridor. Any expansion of traffic and development and human use in this part of Grand Teton would affect habitat and wildlife in the Corridor. According to B.C. Ruediger in his abstract, The Relationship Between Rare Carnivores and Highways that:

"The impacts on carnivores resulting from upgrading and newly paved roads is permanent and severe. " (Ruediger:1996) The National Park Service must consider the extensive body of science as they make a final decision on the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor.

Notably, grizzly bears have demonstrated increasing and high use of the Corridor, particularly during the fall months when food sources are scarce. The presence of grizzlies represents a changed condition and new wildlife use of the area, and therefore their presence was not planned for nor envisioned under the 2007 Transportation Plan. As NPS makes an important decision on the future of the Corridor, it is imperative that the Alternative selected provides the highest level of protection for endangered species such as Grizzlies and Gray Wolves.

Ensuring the safety of park visitors visiting the Corridor is imperative. NPCA hopes NPS will look for opportunities to effectively reduce the incidence of human/wildlife conflicts. Alternative C provides the most protective measures to accomplish these joint goals. When safety measures are not taken to avoid conflicts, the end result can be devastating, with the unnecessary loss of both human and animal lives. As part of adaptive management under Alternative C NPCA hopes NPS will continue to study opportunities to reduce the potential for human/ wildlife conflicts in the corridor.

While the goal of the park service is to manage the Corridor in such a way that it provides many opportunities for visitors to view wildlife and enjoy the scenic and pristine natural world; they are obligated under the Organic Act to preserve and protect these resources for future generations.

NPCA Remains Opposed to Alternative D and the Construction of a Separated Pathway in the Moose-Wilson Corridor:

There are many studies that show that when roads and paved pathways are constructed or "improved" (i.e., expanded) through wildlife habitat, it diminishes the ecological function inherent in those habitats prior to disturbance. As noted in the DEIS specific to Alternative D which would allow for a road adjacent pathway through the Corridor, (T)he most notable adverse effects of alternative D on wildlife habitat and behavior would be the significant net increases in ecological disturbances, habitat loss, and fragmentation in the corridor by introducing a second primary human use corridor through the length of the project area- the multiuse pathway. (DEIS:379). NPCA remains opposed to alternative D and a separated pathway based on this exact issue. In fact, Proctor noted in an article titled The Trans-border Grizzly Bear Project that:

"(A)ll wildlife is affected by habitat fragmentation. Game animals such as deer and elk rely on access to wintering areas; migratory fish depend on spawning access, and birds on nesting and feeding habitats. Linkage zones can also act as 'fire escapes' if a core area undergoes dramatic environmental change. Linkage zones are not simply travel corridors, but are habitats that support carnivores' feeding and behavioral activities in intervening areas between core regions of habitat. They tend to support low density populations of resident wildlife that have seasonal movements." (Proctor, et al. 2008:5)

It is clear that habitat fragmentation in this circumstance is unacceptable. When the Grand Teton first envisioned a pathways system, the intent was to develop pathways in the least ecologically-sensitive areas first, study those impacts and use this research to guide future development of the system. The Moose to Jenny Lake segments were the first phase to be constructed within a 5-phase plan. The last section to be considered for development was the Moose-Wilson Corridor, due to the importance of its habitat to many species of wildlife and the pristine, relatively undisturbed nature of the Corridor.

NPCA supports Alternative C because it makes a clear call that for NPS, protection of park resources should be prioritized above all other uses. Currently, there are abundant bicycling opportunities within the Grand Teton National Park with roughly 17 miles connecting Jenny Lake and the parks southern boundary, and an additional 2-mile section being constructed to provide safe highway access to low speed rural Antelope Flats loop road. The park system also connects to the broader Teton County Pathways system offering miles of safe and accessible biking.

Park studies have shown that the park pathways that have been constructed over the past seven years have had numerous adverse effects on wildlife. Research has examined how the GRTE pathways have affected the behavior of black bears, elk, pronghorn, mule deer, moose and songbirds, and now Grizzly bears have been added to that list. Although reactions vary by species, the presence of pathways and use by pedestrians and cyclists have been shown to impact animal movements, patterns, and led to avoidance of habitat adjacent to paths.

Impacts caused by the construction and use of multi-use pathways are significant for many reasons. As shown below besides the considerable direct and indirect impacts to habitat, the use of pathways by people in some locations will increase the risk of dangerous confrontations with wildlife.

"Wildlife hazards associated with pathways would be similar to those associated with trails, with one important exception: bicycles and other wheeled vehicles, which are not permitted on trails but would be permitted on pathways, would be able to move quickly and quietly through the landscape. This would greatly increase the probability of sudden, surprise encounters with and aggressive responses from wildlife. These encounters take place due to the absence of two important mitigating factors: the slow speed of pedestrians and loud noise of motorized vehicles. Areas near noisy streams or where sight distances are minimized by terrain, daylight, or vegetation would have increased hazards, as would using any portion of a pathway after dark. . . . Higher frequencies of encounters can be expected in higher quality habitats for each of the species concerned." (NPS 2006:176 emphasis added)

"In North America, 33 records were found for bicyclist encounters with grizzly bears in which the bear responded aggressively. Five of these occurred on roads used by cars and the remaining occurred on trails or nearby. In most cases, grizzly bears charged or chased bicyclists. In 12 percent (4 of 33) of encounters, bicyclists were injured by grizzly bears; in 75 percent of these cases (3 of 4), injuries were serious (requiring more than 24 hours in a hospital). . . (T)he data suggest that rates of sudden encounters with bears are much higher among bicyclists than pedestrians. . . Most of the encounters documented by Herrero and Herrero (2000) and discussed above occurred on dirt trails where bicycles would be expected to travel more slowly and make more noise than they would on a paved pathway. " (NPS 2006:176)

These studies clearly make the case against the construction of separated pathways along the Moose-Wilson Road, as envisioned in Alternative D, which will create safety hazards for both cyclists and wildlife.

"The construction of non-motorized corridors (both expanded shoulders and multi-use pathways) is expected to result in an increase in non-motorized recreation use in these areas (of GTNP) and is likely to result in increased disturbance impacts and potential for wildlife-human conflicts . . ." (NPS 2006:206)

"Management of grizzly bears and their habitat in Grand Teton National Park follows IGBC guidelines (USFS 1986) and the Park's Human-Bear Management Plan (NPS 1989). . . . The objectives for managing grizzly bears in Grand Teton National Park (NPS 1989) are to;

"Restore and maintain the natural integrity, distribution, and behavior of grizzly bears.

"Provide opportunities for visitors to understand, observe, and appreciate grizzly bears.

"Provide for visitor safety by minimizing bear/human conflicts, by reducing human-generated food sources and by regulating visitor distributions.

. . . . Recreational and administrative facilities, human activities, and human waste (garbage and sewage) in Grand Teton National Park are managed in a manner that minimizes the potential for human-caused grizzly bear mortalities." (NPS 2006:99-100)

The construction of a pathway through the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor would lead to permanent ecological damage as well as the loss of valuable habitat, "Direct effects (of constructing pathways and maintaining existing GTNP roads) include permanent loss of habitat caused by paving or roads and pullouts and the potential for vehicle-caused mortality. Indirect effects from road use and maintenance would include a reduction in habitat effectiveness with the 1,312' (400-m) ZOL." (NPS 2006:198)

Further, it has been noted that,

"Indirect impacts associated with construction and use of the multi-use pathways inside and outside of the roadway corridor by more pedestrians and bicyclists would include human-caused displacement of bears from adjacent areas, potential habituation to humans (Herrero 1985), and possibly other behavior modifications. . . . The creation of non-motorized corridors (i.e., multi-use pathways) is expected to result in an increase in non-motorized use of these areas. Bear-human encounters in these areas would increase because of increased human use and because of the added surprise factor that quiet, non-motorized use represents. . . This is particularly true where roads and pathways traverse habitats where terrain and/or vegetation limit sight distances, or where noise from streams can cover noise of approaching humans. Serious human injuries from such encounters are likely to occur . . ." (NPS 2006:210, emphasis added) "Adding multi-use pathways in this (Moose-Wilson Road) area, along with varied terrain, heavy cover, and several noisy stream

crossings, would escalate the probability of human-grizzly bear encounters and associated human injuries." (Ibid.:211)

In addition, grizzly bear scientists have repeatedly expressed their concerns about the impacts to grizzly bears and an increase in mortality from development in undisturbed habitat.

"An increase in off-trail use associated with pathway access would further reduce habitat effectiveness by an unknown, but potentially moderate, amount at times. Indirect impacts associated with construction and use of the roadsides and multi-use pathways by more pedestrians and bicyclists would include human-caused displacement of bears from adjacent areas, potential habituation to humans (Herrero 1985), and possibly other behavior modifications. Mattson et al. (1992) reported that habituated bears in the GYA were killed from a variety of causes 3.1 times more often than wary bears. Use of the roadsides by more people would make it more difficult for most bears to habituate to this less predictable activity, however, and thus the loss in habitat effectiveness in the road's ZOI (Zone of Impact) could be expected to be greater than (other Alternatives that have fewer miles of pathways). (NPS 2006:199)

The Park Service must consider all categories of effects on wildlife from constructing, expanding or improving roads or pathways through wildlife habitat. Some impacts to wildlife from development may be a shifting away from using certain habitats and, if choices are available, switching to others.

In a study on the effects of a 13km section of a multi-use pathway on black bears in Grand Teton National Park, researchers found, "The pathway resulted in direct loss of wildlife habitat, a new form of human use on the landscape, and a wider zone of human influence. . . . (Additionally) bears altered the way they used the areas near the pathway. Across the study periods, bears showed greater selection for steep slopes and for areas further away from the pathway. . . These behavioral changes allowed the bears to continue to utilize areas near the pathway, while simultaneously reducing their encounter rates with humans. But, the observed shift of activities toward morning, evening, and nighttime hours may potentially increase the likelihood that human-bear encounters would occur during the low light conditions of dawn and dusk; increase the potential for black-grizzly bear encounters near the pathway; and increase the odds of vehicle collisions." (Costello, et al. 2011:Abstract, parentheses added)

In sum, Alternative D allows for a separated bike pathway in the Corridor that could significantly impact sensitive and often unpredictable species such as Grizzly bears. In addition, the construction of a separated bike path would disturb previously undisturbed habitat within the Corridor, fragment wildlife travel routes (both daily and seasonal migration), create disturbance for wildlife and would impact the scenic, historic and cultural values of the Corridor. This proposed and essentially new human use of the Corridor also poses serious threats to human health and safety.

NPCA supports vehicle limits and caps identified in Alternative C based on wildlife concerns:

"The grizzly has a broad range of habitat tolerance. Contiguous, relatively undisturbed mountainous habitat having a high level of topographic and vegetative diversity characterizes most areas where the species remains. Habitat loss and direct and indirect human-caused mortality is related to the decline in numbers." (USFWS Grizzly Bear Recovery Plan 1993:ii)

In the absence of appropriate behavior and action, however, interaction with bears can negatively affect humans by causing injury or property damage. Humans also can negatively affect bears by displacing them from important habitat, changing their activity patterns, changing their habitats, or when conflicts occur, leading to the destruction or relocation of bears. (MacHutcheon and Wellwood 2002.) (MacHutcheon 2014:19)

Researchers have also studied the impact of the number of vehicles using a road corridor in relation to its impacts on Grizzly bears. Bears are very sensitive to human and automobile activity and tend to move out of critical habitat areas and demonstrate avoidance behavior when uses exceed tolerance threshold levels.

In a study of the grizzly population in the Swan Mountains of northwest Montana, "Few bears exhibited selection towards habitats near roads having >60 vehicles per day. This proximal avoidance of roads has been demonstrated elsewhere (Tracey 1977; Harding & Nagy 1980; Archibald, Ellis & Hamilton 1987; Mattson et al. 1987; McLellan & Shackleton 1988; Kasworm & Manley 1990)." (Mace et al. 1996:1403)

Grizzlies "are quite sensitive to the presence of roads, avoiding those with traffic levels as low as 10 vehicles per day in the Northern Continental Divide Recovery Area (Mace et al. 1996). In Alberta, grizzlies were found to avoid roads with moderate traffic (20-100 vehicles per day) and strongly avoid higher traffic volume roads (>100 vehicles per day) at all times (Northrup et al. 2012)." (Rutherford et al. 2013:6)

Some highways that prevent wildlife crossings can create "a clear genetic barrier." (Rutherford, et al. 2013:26) In a study on a highway of "about 2,000 vehicles per day" Waller and Servheen (2005) "identified 100 vehicles per hour as the threshold at which highways become a significant barrier to grizzly bear movement." (Rutherford, et al. 2013:26)

NPCA is concerned that adaptive management within the Corridor could be influenced by political and business interests to raise the cap on vehicles in Preferred Alternative C. As shown below, even relatively small increases in traffic can affect Grizzly bear use of the Corridor.

"Roads appear to affect grizzly behavior even at very low traffic volumes (20 VPD), although some studies show little impact of roads even up to several hundred VPD." (Ibid.:27) "(G)eneral thresholds for road impacts on grizzlies and other carnivores were estimated (to be): <200 AADT (Annual Average Daily Traffic) (there is) Minimal Concern; 200 - 3,000 AADT Some Concern, and over 3,000 AADT there is Heavy to Highest Concern," of affects to grizzly behavior. (Adapted from Rutherford, et al. 2013:27)

Potential Impacts of Pathways Development on Deer and Elk:

Studies show that when roads and paved pathways are constructed or "improved" (i.e., expanded) through wildlife habitat, it diminishes the ecological function inherent in those habitats prior to disturbance.

"(A)ll wildlife is affected by habitat fragmentation. Game animals such as deer and elk rely on access to wintering areas; migratory fish depend on spawning access, and birds on nesting and feeding habitats. Linkage zones can also act as 'fire escapes' if a core area undergoes dramatic environmental change. Linkage zones are not simply travel corridors, but are habitats that support carnivores' feeding and behavioral activities in intervening areas between core regions of habitat. They tend to support low density populations of resident wildlife that have seasonal movements." (Proctor, et al. 2008:5)

Potential Impacts of Pathways Development on Canada lynx:

Grand Teton National Park must protect Canada lynx and lynx habitat in the Moose-Wilson Corridor Comprehensive Management Plan.

"The Canada Lynx is a federally threatened species under the ESA as of 2000." While considered rare in Wyoming, Grand Teton National Park is included in the historical record of confirmed observations in northwest and western Wyoming. "During the summer of 2004, a male lynx translocated to Colorado traveled through YNP and Grand Teton National Park (K. Murphy 2003, pers comm)." (NPS 2006: 95)

"Conifer habitats represent potential habitat for lynx. The two segments of (proposed) roadway realignment and the multi-use pathway along the Moose-Wilson would result in a direct loss of 1.4 acres (0.6ha of conifer forest vegetation types. . . . Constructing the pathway within the road corridor along the Moose-Wilson Road would reduce impacts to lynx habitat by a small amount. . . . Disturbance impacts to lynx could occur from noise and human presence associated with construction and use of shoulders and pathways." (NPS 2006: 208)

#### Potential Impacts of Pathways Development Mountain lions:

Mountain lions are known to exist in Grand Teton National Park, including in the Moose-Wilson Corridor.

In a study in a California state park compared cougar (puma) activity and habitat use in relation to human use of the park researchers found, "Based on puma and human activity patterns, risk of a puma-human encounter was greatest during the evening. . . . (No pumas) appeared to be attracted to human activity areas. Pumas that did show detectable responses to human activity may have been exhibiting some level of habituation; if so, this level of habituation did not result in puma-human conflicts." The authors recommended, "Management personnel can take a proactive approach to deal with puma-human interactions through education and protocols that help to minimize probability of conflicts; this may provide the best chance for a continued puma presence in habitat used by pumas and people." (Sweanor, et al. 2008:Abstract, in NPS 2014:68-69)

#### Potential Impacts of Pathways Development on Gray Wolves:

The gray wolf is now protected under the Endangered Species Act. "On September 23, 2014, the Federal District Court for the District of Columbia vacated the delisting of wolves in Wyoming under the Endangered Species Act (ESA). . . . the effect of the decision is the reinstatement of Federal protections that were in place prior to (delisting)." (FWS 2015:Update, Gray Wolves in the Northern Rocky Mountains website, parentheses added.)

Individuals and packs of gray wolves are known to exist in Grand Teton National Park including the Moose-Wilson Corridor and the Park Service must protect wolves in the Comprehensive Management Plan.

"Key components of wolf habitat include the following: (1) a sufficient, year-round prey base of ungulates and alternate prey; (2) suitable and somewhat secluded denning and rendezvous sites; and (3) sufficient space with minimal exposure to humans (USFWS 1987)." (NPS 2006:101)

Wolves can be affected by development. "Direct effects (of development) include permanent loss of habitat caused by paving of roads and pullouts and the potential for vehicle-caused mortality." (NPS 2006:201) "Other indirect effects to wolves include human-caused displacement from areas adjacent to roads, possible habituation to humans, and possibly other behavior modifications." (Ibid.: 202) Wolves have been killed by vehicle collisions in Grand Teton National Park. (Ibid.: Table 14, p.107)

In Jasper National Park, Alberta, Canada, "Wolves crossed all roads, trails and the railway line 9.7% Is often than expected, but avoided crossing high-use roads more than low-use trails. Surprisingly, trails affected movement behavior of wolves equally, if not more, than roads. These results suggest that although roads and trails in this study were not absolute barriers to wolf movement, they altered wolf movements across their territories." (Whittington, et al. 2004:Abstract)

#### Potential Impacts of Pathways Development on Wolverine:

"The rare wolverine is a species of conservation concern that has been petitioned for listing as an endangered species. Threats include increasing winter recreational activities in their habitat, loss and fragmentation of habitat, and fur harvest." (Greater Yellowstone Science Learning Center 2015: Greater Yellowstone Wolverine Program website.)

"Wolverines occur in low densities in (GTNP). As part of a study by the Wildlife Conservation Society, several wolverines were captured and radio-marked in recent years. At least two reproductive females are known to have home ranges that include the Park (Wildlife Conservation Service, unpublished data)." (NPS 2006: 13, parentheses added)

Based on this ongoing research, one can draw the conclusion that the Moose-Wilson Corridor could also provide quality habitat for wolverines, and could be affected by separated pathways, increased traffic volume and high levels of use.

"(W)olverines are expected to share many of the same habitat requirements as grizzlies, such as forest cover and low levels of human development, including roads (Inman et al. 2013). Although in some cases wolverines have been observed to readily cross roads (Moriarty et al. 2009, Inman et al. 2009), many studies document avoidance of roads, reluctance to cross approached roads, and possibly road mortality (US DOI 2013)." (Rutherford et al. 2013:29)

#### Potential Impacts of Pathways Development on Amphibians and Reptiles:

Amphibians and reptiles are sometimes not given the appropriate attention when federal agencies craft management plans for public lands. These cold-blooded wildlife are already experiencing impacts from climate change, and diminishing wetlands. Grand Teton National Park must afford amphibians and reptiles the highest consideration and conservation measures to ensure their persistence and recovery into the future.

Four species of amphibians have been recorded in the Moose-Wilson corridor area of Grand Teton National Park. (Figure 2 in Ray, 2014). The boreal toad, boreal chorus frog, western tiger salamander and the Columbia spotted frog (Ray, 2014:16) occur in Grand Teton. The Moose-Wilson Corridor in Grand Teton Park is of particular interest because it is the only amphibian "hotspot" in Grand Teton Park "where four amphibian species have been documented as breeding in a catchment." (Ray, et al. 2014: Figure 2)

Reptiles known to occur in Grand Teton Park are the wandering garter snake, valley garter snake, rubber boa, and the northern sagebrush lizard. (Koch and Peterson 1995:125, 131, 107 & 99 respectively.) Both species of garter snakes are found in or near water (Ibid.:124, 135). Rubber boas can be found, "lying in or along a trail paralleling a stream." (Ibid.:107) The northern sage brush is the only lizard known to exist in Yellowstone and Grand Teton National Parks. (Ibid.:95) One of the locations it has been recorded in Grand Teton Park is on the west bank of the Snake River north of Moose. (Ibid.:99) Therefore, even for the reptiles of Grand Teton Park, conservation of water resources are critical.

The Park Service is considering Alternatives for the Management Plan that may allow for the construction of separated paved multi-use pathways, plus turnouts, new parking lots, and hiking and horseback trails in the Moose-Wilson Corridor. All of these human use routes, both paved and unpaved, will pose a threat to amphibians and reptiles due to direct and indirect habitat loss, trampling and roadkill.

Potential Impacts of Pathways Development on Birds:

Birds are among the most popular and easily seen wildlife species in Grand Teton National Park.

"Grand Teton National Park provides habitat for a variety of wildlife species, including . . . 299 bird" species. (NPS 2006:105)

"Neotropical migratory birds that occur in Grand Teton National Park include raptors, passerines, and shorebirds that breed in North America but migrate to Mexico and Central and South America for the winter." (NPS 2006:102) "(N)eotropical migratory bird populations have experienced declines throughout the last several decades. Many reasons are responsible for these declines including habitat fragmentation and loss, land-use changes in both breeding and wintering habitats (Nicholoff 2003), a reduction in migratory stop-over habitat (Robinson 1997), pollution, and increases in predators and nest parasitism. . . ." (Ibid.)

Many species of birds in Grand Teton Park are protected by longstanding conservation laws of the highest order:

"All migratory birds in the Park are protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (16 USC 7030), enacted in 1918. This Act prohibits the taking of any migratory birds, their parts, nests, or eggs. Removal of nests or nest trees is prohibited but may be allowed once young have fledged and/or a permit from USFWS has been issued." (NPS 2006:102)

Besides the more obvious impacts to birds and their habitats from multi-use pathways and roads, people on foot, in boats, and on horseback may affect the life cycles and habitat use of birds:

"Human intrusion into habitat can have a significant impact on birds. The mere presence of people can reduce avian fecundity, fitness, and survival (Rodgers and Smith 1995; Hill et al. 1997). . . . Miller and Hobbs (2000) also reported that recreational trails and human disturbance may affect nesting success of certain species." (Smith 2002:10)

Even though some developments such as roads, pathways and trails appear to affect a relatively small area due to their linear nature, habitat is removed and the adverse consequences are significant to birds., particularly those species that are interior rather than edge species.

"In general, when a disturbance corridor is cut through a forested area, interior-forest dwelling birds avoid the corridor and forested habitats along its edge. Birds that are considered habitat generalists become more common along the corridor." (Jalkotzy et al. 1997: 102) "Traffic volume affects the degree to which birds avoid roads." (Ibid.:103) "The effects of forest fragmentation as a result of the linear developments can result in declines in species numbers and abundance within the remnant forests." (Ibid.) "The northern spotted owl is a well-studied example of an old growth forest-dependent raptor that is negatively affected by fragmentation." (Ibid.)

The construction of the multi-use pathway in Grand Teton Park impacted sagebrush obligate birds. In contrast to Alternative C, under Alternative B and D, moving the Moose-Wilson Road east (and under Alt D, a multiuse pathway), would result in further habitat quality degradation, loss, and fragmentation in the newly developed road corridor through the sagebrush flats. In addition, wildlife behavior disturbances would result from visitor activity on and off designated trails near the wildlife viewing areas. (DEIS:376)

"The primary impact of the new pathways on nesting sagebrush birds therefore appears to be habitat loss and effective habitat loss, as gauged by the tendency of birds to avoid nesting near the transportation corridor post-pathway construction, with no evidence of acclimation over time." (Chalfoun 2011:2)

Mitigations for impacts caused by development

There may be opportunities to mitigate some of the impacts caused by traffic and development in the Moose-Wilson Corridor but the highest standard of protection, that resources must be "unimpaired" for future generations, makes the option of no development the option that may enable the Park Service to best implement their mission. Due to their fragile nature or scant tolerance of disturbance, or due to stress already imposed by climate change or extensive habitat alterations, some wildlife species and habitat types require a high level of protection in the form of undisturbed space from the National Park Service and others. It is the responsibility of the Park Service to assess the resources in the Moose-Wilson Corridor using contemporary scientific research and craft a Comprehensive Management Plan that ensures that no unnecessary or undue harm is imposed. Mitigations must be carefully considered before they become part of the plan.

If development occurs, there are some design elements or use restrictions that can be incorporated that may diminish impacts. However, the Park Service must carefully consider all the species in the Moose-Wilson Corridor to make sure that mitigations that may benefit some species do not unduly harm others.

"Increased curvilinearity in a disturbance corridor probably increases connectivity between bordering habitats since sight lines are shorter; winding roads through forested habitat affect connectivity less than long, straight seismic lines." (Jalkotzy 1997:4) "Curvilinearity should be increased where possible . . ." (Ibid.:11)

However, a winding road or pathway may decrease sight distances and increase the risk of dangerous human-wildlife encounters.

"Generally, it is better to concentrate recreational use rather than disperse it." (Hellmund Associates 1998:21)

"By reducing the levels of human use in a landscape over a given period, the deleterious cumulative effects of several disturbance activities occurring at the same time can be avoided." (Jalkotzy 1997:10) "The most powerful tool available to reduce the effects of disturbance corridors on wildlife is access management, the control of human use of the development corridor. . . . All resource users need to accept the basic tenet that disturbance corridors are detrimental to wildlife and increased human use of these corridors increases the number and severity of detrimental effects." (Ibid:11 emphasis added)

In Denali National Park, authors of a study analyzing bear-human conflicts, "report a decline in bear-inflicted injuries, property damage, and bears obtaining anthropogenic food after implementation of the bear-human conflict management plan. . . . The authors note that the road restrictions and other enforcement actions allowed the park to better manage visitor behavior ultimately leading to a reduction in problematic bear-human encounters." (Schirokauer & Boyd. 1998, in NPS 2013:9)

The use of bear brigades and park ranger presence at wildlife jams has helped substantially to decrease wildlife conflicts in the park and keep visitors safe.

This on-the-ground presence also provides direct visitor contact to help raise awareness among park visitors.

In recent years, (Grand Teton National Park), like Yellowstone National Park to the north (Gunther et al. 1999, Haroldson and Gunther 2013), have put less emphasis on direct management of roadside bears and more emphasis on managing people at bear jams. When a bear-jams (sic) is reported or detected, Park Rangers, or, since 2007, Wildlife Brigade staff are dispatched to monitor visitor behavior and prevent visitors from feeding bears or approaching them too closely (Wilmot and Cain 2012). They also have used no stopping zones and temporary closures to reduce the need to haze, capture, move, or destroy bears that frequent roadside corridors. These management approaches have made human behavior more predictable to bears, prevented bear-inflicted human injuries at bear-jams, and largely prevented people from feeding bears (Haroldson and Gunther 2013). (Id.:28-29)

(M)anagement of people rather than direct management of bears likely has increased the overall amount of habitat in GRTE available for use by bears and reduced the number of management mortalities of bears. (Id.:29)

Visitor Use, Recreational Use and Safety:

From a human impacts standpoint, there is no shortage of user groups interested in specific outcomes in terms of traffic access on the Moose-Wilson Corridor. These include homeowner associations, business associations, corporate and small business interests, various recreational advocacy groups, photographers, wildlife viewing companies and commuters trying to bypass traffic jams on county and town roads. Cumulatively, all of these interest groups are requesting access and accommodation within the corridor. Safety could be enhanced in the following ways:

"The road closures initiated by the NPS in response to bear use on the road should be kept in place. During this time, the road closures should remain for all Corridor users.

"Increase use of bear brigades and increase ranger presence when wildlife jams occur provide important opportunities to raise awareness among park visitors and avoid conflicts.

"Consolidate parking areas to reduce impacts along roadsides and eliminate unofficial parking in areas that often block narrow sections of the road; creating potential traffic hazards.

"Reduce speed limits on the road through the Corridor to minimize the incidence of accidents, decrease wildlife mortality from roadkill and increase safety for pedestrians and cyclists sharing the road.

"Add speed bumps along the road to further decrease speed in the Corridor.

"Use digital signage and other traditional signs to warn multiple users of potential road hazards. These will increase bicycle safety by notifying drivers that they will be sharing the road with cyclists. Place signs on blind corners and in steeper sections of the road to enhance safety.

"The DEIS Preferred Alternative C will ultimately create the safest possible experience for cyclists by restricting travel to the newly paved roadway and reducing the speed limit for vehicles. Keeping cyclists on the existing roadway also reduces the risk of human/wildlife conflicts (including both ungulates and carnivores).

During the DEIS analysis, NPS engaged the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) to obtain a biological opinion about the impact of various alternatives being considered under the Corridor Management

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3147	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Schmidt, Jeremy				
<b>Address:</b>	Wilson, WY 83014 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,30,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Although I have some concerns about Option C, I prefer it to the others. I do not want to see a separate paved pathway. I feel that a paved 20mph road with strategically placed wide spots, coupled with vehicular traffic controls, is a safe and minimally intrusive way to handle the demands of different user groups.				

My concerns are mainly logistical. The 200-car limit seems small if many of most of those are parked at trailheads or viewpoints. How do you account for those? The waiting areas at either end of the road could become unpleasant places themselves, with so many idling cars and increasingly frustrated people.

But those are things that can be adjusted, I assume.

Primarily, I oppose a separate paved pathway.

Sincerely,

JS

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3148	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Nelson, Kristine				
<b>Address:</b>	Salt Lake City, UT 84102 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,30,2016 13:40:01				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I, along with my family, am a frequent visitor to Grand Teton National Park. I am writing in support of the National Park Service Preferred Alternative C for the Moose-Wilson Corridor Management Plan. I have consulted with friends and park rangers about what alternative is in the best interest of the park. Below, I echo the thoughts of Grand Teton's park rangers:				

Alternative C best honors the agreements made at the time the Preserve was given to the National Park Service by Laurance Rockefeller. It also manages this portion of the park in the best interest of the resource, placing recreation second in line. The Moose-Wilson Road is prime wildlife and scenic viewing. By paving the south portion and lowering the speed limit, motorists and cyclists will be able to share the corridor safely and enjoy the viewing opportunities. Building a separate multi-use pathway would destroy thousands of trees, increase human disturbance and interrupt elk calving and bear feeding. Numerous multi-use pathways exist both within the park and adjacent to it. The Moose-Wilson Corridor is unique and should be managed with the utmost preservation of the resource in mind.

Limiting total numbers of vehicles during the busiest summer days will enhance visitor experiences on the hiking trails, along the road and at the Preserve. There are alternate routes to other areas, which drivers will have the opportunity to choose either through the town of Jackson or along Spring Gulch Road if they wish to avoid the traffic in Jackson. The peace and scenic beauty of the Moose-Wilson Corridor is a treasure to be carefully preserved as a portion of Grand Teton that is uniquely quiet. The only way to do this is to limit access as is described in Alternative C. Mass transit might be acceptable in small vehicles delivering 15 or fewer people at a time to the destinations, but this should be a last resort

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3149    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Hennessy, Eileen  
**Address:** Melrose, MA 02176  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing to submit my comments for the historic seven-mile Moose-Wilson Road Corridor planning process at Grand Teton National Park to develop a new management plan for this wildlife-rich but heavily driven area, the goal being to protect these important resources while allowing for continuous access to the narrow roadway which is so popular with visitors and wildlife alike, which can lead to traffic jams and wildlife management challenges if not addressed.

I am encouraged by plans to address vehicle traffic issues such as reducing car access especially during busy summer months, as well as lowering the speed limit, adding signage to "share the road", creating bicycle-friendly pavement edges to enable cyclists to leave the road without incident, outreach to inform visitors of wait time for access. Maintaining the current "footprint" of the road with minor changes is important along with extending the length of the "Death Canyon" hiking trail by one mile and replacing damaging unauthorized vehicle pull-offs with a new parking area.

I support Park Service's preferred plan, "Alternative C", as I believe it would be the best protection for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and the wildlife that depends on this area. Choosing a plan that ensures a pleasant visitor experience as well as ameliorates negative impacts to wildlife and natural resources must be a top priority when deciding on a future management plan.

Further, I urge the Park Service to complete a thorough study of transportation options for visitors, such as a small-scale shuttle system in the corridor. Please also ensure that ongoing study and management adjustment in the corridor will occur based on new peer-reviewed science.

Ensuring better protection of wolves, moose, grizzly bears and other wildlife who make this area their home is vital, as is the goal to reduce the possibility of human-wildlife conflicts through outreach to park visitors and road closures when bears are too near the road.

As an American and a tourist, I greatly value the opportunity to visit Grand Teton and the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and I believe it is of paramount importance to protect and preserve our unique wild places so future generations may experience the awesome beauty of this national treasure.

Thank you for your serious consideration of my comments.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3150    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** OHare, William S  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 14:41:20  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I have two major issues with your proposed solution to the Moose-Wilson corridor. First, limiting the number of vehicles in the corridor to 200 does not take into account the number of vehicles parked for several days for backpacking or parked for long day hikes.

Second, I am concerned about moving the Death Canyon trail head more than a hundred yards east. When our boys were younger, a hike to Phelps Lake overlook was one of the best first hikes for a 3 or 4 year old. I would hate to see that be abandoned.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3151    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Lohuis, Mary M  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83002  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** During the process of developing the 4 stated alternatives, you have undertaken an almost insurmountable challenge; addressing the most obvious problems of visitor and vehicular volume, mass transit and the separate path for bikers. All the while considering the fundamental values and

resources which are not always as obvious. While there remain some issues with the Preferred Alternative C that I'm not entirely satisfied with, I feel you have done a superior job melding and combining the widely divergent views present in our community and I commend you and heartily support your Preferred Alternative C. Thanks you for the opportunity to comment.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3152    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Medford, NJ 08055  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Thank you for your efforts to improve the access & experience travelling along the Moose-Wilson road.

Most important to the local community in Jackson, Wilson, Teton Village & Moose of outdoor enthusiasts & visitors with similar affection for the outdoors, any efforts should include adding the bicycle path to complete the wonderful 50 mile bike loop connecting Jackson with the Teton National Park.

The more the local population & visitors cycle the healthier the community including the residents, visitors, wildlife, flora, rivers, land and sky. One of the goals of the National Park system.

Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3153    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cahn, Lorie  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 14:52:20  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support alternative C, but believe it can be improved. I believe the Park Service has done an excellent job prioritizing wildlife and archaeological resources above travel convenience of visitors and recreational values of bicyclists.

However, I am concerned about plans to cut the hawthorne and serviceberry bushes back ("brush back") by the side of the Moose-Wilson road and assertions that any adverse effects can be "mitigated" by planting new bushes to replace lost food sources for the bears. It takes many years to re-establish habitat and in the meantime, a critical autumn food source on which the bears depend would be diminished. It is also unrealistic for the Park Service to think they can successfully establish an equivalent berry supply in a new habitat. There are strong edge effects on habitats in Grand Teton National Park, based on soil type and underlying geology such as paleo-floodplains, moraines, wetlands, etc. The berry bushes have already expanded into suitable habitat. It does not seem realistic for the Park Service to reduce berry bushes from a successful area and increase habitat in an area where the bushes have never colonized on their own. Will the new plants need to be watered until they are established? How will this actually be accomplished? Is it realistic? What will the Park Service do if these efforts fail?

Rather than attempt to brush back and mitigate the berry bushes, the Park Service should consider preemptively closing the road in the Fall whenever the berries become ripe, even if it is prior to the bears moving into the Corridor. This would preserve an important food resource for wildlife and reduce or avoid human/bear conflicts.

Another area of concern is closing the Death Canyon trailhead and entrance road, which are already disturbed, and creating a new large parking lot, in a relatively undisturbed area.

Due to the incredibly unique resource for wildlife that the Corridor provides, I do not support the use of the Corridor as the fastest way between Teton Village and the airport or Moose. For 6 months out of the year, motorists/cyclists already get where they need to go without using the Corridor and are already used to going around. Some options that I believe are viable are 1) cutting off traffic in the middle of the Moose Wilson Road, and having two-way traffic to turn-around areas, or 2) turning the corridor into a one-way road. This latter option provides an opportunity to a walk/bike lane and a driving lane except when passing.

Although I am a cyclist, I do not support building a separate pathway for bikes/walkers and I applaud the Park Service for not bowing to external pressure from special interest groups.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3154    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Brown, Stephen I  
**Address:** Casper and Teton Village, WY 82604  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Grand Teton National Park,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the draft Moose-Wilson Corridor EIS.

Please make the following improvements to the draft EIS:

A. The corridor fails to address those who have regularly traveled from eastern Wyoming to places in Teton Village and bought residences expecting the road open. The longer route in the warmer months will add considerable greenhouse gases also to the plan due to the longer distance driven. Wyoming residents have long been partners and contributors to the park. Allow Wyoming residents with a Teton Village address and a Wyoming resident east of here access by car without long waits.



1. Include a well-designed pathway along Moose-Wilson corridor for park visitors biking, walking and to serve people with disabilities. Build the pathway in two phases:

The draft plan failed to consider the needs of people walking. This is a separate mode of travel from biking, and the NPS should include the option for people to walk along the corridor.

2. Along with adding a pathway, the NPS should partner with the community on an alternative-fuel transit shuttle to provide new options for people and reduce private vehicle pressure and carbon emissions on the Moose-Wilson road.

3. Missing topics that should be addressed:

Include Regional Transportation in the Final EIS. This topic is integral to Moose-Wilson, but was dismissed from consideration. Teton County has adopted a Comprehensive Plan and Integrated Transportation Plan that specifically calls for a regional approach to shared transportation challenges. The NPS should include this significant partnership opportunity in the Moose-Wilson plan.

Address Air Quality and Climate Change. These related topics should not have been dismissed in the EIS. Both are very important to include in the Moose-Wilson Corridor plan. The NPS has a responsibility to protect air quality in the National Park, and to take positive steps like the pathway to help combat climate change in this plan. By forcing vehicles to go to the Teton Village by the considerably longer route adds to greenhouse gases.

4. Revise the 550-person visitor limit system, which lacks a rational basis to support the visitor use capacity determination. The DEIS fails to connect the proposed use levels to any natural resource impacts or outcome. Manage private motor vehicles to a reasonable limit, and allow more people when they choose alternative modes. Personally A BYPASS AND SWITCHING BOTH ROADS TO ONE WAY WOULD BE A MUCH MORE REASONABLE SOLUTION.

5. Winter access. The NPS should improve the winter season elements in the final decision and allow grooming the unplowed sections of Moose Wilson road and new pathway in the winter. A groomed trail would enhance winter access for Nordic skiing, walking, snowshoes, and fat bikes on the road and pathway. This is another area where partnerships are feasible to assist the park.

Sincerely,

Stephen Brown  
Casper, WY and Teton Village, WY

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3155      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Peschcke-Koedt, Nina D  
**Address:** Redwood City, CA 94063  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I would very much like to see the Moose-Wilson Road left alone. For the wildlife and we who love our National Parks. There is too much building, adding, changing. There are plenty of bike paths and paths in general in Grand Teton National Park and the surrounding area. Let us have some nature left. I live most of the year in Jackson now and have been enjoying GTNP for 58 years. There have been so many wonderful additions and ideas to make the park more accessible and roomy for all who love to come here. BUT...I have seen and felt the impact on the natural systems and the wildlife all the new recreational constructing has caused. ENOUGH! Let's leave a little for our future generations to enjoy and learn from.

Thank you. Sincerely,  
Nina P. Koedt

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3156      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Brown, Matt T  
**Address:** Hollywood Hills, CA 90068  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Grand Teton National Park,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the draft Moose-Wilson Corridor EIS.

Please make the following improvements to the draft EIS:

A. I grew up in Wyoming and Wyoming has long partnered with the Park and my family have long used the park and contributed to it. The corridor fails to address those who have regularly traveled from eastern Wyoming to places in Teton Village and bought residences expecting the road open. The longer route in the warmer months will add considerable greenhouse gases also to the plan due to the longer distance driven. Wyoming residents have long been partners and contributors to the park. Allow Wyoming residents with a Teton Village address and a Wyoming resident east of here access by car without long waits.

1. Include a well-designed pathway along Moose-Wilson corridor for park visitors biking, walking and to serve people with disabilities. Build the pathway in two phases:

The draft plan failed to consider the needs of people walking and is needed.

2. Along with adding a pathway, the NPS should partner with the community on an alternative-fuel transit shuttle to provide new options for people and reduce private vehicle pressure and carbon emissions on the Moose-Wilson road.

3. Missing topics that should be addressed:

Include Regional Transportation in the Final EIS. This topic is integral to Moose-Wilson, but was dismissed from consideration. Teton County has adopted a Comprehensive Plan and Integrated Transportation Plan that specifically calls for a regional approach to shared transportation challenges. The NPS should include this significant partnership opportunity in the Moose-Wilson plan.

Address Air Quality and Climate Change. These related topics should not have been dismissed in the EIS. Both are very important to include in the Moose-Wilson Corridor plan. The NPS has a responsibility to protect air quality in the National Park, and to take positive steps like the pathway to help combat climate change in this plan. By forcing vehicles to go to the Teton Village by the considerably longer route adds to greenhouse gases.

4. Revise the 550-person visitor limit system, which lacks a rational basis to support the visitor use capacity determination. The DEIS fails to connect the proposed use levels to any natural resource impacts or outcome. Manage private motor vehicles to a reasonable limit, and allow more people when they choose alternative modes. Personally A BYPASS AND SWITCHING BOTH ROADS TO ONE WAY WOULD BE A MUCH MORE REASONABLE SOLUTION.

5. Winter access. The NPS should improve the winter season elements in the final decision and allow grooming the unplowed sections of Moose Wilson road and new pathway in the winter. A groomed trail would enhance winter access for Nordic skiing, walking, snowshoes, and fat bikes on the road and pathway. This is another area where partnerships are feasible to assist the park.

Sincerely,

Matt Brown  
Los Angeles, CA

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3157	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Brendsel, Leland C				
<b>Address:</b>	Jackson, WY 83001 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,30,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>					

My wife and I are long-time residents of Teton County and visitors to Grand Teton Park.

We support additional actions to protect wildlife and enhance the wildlife corridor. We strongly oppose any changes to the road that will increase traffic. We support leaving the road unpaved and taking additional measures to limit road usage by motorized vehicles.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3158	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Sullivan, Mark				
<b>Address:</b>	Jackson, WY 83014 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,30,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I support the parks preferred alternative. Do what's best for wildlife. The human footprint on our park is big enough. Leave some space for the bears. Thanks park service for your excellent handling of this controversial issue.				

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3159	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Seligmann, Monica E				
<b>Address:</b>	Easton, PA 18042 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,30,2016 15:56:10				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I am disturbed by the idea of making ANY changes to the current structure of the Moose Wilson Corridor. This is an area I have visited every few years for 30 years, and the growth of wildlife in the recent years has been exciting and remarkable.				

All should be left as is, INCLUDING the proposed widening of the road to Teton Village. This will negatively impact wildlife, peacefulness, air quality.

The increase of horse trailer parking in addition is a terrible idea!

IF any alternative other than A must be undertaken, I am against the Pathways. This area of the park is not so open for vistas as other areas within the main part of the park. It is more confined, with trees and forests, and smaller meadows. And due to this, more wildlife gathering in these areas in the past 5 years.

It is a much greater risk to increase the numbers of walkers and riders who will be exposed to interaction with bears and moose and wolves and elk. Folks not familiar with national parks arriving at Teton Village will rent bikes and horses and take off and too quickly be in the midst of wilderness, zipping along in City like speed and obliviousness with earbuds and not listening to the sounds around them or being attentive to the wildlife.

Personally I would also like to know how a property owner within this area will be able to access her home if there is queuing to enter from TV end of the park. Will I be able to bypass the queue??

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3160      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Resor, William B  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 15:56:18  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I would like to thank the Park Service for all the work that has gone into this public process. Even though I see significant problems with the Preferred Alternative, I would first like to list what I see as some of the major benefits it would provide. By adding a northern entrance station, the Park Service will be able to adaptively manage traffic on the Moose Wilson Road. This is clearly necessary to maintain the character of the road.

The relocation of the northern end of the road so that it intersects opposite the Chapel of Transfiguration Road is also a major improvement both for traffic flow and for wildlife. The development at Park Headquarters creates a significant impediment to wildlife flow up and down the west side of the Snake River. By realigning this small road segment the major wildlife crossing that is near the existing tollbooth will now have only one road crossing through it instead of two.

The Preferred Alternative also preserves the historic, narrow, irregular alignment of the Moose Wilson Road, particularly the section from the LSR Preserve entrance south to the old south entrance to the JY Ranch. This steep, winding section of road is historic and is much enjoyed by visitors to the park.

The Preferred Alternative will also result in paving the short gravel section of the road. This gravel section has gradually become wider and wider. Now the Park Service will be able to narrow it up so that it matches the rest of the road. In addition, the dust coating, which has killed many trees along this section, will no longer be necessary.

In other areas, I disagree with the Preferred Alternative. Below, I describe what I see as the best choice for each part of this complex set of decisions. As requested by Superintendent Vela, I have tried to give my reasons why I believe my recommendations are in the best interest of the park, its resources, and its visitors.

#### Safety and Future Flexibility.

Unfortunately, there are aspects to the Preferred Alternative that are not optimal for visitor safety or enjoyment. Clearly the Park Service has designed the Preferred Alternative to err on the side of resource protection, which is an appropriate objective. It is also clear that the Park Service prefers this alternative because it is the least expensive both to construct and operate, and the Park Service has been chronically underfunded both for capital improvements and for operation, for decades. Although I completely understand that real-world consideration, I hope you can retain flexibility to add improvements that may be warranted, and funded, in the future.

There are two major choices in the Preferred Alternative that may turn out to be misguided in the long run. The first is the decision to not relocate the section of the road along the wetlands. The second is to not construct a separate pathway bypassing the steep historic section of the road from the LSR gate south to the old south JY Ranch gate. Only a few years ago, also after careful analysis, the Park Service came to the opposite conclusion from what you are proposing now on each of these issues. I realize that this is based on changing wildlife conditions and additional knowledge of archaeological sites and, although this is not explicit, continued lack of sufficient funding. However, you are making the wrong choice on both of these decisions. Why? Visitor safety and enjoyment. For these two decisions, Alternative D would be significantly safer for visitors and result in fewer closures due to bear-human interactions. In addition, the road realignment would clearly benefit wildlife, outweighing any negative effects of the pathway.

If the final decision is still as shown in the Preferred Alternative, please realize that conditions may change again. Maintaining the road along the wetlands may turn out to be unworkable as it often has been during berry season. Further investigation of the archaeological site and a detailed mapping of hawthorn trees may allow a relocation of the road, and better protect resources and increase visitor safety. A thoughtful design in such a critical location might attract funding, both for a full archeological study and road realignment.

The decision to abandon the idea of a separate pathway around the historic section of the road is likely to be unsafe. Regardless of what the Park Service intends, the Moose Wilson Road will be used more and more by inexperienced bicyclists. This section of road has grades over 10%. That does not meet any standard for a pathway. This section may be too dangerous as bicycle use increases. There may be some added danger by having bicyclists on a pathway that is not adjacent to a road. However, to date, no bicyclist has been killed by grizzly bear, but two have died in the park when hit by vehicles.

In reaching a final decision, regardless of what it is, I hope the National Park Service can incorporate as much flexibility as possible. Adaptive Management is a good policy, but it should allow not only changes in management, but also future changes to improvements as a situation changes. These two parts of the Preferred Alternative, where resource protection has outweighed visitor safety, may turn out to be unworkable in the long run. As part of Adapted Management the Park Service should retain the option of changing the design in the future based upon changing conditions, without necessitating another full EIS process. Since all the improvements proposed in the DEIS are subject to funding, the Park Service cannot predict which improvements will be implemented when. It may be over a decade before some of the improvements are constructed. This is another reason to retain flexibility, since conditions are likely to change further over the years.

#### Transit.

The lack of a robust discussion of transit is the single greatest omission in this DEIS. Throughout the document, visitor use is quantified as 2.7 persons per

vehicle. The impact of two or three people in a car is not one third of the impact of nine people in a larger vehicle. This is especially true when people do not get out of the vehicle. Adaptive Management should consider real impacts, not ones based on false assumptions. The goal should be to allow increase in visitor use in this part of the park while decreasing impacts. Transit is the key. Road closures due to wildlife are likely to continue to occur. Instead of making these absolute road closures, the Park Service should allow vehicles that are driven by concessionaires. This would include private tours such as run by the Teton Science Schools, but also should include public transit that might run vans between Teton Village and Moose. Imagine a van full of tourists seeing bears up close for the first time in their lives from the safety of a van. This would be comparable to tours in the Serengeti.

#### Complete Separate Pathway, Particularly for Steep, Dangerous Road Sections.

As stated above, the Park Service should still support building a separate pathway that bypasses the historic section of road from the LSR Preserve entrance south to the old south entrance to the JY Ranch. In addition, I support a complete and separate pathway as shown in alternative D. Why is a complete pathway the right decision? It would provide the greatest visitor safety and visitor enjoyment and would create a safe and easy option for many visitors who would otherwise use a private vehicle. Yes, a pathway through this area would require additional management expense and occasional closures due to wild life, but it would provide much greater visitor enjoyment and engagement and allow much more flexibility for Adaptive Management.

The Moose Wilson Road with transit and a complete pathway parallel to it offers the National Park Service an opportunity to move towards its over-arching goal of increasing diversity in the visitation to National Parks. This will not only be a path used by experienced bicyclists, but, like the path to Jenny Lake, it will be used by a great diversity of individuals and families.

I realize that this pathway would increase impacts on wildlife habitat. I believe the existing decision document considered that and came to a reasonable balance by proposing a separate pathway for the steep, winding, historic section. Note that by following the old R Lazy S road and then crossing over to the levee access road, this pathway could be constructed almost entirely on existing gravel road beds. The other steep section of road is just south of Moose, where the Moose Wilson Road climbs the dugway onto the bench above the Sawmill Ponds. A separate pathway should be considered to bypass this section, using the old road dugway that is farther east.

I prefer a complete pathway for visitor enjoyment and management flexibility. However, for visitor safety, at least the steep sections of the road should have separate pathways. This is the fore-country of a National Park, where even inexperienced visitors should feel safe and welcomed.

#### Adaptive Management of Private Vehicles.

Although I strongly support the objective of limiting private vehicles, I believe that the details need much more study, and implementation will need great flexibility. For example, a number of small parking areas along the Moose Wilson Road have not been taken into account, such as the horse trailer parking near the south entrance and the parking lot at the junction with the Death Canyon Road. The limit of 200 cars at one time in the corridor still does not make sense to me. I do not understand how cars that are parked in the corridor for long periods will be taken into account.

Most importantly, it is an erroneous and simplistic notion that 2.7 persons per vehicle times the number of vehicles directly relates to the overall carrying capacity for the corridor. This needs much more study. As stated above, multi-passenger vans should be encouraged so that more people can visit the corridor with less impact. Toward this end, the entrance stations at each end should allow multi-passenger vans to bypass any queue. Bicycles should also be allowed to bypass. Further carrying capacity and visitor enjoyment studies should be used to see if and when a true carrying capacity is reached for visitors, not simply based upon vehicles.

#### Death Canyon Trailhead.

The death Canyon trailhead should be relocated roughly as shown in alternative B, but slightly closer to the existing trailhead. However the number of parking spaces should be as shown in alternative C. When designing the layout of this parking lot, the Park Service should consider terminating it at the corner where the loading ramp for stock trucks was located, about 1000 feet back from the existing end of the road/parking area. This area is well drained, and a series of parking areas could be established with this as the farthest one and other lots stepping back towards White Grass Ranch.

Why should the trailhead be located here and not as shown in the Preferred Alternative? The hike from this trailhead, especially if one is just going to the Phelps Lake Overlook, is very popular for multigenerational groups, inexperienced hikers, and hikers of limited physical ability. By moving the parking area to this location, this enjoyable half-day hike will be less than one-quarter mile longer. Since the road will no longer access the Ranger Cabin, hikers will still be immediately on the trail and away from vehicles. In contrast, the Preferred Alternative would have hikers paralleling the access road to the White Grass Ranch for about one-half mile. No one enjoys starting a hike only to have an "authorized vehicle" drive past you on a road that you are not allowed to use. This would not only lengthen the hike significantly, but also would change the character of the hike substantially, making it much less desirable for the variety of people that it now serves. The Preferred Alternative inadvertently favors the fittest hikers over the variety of hikers that now use this trail.

By locating the parking lot approximately as shown in Alternative B, the Park service can still reclaim all of the access road that now crosses the streams from Stewart Draw, and the parallel section of roads is still consolidated. This Modified Alternative B retains only a slight additional road as compared to the Preferred Alternative, but is a much better result for a diversity of Park visitors.

Thanks for your consideration.

Sincerely, Bill Resor

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3161 **Project:** 48252 **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, N/A

**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Alternative C seems to be the most appropriate choice to accommodate both land, animals and humans in this beautiful environment. Let's make this an accessible, safe and protected area for all.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3162    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Simms, Barbara V  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Regarding the decision the Park Service will be making about the road section between Moose and Wilson, Wyoming:

We are pleased with the commitments our Community has made in recent years to construct and maintain a number of bike paths that link different areas of the Valley, allowing and encouraging a safer means of travel other than motorized vehicles. However, we do not support an additional bike path along the Moose-Wilson Road.

The Moose-Wilson road is a gem within Grand Teton National Park. We view it as a special place to appreciate the creatures and the habitat in which they live, rather than a means of getting from Point A to Point B. The narrow, twisty, dusty or muddy, rocky road is appropriate for sightseeing along the way and, we believe, in keeping with the LSR and the mission of GTNP. Improving the road for safety and comfort is fine IF the speed can be kept L-O-W.

Two additional points - safety and accessibility. Given the presence of moose and bear along this corridor, we are concerned about the safety of both bikers and animals, and we already have a bike pathway that links Teton Village to Jackson to Moose to Jenny Lake.

Thank you,  
Barbara & John Simms

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3163    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Anderson, Jean  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 16:42:51  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Having reviewed the Draft Moose-Wilson Corridor Comprehensive Management Plan / Environmental Impact Statement in some detail, we applaud the GTNP Moose-Wilson Planning Team for its thorough description and analysis of the issues, and we strongly support adoption of the Park's preferred alternative C. In our view, that alternative best preserves what is so special about the Moose-Wilson corridor--its unique scenic beauty and intimacy with nature--while ensuring opportunities for public use and enjoyment and protecting wildlife.

In particular, we oppose construction of a pathway through the corridor, which has been urged on the Park by a well organized local group of cycling enthusiasts and commercial interests in Teton Village. But the Park belongs to all Americans, not just to local, special interests. The pathway would approximately double the human disturbance area, require the cutting of thousands of trees, and replace acres of natural vegetation with acres of pavement, thus irreparably harming the natural and historic character of the corridor that we believe should be preserved for the public at large now and in the future.

Respectfully submitted,

Jean Anderson  
Pete Wales  
Wilson, Wyoming

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3164    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bishop, Mike  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83002  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** WHERE'S THE BIKE PATH?

Haven't you boxed yourself into a corner? You've already built 15 (?) miles of bike path (with the Town providing another 30 miles). There's only 8 miles to go before a magnificent loop is created... one that would be the envy of the entire National Park System. If you finish the loop, the bike path system will be cherished for generations, and will be a monument to the progressive ideals of the National Park Service.

BUT IF YOU DON'T BUILD IT, A GENERATION WILL SEE THE GAP AND MARVEL AT THE INCOMPETENCE OF GOVERNMENT.

We all know that the path is to be paid for with private funds. You can't plead poverty. The only reason not to build the path is your incomprehensible objections.

So it's your choice: Do something great! or be an example of how stupid government can be. The choice seems pretty easy to me.

Mike Bishop

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3165	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Brown, Helen				
<b>Address:</b>	Casper, WY 82604				
	United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,30,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Grand Teton National Park,				

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the draft Moose-Wilson Corridor EIS.

It is wonderful that there will be improvements to the Moose-Wilson Road. I hope that all good ideas and comments will be thoroughly vetted.

Please make the following improvements to the draft EIS:

1. The corridor fails to address those who have regularly traveled from eastern Wyoming to places in Teton Village and bought residences expecting the road to be available as it has been in the past.

This also includes residents and families and employees in nearby areas that regularly support and visit the park and use the road as the most direct route. The longer route in the warmer months will add considerable greenhouse gases also to the plan due to the longer distances driven. Wyoming residents have long been partners and contributors to the park.

Allow Wyoming residents with a Teton Village address ( and nearby) and a Wyoming resident east of here access by car without long waits.

2. Include a well-designed pathway along Moose-Wilson corridor for park visitors biking, walking and to serve people with disabilities. There have been numerous comments supporting this issue. I believe this is a huge safety issue in addition to a usage issue.

Build the pathway in two phases:

The draft plan failed to consider the needs of people walking. This is a separate mode of travel from biking, and the NPS should include the option for people to walk along the corridor.

3. Along with adding a pathway, the NPS should partner with the community on an alternative-fuel transit shuttle to provide new options for people and reduce private vehicle pressure and carbon emissions on the Moose-Wilson road.

4. Include Regional Transportation in the Final EIS. This topic is integral to Moose-Wilson, but was dismissed from consideration. Teton County has adopted a Comprehensive Plan and Integrated Transportation Plan that specifically calls for a regional approach to shared transportation challenges. The NPS should include this significant partnership opportunity in the Moose-Wilson plan.

5. Address Air Quality and Climate Change. These related topics should not have been dismissed in the EIS. Both are very important to include in the Moose-Wilson Corridor plan. The NPS has a responsibility to protect air quality in the National Park, and to take positive steps like the pathway to help combat climate change in this plan. By forcing vehicles to go to the Teton Village by the considerably longer route adds to greenhouse gases.

6. Revise the 550-person visitor limit system, which lacks a rational basis to support the visitor use capacity determination. The DEIS fails to connect the proposed use levels to any natural resource impacts or outcome. Manage private motor vehicles to a reasonable limit, and allow more people when they choose alternative modes. Personally A BYPASS AND SWITCHING BOTH ROADS TO ONE WAY WOULD BE A MUCH MORE REASONABLE SOLUTION.

The 550 person limit also does not address day hikers that park and hike for a day or longer.

There could be serious delays or such severely restricted access that the end result would be a big messy traffic jam.

7. Winter access. The NPS should improve the winter season elements in the final decision and allow grooming the unplowed sections of Moose Wilson road and new pathway in the winter. A groomed trail would enhance winter access for Nordic skiing, walking, snowshoes, and fat bikes on the road and pathway. This is another area where partnerships are feasible to assist the park.

Sincerely,

Helen Brown  
Casper, WY and Teton Village, WY

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3166    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Brown, Julia  
**Address:** Houston, TX 77096  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Grand Teton National Park,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the draft Moose-Wilson Corridor EIS.

It is wonderful that there will be improvements to the Moose-Wilson Road. I hope that all good ideas and comments will be thoroughly vetted.

Please make the following improvements to the draft EIS:

1. The corridor fails to address those who have regularly traveled from eastern Wyoming to places in Teton Village and bought residences expecting the road to be available as it has been in the past.

This also includes residents and families and employees in nearby areas that regularly support and visit the park and use the road as the most direct route. The longer route in the warmer months will add considerable greenhouse gases also to the plan due to the longer distances driven. Wyoming residents have long been partners and contributors to the park.

Allow Wyoming residents with a Teton Village address ( and nearby) and a Wyoming resident east of here access by car without long waits.

2. Include a well-designed pathway along Moose-Wilson corridor for park visitors biking, walking and to serve people with disabilities. There have been numerous comments supporting this issue. I believe this is a huge safety issue in addition to a usage issue.

Build the pathway in two phases:

The draft plan failed to consider the needs of people walking. This is a separate mode of travel from biking, and the NPS should include the option for people to walk along the corridor.

3. Along with adding a pathway, the NPS should partner with the community on an alternative-fuel transit shuttle to provide new options for people and reduce private vehicle pressure and carbon emissions on the Moose-Wilson road.

4. Include Regional Transportation in the Final EIS. This topic is integral to Moose-Wilson, but was dismissed from consideration. Teton County has adopted a Comprehensive Plan and Integrated Transportation Plan that specifically calls for a regional approach to shared transportation challenges. The NPS should include this significant partnership opportunity in the Moose-Wilson plan.

5. Address Air Quality and Climate Change. These related topics should not have been dismissed in the EIS. Both are very important to include in the Moose-Wilson Corridor plan. The NPS has a responsibility to protect air quality in the National Park, and to take positive steps like the pathway to help combat climate change in this plan. By forcing vehicles to go to the Teton Village by the considerably longer route adds to greenhouse gases.

6. Revise the 550-person visitor limit system, which lacks a rational basis to support the visitor use capacity determination. The DEIS fails to connect the proposed use levels to any natural resource impacts or outcome. Manage private motor vehicles to a reasonable limit, and allow more people when they choose alternative modes. Personally A BYPASS AND SWITCHING BOTH ROADS TO ONE WAY WOULD BE A MUCH MORE REASONABLE SOLUTION.

The 550 person limit also does not address day hikers that park and hike for a day or longer.

There could be serious delays or such severely restricted access that the end result would be a big messy traffic jam.

7. Winter access. The NPS should improve the winter season elements in the final decision and allow grooming the unplowed sections of Moose Wilson road and new pathway in the winter. A groomed trail would enhance winter access for Nordic skiing, walking, snowshoes, and fat bikes on the road and pathway. This is another area where partnerships are feasible to assist the park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3167    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Graham, Glenn  
**Address:** Redwood City, CA 94062  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Dear Sirs

I strongly support Alternative C because it protects people by slowing traffic down, but most importantly its better for the wildlife because the traffic is slower and controlled. It also prevents wildlife loss of habitat because of new construction.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3168    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, Andrea  
**Address:** Wilson , WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 18:43:33  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Option C is not a good option.

In order to control the number of cars, offer small vans to shuttle visitors through the corridor. Have stops at the various points of interest along the corridor. People can get on and off the vans as they wish. Limit vans to one in each direction every 20 minutes.

If you limit the number of cars allowed in the corridor, all the campers and visitors to the Rockefeller Preserve will use up the car allotment VERY quickly. Do NOT limit number of vehicles if you don't use shuttles.

A bike path, like all the other bike paths in the valley, are used at one's own risk. I would think a bike is less damaging to the ecosystem then a car. Put out BIG warning signs that warn of presence of bears and moose, and encourage carrying bear spray.

Don't advertise the Moose Wilson Road. Keep it a secret.

Don't let cabs use it as a short cut from the airport to Teton Village.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3169    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Kroposki, Michael  
**Address:** Teton Village, WY 83025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 18:52:15  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Summary, I strongly disagree with the proposed "preferred alternative" in the above mentioned DEIS. It is cumbersome, non-effective and probably non functional. Additional alternatives are needed.

The preferred alternative eliminates consideration of a separate bicycle path without doing a hard thorough discussion of alternatives and their impacts. As a person who has many times over the years biked up to Jenny Lake via the Moose Wilson Road I have direct personal knowledge of the safety hazards of biking on this road. While paving the unpaved sections will reduce environmental degradation it will increase the travel hazards for bikers. Narrow paved roads are a well know hazard for biking. Paving will increase vehicle speeds and leave less room for safe passing of bikes by cars.

The traffic queuing system proposed will create an area of auto exhaust fumes which the biker must ride through. Yellowstone Park had this problem when snowmobiles used to line up to enter at the park gates. Fumes from idling vehicles are a recognized health hazard. The DEIS's mention of this adverse impact from their proposed action with a short reference to discouraging idling is insufficient ,it requires a hard thorough discussion. For example a clear statement of how the discouragement (prohibition?) of idling in the queuing lines will be done with the limited staff available.

Further the idling autos in the queue are a waste of gas (energy). 40 CFR 1502.16 requires (e). " It (The EIS) shall include a discussion of ...(e) Energy requirements and conservation potential of various alternatives and mitigation measures."

While the construction of a separate bike path along side the Moose Wilson Road will adversely impact animal habitat there appears to be a good readily available alternative. Using the Levee road as a bike path would only require construction of a short connection at Poker Flats and construction of a lightweight wooden bridge to connect to the Levee Road on the airport side of the river. Even without the bridge connection bikers could just reconnect to the Moose Wilson Road at the Rockefeller Preserve. Such a connection was part of the GTNP 2007 Transportation plan. " The 2007 Record of Decision for the park's transportation plan authorized the development of a multiuse pathway along the portion of Moose-Wilson Road from the Granite Canyon Entrance to the LSR Preserve Center parking lot, via the service road that accesses the Snake River levee. (DEIS Page 20). There is no explanation as to why this decision is now not part of the preferred alternative in the DEIS. Sugestions that there is an increased danger of bear/biker interactions could be addressed with a simple requirement that all bikers carry bear spray to enter the park! An full explanation as to why the bike path is not preferred is required to overcome the precedent of the previous approval. The bike path in Alternative D is a good solution. However coupling it with the provision for maintaining an unpaved section of the road is wrong since the two provisions have no connection and the unpopularity of the unpaved road will tend to defeat the bike path along with paving controversy. The bike path needs a separate consideration as an alternative.

In recent years I have taken to driving with my bike from Teton Village to Moose, parking and biking on the bike path there to Jenny Lake because of the increased traffic volume on the Moose Wilson road. The proposed traffic control queuing will likely cause me to leave earlier in the day to avoid a long line up. Since dawn and dusk are particular times for increased animal movement, spreading out of traffic volume into these times by the control mechanism will result adverse impacts on wildlife which must be more fully analyzed and discussed in the DEIS.

The traffic control procedure will require the construction of substantial space for queuing traffic off of the traveled road. Otherwise waiting lines of vehicles will block emergency access by ambulance, police, fire and other rescue vehicles. The construction of automated signage some miles before the GTNP gate is also required to warn approaching vehicles of waiting times so that vehicles have an opportunity to turn around in a safe manner. Otherwise vehicles will attempt to turn around where there insufficient space and cause jam ups or even accidents! It appears that only one additional person (FTE) is planned for at each end of the corridor to operate the traffic control system (DFEIS Page 611). The adequacy of this estimate needs more explanation. The cost of construction of required facilities and the cost of operation must be compared with the cost of possible more realistic alternatives. There appears to be only 2. A mass transit system or improved road capacity. Teton Village has successfully controlled traffic volume on the section of the Moose Wilson Road which serves them with a mass transit system, the NPS should follow this example. Again a hard thorough discussion of this alternative must be added to the DEIS.

The second alternative is expanding road capacity. Since it appears that the NPS has concluded that straightening and widening of Moose wilson Road is restricted by preservation of critical animal habitat the only other possibility is construction of an alternate road perhaps along a route similar to the



suggested bike path above.

Planning for a reasonable functional alternative must start soon. With reports of record numbers of visitors to GTNP in the last year, the need to accommodate the visitor's cars could become critical before any traffic management system is available. For example if the number of vehicles stopping at trailheads approaches the total vehicle limit, almost no through traffic will be accommodated. Since most of the through traffic is likely persons seeking to visit GTNP and YNP, this could be considered a violation of the Organic Act mandate to accommodate visitors to the parks.

The traffic control queuing procedure will result in extremely uncomfortable conditions. According to the DEIS Page 119 to preserve air quality NPS will "Reduce or eliminate idling of ..... public vehicles". Therefore visitors must sit in cars with the air conditioning off while in the queues! Enforcement of this requirement will lead to difficult confrontations with visitors on very hot days.

With insufficient planning for queuing vehicles and an impractical limit on through traffic, my personal access to GTNP and YNP will be seriously impaired. As an adjacent property owner of GTNP, I have a particular right of passage under the Access to Private and Public Land statute, PL 81-787. The NPS must make specific accommodation for such rights holders in any traffic control procedure. A permanent pass could be issued so that such rights holders would be allowed to pass ahead of other casual visitors.

As a resident of Teton County, I am aware of the County's letter endorsing Alternative C. I would point out that this endorsement was not unanimous and is self contradictory. The County has a very well established policy of building separate bike paths where needed. In fact they have built paths right up the boundaries of GTNP. Their letter specifically mentions safety concerns with the lack of separate bike travel lanes on sections of the Moose Wilson road in the park. Their letter of endorsement should be recognized as nothing more than an expression of the economic interests of their Town constituents. Safety concerns should far out weight some minor economic interests.

It appears that the DEIS addresses protection of animal habitat without reasonable consideration of safe and practical passage for visitors. NEPA requires a hard look at all aspects of the human environment not just animal habitat.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael Kroposki

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3170    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Stevens, Sally  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** We need to ask "is this the vision that the Rockefellers had?" To have a pathway with lycra-clad bicycling racing thru this wildlife preserve? NO!! Wildlife matters, especially here. I fully support limiting the number of cars allowed at a time and a greatly reduced speed limit.  
Thank you, Sally Stevens

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3171    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bruner, Kristy  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Following are brief comments on the Moose-Wilson Corridor Management Plan. I support the NPS Preferred Alternative C because this alternative truly prioritizes the conservation legacies within the corridor. The significant wildlife habitat and overall rural character of this irreplaceable corridor should be protected. The proposed alternative, by not including a separated pathway, would best uphold conservation values for future generations.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3172    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Craighead, Charlie S  
**Address:** Moose, WY 83012  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 19:09:44  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Even before it opened its gates in 1929, Grand Teton National Park has been the setting for a relentless struggle for the landscape's future. While most now agree that conservation is crucial, there's been a steady stream of ideas to "improve" the park. Some of these have originated outside the national park system, and some from within. For the most part, these "improvements" have had minimal impact on the park. As an inholder in Grand Teton and a resident of Moose for 66 years, I can say without reservation that the park has stayed remarkably intact during my life. Most of the changes I've seen are slight concessions to the exponential growth in visitation, effects of the valley's steadily growing population, and a warming climate. Now, however, the movement to "up-zone" the Moose-Wilson Corridor and change it from a rural back road into a major traffic through-way with accompanying pathway forebodes an ominous direction. While I can support the need for minor roadwork, and applaud the decision to limit traffic, I believe a separate pathway would be a disaster. All of the environmental concerns aside - loss of habitat, the introduction of noxious weeds and ensuing long-term poisoning, the potential for euthanizing problem wildlife - the pathway system in Grand Teton is essentially a multi-million dollar recreation facility. It has no more to do with transportation than do kayaks and canoes on the Snake River. Adding this section of pathway will only put more pressure on park officials to extend the existing pathways even farther. Now that the pathway has terraformed its way north to reach the Antelope Flats Road, I fear a concerted effort will soon develop to extend the pathway along the entire Kelly loop from its current end at Ditch Creek back around to the Gros Ventre Junction. Dumping hundreds of cyclists onto the narrow Antelope Flats Road, with its RVs, tour buses, commercial vehicles, and resident bison herd will be ugly. Please stop this recreational movement in its tracks, and keep a separate pathway off the table for Moose-Wilson.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3173    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** N/A, Carol  
**Address:** Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** To Whom This May Concern:

I have a long history in The Grand Teton National Park, having lived in Idaho Falls most of my youth and having driven back and forth over the years to our family home in the Moose area. I have traveled many times along the Moose-Wilson Road and I love its winding path and visual surprises. I personally would like to keep the road as it is, and if I have to wait while a visitor views a moose, bear, beaver, or elk, I am happy to wait and to watch also. Unfortunately, I feel the powers in the "bowels" of Moose headquarters will do as they please, regardless of the citizens' comments, much like the Park did in regard to the entrance station at the south end of the Moose-Wilson Road. The article at that time in the Jackson Hole News and Guide, as it was called, espoused an overwhelming vote by the community to not spend money to build the entrance station, but the Park knew best and built it anyway. So, all the studies, the time, and the money spent were worthless because the Park followed its own guidelines. So...let the citizens and visitors "eat cake" and the Park will do what it wants to do in the end. Sincerely, Carol in Michigan

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3174    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Cox, Alicia M  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Yellowstone-Teton Clean Cities Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 19:27:56  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Yellowstone-Teton Clean Cities would like to thank GTNP for the thorough and thoughtful process utilized for the Moose-Wilson corridor Draft EIS. We agree with and support the NPS Preferred Alternative, Alternative C. The mission of Yellowstone-Teton Clean Cities is to reduce petroleum use in the regional transportation sector, we primarily accomplish this through alternative fuels, strategies and technologies. Yellowstone-Teton Clean Cities (YTCC) is pleased to see opportunities for petroleum reduction in the preferred alternative. We would like to comment on the concepts relevant to our mission and expertise.

Key Element 3-"Address increases in traffic and volume-related congestion on Moose-Wilson Road by limited the number of vehicles entering the corridor at any one time during peak use periods through timed sequencing technique". Yellowstone-Teton Clean Cities would like to encourage Grand Teton National Park to continue and expand their current idle-reduction educational campaign to prevent visitors from unnecessary idling. If properly executed this element has the potential to greatly reduce petroleum use and thus greenhouse gas emissions.

Yellowstone-Teton Clean Cities supports all the Traffic Management Along Moose-Wilson Road elements. As the fourth bullet mentions, "a corridor reservation system or transit system may be considered." YTCC would like to encourage the use of an all electric transit or shuttle system. This would allow for zero tailpipe emissions and minimal noise pollution.

Under commercial activity it is noted that shuttle services could be authorized by park management, YTCC would like to suggest these shuttles be required to be fueled by an alternative fuel, such as electric, to allow for a reduction in petroleum use, greenhouse gas emissions and noise pollution.

Since 2012 Yellowstone-Teton Clean Cities has helped Grand Teton and Yellowstone National Parks secure ~\$750,000.00 in funding, allowing the parks to purchase alternative fuel vehicles to the parks, initiate an idle-reduction campaign and install electric vehicle charging stations. YTCC would like to provide support and expertise to the elements in this program outlined above and we would like to thank GTNP for including petroleum reduction and greenhouse reduction elements in the draft EIS.

Kind Regards,

Alicia Cox  
Executive Director  
Yellowstone-Teton Clean Cities

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3175    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Turley, M.  
**Address:** Teton Village, WY 83025  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Teton Village ISD, Water & Sewer District, Fire District, Resort District, Association ISD Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 19:30:06  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** January 30, 2016

Dear Superintendent Vela,

Teton Village is the closest adjacent neighbor to the Southern entrance to Grand Teton National Park via the Moose Wilson Road. We are a complete neighborhood, governed by five special districts that are recognized under Wyoming Law as common forms of local government. We know that the National Park Service works closely, respectfully and positively with many gateway communities nationwide and we are writing with the hope that GTNP will work with us in the same spirit on the proposed plans for the Moose Wilson corridor. Collectively, the residents and business owners of Teton Village want to help the park

make Alternative C better.

Teton Village is proudly a green community, and we're concerned about access, safety and the environment. The draft preferred alternative limits the diversity of visitors to the Moose Wilson corridor, and we don't understand how this public access exclusivity provides for the "enjoyment, education, and inspiration of this and future generations in our national park" as is so proudly stated in the mission statement of the National Park Service. We are concerned about disturbing language in the executive summary of the Moose Wilson draft EIS that calls the Moose Wilson road entrance adjacent to Teton Village "the back door" to the park, as though it were a door that could be closed. We have to ask, where is the front door? And is that a positive way to work with your most adjacent neighboring community?

While we are troubled about what limited access to the Moose Wilson Road means for Teton Village, we are also disappointed to see a plan that shifts traffic impacts to other parts of our community in a larger way, increasing traffic on other roads on the both sides of the Snake river. We would like to see serious consideration of the human safety impacts of this plan because statistics show that national park visitors die in far greater numbers from vehicles than wildlife. And in light of the recent Paris Accords on mitigating climate change and reducing greenhouse gas emissions, we are disappointed by the proposed plans that increase carbon emissions in our neighborhood. We are certain there is a better solution.

Reducing vehicles in the Moose Wilson corridor is a worthy goal, but unnecessarily limiting human access, risking human safety and creating more traffic and emissions throughout the Valley are not. It's important to find the right balance, and it's possible, with the following critical improvements to the Moose Wilson Corridor plan:

â€¢ Outline reasonable alternatives for transit in the Corridor in the plan. Teton Village supports a robust transit program, and the Teton Village Association has offered to partner with Grand Teton National Park to connect with eco-transit shuttles into the park.

â€¢ Implement a pilot transit system on Moose Wilson Road before constructing proposed automobile queuing lanes.

â€¢ Provide more data and involve local leaders to set a fact-based, rational limit on vehicles in the corridor that protects Park resources and provide sufficient access opportunities for visitors.

â€¢ Conduct a human safety study that focuses on vulnerable users like cyclists, hikers and horseback riders to outline metrics for a future pathway using existing roadways already disturbed on the Levy Road, as approved in the Park's 2007 plan.

â€¢ Study the air quality impacts of the plan.

The NPS has asked for comments that detail the "why" or "how". We think the analysis submitted by TVA Improvement and Service District speaks to that request in helpful detail. We ask you to review it at carefully and fully, and see it in the way that it was intended - as the most detailed and helpful comments your nearest neighborhood cares about deeply.

Sincerely,

Teton Village Improvement & Service District  
Teton Village Water & Sewer District  
Teton Village Fire District  
Teton Village Resort District  
Teton Village Association Improvement & Service District

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3176	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Phibbs, Henry (Hank)				
<b>Address:</b>	Wilson, WY 83014 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,30,2016 19:30:29				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	January 30, 2016				

David Vela, Superintendent  
Grand Teton National Park  
PO Drawer 170  
Moose, WY 83012-0170

Comments on the Moose-Wilson Corridor Comprehensive Management Plan Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Dear Superintendent Vela:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Moose Wilson Corridor Comprehensive Management Plan in Grand Teton National Park.

Thanks to you and your hard working staff at Grand Teton National Park for the effort involved in putting together the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS).

The DEIS includes a long overdue positive step, which is the paving of the currently unpaved southern portion of the road. This is something that should have been done a long time ago, as the unpaved section requires chemical treatment several times each year. The chemical treatment is not beneficial to the surrounding environment. The paving should create a narrow road surface and should include traffic calming elements such as speed bumps.

The DEIS recognizes that the volume of vehicular traffic on the road is only a problem for a short period in July and August, and then only during certain times of day. During those specific times the DEIS proposes to use smart traffic management technology, such as electronic signage in locations far enough away from the Moose Wilson road to allow visitors to learn of delays and adjust their visitation routes. I strongly support this approach.

Traffic management is a key element in maintaining a high quality visitor experience along the Moose Wilson road. There are certain times during the busiest summer days when traffic volume on the road is so large that the opportunity for a pleasant and slow journey on a beautiful winding road is

virtually gone. During those busy times an adaptive management plan for traffic is needed. The park has committed to adopt and utilize such a plan. The foundation for any credible adaptive management plan is a starting point based on transparent factual analysis of values and impacts. The starting point for the adaptive management plan for the Moose Wilson road must be based on visitor experience and resource impacts. The capacity on the road in your preferred alternative (the starting point) is not based on either visitor experience or resource impacts. The survey you recently conducted indicates that visitors do not feel that current traffic levels make their experience unsatisfactory. You should create a process and standards based on visitor experience and resource impacts to set a baseline carrying capacity, and include a transparent process to measure over time whether the capacity can be increased or should be decreased to meet the standards.

The adaptive management plan proposed in Alternative C does not take into account the number of cars parked in lots within the Moose Wilson road corridor, which can be as many as 150. Many of those cars enter early and carry people who are going on day long hiking or climbing excursions. If they are included in your number calculations for later in the day when traffic limits may have to be activated, your adaptive management plan is fundamentally flawed. The visitors can be asked as they enter whether they are parking for day long or longer excursions, and if they are, they should not be counted in the calculation that triggers the limits.

The proposed Alternative C includes overall carrying capacity for the corridor area. It is unfortunate that the overall carrying capacity proposed for the corridor is not based on site specific user experience data. As someone who has hiked the trails in Grand Teton for 40 years, I can confirm based on my user experience that current use levels on the Death Canyon trail are not excessive. The use limit for the Death Canyon trail in Alternative C of 220 people daily has no user experience data to support it. It is simply created from the average number of cars in the trailhead parking area multiplied by an average number of people per car. If the park proposes to manage the use of trails within the "corridor", it needs to develop a more credible method of measuring user experience to set the initial baseline and standard for adaptive management into the future.

Your preferred alternative, Alternative C, has some issues that need to be addressed in terms of public access and stewardship. The first is your proposal to move the Death Canyon trailhead back 1 mile. That doubles the length of trail that visitors must walk to get to the Phelps Lake overlook with the sweeping views to the east, south and west. For a lot of senior visitors to the park that single step may effectively remove their opportunity to enjoy a manageable walk with a great view. If you moved the first section of the unpaved road north onto the old White Grass meadow road you could have the parking area where the road turns south and that would only lengthen the hike to the overlook by .4 mile. You would also provide access to the "historic" White Grass Ranch buildings. That is the action proposed in Alternative B. Please adopt this action in your preferred alternative.

The failure to consider transit opportunities is truly unfortunate. One of the main reasons for the preparation of the Moose Wilson Corridor Comprehensive Management Plan was stated to be the increase in vehicular traffic after the 2007 Park Transportation Plan was carefully prepared and adopted. Transit is an effective way to address the traffic problem and should have been analyzed and considered in a comprehensive way. The vision expressed in the DEIS has Grand Teton National Park frozen in the old approach of having visitors trapped in lines of cars, experiencing this extraordinary park by looking out the window. The consideration of transit choices and opportunities should have been in a separate category and not lumped in with other "commercial activity". Please correct this failure of vision and stewardship in the final EIS and decision.

The failure of your preferred alternative to relocate the section of the Moose Wilson Road between the Sawmill Ponds Overlook and the Death Canyon Road junction is a profound failure of stewardship. In the 2007 Transportation Plan adopted for Grand Teton National Park, it was clearly recognized that the current location of this section of the Moose Wilson Road needed to be moved away from the hillside that provided an important fall food source to bears, and the wetlands and water complex immediately east of the current road location. There was strong support for and no opposition for this relocation proposal.

In the 2015 draft EIS proposed alternative C, the road relocation is no longer proposed. Instead, that relocation is sacrificed to a poorly defined archeological area that has been known to the Park for decades. Why was it not an impediment in 2007? It is a true failure of stewardship to create a nuclear choice between critical wildlife habitat and wetlands protection, versus archeological site protection, and elect to sacrifice one for the other without a fact based and transparent process. The proper approach to this matter is to adopt a process to fully evaluate this archeological site first, and then determine whether a road relocation can be configured to minimize adverse impacts to that site. The Wyoming State Historic Preservation Office clearly believes this is possible. Please start with the facts including the actual size and location of the site and what is actually there, and then determine, using your formal procedures and the assistance of the Wyoming State Historic Preservation Office, whether it is possible to configure a road relocation that substantially protects the actual site.

Thanks again for your hard work and the opportunity to comment on this important matter.

Very truly yours,

Henry C. Phibbs II

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3177	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Kroposki, Michael				
<b>Address:</b>	Teton Village, WY 83025 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,30,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	REVISED				

Summary, I strongly disagree with the proposed "preferred alternative" in the above mentioned DEIS. It is cumbersome, non-effective and probably non functional. Additional alternatives are needed.

The preferred alternative eliminates consideration of a separate bicycle path without doing a hard thorough discussion of alternatives and their impacts. As a person who has many times over the years biked up to Jenny Lake via the Moose Wilson Road I have direct personal knowledge of the safety hazards of biking on this road. While paving the unpaved sections will reduce environmental degradation it will increase the travel hazards for bikers. Narrow paved roads are a well know hazard for biking. Paving will increase vehicle speeds and leave less room for safe passing of bikes by cars.

The traffic queuing system proposed will create an area of auto exhaust fumes which the biker must ride through. Yellowstone Park had this problem when snowmobiles used to line up to enter at the park gates. Fumes from idling vehicles are a recognized health hazard. The DEIS's mention of this adverse impact from their proposed action with a short reference to discouraging idling is insufficient, it requires a hard thorough discussion. For example a clear statement of how the discouragement (prohibition?) of idling in the queuing lines will be done with the limited staff available. Further the idling autos in the queue are a waste of gas (energy). 40 CFR 1502.16 requires (e). " It (The EIS) shall include a discussion of ...(e) Energy requirements and conservation potential of various alternatives and mitigation measures."

While the construction of a separate bike path along side the Moose Wilson Road will adversely impact animal habitat there appears to be a good readily available alternative. Using the Levee road as a bike path would only require construction of a short connection at Poker Flats and construction of a lightweight wooden bridge to connect to the Levee Road on the airport side of the river. Even without the bridge connection bikers could just reconnect to the Moose Wilson Road at the Rockefeller Preserve. Such a connection was part of the GTNP 2007 Transportation plan. " The 2007 Record of Decision for the park's transportation plan authorized the development of a multiuse pathway along the portion of Moose-Wilson Road from the Granite Canyon Entrance to the LSR Preserve Center parking lot, via the service road that accesses the Snake River levee. (DEIS Page 20). There is no explanation as to why this decision is now not part of the preferred alternative in the DEIS. Suggestions that there is an increased danger of bear/biker interactions could be addressed with a simple requirement that all bikers carry bear spray to enter the park! An full explanation as to why the bike path is not preferred is required to overcome the precedent of the previous approval. The bike path in Alternative D is a good solution. However coupling it with the provision for maintaining an unpaved section of the road is wrong since the two provisions have no connection and the unpopularity of the unpaved road will tend to defeat the bike path along with paving controversy. The bike path needs a separate consideration as an alternative.

In recent years I have taken to driving with my bike from Teton Village to Moose, parking and biking on the bike path there to Jenny Lake because of the increased traffic volume on the Moose Wilson road. The proposed traffic control queuing will likely cause me to leave earlier in the day to avoid a long line up. Since dawn and dusk are particular times for increased animal movement, spreading out of traffic volume into these times by the control mechanism will result adverse impacts on wildlife which must be more fully analyzed and discussed in the DEIS.

The traffic control procedure will require the construction of substantial space for queuing traffic off of the traveled road. Otherwise waiting lines of vehicles will block emergency access by ambulance, police, fire and other rescue vehicles. The construction of automated signage some miles before the GTNP gate is also required to warn approaching vehicles of waiting times so that vehicles have an opportunity to turn around in a safe manner. Otherwise vehicles will attempt to turn around where there insufficient space and cause jam ups or even accidents! It appears that only one additional person (FTE) is planned for at each end of the corridor to operate the traffic control system (DFEIS Page 611). The adequacy of this estimate needs more explanation. The cost of construction of required facilities and the cost of operation must be compared with the cost of possible more realistic alternatives. There appears to be only 2. A mass transit system or improved road capacity. Teton Village has successfully controlled traffic volume on the section of the Moose Wilson Road which serves them with a mass transit system, the NPS should follow this example. Again a hard thorough discussion of this alternative must be added to the DEIS.

The second alternative is expanding road capacity. Since it appears that the NPS has concluded that straightening and widening of Moose Wilson Road is restricted by preservation of critical animal habitat the only other possibility is construction of an alternate road perhaps along a route similar to the suggested bike path above.

Planning for a reasonable functional alternative must start soon. With reports of record numbers of visitors to GTNP in the last year, the need to accommodate the visitor's cars could become critical before any traffic management system is available. For example if the number of vehicles stopping at trailheads approaches the total vehicle limit, almost no through traffic will be accommodated. Since most of the through traffic is likely persons seeking to visit GTNP and YNP, this could be considered a violation of the Organic Act mandate to accommodate visitors to the parks.

The traffic control queuing procedure will result in extremely uncomfortable conditions. According to the DEIS Page 119 to preserve air quality NPS will " Reduce or eliminate idling of ..... public vehicles". Therefore visitors must sit in cars with the air conditioning off while in the queues! Enforcement of this requirement will lead to difficult confrontations with visitors on very hot days.

With insufficient planning for queuing vehicles and an impractical limit on through traffic, my personal access to GTNP and YNP will be seriously impaired. As an adjacent property owner of GTNP, I have a particular right of passage under the Access to Private and Public Land statute, PL 81-787. The NPS must make specific accommodation for such rights holders in any traffic control procedure. A permanent pass could be issued so that such rights holders would be allowed to pass ahead of other casual visitors.

As a resident of Teton County, I am aware of the County's letter endorsing Alternative C. I would point out that this endorsement was not unanimous and is self contradictory. The County has a very well established policy of building separate bike paths where needed. In fact they have built paths right up the boundaries of GTNP. Their letter specifically mentions safety concerns with the lack of separate bike travel lanes on sections of the Moose Wilson road in the park. Their letter of endorsement should be recognized as nothing more than an expression of the economic interests of their Town constituents. Safety concerns should far out weight some minor economic interests.

It appears that the DEIS addresses protection of animal habitat without reasonable consideration of safe and practical passage for visitors. NEPA requires a hard look at all aspects of the human environment not just animal habitat.  
Respectfully submitted,

Michael Kroposki

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3178	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Ellerstein, Jim				
<b>Address:</b>	Jackson, WY 83001 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,30,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Sirs,				

I'm strongly opposed to your plan for 2 reasons: no bike path, and restricting access to only 200 cars seems absurd in the light in increased visitation.

First off: hasn't every road except the Moose/Teton Village road been relocated and improved in Grand Teton National Park? I know the answer, it's YES. Every road has been improved over the years except this one. So why the holdout? Why is this road special? Why can't it be widened, straightened and perhaps moved like EVERY OTHER ROAD IN THE PARK has? Do you think there will ever be fewer people who want to visit?

As far as wildlife goes, there are paved roads through wildlife habitat in Yellowstone National Park, and nobody is talking about restricting use. Why is this road special?

Tell me: is there another road in any National Park in America where motor vehicles are restricted AND there is NOT a public transit option? I can't think of any. It's unprecedented. Your option is literally the laziest, cheapest and most unimaginative option possible. It's a disgrace to the ideals of our proud National Park System.

Secondly, where's the bike path? The loop is almost completed. Just finish the job. It's being paid for by private funds. The only reason to not build it is your irrational stubbornness. Bikes a such a better way to see nature than from inside a car. They represent everything a National Park should stand for.

You can do better. And if you don't, I'll be sure publicize everybody's names who are responsible.

Cheers

Jim

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3179    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Bellamy, Jim  
**Address:** Grand Junction, CO 81507  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I support Alternative C, the Preferred Alternative. I am strongly opposed to the construction of a separated pathway along the Moose-Wilson Corridor. A separated pathway would cater primarily to local bicyclists. While I empathize with their enthusiasm for this excellent form of recreation, I believe that there are more than sufficient pathways inside the park, in the surrounding area, and connecting the two. Grand Teton is a national park and management decisions need to be based on the interests of the wider public, and of course on the NPS mission, the park's enabling legislation, and relevant law and policy. The principal tenants of the NPS Organic Act are resource conservation and public enjoyment. However, the Act makes it clear that public enjoyment must leave the parks unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations. The National Park System General Authorities Act of 1970 and its amendment in 1978 further clarify that management of parks should not derogate park purposes and values, and that if a conflict occurs between visitor use and protection of resources, the intent of Congress is to favor resource protection. A separated pathway would conflict with Grand Teton National Park resources, particularly with the rich wildlife of the Moose-Wilson corridor. I also oppose winter grooming along the Moose-Wilson Road. The recreational opportunities that this would provide are already offered in and near the Park, and the Moose-Wilson Corridor provides a different, more natural, experience.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3180    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Fulton Rogers, Nancy  
**Address:** Hermosa Beach, CA 90254  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** Please do NOT make any changes to the Moose Wilson Road. No pathways!  
We love it just the way it is and cannot imagine more traffic  
and disruption to the nature and animals. There is already too much traffic going thru there in the Summer months.  
We are also cyclists and have used the wonderful pathway from town to Jenny Lake....it really seems like overkill  
for yet another pathway.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3181    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Rogers, John C  
**Address:** hermosa Beach, CA 90254  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I have driven the moose wilson road many times hoping to see some of the wildlife that make their home in the area.  
The small narrow ambling road is crowded in the summer because it's the only small cool back road that is near to town. Many times we have passed and been passed by cyclists traveling the same road because of it's back roads character. My wife and I have cycled to Moose from Wilson with the cars keeping their speeds slow it's a great ride  
No bike path please the road is fine for both cars and bikes.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3182    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** McBean, Jean  
**Address:** Bellingham, WA 98226  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I have been disappointed for years that the Moose-Wilson road is overly crowded and unsafe. When I heard that improvements were to be made I assumed a separate bike and pedestrian lane would be provided, and I am sad that at this point that is not the case.

I believe that the main purpose of the National Parks is to provide an opportunity to connect with nature, and I don't think this can be done from the inside of a vehicle. A safe route through the natural world should be our goal; this means a separate bike and pedestrian lane. Please continue the bike/pedestrian route that the towns and private owners have established along the road.

If you use my comments, please allow me to remain anonymous.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3183	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Young, Tim				
<b>Address:</b>	Wilson, WY 83014 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Wyoming Pathways Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	OfficialRep				
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,30,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	January 30, 2016				

Grand Teton National Park  
ATTN: Moose-Wilson Planning Team  
P.O. Drawer 170  
Moose, WY 83012-0170

Subject: Moose-Wilson Corridor Comprehensive Management Plan Draft EIS comment

Dear Superintendent Vela and Regional Director Masica:

Thank you for the opportunity to provide input on the Moose-Wilson Corridor Comprehensive Management Plan Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). This letter contains the comments of Wyoming Pathways on the October 2015 DEIS.

Wyoming Pathways is the statewide nonprofit organization representing people who bicycle and walk, including human-powered winter activities of cross-country skiing, fat biking and snowshoe travel. These nonmotorized modes of access provide many benefits to National Parks and park visitors, including efficient transportation solutions and enjoyable recreation to experience the parks. These modes of travel also enhance public health, support sustainable economic development, align with the National Park Service Management Policies, and are recognized in the NPS vision for the future in the Call to Action for the NPS Centennial and second century vision.

Wyoming Pathways does not support the Proposed Alternative C in the DEIS without significant improvements. Please consider the following comments in developing the final EIS, which we believe will greatly improve the outcomes for the park resources and visitor access and experience. The comments are generally organized in the order of the EIS layout.

#### PURPOSE OF THE PLAN:

The stated purpose of the Moose-Wilson Corridor Plan (DEIS p. 5) is to establish a long-term vision and management strategies to ensure the protection of significant national park resources and values. We concur that is an important purpose, however it is incomplete without also acknowledging that the purpose also includes the need to provide for the enjoyment of the same resources and values, as the Organic Act of 1916 clearly states.

The emphasis on the former, and lack of adequate consideration for the latter is a cause for concern with the draft EIS and the NPS Preferred Alternative C.

#### PROJECT AREA:

The project area in the plan seems to be arbitrary at about 10,300 acres, given the wildlife movement maps which show wildlife broadly present inside and outside of the Moose-Wilson Corridor. The DEIS narrowly defines the Moose-Wilson Corridor, without adequately acknowledging the connections at Moose in the north, and Teton Village a short distance south of the Granite Entry. This is evident on the map on page 4, which seems to create a special Moose-Wilson National Park within Grand Teton National Park. That should be the role of Congress, not the National Park Service.

It is not until page 295 in the DEIS that a Vicinity Map provides context of where Moose-Wilson is in relation to the key origins and destinations of visitors and park employees. The Moose-Wilson Corridor is not an island, it functions within a larger context of Grand Teton National Park and adjacent public and private lands.

The noteworthy changes listed in the purpose and need introduction were in fact known and studied in the 2007 Transportation Plan. Laurance Rockefeller made his decisions on the JY Ranch by 2002, and had plans in place for LSR before his death in 2004. I personally met with Douglas Home in 2006 and discussed at length the proposed pathway. It was Mr. Hornes view at the time of our meeting that the pathway would in fact be compatible with Mr. Rockefeller's vision of providing appropriate opportunities for people to visit and experience the Moose-Wilson and future LSR. The transformation of the JY into the LSR was well underway by 2007, and projections on use and management were known. Grizzly bears were also a major part of the conversation in the 2007 Transportation Plan and were well-studied then. The archeological sites in the northern section were first explored in 1973.

There is a surprising lack of discussion on the significant positive changes to the corridor over the past several decades. In fact, looking at the list of accomplishments, its hard not to conclude that the Moose-Wilson is in fact better protected than 10 or 20 years ago. Consider the land swap that protected the first section of LSR, Laurance Rockefeller's donation of the balance of LSR lands and creation of the new LSR visitor center, and the purchase of additional inholdings like two of the Stanley Resor properties, Hartgrave, and addition of White Grass Ranch, Sky Ranch, and other parcels, often facilitated by local donations from people that care deeply for Grand Teton National Park; a good deal of protection has been accomplished.

It is equally commendable there has been no public outcry for the Moose-Wilson road to be widened, nor asking for year-round road use. Despite some critics claims to the contrary, the Jackson Hole community supports managed sustainable access to the corridor, wants no road expansion, supports shifting the road from sensitive areas, and to keep it slow and narrow. Wyoming Pathways, and many park visitors, simply asks to add a pathway for safety and visitor access, and to better manage the traffic with smart solutions and collaboration with capable local partners.

## PARK PURPOSE AND PARK SIGNIFICANCE

The park purpose includes recreational, educational, and scientific opportunities compatible with these resources for enjoyment and inspiration, and Visitors of all abilities and interests can enjoy opportunities for physical, emotional, and inspirational experiences in an unspoiled environment. The Moose-Wilson Corridor Plan can be improved to better achieve these elements of the park purpose.

## NEPA

The DEIS states the requirement to be diligent in involving any interested or affected members of the public in the planning process. This does not seem to have been followed in the decision to exclude Teton Village as a cooperator in the planning process, and the lack of consideration of Teton Village as a partner in solutions. The NPS should work more closely with all the gateway communities on shared solutions.

## LAWS AND MANAGEMENT POLICIES

The NPS Management Policies of 2006 describe how the National Park Service will meet its management responsibilities in Grand Teton National Park under the 1916 Organic Act. Unfortunately, the DEIS fails to note one of the most relevant policies, 9.2, which needs to be taken into consideration for the Moose-Wilson Corridor.

Policy 9.2 provides a basis for approving the pathway, it states: Depending on a park units size, location, resources, and level of use, the Service will, where appropriate, emphasize and encourage alternative transportation systems, which may include a mix of buses, trains, ferries, trams, and-preferably-nonmotorized modes of access to and moving within parks. In general, the preferred modes of transportation will be those that contribute to maximum visitor enjoyment of, and minimum adverse impacts on, park resources and values.

## RELEVANT NATIONAL PARK SERVICE PLANS, PLANNING

The Grand Teton National Park Master Plan is listed in the DEIS (p. 16), but contrary to the statement the DEIS is consistent with the Master Plan, significant relevant sections were not properly considered. These include:

GRTE Master Plan Page 2: Strictly control the pollutants produced as a result of park use, and Provide new modes and paths of visitor access to park experiences, no less convenient than those provided in the past, but resulting in reduced impact on park resources. This should require looking at air quality, we believe, along with the pathway and transit options for visitor access.

GRTE Master Plan Page 14. Finally, a comprehensive regional transportation plan must be developed. Critical to this requirement is the need to develop all-weather intra-regional ground transportation. And certainly, consideration of alternatives to the core parks already overloaded road systems is warranted.

GRTE Master Plan Page 15-16. The Moose-Wilson Corridor is classified as Class III: Natural Environment, and this allows Visitor facilities permitted within these lands include bicycle trails&" and When visitors enter this zone, they gain opportunities to interact more intimately with the resource - to get out and get involved.

GRTE Master Plan Page 20. Some form of supplemental interpretive and transportation system, serving and connecting the parks visitor-service and interpretive hubs, must be developed for this zone&

It is significant that 40 years ago the Grand Teton Master Plan states regional transportation must be developed, yet the topic was dismissed in the Moose-Wilson DEIS, which incorrectly states that the Moose-Wilson Corridor Plan is consistent with the Master Plan. The final plan must address the parks existing 1976 Master Plan management directions.

## RELEVANT STATE AND LOCAL PLANNING

Jackson/Teton County Integrated Transportation Plan (ITP). The DEIS incorrectly states that this is a draft. This plan was adopted in September 2015. The NPS needs to acknowledge and consider the ITP transportation policy document in the Moose-Wilson EIS. The adopted Town and County ITP states this for regional transportation:

"The Town of Jackson and Teton County will establish, staff and provide funding for a Regional Transportation Planning Organization (RTPO)&It will be important for the RTPO to establish the kind of continuing, comprehensive and cooperative transportation planning process currently assigned to Metropolitan Planning Organizations in metropolitan regions. The Policy Board and TAC will provide ample opportunity for direct and active involvement by Grand Teton National Park, the Jackson Hole Chamber of Commerce, Teton Village Association, Jackson Hole Mountain Resort, Snow King Resort, and other organizations and entities capable of representing stakeholders in transportation decision-making.

It may be appropriate for the RTPO to be involved in coordinating transportation planning and decision making across Teton County, Wyoming boundaries either through an expanded formal organization structure or through intergovernmental cooperation. This broader transportation planning and coordination role could embrace Teton County, Idaho; the Idaho Department of Transportation; Park, Lincoln or Sublette Counties in Wyoming; Yellowstone National Park; and other areas within the larger regional trip-shed."

The National Park Service needs to include the topic of regional transportation in the final plan, which would be a base for future collaboration and partnerships. The proposed changes to Moose-Wilson access will directly impact the North 89 Highway in the park (wildlife and traffic impacts) as well as impacts to the gateway community transportation systems.

## SCOPE OF THE MOOSE-WILSON CORRIDOR PLAN EIS

There are significant issues which continue to be missing in the DEIS, topics that were identified in public comment during the Scoping phase and public meetings.

Pedestrian Transportation. One topic the draft EIS fails to consider the needs of people walking. Wyoming Pathways specifically raised this topic in Scoping, and it is disappointing that there is no discussion of pedestrian travel.

Walking along the Moose-Wilson road corridor, pedestrian travel (including people in wheelchairs), is a separate mode of travel from biking that needs to be addressed, and it is different from the hiking trail discussions. The NPS should study the needs and opportunities for people to walk along the road corridor.



During spring and fall, the closed road has a high number of pedestrians enjoying a great experience walking along the Moose-Wilson Road with negligible impacts. When motor vehicle traffic opens, pedestrian use disappears due to safety concerns.

Just as there is a latent bike access demand for people that would like to bike safely, there is a latent demand for pedestrian access, for people to walk. The distances are quite walkable, the corridor end to end is only a half days walk, and a shared-use pathway would be a terrific option for visitors to walk, as well as visitors that wish to bicycle safely.

Related to the pedestrian topic is the need to consider the needs and opportunities for park visitors with disabilities, such as those in wheelchairs. The pathway option is the only one that can reasonably accommodate people with disabilities that wish to experience the Moose-Wilson Corridor outside a motor vehicle. The parks preferred Alternative C would not accommodate such visitors along the corridor. The visitor studies showed that 17% of users on the park pathway in Moose were pedestrians. Clearly there is a demand for such accessible walks as Moose-Wilson could be with a pathway.

Visitor Experience. While this is a topic in the EIS, there is little that actually evaluates alternatives that would enhance visitor experience while minimizing impacts. For example, the Social Science studies didn't ask people in motor vehicles if they might shift to bicycles if there was a safe pathway, or transit if it was an option. And visitor study results that showed bicycle riders wanted a pathway seem to not have been properly considered. There was no actual study of winter use options like better grooming for cross-country skiing and fat bikes, which could provide excellent low impact winter use options.

Regional Transportation. This is an important topic, which was incorrectly not addressed. The DEIS claims & the actions that can be taken to address this issue are outside the park, outside the control of the National Park Service, and beyond the scope of this plan. Yet that's not the case - the actions proposed to limit motor vehicle trips on Moose-Wilson Road will directly cause increases in traffic on North Highway 89 within Grand Teton National Park, along with increases on WY-390, WY-22, and US-26/89. As noted above, the parks own Master Plan is very specific in the importance of regional transportation.

The NPS must include the regional transportation topic and evaluate how actions proposed in the Moose-Wilson EIS can be a part of regional solutions. These solutions studied should include a transit shuttle system with local and state partners.

Air Quality. As stated in the DEIS, Grand Teton National Park is a class I area under the Clean Air Act. It is irresponsible that Air Quality, and the related topic of Carbon Footprint, are dismissed. These issues need to be considered in the final plan.

The NEPA requires the NPS to take a hard look at all impacts of its proposed action and alternatives. The failure to consider air quality as an affected environmental resource is arbitrary and capricious. The proposed vehicle waiting lanes in the preferred Alternative C would create long lines of idling motor vehicles waiting to enter the Moose-Wilson on north and south ends, and by restricting vehicle access on Moose-Wilson, it would cause longer drive times for visitors wishing to avoid waiting in line.

Despite the DEIS claims to the contrary, the NPS Alt-C would increase, not decrease, vehicle emissions. The lack of discussion or analysis of these increased emissions demonstrates that the Park Service failed to take a hard look at air quality impacts.

Climate Change. The Draft EIS includes only a very general discussion of climate change and its potential implications for the park, but failed to consider specific impacts of the proposed action on the Moose-Wilson Corridor natural resources as part of the Affected Environment in Chapter 3. The NPS needs to evaluate the effects of climate change as part of its impact analysis. There should be a discussion of increased greenhouse gas emissions from vehicle idling, which varies by alternative and will contribute to climate change effects. Grand Teton National Park needs to be a leader in the fight against climate change, not make conditions worse.

## CHAPTER 2 ALTERNATIVES GOALS AND DESIRED CONDITIONS

The Goals and Desired Conditions (p. 32-35) are not met with the draft Preferred Alternative C. While motor vehicle based visitors are well-served by paving the dirt road section, providing more parking, adding waiting lanes, the goal in the Visitor Experience section, Opportunities are available for visitors to safely enjoy the area and its resources through a variety of appropriate activities, consistent with their own skills, abilities, and experience is clearly not met for visitors on bikes, pedestrians, or people with disabilities.

### IDENTIFICATION OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE

This process seems fundamentally flawed. It is not explained in the DEIS how the NPS could meet in December 2014 to discuss and develop the preferred alternative without ever discussing or asking for input from the cooperating agencies. That was contrary to the Memorandum of Understanding between the NPS and the cooperators, and is simply not good planning for the NPS to decide first and ask for input months later.

Going forward it will be important for the NPS to work with the cooperating agencies as the final plan is developed. The cooperators bring special expertise, knowledge, resources, and a keen willingness to participate in future partnership help to the plan and future implementation be successful for the NPS, park visitors, and gateway community.

### ALTERNATIVES and RECOMMENDED CHANGES TO THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE

Wyoming Pathways recommends a number of changes be made to the NPS preferred alternative C. Many of these are included in Alternative D. The final Moose-Wilson Corridor Plan should include the following:

Complete Pathway. Wyoming Pathways request the NPS to approve a bicycle and pedestrian pathway along the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor from the Granite Entrance to Moose, generally outlined in Alternative D. The separated pathway is necessary to serve park visitors biking, walking and to enhance access for people with disabilities.

In order to address the archeological resources identified, which require further study, the National Park Service (NPS) should build the pathway in two phases:

Pathway Phase one, build the southern half of the pathway, connecting the Granite entry to the LSR Visitor Center, as was approved in the 2007 Transportation Plan EIS. Careful pathway design will address the concerns with both wildlife and minimizing impacts to scenic resources for park visitors in motor vehicles.

Pathway Phase two, complete the pathway on the north section after completing archeological studies and mitigation.

Adding a pathway in the final EIS is a proactive positive approach to improve public safety and allow for safe visitor access by bike, foot, and wheelchair to visit the Moose-Wilson Corridor. The connectivity offered by a complete pathway will serve as an enhancement of the visitor experience and recreational opportunities in and around Grand Teton National Park. It will address significant visitor safety concerns from being forced to share the narrow road in to close proximity of vehicles. The Road Safety Audit that the NPS had FHWA conduct concluded that the risk for bicyclists and pedestrians ranges to moderate-high, with 7% of crashes bike or pedestrian, yet the mode share is estimated at 3%. Including the pathway will help to provide visitors a safe way to experience the Moose-Wilson Corridor under their own power, without needing another motor vehicle.

Additional Pathway comments:

The Granite to LSR pathway segment was approved through the 2007 Record of Decision of the Parks Transportation Plan and EIS. Vacating that decision as proposed in the draft preferred alternative is without justification. The NPS has not provided information to back its claims that new information on bear activity and new archeological discoveries now prevent pathway construction. In addition, the Park has not fully analyzed the negative impacts to human safety for cyclists and pedestrians by eliminating the previously approved pathway.

When adding the pathway, the NPS needs to consider reasonable mitigation measures to address bear-human interaction concerns, such as providing good sight distance in the design and layout of the pathway, providing information to visitors on bike and foot on how to be safe in bear country, and recommending that people carry bear spray. The NPS can build a pathway that would be safe for people, and using proven design techniques one that would minimize surprise encounters with wildlife. The benefits of enhanced visitor experience, safety, and reduced visitor dependence on motor vehicles are significant and compelling.

NEPA requires a reasonably complete discussion of possible mitigation measures in an EIS. Yet the DEIS fails to adequately consider reasonable mitigation measures that could minimize the risk of human and wildlife interactions on the southern multi-use pathway.

Instead of balanced information regarding potential conflicts and mitigation measures to manage bears in the corridor and the construction of a pathway for park visitors, the NPS provides a questionable paper from a wildlife biologist, Grant MacHutchon, who recommended against the pathway based on four days of research, as well as review of grizzly and black bear data in the Corridor. MacHutchon drew much of his conclusions from research on human/bear conflicts with mountain bikers in remote areas of Canada, and the Moose-Wilson Road is a different place. We note the location of the 2007 approved southern pathway from Granite entry to LSR had less grizzly bear observations in five years than the Jenny Lake area had in just a one-year time span.

Wyoming Pathways recommends a pathway be approved and constructed within reasonably close proximity to the road, approximately 10 to 60 would protect the character of the road while keeping the pathway within the disturbed corridor. The Park should also evaluate the alternative alignment of the pathway in the open sagebrush area starting from the Snake River Ranch irrigation canal north of Granite entry, and running to its intersection with the Levy Access Road. This alignment would traverse sagebrush habitat that is not considered crucial to bears and other wildlife. One third of the distance from Granite to LSR could utilize the existing two-lane 20-foot-wide levy access and utility road and Lake Creek bridge which connects directly to the LSR Visitor Center.

The NPS rightly deserves credit for its comprehensive educational efforts and strategies that have encouraged visitor safety in areas in the Park that have permanent or seasonal bear activity, such as spring grizzly bear viewing along main park roads from Jenny Lake to Colter Bay. These adaptive strategies are also working in the Jenny Lake area, where the Park has invested millions to improve visitor services in an area well known for bear activity. Similar strategies should be proposed for the Moose-Wilson Road.

Include Social Science Pathway user studies from the Moose-Jenny Lake Pathway. As Wyoming Pathways commented during Scoping, prior social science studies that were conducted by the NPS in 2007-2010 on the pathway in GRTE should be considered in the EIS. There are two highly relevant before and after studies of pathway use in Grand Teton National Park, a pathway directly connected north of Moose-Wilson, which document a remarkably high visitor experience with negligible wildlife impacts. These studies provide insights that the Moose-Wilson EIS needs to consider.

Despite raising this in our scoping comments, these visitor studies are not listed in the Selected References listed starting on page 647 in the DEIS. It is also disappointing that these Social Science studies, paid with public funds, are not available anywhere on the NPS website. It gives the impression that Grand Teton National Park has been selective in the studies that are used and quoted. That's not in sync with the NPS claim of a science based plan.

Wyoming Pathways would like to specifically note the following two studies: 1. Analysis of Nonmotorized Use in Grand Teton National Park, 2010 by Eric Hansen; and Evaluation of Non-Motorized Use in Grand Teton National Park Phase II: Post-Pathway Construction, by McGowen, Gleason and Hansen. These studies should be recognized in the Moose-Wilson Corridor plan. Significant findings in the studies include:

- Non-motorized use increased nearly ten-fold after the pathway was constructed.
- With the pathway, non-motorized travelers felt safer and were more satisfied with nonmotorized travel options in the park.
- The type of non-motorized travelers in the park changed with the construction of the pathway. Proportionally, there were more older travelers, more children, more females, larger groups and more non-locals. These are quite positive changes that are goals supported in the NPS Vision for the Second Century.

To quote the Hansen study conclusion,

&the one major suggestion given by almost everyone riding the pathway; Extend the shared use pathway. People love the pathway and want it to go farther. People want to get out of the car. People want to feel Grand Teton National Park. On a bicycle it blows in your face, it can be smelled, it is seen unobstructed, and the slower pace allows time for it all to soak in.

Moose-Wilson Road.

Wyoming Pathways supports the goal of reducing the speeds on the road, but cautions that achieving the 20 mph speed limit will be very difficult. There are few examples of similar rural roads where 20 mph limits are successful. Proposing a solution that is not practical to accomplish impacts the NPS claims that bicycles will be safe on the 20mph shared road, as the NPS has proposed in the Alt-C.

We also caution that paving the 1.2-mile dirt section of Moose-Wilson will actually increase traffic speeds and reduce bike safety. This is a well-proven fact in transportation studies. Paving the dirt section will make the road narrower and faster, and thus less safe for people on bicycles or foot.

Wyoming Pathways supports the modest addition of safety edges which can improve motor vehicle safety, but we caution that this has almost no safety benefits for two-wheel bicycles. Claims of increased bike safety are overstated.

As pointed out in the deficiencies in Purpose and Need, the Alternatives fail to consider and address pedestrian transportation trips along the road corridor, and fail to consider park visitors with disabilities. The Preferred Alternative C only discusses bicycle use. That misses important elements of the visitors desired experience, and opportunity to experience the Moose-Wilson Corridor safely by walking as well as cycling.

Road Realignment to reduce wildlife and resource impacts.

As part of 2007 GRTE Final Transportation Plan this plan, the NPS approved the realignment of a segment of the Moose-Wilson Road between Sawmill Ponds Overlook and the Death Canyon Road Junction to reduce ongoing and significant impacts to wildlife and wetlands. The park should follow through with the proposal to shift the approximately 1.5-mile road section from north of Death Canyon Road to Sawmill Ponds Overlook out of the current location where it directly crosses the prime habitat and wetland, and move the road east of the wetland to significantly less sensitive location, generally as proposed in Alternative D, and align the separated pathway adjacent to the realigned road.

The DEIS correctly states the Moose-Wilson road bisects this section that &possesses some of the most diverse wildlife habitat in the entire corridor. The only new information the NPS offers to support its changed decision is new surveys of an archeological site originally recorded in 1973. However, the impacts to that site, according to the Wyoming State Historical Preservation Officer, can be mitigated and thus, do not justify abandonment of the new alignment.

Wyoming Pathways recommends that the NPS complete compliance with the National Historic Preservation Act, realign the northern segment of the Moose-Wilson Road from Death Canyon Junction to Sawmill Ponds, and add the northern pathway next to the realigned road.

The pathway should be part of the archeological mitigation. It would be a remarkable visitor experience if the pathway was an interpretive journey teaching visitors about the first Native American visitors. What better way to experience that history than a well-interpreted human-powered trail along the corridor, where visitors could literally walk in the footsteps of the first human visitors to Jackson Hole and Grand Teton National Park.

Add Transit Option and partnerships for Moose-Wilson Corridor

The Park has done a great job inviting visitors to Find Your Park, and get Every Kid in the Park, but an inadequate job in developing sustainable methods for handling these invited guests. With over 4.66 million visitors coming to Grand Teton National Park in 2015, GTNP must begin to take a serious and meaningful step toward encouraging low impact travel to destinations within the Park, including the Moose-Wilson corridor, as was first called for 40 years ago in the visionary 1976 GRTE Master Plan.

The Park conducted an analysis of transit feasibility in the 2009 Transit Business Plan, yet the Park did not include transit as a possible solution for visitor management in the DEIS preferred alternative. Transit done well has the potential to facilitate public access to the Park without the negative impacts associated with car-only access. This further emphasizes why it is critical for the Park to develop a carrying capacity number for the Corridor which is based on significant natural resource impacts caused by humans, not just impacts created by vehicles within parking areas and the road corridor. The difference between the actual carrying capacity of the Corridor (yet to be determined but certainly higher than the proposed 550) and the proposed carrying capacity of the road corridor (estimated to be 200 cars at 2.75 people per car) will help determine the size, destination and frequency options for a transit service. This will take additional NEPA analysis, but will result in a much improved and legally justifiable twenty-year plan for the Moose Wilson Corridor.

NEPA requires that the Park consider the possible conflicts between its proposed action and the objectives of federal, state, and local land use plans and policies. As highlighted earlier in this comment, both the Teton County Comprehensive Plan and the Teton County Integrated Transportation Plan emphasize a robust transit program that includes destinations in the Park and which envisions a regional transportation collaboration that includes both Grand Teton and Yellowstone National Parks.

The time is now to begin partnering on transit with Teton County, Town of Jackson, Teton Village Association, Grand Teton National Park and concessionaires, to develop a shared-cost solution for moving people around Jackson Hole and Grand Teton National Park, including areas within the Moose-Wilson Corridor and beyond. The Park should develop a meaningful plan to develop transit options for the Moose-Wilson corridor as part of this EIS process.

Along with Transit, the NPS should include a Transportation Demand Management (TDM) program. There is significant opportunity to reduce demand for motor vehicle trips through a smart trips type of TDM program that could be included into the future. Teton County and Teton Village have shown that reducing trips is possible on the WY-390 corridor south of the park boundary. Many successful TDM program examples show it is possible to shift 10% or more. The Moose-Wilson Corridor plan should develop and include a TDM strategy. The National Park should partner with the local governments on TDM goals, some of which should target park-generated trips that are redundant or wasteful. Reduced demand through options like ride-share to Moose-Wilson trailheads, reduced NPS park staff and volunteer generated trips, and similar solutions can make significant reductions in motor vehicle trip demands. TDM plans should be combined with the public transit options recommended.

Winter access. The final EIS should be revised to improve the winter season elements in the Moose-Wilson Corridor. Wyoming Pathways recommends the NPS allow grooming the unplowed sections of Moose Wilson road and sections of the new pathway in the winter. A groomed trail would enhance winter access for fat biking, cross-country skiing, walking, and snowshoes on the road and pathway. This is another area where partnerships are feasible to assist the park. There could and should be a world-class groomed trail from Teton Village to LSR, using the pathway and closed road section to provide a remarkable national park visitor experience at a time of year when there are not significant wildlife concerns. Wyoming Pathways does not support the exclusion of fat bikes from the road in the winter when closed to motor vehicles. The DEIS provides no analysis or justification for the fat bike restriction. NEPA demands better than that. The NPS needs to actually evaluate the potential for fat bike use, which is proving to be quite compatible at several similar locations such as Teton Canyon, Cache Creek, and Game Creek in the National Forest.

Revise the 550-person visitor limit system, which lacks a rational basis to support the visitor use capacity determination. The DEIS fails to connect the proposed use levels to any natural resource impacts or outcome. The NPS should instead develop a system that rewards people when they use alternative transportation like biking, walking and transit. Manage private motor vehicles to a reasonable limit, and allow more people to visit Moose-Wilson Corridor when they choose lower impact alternative modes.

The visitor use capacity thresholds are a critical component of the Draft EIS around which the alternatives were developed, including the preferred

alternative. Visitor capacity is defined by the NPS to mean the maximum amounts and types of visitor use that an area can accommodate while achieving and maintaining desired resource conditions and visitor experiences consistent with the purposes for which the area was established. So while the NPS acknowledges visitor capacity must be determined based on the desired natural resource conditions as well as the visitor experience, the visitor capacity thresholds were arbitrarily set at 2013 average vehicle use levels without any scientific basis to tie those use levels to the maximum tolerance for natural resource impacts. Also, the Parks one-size-fits-all approach of measuring and limiting the total number of vehicles in the Corridor to 200 fails to account for the variety of uses and experiences within the Corridor, and the per person threshold is merely an arbitrary extrapolation of the vehicle limits. Finally, on the basis of its arbitrary vehicle threshold, the Park Service failed to consider reasonable alternatives for transit and the pathway that could expand and enhance the visitor experience in the Corridor while maintaining and even benefiting natural resources and wildlife.

#### ADDITIONAL ISSUES: INHOLDINGS

It is a surprising omission that the DEIS does not discuss or describe the private inholdings in the corridor, and that the DEIS lacks any discussion of the potential for significant impacts. Development of inholdings could be the greatest threat to the Moose-Wilson. The EIS should add a discussion on acquiring the remaining inholdings. That is a significant protection element missing in the strategies.

A number of parcels remain to be acquired. By identifying the need to secure the remaining inholdings in the EIS, it will help toward progress on completing the park.

#### CHAPTER 3 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT

The Socioeconomic Environment section, starting on page 311, is rough and should be rewritten to provide a more accurate and helpful discussion of the gateway communities. The sections on the Town of Jackson and Teton Village need review; for example, the first paragraph in the Town of Jackson is about Teton County, and the Teton Village section starts by talking about Jackson, not Teton Village. For the NPS to maximize the potential for successful partnerships, accurate knowledge of the gateway communities is needed.

-----  
In closing, Wyoming Pathways greatly appreciates your efforts to protect Grand Teton National Park while providing for visitor access. Thank you for considering our comments. We look forward to continuing a productive relationship in the future.

Respectfully Submitted,

Tim Young, Executive Director

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3184	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	MALDONADO, PENELOPE				
<b>Address:</b>	Jackson, WY 83001 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	The Cougar Fund Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	OfficialRep				
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,30,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:** Thank you for the privilege of submitting comments on the Moose-Wilson Road EIS, GRTE, on behalf of The Cougar Fund. The Cougar Fund protects the cougar - also known as a mountain lion, puma, and panther - and other carnivores throughout the Americas by educating children and adults on their value, and by monitoring state policies and advocating for management based on sound science, to assure a lasting place for these creatures.

We support Alternative C (preferred). We base our support on the fact that Alternative C meets the following objectives, based on the over arching principles of the mission of the National Park Service.

...to promote and regulate the use of the...national parks...which purpose is to conserve the scenery and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." National Park Service Organic Act, 16 U.S.C.1.

Alternative C achieves the following:

It conserves the scenery; Moose-Wilson Road is a unique corridor that winds slowly through sagebrush flats, forested areas, and riparian lands. It offers access to canyons, lakes, and streams flowing into the Snake River. The area is frequented by a multitude of wildlife including but not limited to grizzly bears, black bears, cougar, wolves, moose, elk, deer, beaver, martin, marmots, coyotes and other charismatic creatures that enhance the diversity of the park. Alternative C will reduce the likelihood of negative encounters between wildlife and people using non motorized means of transportation. The scenery remains is most definitely the focus of being in the corridor. The current understated impact of the road, both in it's configuration and size, and the resulting slow operating speeds for vehicles emphasize the natural world and not the intrusion of road itself onto the vista.

Alternative C promises to sustain the limited impact of structure on the environment having only minimal expansion from straightening to meet the TPR north of the Moose entrance station and paving of the southern most section of dirt road. The addition of speed bumps and the reduction of the speed limit to 20mph provide adequate facility for multi-use by experienced and well-prepared recreationalists. In much the same way that skill dictates recreational decisions for sports such as mountain climbing, hiking, skiing or water sport, so Moose-Wilson will be an appropriate destination for accomplished non-motorized users.

It conserves natural and historic objects; the NPS preferred alternative prevents the loss of 3000 trees-a notable carbon sink feature which would take many years to offset.

It will preserve important indigenous artifacts, or 'historic objects' as stated in the mission.

Habitat will be virtually undisturbed with the limited construction and disturbance that is proposed in Alternative C, which serves to fulfill the mission to 'conserve the wildlife there-in'. This also is a preventative measure against invasive species as widespread disturbance is know to provide a vector for proliferation.

Alternative C provides for the enjoyment of the resource;

In what would appear to be an unprecedented attempt by GRTE to engage diverse stakeholders to address public concerns, suggestions and insights, the result can be seen to fulfill their commitment to the natural resource and to their constituents.

GRTE has been responsive to their mandate to provide for the enjoyment of the resource in its most authentic natural state by limiting vehicular access at

peak times and retaining the original narrow winding configuration to the existing developed roadway.

The inclusion of the adaptive management option is a proactive and responsible aspect of the EIS. As visitation increases, being able to adaptively respond to changing needs is extremely important.

We strongly support Alternative C and would like to offer the following suggestions for consideration for the final decision:

Utilize a mobile entrance structure for limiting access at the north end, during the periods when the car limit of 200 is being observed. This will allow you to respond to how many people are likely to queue and prevent back traffic onto the TPR. Provide a turnaround in this area for people who decide they would rather return at a less busy time.

Emphasize the importance of not idling during waiting periods, consistent with NPS current recommendations.

Install moveable video cams to stream occurrences of high animal activity back to the Craig Thomas Discovery Center, so that people may still enjoy the privilege of animal watching even if access to the road is limited or curtailed.

When the road is closed for bear activity, stream live feeds from the Ranger vehicles that go in to monitor the bears.

Consider not altering limited sight lines as these have been shown to reduce speed.

Experiment with even lower speed limits in areas that are slated for bicycle turnouts and passing lanes.

Propose that the Department of the Interior institute a ban on vehicle idling in all parks and monuments at times when there are no concerns about threats to public safety from heat or cold exposure.

In closing, we thank you for the thorough exploration of solutions that conserves the unique qualities of the Moose-Wilson Road and for upholding the core values of the National Park Service.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3185	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Anderson, Carly-Ann M				
<b>Address:</b>	Laramie, WY 82070 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Alliance for Historic Wyoming Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	OfficialRep				
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,30,2016 20:51:44				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Grand Teton National Park ATTN: Moose-Wilson Planning Team PO Drawer 170 Moose, WY 83012-0170				

January 29, 2016

To Whom It May Concern:

The Alliance for Historic Wyoming would like to thank Grand Teton National Park and the National Park Service for the opportunity to comment on the Moose-Wilson Corridor Draft Comprehensive Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement.

The Alliance for Historic Wyoming is a 501(c)(3) nonprofit organization dedicated to protecting Wyoming's historic places and spaces through education, community outreach and advocacy. Founded in 2005 as the statewide historic preservation nonprofit, we focus on cultural and historic resources in both the built and natural environments. The Alliance works with local government entities, federal land management agencies, historical societies, preservation groups and citizen advocates to ensure that solutions are found that balance the objects of our past with the promise of our future. Our board of nine gives generously of their volunteer time and financial resources. An advisory board of six provides additional expertise, and we are fortunate to have a pool of non-board volunteers who help us complete an active agenda. At present, we have nearly 200 members from across Wyoming and some from out-of-state, as well as a list-serve of 600 and 1,200 Facebook followers.

The Alliance for Historic Wyoming agrees that the Moose-Wilson Corridor is an important route in Grand Teton National Park. We value the cultural resources and archaeological sites along this path, along with the general landscape including vistas and viewsheds and the vegetation and wildlife resources. This road is a great place to experience the history and wilderness of the Park.

We respect that Alternative C of this plan balances preservation (natural and cultural) with public use and enjoyment. We are glad to see that development is generally maintained within the existing footprint, and trust that where road realignments, turnout construction, and parking lot development will happen, the appropriate response will be taken for investigating, documenting, and preserving any cultural resources. With just 8% of the corridor having been surveyed for cultural resources, there is a major potential to find a number of sites which warrants that care be taken to conserve this landscape. For this same reason, we are encouraged that Alternative C sticks largely to the existing footprint, therefore leaving much of the area undisturbed.

Minimal changes to this road are also recommended by the Alliance for Historic Wyoming given that this road segment has been determined Eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places. Currently the road retains integrity of setting and feeling, something that is felt by visitors who recognize immediately how special this road is. We feel that from the information presented that Alternative C will maintain the majority of viewsheds, vistas, and vegetation that this road is known for, and protect historic cultural resources along the corridor.

We also noted happily that Alternatives B, C, and D all call for the development of Moose-Wilson corridor design standards, which we trust will aid in maintaining whenever possible the look and feel of the corridors historic character while enhancing safety and traffic flow.

Public access to this corridor is important, but must be balanced with preservation. Safety both for travelers of all modes and wildlife is always a top priority as well. We support lowering the speed limit on the corridor to advance safety. While parking lots and turnouts do represent the potential for disturbing archaeological sites and some historic character of the Moose-Wilson road and the Death Canyon road will ultimately provide a more positive user experience. Again, we urge that care and all legal obligations to document and preserve cultural resources be taken.

We support installation of low-impact interpretive media along the corridor, and respect the suggestion that few interpretive signs and structures be installed along the landscape. There are areas appropriate for such signage and interpretation, and they can be placed in a way that does not disrupt the larger landscape view. Given that many people access information online in advance of a trip, or through smart phones and other devices, we like the idea of having pre-visit information and electronic media available to the public. We like that Alternative D would establish viewing areas to allow visitors to appreciate vista points, but recognize that this likely requires additional construction that is liable to disrupt the current corridor in a way that we fear could negatively impact archaeological resources and create the traffic congestion this plan is working to avoid. By providing visitor materials in advance, the public can plan for where they want to stop along the corridor and know better what they may be able to see.

Thank you again for the opportunity to comment on this plan, and for your efforts to involve the public over the past few years. If you have any questions, you can reach the Alliance for Historic Wyoming at 307-333-3508, by email at [ExecDirector@HistoricWyoming.org](mailto:ExecDirector@HistoricWyoming.org), or visit our website at [www.HistoricWyoming.org](http://www.HistoricWyoming.org).

Sincerely,  
Carly-Ann Anderson  
Executive Director  
Alliance for Historic Wyoming  
207 E. Grand Avenue  
Laramie, WY 82070

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3186    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Colloredo-Mansfeld, Susanna  
**Address:** So. Hamilton, MA 01982  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I write to support Alternative C for the changes in the use of the Moose-Wilson Road in the Grand Teton National Park. I do not support having a bike pathway or changing the road. It is very important to limit the amount of traffic using this road which is a very important wildlife corridor. Our family have come to the valley since 1979 and bought a house in 1989 in Teton Village. We have come out in the summer and winter. I have served on the Advisory Board of the Jackson Hole Land Trust and on the Murie Ranch Board and was a friend of Mardy Murie's and used to spend time on her ranch with Bonnie and Inger Kreps. This road's use needs to be managed in a thoughtful way to preserve the wildlife there. This information was very well presented. Thank you. Susanna Colloredo-Mansfeld

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3187    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mobeck, Jon P  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83002  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 21:02:46  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Grand Teton National Park  
ATTN: Moose-Wilson Planning Team  
PO Drawer 170  
Moose, WY 83012-0170

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Moose-Wilson Draft EIS. I commend the park for a transparent and inclusive process that has culminated in this thoughtful presentation of a draft plan clearly derived from rigorous study and contemplation. I am convinced that the NPS preferred management alternative, Alternative C, best addresses the core challenges. I hope that Alternative C will be carried forward to implementation with no changes at this time.

The NPS, in the section titled "Park Significance," sets an appropriate framework for decisions related to this plan. While Grand Teton National Park is blessed with stunning scenery, it is truly unique from nearly every other park in the United States because it "represents one of the most notable conservation stories of the 20th century, which continues to inspire present and future generations," and "is at the heart of one of the earth's largest intact temperate ecosystems with a full complement of native Rocky Mountain plants and animals." As a member of this wild, unique and globally significant community, I am heartened that the NPS considers these facts as paramount to its management obligation - on behalf of all human citizens of this country, and all wild inhabitants of our wild, public lands.

This plan correctly addresses the core challenges to the corridor, which include 1) increased vehicular traffic on a road not designed for high volume use, and 2) increased human-wildlife interactions in critical habitat, including managerially challenging grizzly bear-human interactions that threaten the safety of humans and the long-term viability of bears in the area.

With regard to roadway specifics, the realignment of the northernmost section of road makes good ecological and directional sense, and likely further protects the sensitive Murie Ranch Historical District from increasing, inadvertent travel. Paving the existing, unpaved portion of Moose-Wilson Road, while also limiting the speed limit to 20MPH, provides a better visitor experience without compromising core wildlife protection values. Reducing the speed to 20MPH is appropriate and consistent with the road's design, while also assigning high value to the reduction of probability for vehicle-wildlife

collisions in this wildlife-rich area.

The sequencing techniques and vehicle queuing to manage traffic volume may be an imperfect solution, but likely the best option at this time - to be reevaluated often. It likely will disrupt/agitate the visitor experience at peak times when cars wait in line. However, despite this disturbance and its unpredictability, I am convinced that traffic pattern data was considered and integrated appropriately and the "carrying capacity" of the road is based on sound science and reasonable judgement. It is difficult to imagine a successful mass transit/shuttle option on the narrow road at present, which limits management options. The reasons outlined for discarding a one-way traffic option, including that the option "would not manage the number of vehicles in the corridor, only the direction they travel," and that "one-way traffic would limit access from park headquarters to or from the corridor, resulting in slower response times during emergency situations," are sufficient to choose another option. I do hope that the NPS will continue to consider a transit option to reduce car travel materially, especially as the results of the queuing system are better known.

Prohibiting taxis and all other nonpark- dependent commercial traffic preserves the purpose of the road as a unique visitor experience, helping to reduce total volume and potential wildlife-vehicle collisions.

Electing not to add a separate, paved pathway in this sensitive area is consistent with all other elements of the plan, chiefly to minimize disruption of critical habitat, act to mitigate rising visitor use in total, provide optimum conditions for wildlife in the area, and reduce the probabilities of human-wildlife conflict, particularly with the emerging trend of increased grizzly bear movements in the area.

Thank you for demonstrating your concern for this one-of-a-kind area by presenting such a well-considered plan. As a resident of Jackson, I am thankful for your stewardship of this gift to all.

With much gratitude,

Jon Mobeck  
PO Box 14738  
Jackson, WY 83002

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3188	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Colligan, Chris				
<b>Address:</b>	Jackson, WY 83001 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Greater Yellowstone Coalition Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	OfficialRep				
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,30,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	January 30th, 2016				

Grand Teton National Park  
ATTN: Moose-Wilson Planning Team  
PO Drawer 170  
Moose, WY 83012-0170

Re: Moose-Wilson Corridor Comprehensive Management Plan / Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Dear Superintendent Vela,

Please accept these comments on behalf of the Greater Yellowstone Coalition (GYC) on the Moose-Wilson Corridor Comprehensive Management Plan / Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The Moose-Wilson Road corridor is a special place with spectacular wildlife and outstanding scenery. The corridor is a destination in itself to many visitors of Grand Teton National Park, including members and supporters of GYC. It is the Park's responsibility alone to manage its resources and roads - not as a transportation corridor like every other highway and interstate in this country- but as an iconic resource for both people and wildlife. This beautiful corridor of Grand Teton National Park should be managed for the grizzly bears, moose, and elk that call it home, and for a safe, enjoyable visitor experience.

GYC has over 40,000 supporters and constituents who support our mission of protecting the lands, waters and wildlife of the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem (GYE), now and for future generations. The GYC was founded in 1983 on a simple premise: An ecosystem will remain healthy and wild only if it is kept whole and we advocate for the idea that ecosystem level sustainability and science should guide the management of the region's public and private lands.

The Greater Yellowstone Coalition works to ensure that a thoughtful and holistic approach is taken to managing the national and wildlife resources in harmony with people and modern development. We work to shape a future where wildlife populations maintain their full diversity and vitality, where ecological processes function on public lands with minimal intervention, where exceptional recreational opportunities abound for visitors and residents alike, and where communities can enjoy a healthy and diversified economy.

This vast ecosystem includes 20 million acres of wild country that includes Yellowstone and Grand Teton National Parks, parts of six national forests, five national wildlife refuges, and state and private lands in Wyoming, Idaho, and Montana. The Moose-Wilson Corridor represents many of the conflicts and threats to this ecosystem that play out on a much larger scale and cumulatively erode ecosystem function. Many of the conflicts between stakeholders in this corridor are a warning of future issues that this ecosystem faces.

We believe that Grand Teton National Park has thoughtfully crafted a management plan for the Moose-Wilson Road that benefits Park visitors, improves safety, and limits harmful impacts to park resources. The preferred alternative strikes a balance between protecting Park resources and opportunities for continued enjoyment of this area. We support the principles of the preferred alternative (Alternative C) and offer additional suggestions for improving the final decision.

Summary of past GYC's comments

We offered the following comments during the scoping phase of this EIS that are still principles that we believe should be adhered to in the final decision. These principles are consistent with the Organic Act and administration of National Park Service resources across the nation. 1) Moose-Wilson Corridor should be managed as a destination in-itself because of its outstanding natural communities, cultural and wilderness resources, and opportunities for visitor enjoyment; 2) Moose-Wilson Corridor is a Grand Teton National Park (GTNP) resource that is autonomous from Teton County's transportation planning and the Park Service has the authority and expertise to wisely develop a plan for transportation in this corridor; and 3) GTNP is responsible for maintaining the ecological character of this corridor to preserve unimpaired the natural and cultural resources and values of the national park system for the enjoyment, education, and inspiration of this and future generations.

We also outlined specific concerns in our scoping comments that have either been addressed or have been modified because of the direction of the preferred alternative.

#### Laurance S. Rockefeller (LSR) Preserve

We offered specific comments on a number of core issues that could impact the Laurance S. Rockefeller (LSR) Preserve. There continues to be a need to protect the LSR from modifications in the corridor that would result in degradation of the spirit and intent of the easements that opened the LSR preserve to public use. In review of the Preferred Alternative, it is in our opinion that these values would be protected.

#### Maintain the Physical Character of Moose-Wilson Corridor

Additionally, we had concerns over possible changes to the physical character of the roadway. The slow speed and winding character is part of the local cultural value of the Moose-Wilson Corridor and in part, what makes it a park destination and ideal for wildlife watching activities. We are encouraged that the preferred alternative recognizes these values and even enhances them by reducing speeds, limiting vehicle traffic with identified thresholds and minimizing vegetation disturbance. We had questions over whether or not paving the current gravel sections could be a modification that we could support. The park has analyzed the application of magnesium chloride and found that paving this section would largely have benefits to plant and animal communities along the corridor through decreased water and soil contamination of magnesium chloride, dust and vehicle fluids (DEIS 414). We have additional concerns that paving could increase speeds and contribute to barrier effects for wildlife, but will discuss those later in these comments. We also believe that the continued use of seasonal closures of the road should remain as proposed in the preferred alternative under adaptive management. With these considerations we feel the preferred alternative has address the concerns we raised on impacts to the physical characteristics in scoping.

#### Grizzly Bears

In the past we have raised significant concerns over the potential for conflicts with grizzly bears. While grizzly bears may be a recent arrival to the Moose-Wilson Corridor, their presence has significantly changed the character of this roadway and necessity for considerations of impacts to this iconic species of the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem. We are interested in the response from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) on the impacts of modifications in the corridor for grizzly bears and Canada lynx, as well as reviewing the Biological Assessment developed by the Park Service. A central subject for the Moose-Wilson Corridor Draft EIS should include minimizing conflicts with grizzly bears and grizzly-human safety. We will raise concerns later in these comments over the traffic thresholds proposed and whether these thresholds are consistent with minimizing wildlife impacts.

We agree with the Park Service that adding multi-use pathways to the corridor will increase the probability of human grizzly bear encounters and associated human injuries (DEIS, pg 293) and support Alternative C in limiting these risks. Recent research also corroborates these concerns based on studies of brown bears in Anchorage Alaska (Coltrane and Sinnott, 2015). The study found that "a potential solution for avoiding dangerous bear encounters is to restrict human access or types of recreational activity. When human access is controlled in bear habitat, distribution of visitors becomes spatially and temporally more predictable, allowing bears an opportunity to adjust activity patterns to avoid people while still using the resource." This information supports the decisions made in analyzing impacts to the resources in Alternative C.

#### Road Realignment

In our past comments we supported the proposed realignment between the Death Canyon road and Sawmill Ponds for the direct benefits to riparian and wetland habitats. While the proposal would undoubtedly benefit wildlife, the NEPA process has revealed other significant impacts of this realignment on cultural and archeological resources. In consideration of the extensive disturbance and restoration needs, and to remain consistent with the Organic Act in protecting "historic objects," we agree that this portion of the realignment is ill-conceived at this time. This modification of the direction the Park was headed from scoping documents recognizes the due diligence and respect to the process of analyzing impacts to Park resources that has occurred in this EIS process.

There still appears to be great value in considering realignment away from the cottonwood complex at the north entrance to the Moose-Wilson Corridor. This realignment may have beneficial impacts of reducing traffic volume because of requiring entry fees as it occurs beyond the entrance station. It is critical that the Park Service fully rehabilitate any removed road sections back to natural conditions and that the slow-speed and narrow winding character of the road remain consistent in the realigned segments of road.

#### Public Transit Options

We asked the Park Service to consider the possibilities of a shuttle service or public transit in the corridor in our scoping comments. There seems to be a need for continued consideration of a shuttle service and opportunities to partner with Teton County, the Town of Jackson, or private entities to provide shuttle access through the Moose-Wilson Corridor, to the LSR Preserve Visitor Center, to popular hiking trailheads, to the Craig Thomas Discovery Center, and perhaps beyond to the Jackson Hole Airport. Non-permitted commercial use should not be considered in the final decision and should be addressed currently with increased enforcement. We continue to agree with the Park Service that if a shuttle or other system were implemented, increased numbers of visitors could be brought into this area, therefore causing unintentional consequences to resources and visitor experiences (DEIS, pg. 636). Analysis and projections of use and its impact to the number of vehicle use days and reduction of traffic volume should be included in the Final EIS and include analysis of increased safety to cyclists and pedestrians. Shuttle, transit, or van options should be allowable within the specified adaptive management framework and maintain the proposed vehicle and visitor limits. To the extent possible transit options could provide interpretation services that provide visitors with greater information on the natural and cultural resources within the corridor.

#### Death Canyon Trailhead



We raised concerns over increased paving and creation of parking spaces at trailhead locations such as at Death Canyon Trailhead during scoping. We ask the Park Service to reconsider a smaller parking lot at the Death Canyon trailhead. Alternative C proposes a parking lot for 80-90 cars, based upon current use, but gives little evidence that this use is not impacting wildlife, soundscape, and other natural resources at the existing levels. Concentrating this use and rehabilitating the existing road and user-created pullouts will be a net gain for these resources, however, is the 80-90 car parking lot proposal appropriate? We ask that the size of this lot and associated impacts see further analysis in the FEIS.

Minimizing additional paving while maintaining reasonable access should be the goal for this portion of project area. A thorough analysis of paving, parking, and restroom facility options should be included for impacts to vegetation, visitor experience, soundscapes and wildlife.

Suggestions for improving Alternative C

#### Maximum Vehicle Thresholds

We appreciate the Park's efforts to create maximum vehicle thresholds where, if exceeded, there would be detrimental impacts on park resources and visitor experience. We applaud these efforts and only question the assumptions that have been made temporally and seasonally. Mornings and evenings are important times for wildlife movements, seeking food or shelter for the day. While the maximum threshold of 200 vehicles may be consistent for limiting peak use, it also may inadvertently allow many more cars than existing levels on the road early and late in the day or during the spring and fall when wildlife use of the corridor is at its highest level. The Park Service identified this concern in Alternative C, in that there may be the potential that some drivers may switch times they drive to mornings and early evenings to avoid queues (DEIS, pg 391). In addition to these concerns, we're concerned that this could be exacerbated by increased visitation to the park caused by increase growth in local population and park visitation. Our apprehension is that while the 200 cap may be suitable for mid-day at our current levels, it would allow significant growth and increased impacts during the morning and evening hours (DEIS, pg 300). This cap would also allow significant increases in other months or seasons of the year, even though the DEIS only looked at July-September historic use, the roadway is maintained and open from May through October. These early and later months coincide with critical periods for wildlife that are abundant in the corridor, for example moose calving in the spring or bears entering hyperphagia in the fall. We encourage the Park to analyze what thresholds are appropriate more temporally in the FEIS.

External to this process there have been efforts to establish thresholds for which roadways create a "barrier effect" or are avoided in road planning. Various studies from Montana to Alberta have suggested that even minimal traffic can cause avoidance of roads (Ament et al., 2015) for grizzly bears. Some research suggests that 100 vehicles/hr is a threshold for reduced presence of grizzly bears near roads with a clear avoidance of crossing the road during daytime traffic levels above 100 vehicles/hour (Waller 2008). Our suggestion is to look at additional metrics beyond maximum vehicle use to determine if there are unforeseen hourly or daily thresholds being exceeded in this corridor. A lower or an adaptive threshold would allow the Park Service better management options when there are resource considerations such as a wolf pack denning in the area or bears along the road in the fall.

Reducing the number of vehicles in the corridor would have other resource benefits that perhaps haven't been fully considered in reducing greenhouse gas and other emissions.

Engineer the road for slow speeds

As the corridor is improved under the preferred alternative we ask the Park to consider engineering the road for slow speeds in addition to signage displaying the reduced speed limit. By engineering the road with additional traffic calming measures such as reduced sight distances, painted narrow lanes, windy curves and speed bumps, we can better ensure that drivers comply with posted speed limits. This furthers safety for people on foot or bike who may share the road. Research shows that drivers typically drive an inferred speed, a speed that they feel comfort because of design characteristics (Donnell et al. 2009).

"Frequently, roads and streets designed for a particular speed appear suitable for much higher speeds. Drivers read the road, not the design plans. Some roadway segments, such as a long straight section, look the same regardless of designated design speed. When these features are combined with over-designed speed sensitive features (i.e., from using above-minimum values as recommended by highway geometric design policy) the visible cues on appropriate speed may be in sharp contrast to the designated design speed. What was contemplated by the designer as a factor of safety (with respect to the designated design speed) is often negated by driver speed."

We ask that the Park ensure that drivers maintain the 20 mph speed limits by installing speed bumps and signage with radar to indicate driver speeds. Drivers consistently travel at the road engineered speed rather than posted speeds. Everyone of us has experienced this sensation when driving on wide and straight highways, for example US HWY 89/191. We ask that the Park maintain the narrow windy road with short sight distances to ensure that drivers maintain the 20 mph speeds. A fine example of a road that is engineered for slow speeds is the Jenny Lake Scenic Drive.

Wider roads, higher traffic volume, and higher vehicle speeds lead to increased impact on wildlife, including their habitat and movements across the landscape (Gunther et al. 2000). Some of the proposed strategy for reducing vehicle speed, reducing congestion by managing entry rates, restricting through-traffic during peak periods, increasing public transportation options will undoubtedly reduce impacts on wildlife in this corridor.

Limit Vegetation Disturbance

We are concerned that "vegetation setbacks" are being considered between Sawmill Ponds and Death Canyon (DEIS, pg 52). These shrubs, forests and wetlands along the road provide important wildlife habitat, in particular, the hawthorn patches along the corridor are excellent resources for bears and other species. We believe that with the above considerations for a cap in vehicle traffic that is seasonal and adaptive, the Park Service would not need to consider vegetation removal to manage human-bear conflicts. Additionally, the use of road closures in response to bear use of the corridor should continue to be an important management tool. If the Park is proposing significant removal of habitat important to bears, this should be considered "take" by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service in their Section 7 consultation under the Endangered Species Act.

Winter Use

We agree that the preferred alternative should not allow winter grooming or enhanced winter recreation opportunities above the current levels. We also agree that winter grooming would increase adverse effects to wildlife (DEIS, pg 375) and would also increase effects on winter soundscapes. One concern is the lack of consideration for mitigation of the effects of winter recreation under the preferred alternative (DEIS, pg 365). "Winter recreational use along unplowed portions of the road (Death Canyon Road junction to Granite Canyon) and in surrounding backcountry areas would also continue to disturb

wintering wildlife behavior under alternative C." In fact, Grand Teton has instituted a number of winter wildlife closures and should consider the potential for implementing similar closures in these areas if winter use is disturbing wintering wildlife.  
[http://www.nps.gov/grte/planyourvisit/upload/GRTE\\_map\\_winter\\_2011.pdf](http://www.nps.gov/grte/planyourvisit/upload/GRTE_map_winter_2011.pdf)

At a minimum, the Park should consider closing areas to dogs or strictly enforcing dog restrictions. As a frequent winter user of this area and other areas in Grand Teton it is unclear to me where and when dogs are allowed and frequently you see violation of the pet rules in the park. This can quickly lead to increased stress on wintering wildlife.

#### Conclusions

I appreciate the opportunity to submit these comments on behalf of Greater Yellowstone Coalition on the Moose-Wilson Corridor Comprehensive Management Plan - Draft Environmental Impact Statement. We have participated in all of the previous public processes on transportation planning in GTNP including September 15, 2014 scoping comments on the Draft Moose Wilson Corridor Comprehensive Management Plan, February 5, 2014 comments on the Moose-Wilson Corridor Comprehensive Management Plan scoping and August 24, 2005 comments on the GTNP Transportation Plan Draft EIS, and those comments remain relevant on overall planning for this important corridor. In addition, over 2,200 GYC members and supporters submitted comments during this public process demonstrating to us the importance of this corridor as a visitor destination. We support Alternative C and sought to provide improvements to the preferred alternative through this process. Please feel free to contact us on these concerns and we wish to remain engaged in this project.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments,

Sincerely,

Chris Colligan  
Wildlife Program Manager

Greater Yellowstone Coalition  
P.O. Box 4857, Jackson, WY 83001  
(307) 734-0633

#### Literature Cited

Ament R., P. McGowen, M. McClure, A. Rutherford, C. Ellis, and J. Grebenc. 2014. Highway mitigation for wildlife in northwest Montana. Sonoran Institute, Northern Rockies Office, Bozeman, MT, 84 pp. [http://www.westerntransportationinstitute.org/documents/reports/4W4429\\_Final\\_Report.pdf](http://www.westerntransportationinstitute.org/documents/reports/4W4429_Final_Report.pdf)

Coltrane, J.A. and R. Sinnott. (2015). Brown bear and human recreational use of trails in Anchorage, Alaska. *Human-Wildlife Interactions*. 9(1):132-147.

Donnell, E.T., Himes, S.C., Mahoney, K.M., Porter, R.J. McGee, H. "Speed Concepts: Informational Guide," Report No. FHWA-SA-10-001, Federal Highway Administration Office of Safety, Washington, DC, 2010. Published, 09/2009. [http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/speedmgmt/ref\\_mats/fhwasa10001/](http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/speedmgmt/ref_mats/fhwasa10001/)

Gunther, K.A., M.J. Biel, and H.L. Robison. 2000b. Influence of vehicle speed and vegetation cover-type on road-killed wildlife in Yellowstone National Park. Pages 42-51 in T.A. Messmer and B. West, editors. *Wildlife and highways: seeking solutions to an ecological and socio-economic dilemma*. Proceedings of the 7th Annual Meeting of the Wildlife Society, Nashville, Tennessee, USA.

Waller, J. S. and Servheen, C. (2005), Effects of Transportation Infrastructure on Grizzly Bears in Northwestern Montana. *The Journal of Wildlife Management*, 69: 985-1000.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3189	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Hugie, Roy D				
<b>Address:</b>	Logan, UT 84321 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,30,2016 22:21:21				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Web Form				

**Correspondence:** I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the DEIS for the proposed Moose-Wilson Corridor project. I will make my comments brief and direct them at some very specific aspects of this project. By way of introduction three things may be of importance to you as you review my comments: 1) As president of my consulting firm, I served as the lead third-party consultant on nine EIS projects for a variety of federal agencies; 2) I and my firm also served as the environmental lead for much of the trails, walkways, and other elements of the LSR Project for seven years from its inception to its completion, i.e. I know the corridor well and have witnessed its changes from 1963 when I was a river guide to the present; and 3) I have a PhD in Wildlife Science and spent 7 years completing my research and dissertation on black bears. I submit my comments as one of many who appreciate, use, and enjoy this treasured corridor and one who acknowledges the dedication and character of the personnel of GTNP.

#### Comments:

1) The NEPA process that was followed was unusual in that it was unclear what was being proposed other than a planning effort. The NPS as lead agency has the option to do this but in my opinion it made for a difficult process to track without an identified Proposed Action from the offset. Just an observation but I would have preferred a more straight forward, conventional NEPA process.

2) The scope of the subject matter for the draft was certainly exhaustive but I question what evidence was used to dismiss some of the concerns and disciplines. For instance, I think that Air Quality should have been carried through for each of the alternatives because I believe that differences among the alternatives considered for detailed analysis could have been demonstrated. Specifically, the potential for idling vehicles waiting in the queue to proceed

through the corridor will contribute to the pollution of the air as will the additional driving by those who choose the route through Jackson or Spring Gulch. Conversely, having more bicycles or hikers would not add anywhere near the pollutants if a strong effort to encourage those means of visitation were encouraged. If the analyses assumed that there were no differences or no significant differences among the alternatives, that assumption was not well documented. I suggest that Air Quality be carried through for each of the alternatives in order to not make a capricious or arbitrary decision.

3) Perhaps one of the most disappointing aspects of the suggested preferred alternative was not taking the opportunity to move the existing segment of the Moose-Wilson Road away from the existing wetland and aquatic environments. I fully realize that this would take some careful consideration in order to avoid a potentially significant impact to the heritage resources within the corridor. However, I respectfully suggest that this could be done. After all, much of that area was once an airport or at least an extended landing strip. In my opinion, the riparian, wetland, and aquatic resources that are found immediately adjacent to the Road are without question the most valuable elements of this montane ecosystem. I drove past this area at least twice and often as many as eight times a day for nearly seven years. Almost without fail, I witnessed wildlife either attempting to use these areas or in August-September the Hawthorne vegetation adjacent to the Road. The sagebrush/sparsely forested areas to the east of these aquatic resources are also important and are used by wildlife but their importance pales in comparison to the critical upland (Hawthorne stands), riparian, wetland, and aquatic resources that could so easily be avoided. GTNP has some very talented, well trained, and caring professionals; surely ways to either work around, avoid, or mitigate the cultural/heritage resources could be done. I am well aware of the comments from SHPO, professional archeologists, and historians on this specific matter. It is not my intention to restate or elaborate on them. They are part of the administrative record. I am just suggesting that taking the opportunity to move the road away from these critical natural resources would be more in line with the plans, objectives, intentions, and expectations of this proposed project. This element (moving the road) could easily become part of the Park's preferred alternative. I have seen the moose jams, bear jams, beaver jams, squabbles among visitors, shameful behavior by users of the road, and safety concerns for visitors, wildlife, and Park personnel for several years. Giving wildlife a chance to effectively use these habitats and still be able to view them from a safe distance in the sagebrush meadow to the east should be one of the most obvious changes to be made.

One other aspect or argument for making this element part of the Park's preferred alternative is that continuing to have traffic so close to these aquatic resources will, as the DEIS suggests, require the road to be modified. This may either require re-engineering the road or continuing to place additional fill (as defined in the Clean Water Act) in waters of the U.S. If this is true then the NPS has agreed to abide by the conditions of placing such fill noted in the MOUs, agreements, and regulations associated with the Clean Water Act. This project could likely involve up to an acre of fill or the effect of fill and would therefore require completion of a 404 (b) (1) alternatives analysis and, under normal conditions, the USACE would be bound to only embrace the 'least damaging practicable alternative' which would be moving the Road so that the acreage of waters of the U.S. affected would be minimized. I know there are many caveats, conditions, assumptions, etc. for this but again why not do this right and move the road with special provisions to protect, mitigation, and avoid wildlife, wildlife habitat other important resources, including cultural resources. This really can be done and GTNP is smart enough to pull it off. Like Air Quality, I came away after reading the DEIS that Aquatic Resources (including wetland, riparian habitats, and open water) could have been addressed more effectively and more in line with its value to wildlife among the alternatives.

4) Bears, Bikes, and Biologists: I know a lot about bears, not so much about bikes, and I am a professional biologist. After reading the paper provided to the NPS, listening to people talk about conflicts that they neither understood or didn't exist, and reviewing the wildlife section; I think that the DEIS contains some subjective, conclusionary logic and opinions expressed as substitutes for science. Bear biology has a history of this kind of expression but other species can also be the subject of slanted comments. Over the seven years that I worked at the JY (RSL Preserve) I and my crew saw lots of bears (mostly black but a few grizzly as well). We also saw our share of bicyclists, hikers, and other recreationists use the road during all seasons. From my viewpoint here are some aspects of bear behavior that I would like to include in my comments:

Bears are very intelligent, they learn well and quickly, unless they are rewarded by obtaining human food, they would prefer to avoid contact unless they sense danger to themselves or young. When the plans for the trail system, bridges, and wetland walkways were being planned at the LSR Preserve, the concern for bears, visitor safety, and reducing potential problems were major concerns. My crew learned (I already knew from working with bears for over 3 decades) that if they wanted to see bears they had to be quiet and if they didn't want to see bears (other than from a distance) they simply had to be noisy. They also knew that they had to be very careful not to make human food available to bears if they didn't want them around (and they wanted to remain employed by me). So why did we see so many bears as we worked on elements of the Preserve? We saw them because we were quiet and we were consistent and predictable in our presence at certain locations. While working on the Lake Creek Bridge just upstream of the LSR Preserve visitor building, we saw bears almost every day during August and September. Why? Because we were in the middle of a large Hawthorne stand that had been used by bears for decades. They came, they watched us, we watched them, they ate berries and we worked on the bridges and trails. Here's the thing, we knew and the Park knows where these concentrated food sources are located not only on the LSR Preserve but along the Moose-Wilson Road corridor. If we didn't want the bears around all we had to do was be noisy and avoid the concentrated food sources which included not only Hawthorne vegetation in August and September but rich grasslands in the early spring, and other typical seasonal habitats.

A couple other things about bears: they can cover big distances in a short time but seasonally often stay within a home range where they have learned to make a living (especially females); bears have really good hearing but even better senses of smell and taste; their eyesight is not as poor as some would have you think, especially if what is being seen, moves; and they instinctively want to avoid conflicts if possible or given a chance. So, to avoid interactions say with bicycles some mitigation is certainly possible. Things like making trails in open areas, avoiding areas where there is a concentration of important foods, avoiding approaches (especially fast, quiet approaches) to areas that may provide food, concealment, cover, or concentrated feeding sites. Noise can be created in lots of ways such as making the surface rough so that the tires are not quiet. Putting noise makers on bikes that might not be audible to humans but would be to bears is a possibility. There is actually an entire body of literature including studies of how to design paths and bicycles in order to help avoid collisions using sight, hearing, and tactile senses. Also, making paths so that users can be seen at a distance, having stops or rest areas away from sites likely to be attractive to bears such as natural feeding areas, and avoiding use of areas during crepuscular periods such as early mornings or late evenings are all possibilities. Avoiding use of trails altogether during some periods of the season or day is also feasible. Remember, it is not that uncommon to close trails at the LSR Preserve or along the Moose-Wilson Road during August or September to avoid creating problems for visitors or bears. Those of us who built the trails and facilities at the LSR Preserve were aware of the potential problems but we tried to use some judgement while we built them to avoid problems with bears and those who manage the LSR Preserve also use good judgement in applying mitigation so that the trails can be used, the visitors are safe, and the bears get to eat Hawthorne berries. One very regrettable exception to this occurred regarding a young grizzly bear within the last two years but in my opinion neither good judgement nor mature experience prevailed.

I am not an avid bicyclist or even a routine user but I was distraught to read the treatment of bears, bikes, and hikers in Alternative D in the DEIS. I found it disappointing in the DEIS, to see how we ignored how far we as wildlife managers and recreation specialists have come over the past several decades in applying what we have learned from real science where hypotheses are tested, objective data are gathered, and solutions to problems sought. A survey of the area in regards to the presence or absence of bears or their habitats is simply that a survey. Someone looking at the survey and making a short visit to the corridor and then rendering opinions is also not science. I saw no attempt at a study as to cause-and-effect of habitat use or non-use, mortality of recreationists or bears, or even the suggestion that the use of a dedicated, properly mitigated, multi-use pathway would lead to bear or visitor mortality. With the right approach to planning, implementing past experience, and recognizing that there are spatial and temporal mitigation measures that can be

followed in the configuration, construction, operation, and management of trails that would allow a rewarding use and still protect the resources found within the corridor and GTNP is blatantly missing from the DEIS.

I don't have a 'dog in the fight'. I chose not to become the 'bear spokesperson' for those advocating a dedicated pathway. Not because I have anything against them or pathways but in order to avoid an unrelated conflict of interest. It was my experience that the bicycle advocates were actually very agreeable and willing to go the extra mile or do the right thing, including not getting their way if it was for the good of the resources. I do think however that I should recognize non-science and opinions when I see them, especially on a subject that I have extensive knowledge and site-specific experience.

5) A few miscellaneous comments. Good job on recommending paving the southern part of the road. Hate to see it in some ways but it is logical and necessary. Travelling on the dirt road was one thing, pulling cars with broken axles out (twice in 7 years), seeing the vegetation sprayed with chemicals, and tolerating the erosion and sedimentation next to creeks, and seeing the road gradually widen in order to avoid potholes is something else entirely. I think safety of users is important, hence my opinion that would favor a separate pathway. That said, I really think that the pathway need not be another road like it is along Highway 89. Something much more subtle would work just fine. 20 MPH sounds great but with pedestrians, bicycles, and vehicles sharing the road I really think that it will only be a matter of time till a pedestrian or bicyclist is seriously hurt or killed. I have witnessed drivers doing 35-45 MPH on that road because they are seeing it for the thousandth time and it is at that point, just a road to home or wherever. Safety should have been more fully analyzed for each of the alternatives.

Lastly, I would like to submit that the 'zone of influence' if a separate multi-path were part of your preferred alternative may actually not be much of an increase as an adverse impact, over the current road alignment. It is true that individuals having small home ranges such as certain birds or small animals may lose much or even their entire home range but as a species or group that loss would not likely rise to the level of being significant. However for the mega-fauna (ungulates, bears, cats, and canines) the difference between the zone of influence in a road with a bike lane and a road with a multi-user pathway adjacent (within 5 meters) may not be significantly greater. There are several studies on this including some that the NPS completed on the Moose to Jenny Lake pathway segment. I use significant in the NEPA sense at this point.

I appreciate the efforts that you put into this document. Seldom will all those affected agree with the conclusions reached, why should this one be different? I look forward to seeing your decision and reading your responses to my comments. If you should need clarification or references regarding any of my comments please feel free to call or email me.

Sincerely,

Roy D Hugie, PhD

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3190    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Rothman, Shane  
**Address:** Jackson , WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I believe the best option is to start planning the North Bridge, and let the Moose Wilson connection remain primitive. Vehicles could be banned, except for shuttles, turning the road into a suitable Recreation Path. This North Bridge is an inevitable link in our transportation grid and also a more suitable solution to connect our pathways. The failure to include this alternative in future plans shows a lack of foresight by park officials, our county commissioners, and town council.  
Thanks for the opportunity to comment.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3191    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Barash, Jean  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** The Moose-Wilson Rd. is a very special corridor. That stretch of road provides invaluable habitat for wildlife and acts as a transition between the world of Teton Village/the West Bank and the heart of Grand Teton National Park. I do not feel that it is at all appropriate that a bike path be constructed in such a unique/vital wildlife area. Thousands of trees would be removed to accommodate a bike path, with asphalt replacing both trees and ground cover. Once again, wildlife would be confronted with humans encroaching on their habitat. Additionally, paved pathways are not necessarily safe in such a wildlife area. For tourists, a paved pathway might seem like a perfect place for a stroll. Few realize that, due to the area's thick vegetation, it would be very easy for hikers or bikers to surprise an animal. The results of those confrontations could prove deadly.

I am in favor of the Park's alternative "C": I think it is appropriate that the number of cars be regulated. That the speed limit be kept at 20. And that current unpaved section be paved.

There are miles and miles of bike paths in the world of Teton County. There is only one Moose-Wilson Road in the world. It is a beloved part of our Park. Please protect it.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3192    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Campbell, Cindy

**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Bear 760 Community Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** To Grand Teton National Park,  
I strongly support Alternative C for the future of the beautiful and truly unique, Moose-Wilson Corridor. Having lived in the Jackson Hole Valley for for 45 years, I assure you, your plan to protect this pristine swath of land, Is optimal and most "old time" locals, like myself, appreciate the hard work which went into your process.

This alternative will help assure that wildlife together with the flora and fauna can be enjoyed by all, while still staying true to your core mission. Allowing small areas, such as this Corridor, to be free of unnecessary activities, insures our grandchildren will experience the same "wildness of place", that we have for decades.

We support projects that help our wildlife thrive, while forever being encroached upon by human activity. Please do not waiver from your own preferred alternative, no matter the pressure you are sure to feel. Thank You for your consideration.

Cindy Campbell  
Grizzly Bear 760 Community  
Wilson, Wyoming

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3193    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** moran, WY 83013  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Of the alternatives offered, I support C. However, I do not agree with Reconstructing and paving the existing, unpaved portion of Moose-Wilson Road. Whatever is currently unpaved, should remain that way. No more development on this road.

It didn't mention if the road will remain closed during the winter, so I would like to state that this is what I support. No traffic on this road in the winter except non-motorized.  
Thank You.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3194    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** N/A, N/A  
**Address:** Wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 23:27:47  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I am writing in support of the Preferred Alternative C.

Establishing a vehicle cap is in keeping with the approach sought by Mr Rockefeller in his gift of the JY Ranch to GRTE. I would actually have preferred that the NPS be even more restrictive in order to protect the amazing values of this important area within GRTE.

I support the decision not to have a separate bike path and the underlying reasons for that decision. To be clear: I am completely opposed to the idea of a separated bike path.

I ask that the Park specifically prohibit the use of (fat tire) bikes on the road during the winter months. The latest "most fun" thing to do in the valley is to ride a fat tire bike up Cache Creek at night. Don't assume people will know that they can't ride a bike there when the road is closed in winter. The general absence of Park personnel in this part of the Park during the winter months makes it more likely that this activity might take place.

Please do not even consider opening the road in winter. Please leave the use as it is at the moment: snow shoeing/walking/ cross country ski-ing and ungroomed. Winter is the only time when one can truly appreciate the silence and peacefulness of the M-W corridor.

I am concerned that the Park may not do sufficient enforcement of the proposed 20mph speed limit. With fewer vehicles on the road than there have been in recent years, there will be a great temptation to drive faster.

If the Park is unable to enforce the 20mph speed limit especially at night when there will be fewer vehicles on the road, when presumably the cap will not be at the limit thereby allowing access to vehicles intending only to speed through the corridor on their way to Hwy 390. I would like GRTE to consider having the option of closing the road to through traffic at night. This would eliminate the threat to wildlife from speeding vehicles, give the wildlife a much needed respite from vehicles and further reinforce the idea that the road is not to be a "short cut" or bypass but rather is a unique and special place within the Park which may be visited, enjoyed and appreciated. The absence of vehicle engines would give the opportunity to actually hear sounds that have been drowned out for years now by engine noise.

I read that the County Commissioners are requesting consideration of a climbing lane for bikes on the parts of the road where there is a substantial uphill grade. If the Park can effectively enforce the speed limit at 20mph there should be no need of this. To construct a separated lane in the particular locations would be too disruptive/destructive given the vegetation and topography of the locations in question.

I support a ban on use of the corridor by taxi services.

I sincerely hope that GRTE will work with the online map/GPS services to ensure that they will identify the road as having use restrictions and not simply the easiest way to go from Teton Village to the airport or to Moose. Also installation of effective signage at the south end of Hwy 390 to ensure that drivers are informed about the restrictions before they drive all the way up to the park entrance.

thank you for the opportunity to comment and for all the work that Park staff has put into considering the alternatives.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3195    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** N/A, Leine  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83002  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** I have been in Jackson Hole for over 20 years now and am familiar with the chaos that can be experienced on the Moose Wilson Rd. I don't know what the solution is but I do know that a pathway system should not be built. I am a cyclist and love all of our new pathway systems especially the fact that we can ride from town all the way to the Park and not have to be in traffic but some places like the Moose-Wilson rd. just should not be developed more. What about the beaver ponds? It is such important habitat for beaver, moose, muskrat, ducks, bears, etc. how can you assure this habitat wouldn't get destroyed?

Also if you pave the dirt, you will just have more drivers driving too fast. Why is there this need to change that now?

I know it is hard to try and please everyone but sometimes we have to think of the benefits to the wildlife. We have enough roads, we have developed enough, is there really a reason to make such a unique place into something else it shouldn't be. Maybe it should be closed to cars. And only people that live in the area can drive.

My main concern is that no pathway is built on the Moose-Wilson rd. in the park.

Sincerely,  
Leine

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3196    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Koedt, Inger P  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Vela,

I lived in Moose for many years at the Murie Ranch. The preferred alternative C is something that I can support strongly. It is important for the wildlife and for the Park that you restrict the number of vehicles on the road. I think that Laurence Rockeller would approve of your plan.

I do not think that a separate path is a good idea and I am glad that you are not proposing to build such a path.

Thank you

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3197    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** Barrett, Dave  
**Address:** wilson, WY 83014  
United States of America

**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual

**Affiliation:**

**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** Having attended many meetings and studying the various alternatives for the Moose \_Wilson Corridor, i recommend that the "Preferred Alternative C" as represented by GTNP, be adopted. Ultimately Safety of use and users is the essential bottom line

Please do not construct a paved separated pathway in the corridor for bicyclists, joggers, walkers, etc as the potential for sudden surprise encounters with wildlife such as grizzly bears, black bears, even moose. could result in disasterous outcomes of injury or even death.

Furthermore, the impacts of construction and maintenance of a paved pathway where many trees will be removed and asphalt applied will have a a major negative impact on the wildlife habitat.

The Moose\_Wilson corridor is a unique and exceptional wildlife habitat which deserves and requires the highest level of protections and stewardship which is the essence of the mission of Grand Teton National Park.

Thank you for your considerations.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3198    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894

**Name:** N/A, N/A

**Address:** ketchikan, AK 99901  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 23:47:40  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

**Correspondence:** 1. Page 166 of the EIS eliminates widening the roadway for a bike path. This is a simple cost effective solution that provides a multiuse roadway - simply widening the existing road by adding bike lanes. And part of the reasoning for this decision was it would require striping the roadway which would preserving the historic character of the corridor. Alternatives B-D require paving the roadway. Please confirm that if Alternate B, C or D are selected that the new paving will have no striping. (And thus "preserve the historic character of the road corridor".)

2. In regards to environment: Basically the EIS (page 41 and 355) eliminated looking at the carbon footprint of the project (...I must say by using sleight of hand.

2a. Has the NPS done an energy study complete with tallying the CO2 emissions comparing a gravel roadway to a paved roadway from cradle to grave? Concrete and asphalt are very energy intensive products and are amongst the highest contributors of CO2 to the atmosphere. A complete study would include the energy involved (using carbon based fuels) to extract the products used in the roadway; deliver them to the site; build the roadway; demolish the roadway after its useful life; and the resulting CO2 emissions each step of the way.

Per the EIS (Total One-Time Facility Costs, page 152) the cost difference between gravel (Alternate A) versus the least expensive paving option (Alternate C) is about \$21,000,000. What is the carbon footprint of Alternates B-D for a new paved road vs. the gravel road and how much does the footprint for each Alternate really cost the environment?

2b. One negative that the EIS lists for gravel roadways is the roads need to be sprayed with magnesium chloride. I may have missed it but does the EIS mention anything about the contaminated runoff (rain/snowmelt) off from asphalt or concrete paved roadways.

3. 20 mph seems to be a design goal. What traffic calming methods have been investigated to achieve these vehicle speeds?

4. Alternate A is the only Alternative that truly maintains the historic character of the roadway. I think a walking/bike lane or path is essential for the project. It is ridiculous not include improvements to human powered transportation on any road project in the park. So my preference is a modified Alternate A - a gravel road along the existing route, but

- a) widen it to allow bike and pedestrians some additional clearance from the motor vehicles and
- b) control the number of vehicles and bikes allowed on the road (the concept outlined in Alternate C).

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3199    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** sulzer, vanessa  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001-2302  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual  
**Affiliation:**  
**Received:** Jan,30,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Web Form  
**Correspondence:** I am opposed to further development. It will diminish wildlife. We live in such a rare place in which wild animals are living amongst the community. That is worth more than anything that we could build.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3200    **Project:** 48252    **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Mead, Matthew H  
**Address:** Cheyenne, WY 82002  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** State of Wyoming State Government  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Letter  
**Correspondence:** January 29, 2016

David Vela, Superintendent  
Grand Teton National Park  
National Park Service  
P.O. Box 170  
Moose, WY 83012

Re: Moose-Wilson Corridor Draft Comprehensive Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement

Dear Superintendent Vela,

I appreciate the extension and the opportunity to comment on the Moose-Wilson Corridor Draft Comprehensive Management Plan (Plan) and Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). The Moose-Wilson road is important to both Wyoming residents and visitors. There are strong and diverse views on the best future for this road. It was appropriate to offer additional time for the public and cooperating agencies to deliberate and develop comments.

A variety of perspectives have been presented to the National Park Service (NPS) on the future of the Moose-Wilson road, including human safety, public access, air quality, wildlife resources, cultural resources and more. In the past, I and local government bodies have advocated for the development of an independent pathway from the Granite Canyon Entrance to the Teton Park Road. The Teton County Board of Commissioners (Commissioners) has modified their position on the right alternative for the Moose-Wilson road. I respect and give weight to their position but remain concerned about public safety and address it and other concerns here.

I support several components of the NPS preferred alternative -the lowering of speed limits and safe transitions between pathways and roads to improve human safety. The Commissioners introduced the concept of climbing lanes for non-motorized travelers which I support. This has the potential to increase safety without a separate pathway. Realignment of the roadway at the northern entrance should provide a better visitor experience. I also support transit (small buses or vans) through the Moose-Wilson corridor. Local elected officials and private entities in Teton County have extensive experience and interest in setting up and operating a successful transit system. Their skill should be tapped as a part of this process.

There are gaps in the Plan and EIS. I ask the NPS take a hard look at the air quality in the region and the impacts of the alternatives on regional air quality. I am concerned if visitors are forced to wait for extended periods to enter the corridor, idling vehicles may impact air quality and this has not been analyzed. Vehicles detouring around the corridor may also impact air quality as they are forced to take longer routes to enter the Grand Teton National Park (GTNP). I have additional questions on cumulative impacts of the NPS's preferred alternative. For example, the possible consequences of a queuing system on resources and services outside of the GTNP have potential significance. I am interested in the NPS' s analysis of impact on roads outside of GTNP if visitors turn around and enter the park from US Hwy 26 because of the corridor wait times. I am interested in the NPS 's assessment of the effect of long queuing lines or visitors traveling other routes on wildlife. Consistent with other commenters (including the Wyoming Department of Transportation) I am interested in the NPS's analysis of parking and other aspects of queuing systems and how those will be implemented and managed. The emphasis on reducing vehicles presents an opportunity for non-motorized vehicles - non-motorized visitors need to be addressed separately from motorized queuing. I ask the NPS to reevaluate its approach to visitors who experience GTNP outside of a car.

I also believe the NPS must develop and employ a more robust and collaborative adaptive management strategy. Adaptive management must address the resource, safety, environment and opportunity to share the GTNP and Moose-Wilson corridor with the public. Alternatives that create flexibility and the ability to adapt for the most benefit should be available and capable of integration. Adaptive management by definition is a set of management practices that blends science and public engagement and is designed to address complex natural resources management challenges. Adaptive management relies on management, monitoring and evaluation to improve resource management and protection. According to the U.S. Department of the Interior, "[A]daptive Management does not represent an end in itself, but rather a means to more effective decisions and enhanced benefits." I encourage GTNP to employ collaborative adaptive management- a type of adaptive management that emphasizes joint learning and active partnership between managers, scientists and other stakeholders, including the public. An adaptive management strategy can help inform possible management actions identified in the Visitor Use Management Framework. The NPS should identify its objectives for its adaptive management program for the Moose-Wilson corridor. At a minimum the strategy should: 1) gather data and evaluate the impacts of visitor use in the corridor, and 2) gather additional data regarding the overall social, cultural and ecological impacts of visitor use and how to use that data to guide future management decisions. There have been two fatalities from vehicle-cyclist collisions. We all have a responsibility for human safety. In keeping with adaptive management, if safety incidents, accidents or fatalities occur between vehicles and cyclists or pedestrians, NPS should provide for further human safety study in this EIS.

We all should be concerned that public safety is being properly accounted for in the Plan and EIS. The narrow character of the Moose-Wilson road creates safety issues when vehicles, cyclists and pedestrians are funneled together. This issue is not squarely addressed in the NPS preferred alternative. Additional conversations must occur between the NPS and cooperating agencies on options to protect Wyoming residents and visitors. I continue to believe a plan for the future of the Moose-Wilson road can accommodate both non-motorized transportation as well as two-way vehicle access. This can be done while protecting park resources, maintaining the rustic character of the road, improving public safety and continuing public access. These options range from a separated pathway to potential realignment of sections of the Moose-Wilson Road. As recognized in the enabling legislation that expanded GTNP in 1950, NPS has an obligation to provide access to private and state lands within and outside of GTNP. The Moose-Wilson Road provides an important local connection from the Wilson area to the Moose area. Management tools must be implemented in a way that recognizes local access. The NPS has made timely progress in developing and completing this Plan. Additional work remains. I look forward to continued conversations with the NPS and cooperating agencies.

Sincerely,

Matthew H. Mead  
Governor

MHM:mdm

cc: Barbara Allen, Chairwoman, Teton County Board of Commissioners  
The Honorable Sara Flitner, Mayor, Town of Jackson  
Jim Terry, Chairman, Teton Village Association ISD

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3201	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Barbara, Allen				
<b>Address:</b>	Jackson, WY 83001 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Teton County Board of Commissioners County Government				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	OfficialRep				
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,29,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Letter				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	January 26, 2016				

Superintendent David Vela  
Grand Teton National Park  
P.O. Box 170  
Moose, Wyoming 83012



Re: Moose-Wilson Corridor Preferred Alternative Comment Letter

Dear Superintendent Vela:

We thank Grand Teton National Park staff and other members of the Moose-Wilson corridor analysis team for crafting the NPS Preferred Alternative for the Moose-Wilson corridor. We do not believe this alternative is perfect, and in this letter we offer several suggestions for improvement, but you have largely succeeded in creating a plan that balances resource protection with public access and recreational opportunities. We applaud your thorough, open and inclusive process.

It continues to be the goal of this commission, and our community as a whole, to support the National Park Service in your effort to maintain the unique character of this byway. We support your efforts to maintain the narrow, slow-speed rural character of the Moose-Wilson Road and reject suggestions for a higher speed, higher capacity roadway. Most importantly, we support your efforts to reduce traffic and resource impacts - while still providing meaningful public access. As a Cooperating Agency, we appreciate the opportunity we have to help shape this plan. We take seriously our responsibility to meet our community needs while supporting you in your efforts to meet your overarching mission, as described in the Organic Act of 1916: "... to conserve the scenery and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations."

There are many positive elements in the Preferred Alternative - several highlights:

- 1) We support your efforts to protect the important cultural and archaeological site in the vicinity of the Sawmill Ponds Overlook, known as Site 48TE498.
- 2) We appreciate that the Preferred Alternative employs a sustainable transportation management model, in which the Park has identified management objectives and intentional final corridor conditions, rather than, as is more typical with transportation models, simply expanding roadways in reaction to visitor demand.
- 3) We support the road realignment and new entrance station proposed at the north end of the corridor. This new entrance station will provide the Park with additional tools to more effectively manage the corridor.
- 4) We support rebuilding and paving the current gravel sections to a width comparable with the other paved sections. Installed properly, this will provide a safer experience for all users - as drivers will be able to focus on other corridor users rather than being distracted while attempting to dodge potholes. As well, paving will benefit the resource (groundwater and vegetation) as it eliminates the use of dust-control chemicals. Although counter to most roadway design, it is imperative that the newly paved section continues the uncomfortably narrow width, sharp curves and poor sight distance of the other roadway segments. This will produce high "visual friction" and traffic calming - resulting in lower speeds. As noted in the Federal Highway Administration Road Safety Audit, these "deficiencies" provide effective traffic calming resulting in a remarkably safe road for vehicles and wildlife.
- 5) We support reducing the speed limit to 20 mph and implementing (in cooperation with Teton County and the Wyoming Department of Transportation) a robust Intelligent Transportation System. The ITS messaging program will provide information about road conditions (travel time, animal jams, transit/pathway availability, etc.) that will allow visitors and residents to make more informed route and mode choices.

We have concerns and/or request consideration of the following:

- 1) Vehicle Cap - We support a fact-based, rational limit on vehicles in the Moose-Wilson corridor. Your analysis has not convinced us that the proposed cap (200 vehicles and/or 550 people) both adequately protects Park resources and provides sufficient access opportunities for visitors. We request the Park continue to involve Teton County in implementing an adaptive management approach to these limits - as an overly restrictive cap could unnecessarily limit public access to this unique area, harm our community, and erode support for the Park. Reducing vehicles in the Moose-Wilson corridor is a worthy goal, but unnecessarily limiting human access is not. It is important to find the right balance. We respectfully request, as a Cooperating Agency, additional opportunity to help shape this policy and address these related concerns:
  - a. Queuing Lanes and Access - We are concerned that the proposed threshold limits and queuing lanes will negatively impact access to the Park for bicyclists and nearby residents, as well as impact air quality. How will residents located inside the southern Park boundary or in close proximity to the Granite Canyon Entrance Station access their homes when long lines of idling vehicles are queued to enter the Park? At what threshold will bicycles no longer be permitted to bypass the queuing lanes? How will the Park address air quality impacts generated by these queuing vehicles?
  - b. Indicators and Thresholds - We are not convinced that the "vehicle-free viewscape" indicator and 70% vehicle- and bicycle-free viewshed threshold is valid or measurable. Strict interpretation of this indicator may unnecessarily limit both bicycles and vehicles. We ask that you provide additional rationale and support for this indicator. As well, we support the Park's current policy, as outlined on Page 51 of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement, of allowing bicycle users to bypass the entrance station queuing lanes.
- 2) Transit - Alternative C briefly mentions that future management measures may include consideration of "a corridor reservation system and/or transit." Properly managed, transit (small buses or vans) could help limit the growth of private vehicles in the corridor, more effectively protect the character and resources, and provide sufficient public access. Additionally, transit could give visitors wonderful opportunities to view wildlife. Vans, with well-trained drivers, could continue to traverse the corridor, without harming wildlife and without wildlife harming people.

We are sensitive to the risks of overwhelming the Moose-Wilson corridor with an overly aggressive transit system and therefore recommend the Park take an adaptive management approach to transit, in coordination with the vehicle cap threshold. Teton County and the Town of Jackson recently adopted the Integrated Transportation Plan (ITP). The ITP will rely heavily on transit to help reduce the growth of single-occupancy vehicle use in our valley. We believe a successful transit program in the Park could help our community achieve these traffic-reduction goals and reduce impacts to Park resources. Therefore, as a Cooperating Agency with a successful transit system of our own, we offer our expertise and look forward to coordinating and partnering with you on our collective transit efforts.

- 3) Safety for Cyclists, Walkers, and Motorists - At this time, consistent with the Preferred Alternative, we do not support a separate pathway along the

entire corridor. However, the Preferred Alternative must do more to protect these users and find ways to encourage Park visitors to get out of their cars and explore the Moose-Wilson corridor via quieter and less impactful means. Several options:

a. Traffic Calming- Maintaining the narrow and winding character of the roadway and implementing (and enforcing) a 20 mph speed limit are positive steps. We recommend the Park evaluate additional design and traffic calming measures that will encourage motorists to drive slowly and lessen the need for on-the-ground enforcement.

b. Climbing Lanes - The safety issues for cyclists and pedestrians are generated primarily by the relative difference in speed between motor vehicles, cyclists, and pedestrians. On downhill and flat sections, many cyclists can maintain speeds similar to vehicles. However, on the steep uphill roadway segments (between the Levee Access Road and LSR), this speed differential is most pronounced. We encourage the Park to consider installation of short, one-way, narrow (5' wide), bicycle climbing lanes on these steeper hills. These paths would closely parallel the roadway, rather than widening the roadway. Due to the close proximity to the road, narrow width, and low (uphill) speeds, these one-way paths should minimize resource impacts, minimize habitat fragmentation, reduce the likelihood of surprising a bear (slow uphill speeds and proximity to the road), and allow for a wider range of users.

We thank you for including us in this important process and for considering our comments. We look forward to opportunities for additional collaboration in the coming months.

Sincerely,

Barbara Allen,  
Chairwoman

Attest:

Sherry L. Daigle  
County Clerk

Cc: The Honorable Matthew H. Mead, Governor, State of Wyoming  
Jackson Town Council

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3202	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Sara, Flitner				
<b>Address:</b>	Jackson, WY 83001 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Town of Jackson State Government				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	OfficialRep				
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,29,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Letter				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	January 28, 2016				

Superintendent David Vela  
Grand Teton National Park  
P.O. Box 170, Moose, Wyoming 83012

Re: Town of Jackson Comment on Moose-Wilson Road

Dear Superintendent Vela:

Thank you for the opportunity to participate and comment on the Moose-Wilson Preferred Alternative. The Jackson Town Council also would like to express its appreciation to you, the Grand Teton National Park staff and other members of the analysis team for crafting the NPS Preferred Alternative for the Moose-Wilson Corridor. We recognize this was a long, difficult and controversial process and we commend your team on their dedication and professionalism.

Like many who have been involved in this process, we do not believe this alternative is perfect. However, we strongly believe you have succeeded in creating a plan that balances resource protection with public access and recreational opportunities.

The Town of Jackson supports the National Park Service in your effort to maintain the unique character of this byway. We agree with your efforts to maintain the narrow, slow-speed rural character of the Moose Wilson Road and reject suggestions for a higher speed, higher capacity roadway. Most importantly, we support your efforts to reduce traffic and resource impacts, while still providing meaningful public access.

After reviewing the preferred alternative and hearing from many of our constituents, the Jackson Town Council supports Alternative C. As noted previously, this is an imperfect plan, but we believe it balances resource protection with public access and recreational opportunities.

We also believe that the National Park Service conducted a fair, thorough, inclusive and honest process. We congratulate you on this effort.

While we support the Park's preferred alternative, we share Teton County's concerns regarding the vehicle cap and traffic queuing system. Like the commissioners, the Town Council supports a fact-based, rational limit on vehicles in the Moose-Wilson corridor. We request the Park continue to involve the Town of Jackson and Teton County in implementing an adaptive management approach to these limits. We respectfully request, as a Cooperating Agency, additional opportunity to continue to help shape this policy and address these related concerns.

We recognize that the NPS is the decision-maker on this issue, but we appreciate the opportunity to comment on this important community issue. We look forward to working with you to implement the study's recommendations for the betterment of our community.

Sincerely,

Sara Flitner, Mayor  
Town of Jackson

Cc: Governor Matthew Mead  
Senator Mike Enzi  
Senator John Barrasso  
Rep Cynthia Lummis

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3203	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Strobel, Philip S				
<b>Address:</b>	Denver, CO 80202 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Environmental Protection Agency Federal Government				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	OfficialRep				
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,29,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Letter				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Ref: 8EPR-N				

Mr. David Vela, Superintendent  
Grand Teton National Park  
U.S. Department of the Interior  
National Park Service  
PO Box 170  
Moose, Wyoming 83012

RE: Moose-Wilson Corridor Draft Comprehensive Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement: CEQ# 20150302

Dear Superintendent Vela:

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 8 has reviewed the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Moose-Wilson Corridor - Draft Comprehensive Management Plan, developed by the National Park Service (NPS), Grand Teton National Park. In accordance with our responsibilities under Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and Section 309 of the Clean Air Act, the EPA has reviewed and rated this Draft EIS.

#### Project Background

The planning area comprises approximately 10,300 acres in the southwest corner of the Grand Teton National Park in Teton County, north of Jackson, Wyoming. The project identifies the need to establish a comprehensive management plan for the existing 7.1 miles of the Moose-Wilson Road within the park boundaries and the surrounding corridor. Moose-Wilson Road is increasingly being utilized by visitors and local commuters, and with the transfer of private to public ownership of the Laurance S. Rockefeller Preserve, the NPS is anticipating future increases in the use of this once-seldom utilized portion of the Park. Increased usage of this area by grizzly bears and other wildlife and their interactions with visitors are also drivers for the need of the management plan.

#### Alternatives

The Draft EIS analyzes a no action alternative (Alternative A), as well as three other action alternatives (Alternatives B, C, and D). The NPS has identified Alternative C as its preferred alternative. Alternative C proposes the following:

1. the addition of car queuing lanes at the entrance stations to limit the amount of vehicle traffic and avoid potential congestion within the corridor;
2. paving the existing unpaved section of the Moose Wilson Road;
3. the addition of turnouts to deter use of visitor-made turnouts;
4. the addition of an 80-90 car parking lot for the Death Canyon trailhead to avoid impacts from visitor-made parking;
5. removing a portion of Death Canyon Road and converting it to part of the existing trail;
6. realignment of the northeast section of the road to restore the beneficial wetland hydrology; and
7. removing the existing northeast portion of the Moose-Wilson Road and restoring it with native vegetation.

Alternatives B and D are similar to C, except that they both propose additional realignments of the existing road (beyond the northeast section) to remove it from wetlands that it currently transverse. This realignment appears optimal; however, the proposed relocation of the road would impact important cultural and archaeological resources. Alternatives B and D also propose to leave the unpaved portion of Moose-Wilson Road unpaved, which the Draft EIS indicates may result in increased impacts from road erosion and dust abatement activities. Alternative D also proposes to add a multiuse pathway along the road for cyclists and pedestrian traffic in addition to scenic overlooks.

#### Recommendations for consideration

The EPA recommends that in the Final EIS, the NPS expand upon the exact best management practices (BMPs) that will be utilized for this project, specifically those that relate to erosion, sedimentation and the protection of aquatic resources. The EPA acknowledges that the NPS identifies a number of BMPs and areas where BMPs are necessary; however, the Draft EIS frequently states that BMPs will be developed and implemented without providing specific detail. We also recommend that the Final EIS identify mitigation measures as they relate to parts of the project where new paving or construction will occur. As recommended in our September 15, 2014 letter, design features should be considered to avoid or mitigate concentrated runoff flows that can arise from new construction and paving. While the Draft EIS states that the NPS will make efforts to avoid such issues, the document does not provide detail about how this will be accomplished. Providing greater detail on these facets of the project will enhance the understanding of how aquatic resources and wetlands will be protected.

## Climate Change

The EPA acknowledges the proactive approach of the NPS' Climate Change Response Program in its efforts to aid parks in coping with the uncertainty of future climate conditions. The NPS' Climate Change Response Program provides strategies of Science, Mitigation, Adaptation, and Communication that will allow the park to address climate change throughout implementation of this comprehensive management plan.

## Conclusion and rating

The EPA agrees that the NPS preferred alternative (Alternative C) provides the best balance between environmental and cultural/historical impacts. Pursuant to EPA policy and guidance, the EPA rates the environmental impact of an action and the adequacy of the NEPA analysis. The EPA has rated the preferred alternative as "LO" (Lack of Objections). This "LO" rating means that the review has not identified any potential environmental impacts requiring substantive changes to the preferred alternative. A full description of the EPA's rating system can be found at: <http://www2.epa.gov/nepa/environmental-impact-statement-rating-system-criteria>.

We appreciate the opportunity to review this project and hope are recommendations help the NPS when finalizing the EIS. If you have any questions or would like to discuss our comments, please contact me at (303) 312-6704 or Matt Hubner of my staff at (303) 312-6500 or [Hubner.matt@epa.gov](mailto:Hubner.matt@epa.gov).

Sincerely,

Philip S. Strobel  
Director, NEPA Program  
Office of Ecosystems Protection and Remediation

Enclosure

cc: Mr. Chris Church, National Park Service  
Mr. Daniel Noon, National Park Service, Grand Teton National Park

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3204	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Blann, Jerry				
<b>Address:</b>	Teton Village, WY 83025 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Jackson Hole Mountain Resort Business				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	OfficialRep				
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,29,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Letter				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Vela and Planning Team,				

Please accept my comments as a neighbor, business in proximity, and representative and elected representative of Teton Village Association, your closest proximate Governmental entity. These comments are offered in the spirit of Partnership and your personal invitation and commitment to participate in developing the best alternative for the resource and public who all share a passion for this extraordinary arrival experience into Grand Teton National Park.

In that context please seriously take into consideration the Holland and Hart White Paper dated January 19 which I incorporate by reference.

In that spirit of developing an improved preferred alternative please consider the following:

1) Corridor Capacity- Much dialogue has taken place on the derivation of the capacity of 200/550 presumably developed around 2013 summer season actual visitation. While capacity is also supposed to be tied to resource capacity that linkage has not been persuasively and objectively made. Other questions related to the capacity issue are as follows:

a) Rational analysis of existing parking (160) and how each parking area impacts the resource. ie. How does someone parking at Death Canyon who may be in the back country for multiple days impact the "front country" corridor?

b) How are residents in the South Park community counted?

c) It is unclear if bikers will be counted in the one out/one in proposal? They should not be counted as they have little if no impact on the resource.

d) Transit impact to minimize resource impacts (see below).

e) Please explain how the proposed queuing system will work and the impacts thereof.

f) The Draft EIS states that the 200/550 was a starting point and can/will be adjusted as seen fit by GTNP. The broader Teton Community should be part of the dialogue leading up to any adjustment or change because of the impacts directly related to existing transportation systems.

2) Transit- Teton County and Teton Village have each demonstrated a willingness to partner with GTNP on a specific transit plan developed by the Park for the benefit of park guests and resource preservation. Transit could serve the most traveled tourist attractions within the corridor such as LSR. Further, those who may be bikers and intimidated by certain sections or the entire corridor may choose to bus to a safer site. Transit could lessen the impact of the proposed queuing system with idling cars. Lastly, transit could improve the air quality impacts which, according to Holland and Hart have not been adequately addressed.

3) Pathways- JHMR and TV A are convinced that a separated pathway would be a huge improvement for safety within the corridor. This has been

characterized by some as a self-interest response which is nothing more than a cheap shot. We have lost two bicyclists within the Park and we hope that is the extent of that risk. Further, the GTNP has clearly shown a commitment to non-motorized travel throughout other locations of the Park and are now, with the preferred alternative, creating an obvious void. This can and should be addressed at a minimum on the Southern Section of the corridor. The 2007 ROD embraced that concept and should be followed. The reason given for vacating that portion of the 2007 Plan is wildlife interaction which can be mitigated with the right alignment AND is not justified by the data presented. Please explain the justification for this change.

4) Northern Road Realignment- Again another area where the 2007 ROD got it right. The resource impacts of the road being retained in an admittedly very sensitive wetlands and wildlife area is not justified. The reason provided to change that alternative (to impact .8 acres within a 12 acre archeological site) that has been known for over 40 years does not make sense. While we all respect and honor our Tribal history the tradeoff does not compute. It is also inconsistent with previous Park decisions ie. Jenny Lake where mitigation was the chosen strategy. The site can be preserved and the Park should work with SHPO to preserve in a way that the site is not lost permanently. Please rationalize the prioritization of cultural vs. natural resources.

There are many more areas that could be addressed but I wanted to limit my comments to what I believe are the core issues. GTNP and you, Superintendent Vela, have done a tremendous job to go beyond the normal process in outreach to the community.

These comments are offered in response to that outreach and opportunity to participate with the Park for the best possible outcome.

Best regards,

Jerry Blann  
President

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3205	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Terry, Jim				
<b>Address:</b>	Teton Village, WY 83025 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Teton Village Association ISD Non-Governmental				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	OfficialRep				
<b>Received:</b>	Jan,29,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Letter				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Superintendent David Vela Grand Teton National Park PO Box 170 Moose, WY 83012				

January 29, 2016

Dear Superintendent Vela,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Moose Wilson draft EIS. As you are aware, Teton Village is the closest adjacent community to Grand Teton National Park's southern entrance via Moose Wilson Road. As a neighbor, Teton Village Association Improvement and Service District (TV AISD) is committed to partnerships - serious relationships that support each other. We send these comments in response to your request for specific feedback on the Moose Wilson draft EIS with the intent of raising critical questions and suggesting solutions to improve Alternative C.

Teton Village Association ISD is a governmental entity recognized as a political subdivision by the state of Wyoming. While we are not an incorporated municipality, we are responsible for municipal-type functions. As the elected body representing neighbors that are perhaps most impacted by decisions regarding access to Grand Teton National Park via the Moose Wilson Road, TV AISD requested cooperating agency status, but was denied. We point this out only to attract your attention to our comments. Below and in the attached legal analysis we identify specific gaps and improvements that can be made to the Final EIS that will be better for the environment and wildlife, and keep lives safer. A win-win-win.

Below we address certain gaps in the draft EIS raised in the Holland & Hart legal based review of NEPA compliance. We commissioned this analysis with Friends of Pathways to provide the most comprehensive expertise in the overall effort to create a visionary and sustainable management plan for the Moose Wilson corridor, in response to the Park's request for detailed comments that improve the EIS.

Release an RFP for a meaningful transit program

Teton Village residents and business owners value alternative transportation. In partnership with Teton County and the Town of Jackson, we have successfully integrated transit and biking into our community to reduce traffic congestion and vehicle emissions. Our transit experience and expertise could benefit the Park. Small eco-transit shuttles with bike racks carrying residents and visitors the 7-mile length of the Moose Wilson Road would keep those passengers safe, provide an opportunity to educate them about park stewardship and shrink their emissions and environmental footprint.

As discussed in the attached legal memo on pages 10 & 12, "the Park Service dismisses throughout its discussion the possibility of a transit system to carry visitors to destinations throughout the Corridor ... Ultimately, the impacts of a full transit alternative, both beneficial and adverse, remain unexplored in the Draft EIS because the Service fails to consider the alternative. The Service has provided insufficient justification for failing to consider this reasonable alternative, which decision is arbitrary and capricious and violates NEPA."

In our September 2014 comments on the preliminary alternatives for Moose Wilson Road, TV AISD offered to collaborate on a forward-looking transit system to meet your own capacity limits for vehicles. TV AISD reiterates that offer to explore staging and funding opportunities with Grand Teton National Park for a comprehensive transit system as envisioned in the 1997 Teton Village Master Plan.

Justify visitor use capacity thresholds and involve local leadership to develop adaptive management policies

Limits on vehicles in the Moose Wilson corridor should be fact-based and rational, within a plan that includes reasonable transit and human powered travel. Like Teton County, we are not convinced that the proposed capacity numbers adequately protect Park resources and provide sufficient access opportunities

for visitors. Critically missing from the Service's visitor capacity determination is any information (i) tying the 2013 vehicle use figures to any particular natural resource impact or outcome or (ii) establishing that the 2013 use levels represent the maximum number of people and vehicles that can be accommodated at any one time in the corridor.

It is a false premise to give equal weight to every visitor, regardless of their mode of travel. Vehicular transportation and human powered/ non-motorized transportation are not the same impact by accepted scientific measurement. The Final EIS must recognize distinct and different impacts of motorized versus non-motorized modes of travel. Failing to do so runs counter to the Park Service's own sustainability principles. Once a rational limit for vehicles is established, the Park should create a system to count long-term parked vehicles separately from those that intend to traverse or spend a short time in the corridor.

We request more analysis to justify the vehicle caps and proposed queueing lanes. We believe the goal should be to balance resource protection with public enjoyment in a way that doesn't require the construction of idling lanes or cause increased traffic on other park migration corridors that are higher speed roads where there is increased risk for vehicle-wildlife collisions and higher wildlife mortality rates.

Include the approved separate pathway to LSR Preserve

TV AISD does not believe the Park has presented sufficient proof of new information on bear activity nor new archeological discoveries to substantiate the Park Service reversal of the 2007 ROD to construct a pathway between the Granite Canyon entrance and the LSR Preserve. Nor has the Park fully analyzed the negative impacts to human safety for cyclists and pedestrians by eliminating the formerly approved pathway.

As discussed on page 17 of the attached legal memo, "the Service's preferred alternative, if implemented, is arbitrary and capricious because: (i) "new" information on human-bear interactions and archeological finds does not justify, and the Park Service has not adequately explained, its changed decision, (ii) the Park Service failed to consider reasonable mitigation measures to address bear-human interaction concerns, and (iii) the Park Service failed to adequately analyze the adverse impacts to human safety for cyclists and pedestrians, including people with disabilities, of eliminating the southern pathway."

The only relevant new information regarding potential impacts to and from bears in the corridor of a pathway comes from the MacHutchon Assessment, based on four days of observation, anecdotal evidence, and review of grizzly and black bear data in the corridor. The location of the southern pathway approved in 2007 had less grizzly bear observations over a five-year period than the Jenny Lake area in just one year. The Park Service also failed to consider reasonable mitigation measures to address concerns about bear-human interactions along the previously approved southern pathway. Measures such as time of day restrictions and noise mechanisms would significantly decrease the possibility of negative bear/human interactions, while still allowing safe corridor access without a vehicle.

Nationally, statistics show that park visitors are at far greater risk of fatality from vehicles than wildlife, and in Grand Teton National Park, we have had two cyclists killed by vehicles. The FHWA Road Safety Audit of the Moose Wilson Road recommended that the Park Service consider a separate pathway for all or part of the corridor to address long-term safety concerns, among other measures to improve safety, and that the Park collect and analyze additional safety data. We ask you to conduct such a human safety study that focuses on vulnerable users like cyclists, hikers and horseback riders, and revisit the arbitrary and capricious decision to eliminate the southern pathway.

Reconsider the Northern segment realignment

The Park Service approved the realignment of the Moose Wilson Road between Sawmill Ponds overlook and the Death Canyon trailhead in its 2007 Final Transportation Plan to reduce ongoing and significant impacts to wildlife and wetlands. The preferred alternative in the draft EIS would reverse that decision even though the latest information demonstrates that realignment of the northern segment would provide the greatest benefit to wildlife, wetlands, and visitors. Per Holland & Hart's attached legal analysis, "the Service's proposed decision to elevate the value of a single archeological site and the expense of all other resource values is arbitrary and capricious and violates the Organic Act" (Page 12). We request the Park complete further analysis before vacating the 2007 Record of Decision as it relates to realignment of the northern section of the road.

Address Potential Air Quality Impacts Caused by This Plan

The Draft EIS ignores increased air emissions associated with forced vehicle idling proposed at the north and south entrances to Moose Wilson Road during peak summer periods, and longer drive times for visitors choosing to avoid lines and access the Park from a different entrance. The Park Service inexplicably disclaims any increase in air emissions associated with its proposed action when the central component of its preferred alternative would require that hundreds of vehicles per day idle at the north and south entrances of the Corridor, waiting to proceed under the "one-car-out, one-car-in" rule. The complete lack of discussion or analysis of these emissions demonstrates that the Park Service failed to consider air quality impacts.

This oversight is particularly dismaying in the context of Secretary Jewell's recent remarks at the International Climate talks in Paris, "... addressing climate change is the work of every city, every community, and every individual to do their part. We are learning from communities, and we're also working to empower communities to prepare and adapt." Teton Village wants to do our part to reduce climate change, and that's why we feel compelled to ask you to re-think your approach and go greener in the final EIS. We think you can do better at innovative, creative and real conservation management.

We know how difficult it is to make everyone happy, and we don't expect you to make us happy; we simply expect to be treated as a neighboring community with consideration and a reliance on facts to come to smart solutions. In this spirit, we enclose the referenced Holland & Hart white paper, please review this letter and the enclosed white paper as one. We are proven partners that are sustainably minded & willing to help bring resources towards good solutions. We all want to do a better job in meeting stewardship obligations - let us help improve a plan that increases public safety and appropriately addresses access, while mitigating negative impacts to wildlife, natural resources and the environment.

Sincerely,

Jim Terry, Chairman  
Teton Village Association ISD

Enclosure: Holland & Hart white paper dated January 19, 2016

---

**Correspondence ID:** 3206      **Project:** 48252      **Document:** 68894  
**Name:** Dowson, Katherine  
**Address:** Jackson, WY 83001  
United States of America  
**Outside Organization:** Friends of Pathways Recreational Groups  
**Affiliation:** OfficialRep  
**Received:** Jan,29,2016 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** Letter  
**Correspondence:** January 28, 2016

Superintendent David Vela  
Grand Teton National Park  
PO Box 170  
Moose, WY 83012

Dear Superintendent Vela:

Thank you for allowing Friends of Pathways (FOP) to comment on the DEIS of the Moose-Wilson (M-W) Corridor. As Teton County's advocate for sustainable transportation and a complete trails and pathways network for Jackson Hole, the future planning of this area is quite important to us. We applaud Grand Teton National Park (the Park) for extending two comment periods to the public, and for being very available for questions and comments during this process. While we appreciate the Park's attempt to balance resource protection and public access through its preferred Alternative C, we believe there is certainly room for improvement within several areas.

FOP recommends the following additions to the Park's Alternative C, that are in keeping with the Park's governing mandates and in synch with local, national, and international conservation sentiments to reduce carbon emissions, protect air quality, sustain wildlife habitat and encourage sustainable, low-impact transportation modes.

#### 1) Provide Justification for the Thresholds Developed for the M-W Road Corridor Capacity and the Carrying Capacity of the Entire Study Area

The Park's preferred Alternative C is a car-centric plan. It presents a static vision for managing the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor visitor-base by setting a 200-car limit with 2.75 people per car that then arbitrarily defines the proposed 550-person carrying capacity of the Moose-Wilson Corridor Study Area. (See Exhibit G). While FOP is in complete support of the Park's efforts to determine a sustainable number for vehicular use of the road, we do not, however, support the pseudo-science that has determined both road capacity and study area capacity. The true carrying capacity of the M-W Road itself, and by default, the carrying capacity of the entire Moose-Wilson Study Area cannot be justified by one data set. The Park's determination that the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor has a capacity of 200 cars at any one time is an educated guess based on peak numbers in 2013. Furthermore, that questionable figure of 200 cars has led to an even more important figure, and that is the number of people allowed at one time in the Moose-Wilson Study Area.

The Park illogically combined two very separate environments (frontcountry and backcountry), with separate management requirements, as one study area. The Moose Wilson Road Corridor contains a well-traveled road, three educational centers (LSR, Murie Center, and White Grass), and two trailheads. (Arguably, the Park should have also included the Craig Thomas Visitor Center and Park Headquarters in the Moose Wilson Road Corridor counts, since these areas sit adjacent and are also predominantly visited by vehicles). The Moose-Wilson Study Area contains all of the above, as well as backcountry acreage and trails that access Phelps Lake, the mouth of Death Canyon, the mouth of Granite Canyon and the base of Mt. Hunt. These backcountry locales are miles away from vehicular access and parking lots, and require up to an hour or more to reach on foot. The DEIS was flawed from the start by delineating such a disparate study area with such diverse management requirements and use patterns.

The carrying capacity of the Moose-Wilson Study Area (the entire 10,300 acres) and the carrying capacity of the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor (the road and its adjacent parking areas, education centers and trailheads) are more justifiably separate management areas; it's misguided to connect the two.

The Park should first verify that 200 vehicles is truly the maximum capacity of the M-W road and its adjacent trailheads and parking areas, and then develop a separate methodology for determining the capacity of the 10,300-acre study area. Capacity thresholds, whether for trailheads, destinations within the Corridor or the entire Corridor Study Area, should be determined by evaluating impacts to natural resources and/or visitor experiences, not by how many cars are appropriate on the road, or how many cars can fit in a parking lot.

Alternative C equates the impacts of cars traveling along the Moose-Wilson road to the impacts of climbers in Death Canyon or runners on the Valley Trail. Limiting public access to Corridor destinations like Death Canyon, Granite Canyon, Mt. Hunt, Phelps Lake and the Valley Trail based on a single piece of data-the average vehicle use within the Corridor in the peak season of 2013, is arbitrary and capricious, and fails to develop any nexus between a person's impacts to wildlife, scenery, hydrology and other natural resources while hiking, riding or climbing in the vast 10,300 acre Moose-Wilson study area. In fact, the DEIS does not document plentiful evidence of true resource impairment within the Corridor (i.e. wildlife at risk of decline or permanent degradation). As well, the 2014 User Survey does not justify proposed carrying capacity limits, since a majority of those surveyed in 2014 did not feel that their experience in the Corridor was compromised or negative. Those that did voice dissatisfaction, were most concerned about parking deficits and the condition of the road, not about too many people on the trails or the condition of the trails.

The Park has created a quandary by establishing this arbitrary 550-person limit, which in addition to not being based on any substantive resource impairment, may potentially stymie visiting the Corridor on foot, bike or transit. The Park's determination that visitor use should be restricted within the Corridor based only on impacts that generally occurred at parking areas and within the road corridor is irrational, and has led to inappropriate restrictions on public access. As well, by correlating visitor carrying capacity to vehicle carrying capacity, the Park has limited the success of any future transit system within the corridor.

The NEPA process for determining the Moose Wilson Corridor Study Area carrying capacity is flawed and does not utilize natural resource or visitor experience information to justify carrying capacity and trailhead thresholds. The Park should reevaluate the methods for determining these thresholds and then develop the carrying capacities for the Moose-Wilson Road Corridor and the Moose-Wilson Study Area.

#### 2) Partner to Develop an Actionable Model for Transit Options in the Corridor

The Park has done a great job inviting visitors to Find Your Park, and get Every Kid in the Park, but an inadequate, if not negligent job in developing

sustainable methods for handling these invited guests. With over 4 million visitors coming to Grand Teton National Park in 2015, GTNP must take a serious and meaningful step toward encouraging low impact travel to destinations within the Park, including the Moose-Wilson corridor. The days of "just saying no" at an entrance gate fly in the face of the ingenuity and creativity that should define our federal park system.

The Park conducted a solid analysis of transit feasibility in 2007, as reported in the 2009 Transit Business Plan. Since those studies in 2007, park visitation has increased by 2 million people, yet the Park did not include transit as a possible solution for visitor management in this DEIS except a cursory mention of "commercial shuttle service" in its preferred alternative. Additionally, commercial shuttle vehicles would be counted toward the Corridor's carrying capacity number, which as noted earlier, is not defined by anything but vehicular impacts. This further emphasizes why it is critical for the Park to develop a carrying capacity number for the Corridor which is based on significant natural resource impacts caused and created by humans, not just impacts created by vehicles within parking areas and the road corridor. The difference between the actual carrying capacity of the Corridor (yet to be determined but certainly higher than the proposed 550) and the proposed carrying capacity of the road corridor (estimated to be 200 cars at 2.75 people per car) will help determine the size, destination and frequency options for a transit service. This will take additional assessment and NEPA analysis, but will result in a much improved and legally justifiable twenty-year plan for the Moose Wilson Corridor.

NEPA requires that the Park consider the possible conflicts between its proposed action and the objectives of federal, state, and local land use plans and policies. By not including any substantive discussion of transit options, and instead, only proposing queuing lanes as a means to mitigate vehicular impacts in the Corridor, the Park is in direct opposition to local transportation and land-use plans. Both the Teton County Comprehensive Plan and the Teton County Integrated Transportation Plan emphasize a robust transit program that includes destinations in the Park. Transit has the potential to facilitate public access to the Park without the negative impacts associated with car-only access. While transit does have the potential to over-run an area or resource with more frequent visitation, this can be mitigated with site substitution as suggested on page 14 7 of the 2014 Visitor User Survey. The Park must be well aware of other national parks that have implemented transit programs - the key is to take the best ideas and make them work.

Opportunities are ripe for partnering on transit with Teton County and/or Teton Village Association to develop a shared-cost model for moving people around Jackson Hole, including areas within the Moose-Wilson Corridor and beyond. The Park should develop a meaningful and actionable plan to develop transit options for the Moose-Wilson corridor.

### 3) Address Air Quality and Carbon Emission Issues Created by the Preferred Alternative C

The Draft EIS ignores impacts to air quality and increased carbon emissions associated with: (i) vehicles idling in queue lanes on the north and south entrances to Moose-Wilson Road during peak summer periods, and (ii) longer drive times for visitors choosing to avoid lines and access the Park from a different entrance. The Park Service inexplicably disclaims any increase in air emissions associated with its proposed action when the central component of its preferred alternative would require that hundreds of vehicles per day idle at the north and south entrances of the Corridor, waiting to proceed under the "one-car-out, one-car-in" rule.

While the number of vehicles in the Corridor under the Park Service's proposal will certainly be reduced, the vehicles attempting access, as projected by the Park's traffic studies, is only predicted to increase, and the period of peak use each day will be extended as cars wait to enter the Corridor. Thus, a plan that requires long idle times, or forces visitors to turn around and find another means of Park access, will surely increase, not decrease, vehicle emissions. The Park should take a hard look at air quality impacts and carbon emissions resulting from its preferred alternative choice and further emphasize low impact modes of park visitation, such as transit and walking and biking to offset such impacts.

### 4) Complete Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act Before Concluding that the Northern Segment of the Moose-Wilson Road from Death Canyon Junction to Sawmill Ponds Cannot be Realigned.

One of the more controversial opinions in the Park's preferred Alternative C is the decision to not pursue mitigation of archeological resources in the Park's northern area by the Sawmill Ponds so that the current road can be moved away from valuable forage and habitat areas in the Study Area. It is undisputable, that this area sees frequent bear activity, is the site of numerous wildlife jams, adversely impacts wetlands adjacent to the road, and provides important seasonal forage for many wildlife species. (See Bear Observations by Species on the Northern Portion of the Moose-Wilson Road, Exhibit F). FOP, along with a large and diverse array of public citizens and conservation organizations, expressed support for northern road realignment in the last public comment period because it was determined by the Park, that it would be best to relocate the road towards the east in the sagebrush flat. The Park has given little explanation for its reversal of the 2007 Record of Decision regarding road realignment in this area, except to say that recent archeological discoveries now preclude this option.

FOP hired an independent archeological consultant to help determine whether the archeological resources, known as site 48TE498, could be properly mitigated so as to retain the cultural value of the relics while still allowing for road realignment (Review of Cultural Resources Associated with Moose-Wilson Road, USU Archeological Services, January 15, 2016, Exhibit D). Without access to protected information, the hired archeological consultant was unable to determine the extent or contents of site 48TE498, but his extensive archeological experience, and his consultations with the Wyoming State Historical Preservation Office (SHPO) directed him to conclude that "At this point the Park has not come close to meeting all the steps described as part of the Section 106 process. Based upon the information available to me the NPS has been negligent in not fulfilling its obligations, both legislatively and in the spirit, of the National Historic Preservation Act. Shutting down communication and discussion on this important issue is not what was intended when the National Historic Preservation Act was enacted 50 years ago." (Review of Cultural Resources Associated with Moose-Wilson Road, USU Archeological Services, January 15, 2016, Exhibit D).

As well, in a January 2016 legal analysis of NPS Moose-Wilson Corridor Draft Comprehensive Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement prepared by Holland & Hart and commissioned by FOP and Teton Village Association, it states that "[t]he Service's proposed decision to elevate the value of a single archeological site at the expense of all other resource values is arbitrary and capricious and violates the Organic Act." (Holland & Hart, 2016 Legal Analysis, Page 12, attached to this letter). Adding to the perplexity over the Park's decision to not realign this segment of the road out of critical wetland and wildlife habitat, is the fact that the Park, mitigated equally or more valuable archeological sites through its trail and visitor improvements project at Jenny Lake. One conclusion that could be drawn from the Park's unwillingness to mitigate this site is that road realignment into the sagebrush steppe area would be too expensive and would allow a stronger argument for pathway advocates to construct a complete pathway from Granite to Moose.

Given that the Park's highest mandate (the Organic Act) is in possible violation because of the Park's decision to allow for continued impairment of critical wetlands and habitat along the northern section of the Moose-Wilson Road, the Park should work with SHPO and complete the Section 106 process before vacating the 2007 ROD as it relates to realignment of the road.

### 5) Retain the Southern Multi-Use Pathway to Laurance S. Rockefeller Preserve



Retention of the southern segment of pathway from the Granite Guard Station to LSR Preserve is of utmost importance to Friends of Pathways. This pathway segment was approved through the 2007 Record of Decision of the Park's Transportation Plan and EIS. Its deletion from the Park's current preferred alternative is without justification or legal standing. FOP feels strongly that the Park has removed this pathway segment without sufficient proof to back its claims that new information on bear activity and new archeological discoveries now prevent pathway construction. In addition, the Park has not fully analyzed the negative impacts to human safety by eliminating the formerly approved pathway.

Like the archeological and cultural resources in the northern section of the Corridor (referenced earlier in this letter), the value of a new archeological discovery at or near the LSR Preserve, and whether the site can be appropriately mitigated, is unknown. Again, the Park should take the customary course of complying with the Section 106 process, and collaborating with WY SHPO to determine whether the southern segment of pathway will adversely affect this site. This analysis should be done in an open and transparent manner.

The only relevant new information regarding potential impacts on bears in the corridor from the construction of a pathway comes from wildlife biologist, Grant MacHutchon, who developed an opinion piece for the Park based on four days of research, as well as review of grizzly and black bear data in the M-W Road Corridor. MacHutchon drew much of his conclusions from research on human/bear conflicts with mountain bikers in high alpine areas of Canada. However, MacHutchon's research did not reference any information from neighboring Yellowstone National Park, which has over 15 miles of non-motorized roads available for walking and biking that traverse grizzly bear country. As well, MacHutchon stated that there had been no reported problems with human/bear encounters on established pathways in GTNP, some of which run through areas with grizzly bear observations.

Indisputably, grizzly bears are expanding their historical range in the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem, and have been sighted in the M-W Study Area, as well as Teton Village and further south near Teton Pass. This is good news - adaptive measures to bolster grizzly bear populations and still allow for continued public access to GTNP are working well. The Park should be congratulated for its comprehensive educational efforts and strategies that have encouraged visitor access to areas in the Park that have permanent or seasonal bear activity. These adaptive and dynamic strategies are working in the Jenny Lake area, where the Park has invested millions to improve visitor services in an area well known for bear activity (Jenny Lake Bear Observations, Exhibit E).

The location of the 2007 approved southern pathway sits in an area with less grizzly bear observations in five years than the Jenny Lake area in a one-year time span (See Attachment Bear Observations by Species in the Southern Portion of the Moose-Wilson Road, Exhibit E). FOP takes issue with the Park's denial of the formerly approved pathway segment based on the expanded presence of grizzly bears in this area. If the same logic held true across the Park, there would be no Jenny Lake trail improvement project, no backcountry hiking or camping at Colter Bay. As well, the Park service failed to consider reasonable mitigation measures to address concerns about bear/human interactions along the alignment of the southern pathway. Such measures were well-articulated in both the USFWS Biological Opinion of 2007 and within the MacHutchon report. GTNP is already utilizing seasonal closures, on-the-ground education, and signage to deter potential wildlife conflict throughout the Park. These, and other potential mitigation measures, such as time of day restrictions, sight line enhancement, noise and flushing mechanisms, removal of appealing forage, rumble strips, and mandatory group sizes would significantly decrease the possibility of negative bear/human interactions, while still allowing for a safe means to access the Corridor without a vehicle. According to the WY Game & Fish in 2014, most grizzly-human conflicts occurred around the Pinedale and Cody areas, and the vast majority involved livestock. And according to MacHutchon, anthropogenic food is also a common source of bear/human conflicts, especially with black bears. Given that two of the most common reasons for bear/human conflicts are minimal in the southern section of the Moose-Wilson corridor where the pathway was proposed to traverse, the chances for negative interactions are small, and only become more likely during the late summer and early fall when bear food sources, like berries, become available.

While MacHutchon did not recommend the construction of a separate pathway from Granite to LSR, he did qualify that if a separate pathway was to be constructed, it should stay within close proximity to the road corridor. While FOP supports that a pathway could be constructed within close proximity to the road corridor, we also believe that the Park should evaluate construction of the pathway in the sagebrush area starting from the Granite Guard station, and running to its intersection with the Levy Access Road. This alignment would traverse sagebrush habitat that is not considered crucial or as valuable to bears and other wildlife, according to MacHutchon. A large portion of the pathway could utilize the already disturbed and existing Levy Access road that crosses Lake Creek via a large concrete bridge and runs to the LSR Visitor Center. Mitigation measures for reducing encounters with wildlife (noted above, and in the MacHutchon Report) could be utilized along this stretch.

While MacHutchon did not recommend the construction of a separate pathway from Granite to LSR, he did qualify that if a separate pathway was to be constructed, it should stay within close proximity to the road corridor. While FOP supports that a pathway could be constructed within close proximity to the road corridor, we also believe that the Park should evaluate construction of the pathway in the sagebrush area starting from the Granite Guard station, and running to its intersection with the Levy Access Road. This alignment would traverse sagebrush habitat that is not considered crucial or as valuable to bears and other wildlife, according to MacHutchon. A large portion of the pathway could utilize the already disturbed and existing Levy Access road that crosses Lake Creek via a large concrete bridge and runs to the LSR Visitor Center. Mitigation measures for reducing encounters with wildlife (noted above, and in the MacHutchon Report) could be utilized along this stretch.

It is no secret then that a person traveling the Moose-Wilson corridor in a car, on foot, on horseback, or bike, is more likely to be involved in a dangerous or fatal interaction with another vehicle (or their own), than with a wild animal. Because of the slow speeds of travel for most vehicles in the Moose-Wilson Corridor, a vehicular collision will likely not be fatal. The same odds are not true for a collision involving a person traveling outside of the car. Data from the 2014 GTNP Moose-Wilson Corridor Road Safety Audit reported that there were elevated risks for shared use of the Moose-Wilson road. The risk to bicycles and pedestrians was assessed at moderate-low to moderate-high with a risk of high or extreme injuries. It does not take a lot of speed or force to injure or kill a person with a car. FOP supports the findings in the 2014 Road Safety Audit that the Park consider a separate pathway for all of part of the Corridor. We also would like to emphasize that two-thirds of cyclists who responded to the 2014 User Survey expressed concerns with their own safety on the Moose-Wilson road.

Given the immense and growing popularity of Teton County's pathways network, and national trends for experiencing natural areas on bike, or foot, the Park has failed to safely address the inevitability of increased use of the Moose-Wilson corridor road by less experienced and more vulnerable users. The Park's visitors, especially families, will be looking for quick and easy ways to access the Moose-Wilson corridor without the hassle of packing a family into a car or waiting in entrance lines. With the creation of the LSR Preserve and the Park's nation-wide Find Your Park campaign, demand to visit the Corridor will grow. This is great for GTNP and great for the nation - we want people to visit, enjoy and value our national park system - especially our younger generations who enjoy experiencing landscapes outside of the car. But please, make it a safe journey.

Despite calling several inclusions in the DEIS, "safety enhancements for cyclists," FOP does not believe that any of these additions, alone, or together, will equate to the value of a separate pathway to LSR. In fact, FOP believes that some of the enhancements may be more of a safety liability - specifically

paving the gravel section of the road, and construction of the "safety edge." FOP is not on the record asking the Park to pave the unpaved portion of the M-W road; in fact, we stated in the past that this dirt section of road, with its potholes and ruts, caused vehicles to slow down and pay more careful attention to the road. If the Park is going to proceed with paving the road, it should do so for other reasons than to call it a safety enhancement for cyclists - that is misleading. Paving the road will certainly please the advanced cyclist who already travels the road with confidence, and may entice the intermediate or beginner cyclist to try riding the road. That does not mean it will make it safer, though it will be easier and smoother.

Paving the road does give vehicles the opportunity to drive faster. This has been proven time and time again in road safety studies. High road-friction, like curves and narrow lanes will help keep speeds down. FOP does support the speed limit reduction to 20 mph - but it is not a safety measure for pedestrians or cyclists, unless it is regularly enforced. Nationally, 20 mph is not a widely implemented speed limit, which means that enforcement or physical deterrents to speed are appropriate and necessary. We sincerely doubt that any agency has the means to assure compliance with a 20 mph speed limit for a seven-mile stretch of road - especially one with limited resources and a multitude of demands.

FOP feels the same way about "safety edges" as we feel about the questionability of pavement and speed reductions as being true safety enhancements for cyclists. Safety edges are features that allow four-wheeled vehicles the ability to traction back onto a paved surface if they veer off. If a two-wheeled vehicle is forced onto a safety edge, there is nothing that will traction the wheels back onto the pavement, which increases the potential for the cyclist to follow the downhill slope of the safety edge into trees, rocks, etc. That said, the safety edge does provide an emergency buffer that would be a better option for a cyclist to choose over collision with a car, and vice versa.

Since it will certainly be some time before the Park implements any new "safety enhancements" or changes to the Moose-Wilson road, FOP recommends three immediate measures on behalf of vulnerable users:

- 1) Allow bikers to use the Levy Access road that leads from the Moose Wilson road to the back end of the LSR Preserve. This is an existing road that would give inexperienced and young cyclists a way to get to LSR Preserve while avoiding a very steep ascent and descent that is one of the more dangerous sections of the road.
- 2) Station GTNP Pathway Ambassadors in the Moose-Wilson Corridor throughout the summer and fall to educate cyclists and walkers/runners about the windy and narrow nature of the road, and the absolute need to remain on the side of the road, and not ride or walk abreast. GTNP Pathway Ambassadors could also help guide cyclists in the Corridor as a way to encourage better traveling behavior and to assist with education or mechanical issues as needed.
- 3) Signs recommending that walkers, runners and cyclists using the Moose-Wilson Road be "Bear Aware" and carry bear-spray.

In summation, a separate pathway running from Granite Guard Station to LSR Preserve provides the safest method for cyclists and walkers to enjoy the Moose-Wilson Corridor. That fact, combined with the fact that the southern pathway segment was already approved through a 2007 Environmental Impact Statement and that no new information refutes the 2007 Record of Decision that confirmed the southern pathway, drives FOP's request for this pathway's inclusion in Alternative C.

Friends of Pathways values our relationships with Jackson's federal land agencies, and we are committed to partnering to extend support where it is appropriate or needed. We are fully committed to helping raise the private funds necessary to build and maintain the southern segment of the pathway to LSR. And look forward to the day when we can provide this assistance to the Park or its Foundation.

Thank you again for taking our comments.

Sincerely,

Greg Epstein  
FOP Board Chair

Katherine Dowson  
Executive Director

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3207	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Capozzelli, J				
<b>Address:</b>	New York, NY 10024 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Unaffiliated Individual				
<b>Affiliation:</b>					
<b>Received:</b>	Feb,01,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Letter				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	January 22, 2016				

Superintendent David Vela  
Grand Teton National Park  
P.O. Drawer 170  
Moose, WY 83012-0170

RE: Please Support Alternative C for Moose-Wilson Management Plan

I am writing to support the environmentally preferred Alternative C that has been identified as your preferred alternative. This alternative balances wildlife protection with public use and enjoyment.

Grand Teton is one of America's most iconic National Parks, and the Moose Wilson corridor is prime habitat for grizzly bears. It is imperative to prevent conflicts between people and bears, and I support the Park's previous efforts to close the road during times of grizzly bear activity.

A separate pathway for cyclists is not needed for Moose Wilson road. The road can safely serve as shared use for cyclists and automobiles. Please manage

and minimize vehicle traffic as outlined in your plan and reduce the speed for all. This will ensure that grizzly bears, moose, and other wildlife are protected while also ensuring a high quality visitor experience.

For the past several years, the Park Service has been under pressure from pro-development interests to expand the roadway and allow more traffic at unsafe speeds. Such changes would disrupt prime habitat for the grizzly bears, moose, and great grey owls that call the Corridor home.

I commend and thank the Park Service for proposing to retain the wild, rustic nature of the Moose Wilson Corridor, and urge you to remain strong and protect it from development and destruction.

Moose Wilson road is a journey through amazing scenic beauty and great wildlife habitat. Throughway taxis and other unrelated commercial enterprises should not be permitted on the primitive road, with commercial uses focusing only on enjoying and preserving the area's wildlife, scenic, and historical values. I support the Park Service and the environmentally preferred Alternative C.

Thank you for your help. I support protecting the Moose Wilson Corridor from expanded roads and more destructive development.

Yours truly,

J.Capozzelli  
New York, NY

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3208	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Hayden, Roger				
<b>Address:</b>	Wilson, WY 83014 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Wyoming Wildlife Advocates Conservation/Preservation				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	OfficialRep				
<b>Received:</b>	Feb,01,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Letter				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	January, 23rd 2016				

Grand Teton National Park  
ATTN: Moose-Wilson Planning Team  
PO Drawer 170  
Moose, WY 83012-0170

RE: Moose Wilson Corridor DEIS

These comments are respectfully submitted by Wyoming Wildlife Advocates concerning the Preferred Alternative of the Moose Wilson Corridor Comprehensive Management Plan Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). Our membership, board, staff and fellow conservationists in Wyoming and around the country believe that Wyoming's wildlife are essential to Wyoming's economy and cultural identity. Wyoming's wild, protected spaces are world famous and are amongst our greatest national icons. Therefore, MWC's wildlife habitat must be protected and balanced with the needs of the visiting public.

1.) MWC is ecologically rich, diverse and important for wildlife, and must be protected.

The Moose Wilson Corridor (MWC) is an ecological juncture where forests, wetlands, alpine and sagebrush high desert ecosystems blend together. Thus, this corridor is essential habitat for wildlife including waterfowl, browsing cervids, amphibians, predators such as gray wolves (*Canis Lupus*), and scavengers. According to retired NPS Senior Biologist Steve Cain, the corridor is essential habitat for grizzly bears (*Ursus Arctos*) and black bears (*Ursus Americanus*) (Cain 2015). The ecological health of MWC is integral to wildlife in northwest Wyoming.

2. MWC is essential for YNP and GTNP visitors, and is vital in Teton County's economy.

Moose-Wilson Road's importance stems not from its role as a thoroughfare, but from its unique and rich wildlife experience. Over 292 million tourists attended national parks in 2014, Yellowstone ranked fourth with 3.5 million visitors (Oskin 2015). In 2011, hunters spent \$288 million to spur the Wyoming economy, while wildlife viewers spent over \$350 million (USFWS 2011). This spending is central to the Wyoming and Teton County economies, especially in a time when traditional industries such as mining, oil and gas are on the decline (Peterson, 2015). For instance, in 2014-2015, while Niobrara County saw a 52% decrease in taxable oil and gas sales, Teton County and Albany County saw increases in the tourism sector by 6.4% and 8.8%, respectively (AP 2015).

3.) We Support "Preferred Alternative C".

Wyoming Wildlife Advocates supports "Preferred Alternative C" of the DIES (Vela 2015) because it balances the needs of wildlife and those of the visiting public and provides for substantial private sector spending for the benefit of local small businesses in Teton County. Unpaved sections of the Moose-Wilson Road and Death Canyon Road will be improved, with more drainage capacity. There will be no bicycle path, which could cause conflict with wildlife. Further, low-impact gravel pull-outs with room to accommodate 120 vehicles along the road with a parking lot overlooking Sawmill Ponds, with a total vehicle capacity of approximately 200 vehicles will allow more visitors to enjoy natural resources of Teton County. Such capacity is more than adequate. Signs, at least two - one in each direction - reminding tourists to use pullouts, prohibiting makeshift pull-outs that often develop and destroy wild vegetation should be added. The old Moose Wilson entrance fee station will be replaced with a new entrance fee station that includes queuing lanes, turnarounds, interpretation, and a restroom. Signs would also help enforcement of parking and viewing regulations.

Respectfully,

Roger Hayden  
Managing Director

Wyoming Wildlife Advocates  
POBox1772  
Wilson, WY 83014

#### References

Cain, Steve. Bears in the Moose Wilson Corridor. National Park Service. 2015.  
<http://www.nps.gov/av/imr/avElement/grte-BearsintheMooseWilsoncorridor.mp4>

Low Oil and Gas Prices Show up in Wyoming Economy. Associated Press. Cheyenne, Wyoming. July 12, 215.

Oskin, Becky. US National Parks Set Attendance Record in 2014. LiveScience. Feb 8 2015.

Peterson, Christine. Tourism and Construction Help Wyoming's Economy During Oil and Gas Lows. Casper Star Tribune. Nov 29, 2015.  
[http://trib.com/business/tourism-and-construction-help-wyoming-s-economy-during-oil-and/article\\_c9f88ce3-c113-5ee4-ae52-e9fcddc854b2.html](http://trib.com/business/tourism-and-construction-help-wyoming-s-economy-during-oil-and/article_c9f88ce3-c113-5ee4-ae52-e9fcddc854b2.html)

United States Fish and Wildlife Service. National Survey of Hunting, Fishing, and Wildlife-Associated Recreation. 2011. pp. 4-21.

Vela, David. Moose-Wilson Corridor Comprehensive Management Plan - Preliminary Alternatives Newsletter. Grand Teton National Park. 2015. pp. 8-9.  
[http://www.nps.gov/grte/learn/management/upload/Moose-Wilson-CorridorPreliminary-Alternatives-Newsletter\\_PEPC-Version.pdf](http://www.nps.gov/grte/learn/management/upload/Moose-Wilson-CorridorPreliminary-Alternatives-Newsletter_PEPC-Version.pdf)

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3209	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	French, Steven P				
<b>Address:</b>	Moose, WY 83012 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	Yellowstone Grizzly Foundation Conservation/Preservation				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	Member				
<b>Received:</b>	Feb,01,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Letter				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	28 January 2016				

Grand Teton National Park  
ATTN: Moose-Wilson Planning Team  
P.O. Box 170  
Moose, Wyoming 83012-0170

Re: Moose-Wilson Road

I challenge you to seriously ponder this question:

"If the Moose-Wilson road did not exist, would you even think of putting it where it is currently?" I would like to think that everyone would agree that it would not only be a bad idea, but it would be a horrible idea.

My wife and I have studied grizzly bears throughout this ecosystem for more than 70 years combined. In 1995 we moved to our current residence located on the east bank of the Snake River just opposite the southern end of the Moose-Wilson road. Thus we have explored and studied this area and its wildlife all along both sides of this road for 20 years. Therefore our opinion is not based upon ideology or politics but from our field research based upon an abundance of field observations.

This area is a critical interface between the foot of the mountains and the adjacent sagebrush plain leading to the Snake River, characterized by a narrow strip of woodlands, willow communities and numerous riparian zones. As a result it a virtual wildlife highway in much the same way as the nearby river bottom, routinely supporting numerous bird species, both small and large mammals and a healthy population of predators, including fox, coyotes, bobcat, mountain lion, wolves, black bear and grizzlies.

Since the presence of the habituated, roadside grizzly known as bear #399 and her cubs caused such a stir along this road, we should note that most of the grizzly bears that use this area are rarely if ever seen by either the public or park officials. As an example, we have consistently documented grizzly bear use along this corridor each year since 1996. Based upon our studies of more than two dozen habituated, road-side grizzly bears throughout the ecosystem, our rule of thumb is that there are at least 4 to 8 different grizzly bears using the same area that are rarely seen because they choose to avoid near direct contact with humans and their disturbances. In other words, there are numerous grizzly bears that use this Moose-Wilson corridor at certain times throughout their active season each year.

We would have to say the general rule also applies to the other wildlife, that is what is observed is merely a fraction of what actually exists because the majority is readily displaced by human activity, including cars (especially ones that stop), hikers, joggers and bicyclists.

Therefore the best option from a wildlife standpoint would be to simply obliterate the existing Moose-Wilson road, but we know that is not a realistic option, in part due to the national park mandate to provide for the viewing and recreational enjoyment of same, as well as existing historic uses. A modified next best option would be to maintain the southern portion to the Rockefeller VC with its trail access to Phelps Lake, maintain the northern portion to the Sweet Grass and Death Canyon trailhead (plus or minus realignments around the beaver ponds and/or re-routing the northern junction), while eliminating the middle portion all together. Unfortunately too many people have come to depend upon this road as a shortcut or commuter road and you (the Park Service) do not have enough say so to overcome the enormous political clout of local business interest represented by local, county and state politicians.

Therefore we believe that the best realistic option is to at least not make it even more of an encumbrance on the local wildlife than it currently is. Therefore do whatever to re-align the northern section and the Death Canyon trailhead. We would strongly discourage paving the graveled section. You can place all

the 20 mph speed limit signs you want but based upon our experience, this will only increase the average speed limit.

Since your preferred alternative C includes paving this section, you could actually enforce the 20 mph speed limit if you employed speed/ticket cameras that are used in several major cities. Although it would not be popular, it would best serve the wildlife and help to offset the negative impacts of the road itself.

As to the separate bike pathway we believe it such a bad idea that we consider it a dead issue. Although our friend and colleague Steve Herrero doesn't have our direct knowledge of local wildlife, he correctly pointed out the absurdity in placing a bike pathway along this important wildlife corridor, especially where both black and grizzly bears frequent. However we have to question the notion of allowing bikes to use this road in early spring before it is opened to auto traffic. This makes absolutely no sense.

Early spring is a critical time for all wildlife that has struggled to survive our harsh winters. So why would you want to subject them to additional activities that would disturb or displace them? Besides, local bikers have the interior park road between the Taggart Lake trailhead and Jenny Lake all to themselves each spring before this section opens to auto travel. By then they can also use the bike pathway all the way back to the Gros Ventre Junction. So why not opt for what is best for wildlife in the early spring? Also, the same arguments against a separate bike pathway can also be used if bikes were allowed before it is opened before autos. Simply stated, there is no justification for allowing exclusive bike use of the Moose-Wilson road in early spring.

Since Alternative C is the preferred alternative (Alternative B is slightly better from our standpoint), you have a major opportunity to significantly negate the road's negative effect on wildlife. It is common knowledge that most wildlife becomes more active during the early hours at first light and again towards sunset at dusk. It would be a bold and courageous move but the best compromise to keep the Moose-Wilson road and to minimize its impact on the local wildlife would be to limit its hours of operation, as an example, have an opening between 5am or 9am each day and a closing time from 8pm or 9pm, depending on the season. That would be our suggestion but we are afraid that the commuters and folks using it to get to the airport would fight aggressively against this common sense, wildlife-friendly suggestion.

Thank you for your efforts to balance wildlife use and human demands along this important corridor.

Steven P. French, M.D.  
307-699-0479

Marilynn G. French, Research Biologist  
307-690-8630  
Yellowstone Grizzly Foundation  
P.O. Box 340  
Moose, Wyoming 83012

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	3210	<b>Project:</b>	48252	<b>Document:</b>	68894
<b>Name:</b>	Hocker, Philip M				
<b>Address:</b>	Alexandria, VA 22301 United States of America				
<b>Outside Organization:</b>	ConServCo Business				
<b>Affiliation:</b>	OfficialRep				
<b>Received:</b>	Feb,04,2016 00:00:00				
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	Letter				
<b>Correspondence:</b>	30 January 2016				

Comments - GRTE Moose-Wilson Draft EIS  
ATTN: Moose-Wilson Planning Team  
Grand Teton National Park  
P. O. Drawer 170  
Moose, Wyoming 83012-0170

Dear Grand Teton National Park Moose-Wilson Planning Team:

RE: Comments on Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Moose-Wilson Corridor Comprehensive Management Plan, Grand Teton National Park, Wyoming, as announced in the Federal Register, Vol. 80, pages 70832-33, on November 16, 2015:

#### Timeliness

These comments are submitted in paper form via U.S. Mail postmarked before the first legal business day on or after Saturday, January 30th, 2016, the announced deadline for comments. ORTE Chief of Planning Daniel Noon confirmed by telephone on 28Jan16 that this timing satisfies the published deadline.

#### Issue Participation History

Thank you for considering these comments. I have met with Park staff regarding management of the Moose-Wilson corridor and road many times over four decades. I submitted formal comments on the "Scoping" stage of this planning process by hand-delivery to Park Headquarters on 6Feb2014. On 15Sept2014 I mailed comments on the Preliminary Alternatives and Notice of Intent to prepare a Comprehensive Management Plan to the Moose-Wilson

Planning Team

A Comprehensive Management Plan for the Corridor is Necessary

I applaud the Park Service for recognizing that the past history of piecemeal management of the Moose-Wilson Corridor has not protected vital Park

resources. I commend NPS for undertaking this comprehensive planning process before approving any more activities in this stressed portion of Grand Teton National Park. NPS now must complete the development, adoption, and full implementation of a Comprehensive Management Plan for the area now called the "Moose-Wilson Corridor."

#### Recommended Decision - Alternative "C" with Modifications

The NPS' s Preferred Alternative "C" has many good features. I support its adoption, with some modifications. The best management actions for separate issues the Comprehensive Management Plan must address are not gathered in any one of the dEIS Alternatives. A fresh Alternative should be compiled, with the following provisions:

#### 1- No separate bicycle pathway should be constructed in the Corridor.

The research carried out during this Comprehensive Management Plan process has shown that a separate pathway would cause significant damage to Park resources. The 2007 Park Transportation Plan decision to allow a pathway along the Snake River levee access road should be permanently rescinded.

The dEIS is incorrect to include drawings [Alternative D design cross-sections] that say "Limit of disturbance will generally be 50' -0" or less" to describe the disturbance of this proposed bicycle pathway, when the Alternative D map makes clear that a major length of the path around the LSR Preserve will traverse Park landscape totally separate from the Road. What is the Park Service plan for this proposed bicycle path around the LSR? Will the path follow the existing service roadway SW of the Preserve center? If so, how will a "serpentine alignment" be achieved? Or, will a new twisty bicycle path be constructed alongside the existing service road? If so, surely the speedier cyclists will ride on the service road instead of the path. How could this separated pathway avoid creating increased conflicts between cyclists and wildlife?

#### 2- Do not pave the unpaved portion of the Moose-Wilson Road.

The amount of paved surface should be reduced, not increased.

A. Over time the Park Service has made the Road easier and faster for automobiles to traverse by progressive increases in asphalt pavement, grading, and dust-suppression chemicals. These NPS steps have changed the character of the road. From formerly being unpaved and rough over most of the distance from the junction at Moose to the south boundary of the Park, the road is now improved so that only slightly more than one mile is unpaved. This cumulative Park Service action has invited more automobile traffic and other wheeled traffic such as bicycles. This was NOT the "previous condition" of the Road, before the Park Service - largely with no NEPA analysis or forethought - altered its nature.

Making the entire Road a "paved experience" will change public expectations and pressures. The NPS should not expect to "mitigate" the impact that a fully-paved Moose-Wilson Road will inflict through management controls. Very soon, users will forget that the Road used to be a naturally-constrained slow, narrow, twisting byway. Users will experience a fully-paved road, and will pressure the Park Service to loosen management controls such as limits on numbers of vehicles.

I understand that the NPS maintenance staff believes their work would be eased by paving the entire Road. It's a bad tradeoff. The purpose of the Comprehensive Corridor Management Plan is to end the history of bit-by-bit NPS management decisions that sacrificed Park resources to transportation expediency. Paving will worsen the pressures opposed to that purpose of the Plan. The stone foundation of the Road can be reconstructed to provide a more durable base that will resist pothole formation, without applying a pavement surface.

The paving from the White Grass Ranch turnoff south should be removed and that section of the road restored to gravel, as it was not long ago. The extent of the Moose-Wilson road that is NOT paved should be increased, not reduced.

B. Additional NEPA analysis will be required by law, if paving the now-unpaved section of the Road is part of the final Decision. Paving will create pressure on future NPS management, and will lead to readily foreseeable future cumulative effects on the Corridor. As CEQ Regulations instruct, at 40 CFR Â§ 1508.8, Effects include: ... (b) Indirect effects, which are caused by the action and are later in time or farther removed in distance, but are still reasonably foreseeable. Indirect effects may include growth inducing effects and other effects related to induced changes in the pattern of land use, population density or growth rate, and related effects on air and water and other natural systems ....

#### 3- Keep the existing Road location between Sawmill Ponds Overlook and the Death Canyon road junction.

This will help maintain the historic character of the Road, and will continue a valuable part of the visitor experience. The proposal to relocate the Road to the East should be rejected. I applaud the NPS for adopting this policy in its Preferred Alternative; it should be part of the final Decision.

Once the Park Service starts relocating and rebuilding the Moose-Wilson Road, the NPS Will not be able to stop until the entire road is upgraded to a much higher, more destructive, standard. The claim in the NPS brochure that "The new road segments would be constructed to emulate the slow-speed, narrow winding character of the road corridor" [ Alternative B description] is not believable. The proposed new road line will be across open sagebrush flats with no willows, no water, no sidehill, and no topographic constraints. The NPS cannot "emulate" the existing road character in those conditions.

The dEIS has "wiggle words" such as " ... would be mostly retained in its existing alignment ... " [Table 8, Chapter 2, p.152] regarding future road construction. The road should stay in its present location. A new foundation can be built for the road without moving it. Where driver sight distances are short, that should be viewed as a positive feature rather than a problem.

#### 4- Moose Entrance design must be better and more efficient than any Alternative.

The Road should be realigned so all Moose-Wilson Corridor traffic can be controlled by the same single NPS staffer who manages the Park Entrance Station at Moose. Any queuing or holding areas should be in the immediate vicinity of the Entrance Station. The extensive new impervious surface holding area proposed in the dEIS maps for Alternatives "C" and "D" is excessive. This large linear parking lot is out of character with the visitor experience desired for either the Moose-Wilson Road or the Park.

A more compact design can accommodate cars or bicycles which must wait in the queue, and keep them in the immediate supervision of the same person who staffs the Entrance Station. An improved design can eliminate the need for an additional FTE to administer whichever traffic management system is

adopted, and can allow the traffic management system to be modified over time without having to fund greater personnel costs. An improved design need not sprawl across the sagebrush landscape as the dEIS proposals do.

All Alternatives except No-Action realign the Moose-Wilson Road to form a single four-way intersection with the spur to the Chapel of the Transfiguration. This simplistic road-design concept needs to be restudied. For access to the Moose-Wilson Road to be controlled by the Entrance Station, the realigned Road should join the main Park road at the Station.

#### 5- Motor Vehicle Traffic Management

The motor vehicle traffic metering steps proposed in Alternative C would be a reasonable experiment. I believe the Park Service will modify its motor vehicle management scheme over time. The Corridor Management Plan must not include any physical construction that will interfere with future vehicle management adaptations.

For some users of the Road, a reservation system should be provided to ensure the ability to traverse the Corridor. Worshippers who travel to the Church of the Transfiguration should be assured of passage, not left to the chance of quotas. Back-country users who wish to reach trailheads should be able to obtain a Road reservation in advance.

#### 6- Bicycle Traffic Management

Bicycles should be allowed to use the existing road space, subject to the same vehicle quota or numbers management system that is adopted for motor vehicles. All bicycles using the Moose-Wilson Corridor should be required to have warning bells, safety lights and reflectors, and bear spray before they are allowed to enter.

Conflicts between cyclists and motorists on the single, existing, Moose-Wilson Road should be managed and all possible measures taken to improve safety for all. I support the proposals to increase user safety education at the ends of the Road. Creating a separated bicycle pathway is not necessary for safety. Creating a separated bicycle pathway will accelerate other adverse impacts on Park values in the Corridor.

#### 7- Winter Access and Use

The Moose-Wilson Road should continue to be closed seasonally as is done now. No snowmobile use should be permitted. No mechanized travel, including by over-snow bicycles, should be allowed on the Road during seasonal closure periods. The Road should not be groomed for winter recreationists; the Park already offers lots of opportunity for that experience. If it is practical to close the Road at the Murie Cabin road junction at the north, as is proposed in Alternative B, I would strongly support that. If there are maintenance needs at the LSR Preserve and White Grass Ranch buildings that require winter access, that access should be by over-snow tracked coach, rather than by plowing the Road.

#### 8- Future Management and Control

The Corridor Comprehensive Management Plan should include a direction to future Park managers to preserve all existing NPS management authority. No construction or maintenance of facilities along the Corridor by private funds should be permitted.

#### Conclusion

My thanks and compliments to the National Park Service for the patient, thorough, study and research that underlies this draft Environmental Impact Statement. The new information that has been found about the natural and cultural resources in this area of Grand Teton National Park will improve public knowledge and NPS management through the future.

Thank you for your attention. Please keep me informed as the NEPA process and Corridor Management Plan advance. Best wishes to all.

Sincerely,

Philip M. Hocker  
Manager, ConServCo / Conservation Service Company, LLC

---